



## Elementary Examination of NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the Classical Number Systems

<sup>1</sup>A.A.A. Agboola , <sup>2</sup> M.A. Ibrahim and <sup>3</sup> E.O. Adeleke

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria.

agboolaaaa@funaab.edu.ng<sup>1</sup>, muritalaibrahim40@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>, yemi376@yahoo.com<sup>3</sup>.

### Abstract

The objective of this paper is to examine NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the classical number systems.

**Keywords:** NeutroAlgebra, AntiAlgebra, NeutroAlgebraic Structure, AntiAlgebraic Structure.

### 1 Introduction

The notions of NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebra were recently introduced by Florentin Smarandache.<sup>1</sup> Smarandache in<sup>2</sup> revisited the notions of NeutroAlgebra and AntiAlgebra and in<sup>3</sup> he studied Partial Algebra, Universal Algebra, Effect Algebra and Boole's Partial Algebra and showed that NeutroAlgebra is a generalization of Partial Algebra. In the present Short Communication, we are going to examine NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the classical number systems. For more details about NeutroAlgebras, AntiAlgebras, NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures, the readers should see.<sup>1-3</sup>

Let  $U$  be a universe of discourse and let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of  $U$ . Suppose that  $A$  is an item (concept, attribute, idea, proposition, theory, algebra, structure etc.) defined on the set  $X$ . By neutrosophication approach,  $X$  can be split into three regions namely:  $\langle A \rangle$  the region formed by the sets of all elements where  $\langle A \rangle$  is true with the degree of truth (T),  $\langle antiA \rangle$  the region formed by the sets of all elements where  $\langle A \rangle$  is false with the degree of falsity (F) and  $\langle neutA \rangle$  the region formed by the sets of all elements where  $\langle A \rangle$  is indeterminate (neither true nor false) with the degree of indeterminacy (I). It should be noted that depending on the application,  $\langle A \rangle$ ,  $\langle antiA \rangle$  and  $\langle neutA \rangle$  may or may not be disjoint but they are exhaustive that is; their union is  $X$ . If  $A$  represents Function, Operation, Axiom, Algebra etc, then we can have the corresponding triplets  $\langle Function, NeutroFunction, AntiFunction \rangle$ ,  $\langle Operation, NeutroOperation, AntiOperation \rangle$ ,  $\langle Axiom, NeutroAxiom, AntiAxiom \rangle$  and  $\langle Algebra, NeutroAlgebra, AntiAlgebra \rangle$  etc.

#### Definition 1.1.<sup>1</sup>

- (i) A NeutroAlgebra  $X$  is an algebra which has at least one NeutroOperation or one NeutroAxiom that is; axiom that is true for some elements, indeterminate for other elements, and, false for other elements.
- (ii) An AntiAlgebra  $X$  is an algebra endowed with a law of composition such that the law is false for all the elements of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.2.**<sup>1</sup> Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonempty subsets of a universe of discourse  $U$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Let  $x \in X$  be an element. We define the following with respect to  $f(x)$  the image of  $x$ :

- (i) Inner-defined or Well-defined: This corresponds to  $f(x) \in Y$  (True)(T). In this case,  $f$  is called a Total Inner-Function which corresponds to the Classical Function.
- (ii) Outer-defined: This corresponds to  $f(x) \in U - Y$  (Falsehood) (F). In this case,  $f$  is called a Total Outer-Function or AntiFunction.
- (iii) Indeterminacy: This corresponds to  $f(x) = \text{indeterminacy}$  (Indeterminate) (I); that is, the value  $f(x)$  does exist, but we do not know it exactly. In this case,  $f$  is called a Total Indeterminate Function.

Corresponding Author: A.A.A. Agboola aaaola2003@yahoo.com

## 2 Subject Matter

In what follows, we will consider the classical number systems  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  of natural, integer, rational, real and complex numbers respectively and noting that  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $+, -, \times, \div$  be the usual binary operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication and division of numbers respectively. Using elementary approach, we will examine whether or not the abstract systems  $(\mathbb{N}, *), (\mathbb{Z}, *), (\mathbb{Q}, *), (\mathbb{R}, *), (\mathbb{C}, *)$  are NeutroAlgebras or and AntiAlgebras where  $* = +, -, \times, \div$ .

(1) Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) It is clear that  $(X, +)$  and  $(X, \times)$  are neither NeutroAlgebras nor AntiAlgebras.

(ii) For some  $x, y \in X, x - y \in X$  (True) (Inner) or  $x - y \notin X$  (False) (Outer). However, for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \leq y, x - y \notin X$  (False) (Outer) and for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x > y$ , we have  $x - y \in X$  (True) (Inner). This shows that  $-$  is a NeutroOperation over  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, -)$  is a NeutroGroupoid. The operation  $-$  is not commutative for all  $x \in X$ . This shows that  $-$  is AntiCommutative over  $X$ . We claim that  $-$  is NeuroAssociative over  $X$ .

*Proof.* For  $x > y, z = 0$ , we have  $x - (y - z) = (x - y) - z$ , or  $x - y + 0 = x - y - 0 > 0$  (degree of Truth) (T). However, for  $x > y, z \neq 0$ , we have  $x - (y - z) \neq (x - y) - z$  (degree of Falsehood) (F). For  $x < y, c = 0$ , we have  $x - y + 0 = x - y - 0 < 0$  (degree of Indeterminacy) (I). This shows that  $-$  is NeuroAssociative and  $\therefore (X, -)$  is a NeutroSemigroup.  $\square$

(iii) For all  $x \in X, x \div 1 \in X$  (True) (Inner). For some  $x, y \in X, x \div y \notin X$  (False) (Outer). However, if  $x$  is a multiple of  $y$  including 1, then  $x \div y \in X$  (True) (Inner). This shows that  $\div$  is a NeutroOperation and therefore,  $(X, \div)$  is a NeutroGroupoid. It can be shown that  $\div$  is NeuroAssociative over  $X$  and therefore,  $(X, \div)$  is a NeutroSemigroup.

The equation  $ax = b$  is not solvable for some  $a, b \in X$ . However, if  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  including 1, then the equation is solvable and the solution is called a NeutroSolution. Also, the equation  $acx^2 + bd = (ad + bc)x$  is not solvable for some  $a, b, c, d \in X$ . However, if  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  including 1 and  $c$  is a multiple of  $d$  including 1, the equation is solvable and the solutions are called NeutroSolutions.

Let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined for all  $x, y \in X$  by

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ -\alpha & \text{if } x < y \\ -\beta & \text{if } x > y \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . It is clear that  $\circ$  is an AntiOperation on  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is an AntiAlgebra.

(2) Let  $X = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(i)  $(X, +)$  and  $(X, \times)$  are neither NeutroAlgebras nor AntiAlgebras.

(ii) For all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x, y = 0, 1$ , we have  $x - y = y - x = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False (Outer) so that  $-$  is NeuroCommutative over  $X$ . However, if  $x, y, z = 0$ , then  $x - (y - z) = (x - y) - z = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False and consequently,  $-$  is NeuroAssociative over  $X$  and hence  $(X, -)$  is a NeutroSemigroup.

(iii) For all  $x \in X, x \div \pm 1 \in X$  (True) (Inner). For all  $x \in X, x \div 0 = \text{indeterminate}$  (Indeterminacy). For some  $x, y \in X, x \div y \notin X$  (False) (Outer) however, if  $x$  is a multiple of  $y$  including  $\pm 1$ , then  $x \div y \in X$  (True) (Inner). This shows that  $\div$  is a NeutroOperation over  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \div)$  is a NeutroGroupoid. It can also be shown that  $(X, \div)$  is a NeutroSemigroup.

The equation  $ax = b$  is not solvable for some  $a, b \in X$ . If  $a = 0$ , the solution is indeterminate (Indeterminacy). However, if  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  including  $\pm 1$ , then the equation is solvable and the solution is called a NeutroSolution. Also, the equation  $acx^2 + (ad - bc)x - bd = 0$  is not solvable for some  $a, b, c, d \in X$ . However, if  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$  including  $\pm 1$  and  $c$  is a multiple of  $d$  including  $\pm 1$ , the equation is solvable and the solutions are called NeutroSolutions.

For all  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined by  $x \circ y = \ln(xy)$ . If  $x, y = 0$ , we have  $x \circ y = \text{indeterminate}$  (Indeterminacy) (I). If  $x > 0, y < 0$ , we have  $x \circ y = \text{indeterminate}$  (Indeterminacy) (I). If  $x > 0, y > 0$ , we have  $x \circ y = \text{False}$  (F) except when  $x = y = 1$ . These show that  $\circ$  is a NeutroOperation over  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is a NeutroAlgebra.

Let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined for all  $x, y \in X$  by

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} -1/2 & \text{if } x < y \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x > y \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\circ$  is an AntiOperation on  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is an AntiAlgebra.

(3) Let  $X = \mathbb{Q}$ .

- (i)  $(X, +)$  and  $(X, \times)$  are neither NeutroAlgebras nor AntiAlgebras.
- (ii) For all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x, y, z = 1$ , we have  $x - y = y - x = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False so that  $-$  is NeuroCommutative over  $X$ . Also, if  $x, y, z = 0$ , then  $x - (y - z) = (x - y) - z = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False and consequently,  $-$  is NeuroAssociative over  $X$  and  $(X, -)$  is a NeutroSemigroup.
- (iii) For all  $0 \neq x, y \in X$ ,  $x \div y \in X$  (True) (Inner) but for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x \div 0 =$  indeterminate (Indeterminacy).  $\therefore (X, \div)$  is a NeutroAlgebra which we call a NeutroField.

For all  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined by  $x \circ y = e^{x \div y}$ . If  $x, y = 0$ , we have  $x \circ y =$  indeterminate (Indeterminacy) (I). If  $x > 0, y = 0$ , we have  $x \circ y =$  indeterminate (Indeterminacy) (I). If  $x > 0, y > 0$ , we have  $x \circ y =$  False (F). These show that  $\circ$  is a NeutroOperation over  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is a NeutroAlgebra.

Let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined for all  $x, y \in X$  by

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} -e & \text{if } x \leq y \\ e & \text{if } x \geq y \end{cases}$$

where  $e$  is the base of Naperian Logarithm. It is clear that  $\circ$  is an AntiOperation on  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is an AntiAlgebra.

(4) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i)  $(X, +)$  and  $(X, \times)$  are neither NeutroAlgebras nor PartialAlgebras.
- (ii) For all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x, y = 0, \pm 1$ , we have  $x - y = y - x = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False so that  $-$  is NeuroCommutative over  $X$ .
- (iii) For all  $0 \neq x, y \in X$ ,  $x \div y \in X$  (True) (Inner) but for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x \div 0 =$  indeterminate (Indeterminacy). It can be shown that  $\div$  is NeuroAssociative over  $X$ . Hence,  $(X, \div)$  is a NeutroSemigroup and therefore, it is a NeutroAlgebra which we call a NeutroField.

Let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined for all  $x, y \in X$  by

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{-1} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \sqrt{-1} & \text{if } x \geq y \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\circ$  is an AntiOperation on  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is an AntiAlgebra.

(5) Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ .

- (i)  $(X, +)$  and  $(X, \times)$  are neither NeutroAlgebras nor AntiAlgebras.
- (ii) For all  $z, w \in X$  such that  $z, w = 0, \pm i$ , we have  $z - w = w - z = 0 \in X$  (True), otherwise for other elements, the result is False so that  $-$  is NeuroCommutative over  $X$ .
- (iii) For all  $0 \neq z, w \in X$ ,  $z \div w \in X$  (True) (Inner) but for all  $z \in X$ ,  $z \div 0 =$  indeterminate (Indeterminacy). Therefore,  $(X, \div)$  is a NeutroAlgebra which we call a NeutroField.

Let  $\circ$  be a binary operation defined for all  $z, w \in X$  by

$$z \circ w = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } |z| = |w| \\ j & \text{if } |z| \leq |w| \\ k & \text{if } |z| \geq |w| \end{cases}$$

where  $ijk = -1$ . It is clear that  $\circ$  is an AntiOperation on  $X$  and  $\therefore (X, \circ)$  is an AntiAlgebra.

**Theorem 2.1.** For all prime number  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \times)$  is a NeutroAlgebra called a NeutroField.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  is a prime number. Clearly, 1 is the multiplicative identity element in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . For all  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , there exist a unique  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $x \times y = 1$  (True) (T). However, for  $0 = x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , there does not exist any unique  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $x \times y = 1$  (False) (F). This shows that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \times)$  is a NeutroGroup. Since  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  is an abelian group, it follows that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \times)$  is a NeutroDivisionRing called a NeutroField.  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

We have in this paper examined NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras viz-a-viz the classical number systems  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  of natural, integer, rational, real and complex numbers respectively. In our future papers, we hope to study more algebraic properties of NeutroAlgebras and NeutroSubalgebras and NeutroMorphisms between them.

### 4 Appreciation

The authors are very grateful to all the anonymous reviewers for the useful comments and suggestions which we have found very useful in this work.

### References

- [1] Smarandache, F., Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures, in Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories, Pons Publishing House Brussels, Belgium, Ch. 6, pp. 240-265, 2019.
- [2] Smarandache, F., Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures (revisited), Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 31, pp. 1-16, 2020. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3638232.
- [3] Smarandache, F., NeutroAlgebra is a Generalization of Partial Algebra, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 2 (1), pp. 08-17, 2020.