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#### Abstract

An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ $\left(S_{n}=(G, \mu)\right.$ ), where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}$ $\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function. In this paper, we introduced a new notions Gallai and antiGallai symmetric $n$-sigraph of a symmetric $n$-sigraph and its properties are obtained. Also we give the relation between Gallai symmetric $n$-sigraphs and anti-Gallai symmetric $n$-sigraphs. Further, we discuss structural characterizations of these notions.
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## §1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [3]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq$ $k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all symmetric $n$-tuples. Note that $H_{n}$ is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of $H_{n}$ is $2^{m}$, where $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

A symmetric $n$-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)\left(S_{n}=\right.$ $(G, \mu)$ ), where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}$ $\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function. Generally, a Smarandachely symmetric n-sigraph (Smarandachely

[^0]symmetric n-marked graph) for a subgraph $H \prec G$ is such a graph that $G-E(H)$ is symmetric $n$ sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph). For example, let $H$ be a path $P_{2} \succ G$ or a claw $K_{1,3} \prec G$. Certainly, if $H=\emptyset$, a Smarandachely symmetric $n$-sigraph (or Smarandachely symmetric $n$ sigraph) is nothing else but a symmetric $n$-sigraph (or symmetric $n$-marked graph).

In this paper by an $n$-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric $n$ tuple/symmetric $n$-sigraph/symmetric $n$-marked graph.

An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is the identity $n$-tuple, if $a_{k}=+$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise it is a non-identity $n$-tuple. In an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity $n$-tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise it is a non-identity edge.

Further, in an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the $n$-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges of $A$.

In [11], the authors defined two notions of balance in $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [7]):

Definition 1.1 Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then,
(i) $S_{n}$ is identity balanced (or i-balanced), if product of $n$-tuples on each cycle of $S_{n}$ is the identity $n$-tuple, and
(ii) $S_{n}$ is balanced, if every cycle in $S_{n}$ contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Notice that an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely. The following characterization of $i$-balanced $n$-sigraphs is obtained in [11].

Proposition 1.1 (E. Sampathkumar et al. [11]) An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is $i$-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign n-tuples to its vertices such that the n-tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the $n$-tuples of $u$ and $v$.

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Consider the $n$-marking $\mu$ on vertices of $S_{n}$ defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V, \mu(v)$ is the $n$-tuple which is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges incident with $v$. Complement of $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph $\overline{S_{n}}=\left(\bar{G}, \sigma^{c}\right)$, where for any edge $e=u v \in \bar{G}, \sigma^{c}(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_{n}}$ as defined here is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph due to Proposition 1 in [11].

In [11], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [5, 8-10, 13-23]).

Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, be two $n$-sigraphs. Then $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that if $u v$ is an edge in $S_{n}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ then $\phi(u) \phi(v)$ is an edge in $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$.

Given an $n$-marking $\mu$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, switching $S_{n}$ with respect to $\mu$ is the operation of changing the $n$-tuple of every edge $u v$ of $S_{n}$ by $\mu(u) \sigma(u v) \mu(v)$. The $n$-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and is called the $\mu$-switched $n$-sigraph or just switched $n$-sigraph.

Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ switches to $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$ (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as $S_{n} \sim S_{n}^{\prime}$, whenever there exists an $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong S_{n}^{\prime}$.

Two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there
exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that the $n$-tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle $C$ in $S_{n}$ equals to the $n$-tuple $\sigma(\phi(C))$ in $S_{n}^{\prime}$.

We make use of the following known result (see [11]).
Proposition 1.2 (E. Sampathkumar et al. [11]) Given a graph $G$, any two n-sigraphs with $G$ as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.

## §2. Gallai $n$-Sigraphs

The Gallai graph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the graph whose vertex-set $V(\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G))=E(G)$ and two distinct vertices $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ if $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are incident in $G$, but do not span a triangle in $G$ (see [4]). In fact, this concept was introduced by Gallai [2] in his examination of comparability graphs and this notation was suggested by Sun [24]. The author Sun wasted Gallai graphs $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ to characterize a nice class of perfect graphs. Gallai graphs are also wasted in the polynomial time algorithm to determinate complete bipartite $K_{1,3}$-free perfect graphs by the authors Chvátal and Sbihi [1].

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an $n$-sigraph, we extend the notion of Gallai graphs to $n$-sigraphs as follows:

The Gallai $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose underlying graph is $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ and similarly, the Smarandachely Gallai symmetric n-sigraph on s subgraph $H \prec G$ is the Gallai Smarandachely symmetric $n$-sigrpah on $H$. Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=$ $(G, \sigma)$ is called Gallai $n$-sigraph if $S_{n} \cong \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be Gallai $n$-sigraphs.

Proposition 2.1 For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its Gallai $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is i-balanced.
Proof Since the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$, by Proposition 1.1, $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{\text {th }}$ iterated Gallai $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined as

$$
(\mathcal{G \mathcal { L }})^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S_{n}, \quad(\mathcal{G \mathcal { L }})^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left((\mathcal{G L})^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Corollary 2.1 For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and any positive integer $k$, $(\mathcal{G L})^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$ balanced.

In [4], the author characterize the graphs for which $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G) \cong G$.
Theorem 2.1 Let $G=(V, E)$ be any graph, Gallai graph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ is isomorphic to $G$ if, and only if, $G \cong C_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$.

In view of the above result, we now characterize the $n$-sigraphs for which Gallai $n$-sigraph
$\mathcal{G L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $S_{n}$ are switching equivalent.

Theorem 2.2 For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the Gallain-sigraph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $S_{n}$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$.

Proof Suppose $S_{n} \sim \mathcal{G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $G \cong \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$. Now, if $S_{n}$ is any $n$-sigraph with underlying graph as cycle $C_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$, Proposition 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced and hence if $S_{n}$ is $i$-unbalanced and its $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ being $i$-balanced can not be switching equivalent to $S_{n}$ in accordance with Proposition 1.2. Therefore, $S_{n}$ must be $i$-balanced.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}$ is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n}$, where $n \geq 4$. Then, since $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced as per Proposition 2.1 and since $G \cong \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$, the result follows from Proposition 1.2 again.

Proposition 2.2 For any two $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, their Gallai $n$ sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Now, we characterize Gallai $n$-sigraphs. The following result characterize $n$-sigraphs which are Gallai $n$-sigraphs.

Theorem 2.3 An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a Gallai $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a Gallai graph.

Proof Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Proposition 1.1, there exists an $n$-marking $\mu$ of $G$ such that each edge $u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the $n$-marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is a Gallai $n$-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a Gallai $n$-sigraph. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ of $H$ and by Proposition 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced.

## §3. Anti-Gallai $n$-Sigraph of a $n$-Sigraph

The anti-Gallai graph $\mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the graph whose vertex-set $V(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G))=$ $E(G)$; two distinct vertices $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ if $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are incident in $G$ and lie on a triangle in $G$ (see [4]). Equivalently, the anti-Gallai graph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ is the complement of Gallai graph $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ in the line graph $L(G)$. We can easily observe that the Gallai graphs $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ and anti-Gallai graphs $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ are the spanning subgraphs of the line graph $L(G)$ (See [4] for details).

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an $n$-sigraph, we extend the notion of anti-Gallai graphs to $n$-sigraphs as follows:

The anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose
underlying graph is $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ is $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$. Similarly, the Smarandachely anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph of a Smarandachely $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ on $H \prec G$ is the anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph of the Smarandachely $n$-sigraph on $H$. Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is called anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph, if $S_{n} \cong \mathcal{A G L}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be anti-Gallai $n$-sigraphs.

Proposition 3.1 For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its anti-Gallai n-sigraph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$ balanced.

Proof Since the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical


For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{\text {th }}$ iterated anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined to be

$$
(\mathcal{A G L})^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S_{n}, \quad(\mathcal{A G L})^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left((\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }})^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Corollary 3.1 For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and any positive integer $k$, $(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }})^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

In [4], the author characterize the graphs for which $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G) \cong G$.
Theorem 3.1 Let $G=(V, E)$ be any graph, anti-Gallai graph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ is isomorphic to $G$ if, and only if $G \cong K_{3}$.

In view of the above result, we now characterize the $n$-sigraphs for which anti-Gallai $n$ sigraph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(S)$ and $S$ are switching equivalent.

Theorem 3.2 For any n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the anti-Gallai signed graph $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $S$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$.

Proof Suppose $S_{n} \sim \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $G \cong \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$. Now, if $S_{n}$ is any $n$-sigraph with underlying graph as $C_{3}$, Proposition 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced and hence if $S_{n}$ is $i$-unbalanced and its $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ being $i$-balanced can not be switching equivalent to $S_{n}$ in accordance with Proposition 1.2. Therefore, $S_{n}$ must be $i$-balanced.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}$ is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{3}$. Then, since $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced as per Proposition 3 and since $G \cong \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$, the result follows from Proposition 1.2 again.

Proposition 3.2 For any two $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, their anti-Gallai $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Now, we characterize Gallai $n$-sigraphs. The following result characterize $n$-sigraphs which are Gallai $n$-sigraphs.

Theorem 3.3 An n-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is an anti-Gallai graph.

Proof Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Proposition 1.1, there exists an $n$-marking $\mu$ of $G$ such that each edge $u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the $n$-marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is an anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an anti-Gallai $n$-sigraph. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ of $H$ and by Proposition 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs whose Gallai $n$-sigraphs and anti-Gallai $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent. In case of graphs the following result is due to Palathingal and Aparna Lakshmanan [6].

Theorem 3.4 For any graph $G=(V, E)$, the graphs $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ are isomorphic if, and only if, $G$ is $n K_{3} \cup n K_{1,3}$.
Theorem 3.5 For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right) \sim \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, $G$ is $n K_{3} \cup n K_{1,3}$.

Proof Suppose $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right) \sim \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G) \cong \mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G)$ and hence by Theorem 3.4, we see that the graph $G$ must be isomorphic to $n K_{3} \cup n K_{1,3}$.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ is isomorphic to $n K_{3} \cup n K_{1,3}$. Then $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}(G) \cong \mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}(G)$ by Theorem 3.4. Now, if $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph with underlying graph as $n K_{3} \cup n K_{1,3}$, by Propositions 2.1 and 3.1, $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced. The result follows from Proposition 1.2.

## §4. Complementation

In this section, we investigate the notion of complementation of a graph whose edges have signs (a sigraph) in the more general context of graphs with multiple signs on their edges. We look at two kinds of complementation: complementing some or all of the signs, and reversing the order of the signs on each edge.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is: $a^{m}=a m$. For any $M \subseteq H_{n}$, and $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $M$ is $M^{m}=\left\{a^{m}: a \in M\right\}$.

For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, written $\left(S_{n}^{m}\right)$, is the same graph but with each edge label $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ replaced by $a^{m}$.

For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\left(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)$ is $i$-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which $m$-complement of $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in H_{n}$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an n-sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_{n}$, if $\mathcal{G L}(G)(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G))$ is bipartite then $\left(\mathcal{G L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}\left(\left(\mathcal{A G L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}\right)$ is i-balanced.

Proof Since, by Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 3.1), $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\left(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)$ is $i$-balanced, for each $k$,
$1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\left(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since $\mathcal{G L}(G)(\mathcal{A G \mathcal { L }}(G))$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\left(\mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any $m$-complement, where for any $m, \in H_{n}$. Hence $\left(\mathcal{G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}\left(\left(\mathcal{A G} \mathcal{L}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.
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