International J.Math. Combin. Vol.3(2023), 110-117

## Gallai and Anti-Gallai Symmetric n-Sigraphs

### S. Vijay

(Department of Mathematics, Government Science College, Hassan-573 201, India)

#### C. N. Harshavardhana

(Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College for Women, Holenarasipur-573 211, India)

#### P. Somashekar

(Department of Mathematics, Maharani's Science College for Women, Mysuru-570 005, India)

Abstract: An *n*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is symmetric, if  $a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  be the set of all symmetric *n*-tuples. A symmetric *n*-sigraph (symmetric *n*-marked graph) is an ordered pair  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$   $(S_n = (G, \mu))$ , where G = (V, E) is a graph called the underlying graph of  $S_n$  and  $\sigma : E \to H_n$   $(\mu : V \to H_n)$  is a function. In this paper, we introduced a new notions Gallai and anti-Gallai symmetric *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph and its properties are obtained. Also we give the relation between Gallai symmetric *n*-sigraphs and anti-Gallai symmetric *n*-sigraphs. Further, we discuss structural characterizations of these notions.

**Key Words:** Symmetric *n*-sigraph, Smarandachely symmetric *n*-sigraph, symmetric *n*-marked graph, Smarandachely symmetric *n*-marked graph, balance, switching, Gallai symmetric *n*-sigraphs, Smarandachely Gallai symmetric n-sigraph, anti-Gallai symmetric *n*-sigraph, Smarandachely anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph, complementation.

**AMS(2010)**: 05C22.

#### §1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [3]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer. An *n*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is symmetric, if  $a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n$ . Let  $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n\}$  be the set of all symmetric *n*-tuples. Note that  $H_n$  is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of  $H_n$  is  $2^m$ , where  $m = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

A symmetric n-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  ( $S_n = (G, \mu)$ ), where G = (V, E) is a graph called the underlying graph of  $S_n$  and  $\sigma : E \to H_n$ ( $\mu : V \to H_n$ ) is a function. Generally, a Smarandachely symmetric n-sigraph (Smarandachely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Received April 16, 2023, Accepted August 29, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corresponding author: vijayshivanna82@gmail.com

symmetric n-marked graph) for a subgraph  $H \prec G$  is such a graph that G-E(H) is symmetric nsigraph (symmetric n-marked graph). For example, let H be a path  $P_2 \succ G$  or a claw  $K_{1,3} \prec G$ . Certainly, if  $H = \emptyset$ , a Smarandachely symmetric n-sigraph (or Smarandachely symmetric nsigraph) is nothing else but a symmetric n-sigraph (or symmetric n-marked graph).

In this paper by an n-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric n-tuple/symmetric n-sigraph/symmetric n-marked graph.

An n-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is the *identity n-tuple*, if  $a_k = +$ , for  $1 \le k \le n$ , otherwise it is a *non-identity n-tuple*. In an n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  an edge labelled with the identity n-tuple is called an *identity edge*, otherwise it is a *non-identity edge*.

Further, in an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , for any  $A \subseteq E(G)$  the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(A)$  is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges of A.

In [11], the authors defined two notions of balance in *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [7]):

**Definition** 1.1 Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Then,

(i)  $S_n$  is identity balanced (or i-balanced), if product of n-tuples on each cycle of  $S_n$  is the identity n-tuple, and

(ii)  $S_n$  is balanced, if every cycle in  $S_n$  contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Notice that an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely. The following characterization of *i*-balanced *n*-sigraphs is obtained in [11].

**Proposition** 1.1 (E. Sampathkumar et al. [11]) An *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is *i*-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign *n*-tuples to its vertices such that the *n*-tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the *n*-tuples of *u* and *v*.

Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an *n*-sigraph. Consider the *n*-marking  $\mu$  on vertices of  $S_n$  defined as follows: each vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $\mu(v)$  is the *n*-tuple which is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges incident with v. Complement of  $S_n$  is an *n*-sigraph  $\overline{S_n} = (\overline{G}, \sigma^c)$ , where for any edge  $e = uv \in \overline{G}, \sigma^c(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Clearly,  $\overline{S_n}$  as defined here is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph due to Proposition 1 in [11].

In [11], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  as follows: (See also [5, 8 - 10, 13 - 23]).

Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and  $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$ , be two *n*-sigraphs. Then  $S_n$  and  $S'_n$  are said to be *isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : G \to G'$  such that if uv is an edge in  $S_n$  with label  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  then  $\phi(u)\phi(v)$  is an edge in  $S'_n$  with label  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .

Given an *n*-marking  $\mu$  of an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , switching  $S_n$  with respect to  $\mu$  is the operation of changing the *n*-tuple of every edge uv of  $S_n$  by  $\mu(u)\sigma(uv)\mu(v)$ . The *n*-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by  $S_{\mu}(S_n)$  and is called the  $\mu$ -switched *n*-sigraph or just switched *n*-sigraph.

Further, an *n*-sigraph  $S_n$  switches to *n*-sigraph  $S'_n$  (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as  $S_n \sim S'_n$ , whenever there exists an *n*-marking of  $S_n$  such that  $S_{\mu}(S_n) \cong S'_n$ .

Two n-sigraphs  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and  $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$  are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there

exists an isomorphism  $\phi: G \to G'$  such that the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(C)$  of every cycle C in  $S_n$  equals to the *n*-tuple  $\sigma(\phi(C))$  in  $S'_n$ .

We make use of the following known result (see [11]).

**Proposition** 1.2 (E. Sampathkumar et al. [11]) Given a graph G, any two n-sigraphs with G as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.

### §2. Gallai *n*-Sigraphs

The Gallai graph  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  of a graph G = (V, E) is the graph whose vertex-set  $V(\mathcal{GL}(G)) = E(G)$ and two distinct vertices  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident in G, but do not span a triangle in G (see [4]). In fact, this concept was introduced by Gallai [2] in his examination of comparability graphs and this notation was suggested by Sun [24]. The author Sun wasted Gallai graphs  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  to characterize a nice class of perfect graphs. Gallai graphs are also wasted in the polynomial time algorithm to determinate complete bipartite  $K_{1,3}$ -free perfect graphs by the authors Chvátal and Sbihi [1].

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an n-sigraph, we extend the notion of Gallai graphs to n-sigraphs as follows:

The Gallai n-sigraph  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  of an n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is an n-sigraph whose underlying graph is  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  and the n-tuple of any edge uv in  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical n-marking of  $S_n$  and similarly, the Smarandachely Gallai symmetric n-sigraph on s subgraph  $H \prec G$  is the Gallai Smarandachely symmetric n-sigraph on H. Further, an n-sigraph  $S_n =$  $(G, \sigma)$  is called Gallai n-sigraph if  $S_n \cong \mathcal{GL}(S'_n)$  for some n-sigraph  $S'_n$ . The following result indicates the limitations of the notion  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  as introduced above, since the entire class of *i*-unbalanced n-sigraphs is forbidden to be Gallai n-sigraphs.

**Proposition** 2.1 For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , its Gallai n-sigraph  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is i-balanced.

*Proof* Since the *n*-tuple of any edge uv in  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical *n*-marking of  $S_n$ , by Proposition 1.1,  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.

For any positive integer k, the  $k^{th}$  iterated Gallai *n*-sigraph  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  of  $S_n$  is defined as

$$(\mathcal{GL})^0(S_n) = S_n, \quad (\mathcal{GL})^k(S_n) = \mathcal{GL}((\mathcal{GL})^{k-1}(S_n)).$$

**Corollary** 2.1 For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and any positive integer k,  $(\mathcal{GL})^k(S_n)$  is ibalanced.

In [4], the author characterize the graphs for which  $\mathcal{GL}(G) \cong G$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let G = (V, E) be any graph, Gallai graph  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  is isomorphic to G if, and only if,  $G \cong C_n$ , where  $n \ge 4$ .

In view of the above result, we now characterize the *n*-sigraphs for which Gallai *n*-sigraph

 $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  and  $S_n$  are switching equivalent.

**Theorem 2.2** For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , the Gallai n-sigraph  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  and  $S_n$  are switching equivalent if, and only if,  $S_n$  is i-balanced n-sigraph and G is isomorphic to  $C_n$ , where  $n \ge 4$ .

Proof Suppose  $S_n \sim \mathcal{GL}(S_n)$ . This implies,  $G \cong \mathcal{GL}(G)$  and hence G is isomorphic to  $C_n$ , where  $n \geq 4$ . Now, if  $S_n$  is any n-sigraph with underlying graph as cycle  $C_n$ , where  $n \geq 4$ , Proposition 2.1 implies that  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced and hence if  $S_n$  is *i*-unbalanced and its  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  being *i*-balanced can not be switching equivalent to  $S_n$  in accordance with Proposition 1.2. Therefore,  $S_n$  must be *i*-balanced.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n$  is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph and *G* is isomorphic to  $C_n$ , where  $n \geq 4$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced as per Proposition 2.1 and since  $G \cong \mathcal{GL}(G)$ , the result follows from Proposition 1.2 again.

**Proposition** 2.2 For any two  $S_n$  and  $S'_n$  with the same underlying graph, their Gallai n-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Now, we characterize Gallai n-sigraphs. The following result characterize n-sigraphs which are Gallai n-sigraphs.

**Theorem 2.3** An n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is a Gallai n-sigraph if, and only if,  $S_n$  is i-balanced n-sigraph and its underlying graph G is a Gallai graph.

Proof Suppose that  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced and G is a  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$ . Then there exists a graph H such that  $\mathcal{GL}(H) \cong G$ . Since  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced, by Proposition 1.1, there exists an *n*-marking  $\mu$  of G such that each edge uv in  $S_n$  satisfies  $\sigma(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Now consider the *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$ , where for any edge e in H,  $\sigma'(e)$  is the *n*-marking of the corresponding vertex in G. Then clearly,  $\mathcal{GL}(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence  $S_n$  is a Gallai *n*-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is a Gallai *n*-sigraph. Then there exists an *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$  such that  $\mathcal{GL}(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence G is the  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  of H and by Proposition 2.1,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced.

#### §3. Anti-Gallai *n*-Sigraph of a *n*-Sigraph

The anti-Gallai graph  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  of a graph G = (V, E) is the graph whose vertex-set  $V(\mathcal{AGL}(G)) = E(G)$ ; two distinct vertices  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident in G and lie on a triangle in G (see [4]). Equivalently, the anti-Gallai graph  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  is the complement of Gallai graph  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  in the line graph L(G). We can easily observe that the Gallai graphs  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  and anti-Gallai graphs  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  are the spanning subgraphs of the line graph L(G) (See [4] for details).

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an n-sigraph, we extend the notion of anti-Gallai graphs to n-sigraphs as follows:

The anti-Gallai n-sigraph  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  of an n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is an n-sigraph whose

underlying graph is  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  and the *n*-tuple of any edge uv is  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical *n*-marking of  $S_n$ . Similarly, the *Smarandachely anti-Gallai n-sigraph* of a Smarandachely *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  on  $H \prec G$  is the anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph of the Smarandachely *n*-sigraph on H. Further, an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is called anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph, if  $S_n \cong \mathcal{AGL}(S'_n)$  for some *n*-sigraph  $S'_n$ . The following result indicates the limitations of the notion  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  as introduced above, since the entire class of *i*-unbalanced *n*-sigraphs is forbidden to be anti-Gallai *n*-sigraphs.

**Proposition** 3.1 For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , its anti-Gallai n-sigraph  $AGL(S_n)$  is ibalanced.

*Proof* Since the *n*-tuple of any edge uv in  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  is  $\mu(u)\mu(v)$ , where  $\mu$  is the canonical *n*-marking of  $S_n$ , by Proposition 1.1,  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.  $\Box$ 

For any positive integer k, the  $k^{th}$  iterated anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  of  $S_n$  is defined to be

$$(\mathcal{AGL})^0(S_n) = S_n, \quad (\mathcal{AGL})^k(S_n) = \mathcal{AGL}((\mathcal{AGL})^{k-1}(S_n)).$$

**Corollary** 3.1 For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  and any positive integer k,  $(\mathcal{AGL})^k(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced.

In [4], the author characterize the graphs for which  $\mathcal{AGL}(G) \cong G$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let G = (V, E) be any graph, anti-Gallai graph  $AG\mathcal{L}(G)$  is isomorphic to G if, and only if  $G \cong K_3$ .

In view of the above result, we now characterize the *n*-sigraphs for which anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph  $\mathcal{AGL}(S)$  and S are switching equivalent.

**Theorem 3.2** For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , the anti-Gallai signed graph  $AGL(S_n)$  and S are switching equivalent if, and only if,  $S_n$  is i-balanced and G is isomorphic to  $K_3$ .

Proof Suppose  $S_n \sim \mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ . This implies,  $G \cong \mathcal{AGL}(G)$  and hence G is isomorphic to  $K_3$ . Now, if  $S_n$  is any n-sigraph with underlying graph as  $C_3$ , Proposition 2.1 implies that  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced and hence if  $S_n$  is *i*-unbalanced and its  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  being *i*-balanced can not be switching equivalent to  $S_n$  in accordance with Proposition 1.2. Therefore,  $S_n$  must be *i*-balanced.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n$  is an *i*-balanced *n*-sigraph and *G* is isomorphic to  $C_3$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced as per Proposition 3 and since  $G \cong \mathcal{AGL}(G)$ , the result follows from Proposition 1.2 again.

**Proposition** 3.2 For any two  $S_n$  and  $S'_n$  with the same underlying graph, their anti-Gallai *n*-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Now, we characterize Gallai n-sigraphs. The following result characterize n-sigraphs which are Gallai n-sigraphs.

**Theorem 3.3** An n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is an anti-Gallai n-sigraph if, and only if,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced n-sigraph and its underlying graph G is an anti-Gallai graph.

Proof Suppose that  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced and G is a  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$ . Then there exists a graph H such that  $\mathcal{AGL}(H) \cong G$ . Since  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced, by Proposition 1.1, there exists an *n*-marking  $\mu$  of G such that each edge uv in  $S_n$  satisfies  $\sigma(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$ . Now consider the *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$ , where for any edge e in  $H, \sigma'(e)$  is the *n*-marking of the corresponding vertex in G. Then clearly,  $\mathcal{AGL}(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence  $S_n$  is an anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  is an anti-Gallai *n*-sigraph. Then there exists an *n*-sigraph  $S'_n = (H, \sigma')$  such that  $\mathcal{AGL}(S'_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence G is the  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  of H and by Proposition 2.1,  $S_n$  is *i*-balanced.

We now characterize n-sigraphs whose Gallai n-sigraphs and anti-Gallai n-sigraphs are switching equivalent. In case of graphs the following result is due to Palathingal and Aparna Lakshmanan [6].

**Theorem 3.4** For any graph G = (V, E), the graphs  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$  are isomorphic if, and only if, G is  $nK_3 \cup nK_{1,3}$ .

**Theorem 3.5** For any n-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n) \sim \mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$  if, and only if, G is  $nK_3 \cup nK_{1,3}$ .

*Proof* Suppose  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n) \sim \mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ . This implies,  $\mathcal{GL}(G) \cong \mathcal{AGL}(G)$  and hence by Theorem 3.4, we see that the graph G must be isomorphic to  $nK_3 \cup nK_{1,3}$ .

Conversely, suppose that G is isomorphic to  $nK_3 \cup nK_{1,3}$ . Then  $\mathcal{GL}(G) \cong \mathcal{AGL}(G)$  by Theorem 3.4. Now, if  $S_n$  is an n-sigraph with underlying graph as  $nK_3 \cup nK_{1,3}$ , by Propositions 2.1 and 3.1,  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  and  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  are *i*-balanced. The result follows from Proposition 1.2.  $\Box$ 

### §4. Complementation

In this section, we investigate the notion of complementation of a graph whose edges have signs (a *sigraph*) in the more general context of graphs with multiple signs on their edges. We look at two kinds of complementation: complementing some or all of the signs, and reversing the order of the signs on each edge.

For any  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is:  $a^m = am$ . For any  $M \subseteq H_n$ , and  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of M is  $M^m = \{a^m : a \in M\}$ .

For any  $m \in H_n$ , the *m*-complement of an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , written  $(S_n^m)$ , is the same graph but with each edge label  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  replaced by  $a^m$ .

For an *n*-sigraph  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ , the  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ ) is *i*-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which *m*-complement of  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  is *i*-balanced, where for any  $m \in H_n$ .

**Proposition** 4.1 Let  $S_n = (G, \sigma)$  be an n-sigraph. Then, for any  $m \in H_n$ , if  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$ ) is bipartite then  $(\mathcal{GL}(S_n))^m$  (( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ )<sup>m</sup>) is i-balanced.

*Proof* Since, by Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 3.1),  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ ) is *i*-balanced, for each k,

 $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the number of *n*-tuples on any cycle C in  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ ) whose  $k^{th}$  co-ordinate are – is even. Also, since  $\mathcal{GL}(G)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(G)$ ) is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , the number of *n*-tuples on any cycle C in  $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$  ( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ ) whose  $k^{th}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any *m*-complement, where for any  $m, \in H_n$ . Hence ( $\mathcal{GL}(S_n)$ )<sup>t</sup> (( $\mathcal{AGL}(S_n)$ )<sup>t</sup>) is *i*-balanced.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments which helped to improve the manuscript.

# References

- V. Chvátal and N. Sbihi, Recognizing claw-free perfect graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 44(1988), 154-176.
- [2] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 18(1967), 25-66.
- [3] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.
- [4] V. B. Le, Gallai graphs and anti-Gallai graphs, Discrete Math., 159(1996), 179-189.
- [5] V. Lokesha, P.S.K.Reddy and S. Vijay, The triangular line n-sigraph of a symmetric nsigraph, Advn. Stud. Contemp. Math., 19(1) (2009), 123-129.
- [6] J. J. Palathingal and S. Aparna Lakshmanan, Gallai and anti-Gallai graph operators, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, 63(2017), 447-453.
- [7] R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy, Notions of balance in symmetric n-sigraphs, Proceedings of the Jangieon Math. Soc., 11(2) (2008), 145-151.
- [8] R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and M. S. Subramanya, Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs, Adv. Stud. Comtemp. Math., 18(1) (2009), 79-85.
- [9] R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and N. D. Soner, Switching equivalence in symmetric nsigraphs-II, J. Orissa Math. Sco., 28 (1 & 2) (2009), 1-12.
- [10] R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and N. D. Soner, m<sup>th</sup> Power Symmetric n-Sigraphs, Italian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics, 29(2012), 87-92.
- [11] E. Sampathkumar, P.S.K.Reddy, and M. S. Subramanya, Jump symmetric n-sigraph, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 11(1) (2008), 89-95.
- [12] E. Sampathkumar, P.S.K.Reddy, and M. S. Subramanya, The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 34(5) (2010), 953-958.
- [13] P.S.K.Reddy and B. Prashanth, Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs-I, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics, 4(1) (2009), 25-32.
- [14] P.S.K.Reddy, S. Vijay and B. Prashanth, The edge  $C_4$  *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 3(2) (2009), 21-27.
- [15] P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph-II, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 13(3) (2010), 305-312.
- [16] P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph-III, Int. J. Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics, 3(5) (2010), 172-178.

- [17] P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs-III, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 5(1) (2011), 95-101.
- [18] P.S.K.Reddy, B. Prashanth and Kavita. S. Permi, A Note on Switching in Symmetric n-Sigraphs, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, 17(3) (2011), 22-25.
- [19] P.S.K.Reddy, M. C. Geetha and K. R. Rajanna, Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n-Sigraphs-IV, Scientia Magna, 7(3) (2011), 34-38.
- [20] P.S.K.Reddy, K. M. Nagaraja and M. C. Geetha, The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric nsigraph-IV, International J. Math. Combin., 1 (2012), 106-112.
- [21] P.S.K.Reddy, M. C. Geetha and K. R. Rajanna, Switching equivalence in symmetric nsigraphs-V, International J. Math. Combin., 3 (2012), 58-63.
- [22] P.S.K.Reddy, K. M. Nagaraja and M. C. Geetha, The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric nsigraph-V, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 54(1) (2014), 95-101.
- [23] P.S.K.Reddy, R. Rajendra and M. C. Geetha, Boundary n-Signed Graphs, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 10(2) (2016), 161-168.
- [24] L. Sun, Two classes of perfect graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 53(1991), 273-292.