



Neutrosophic RHO –Ideal with Complete Neutrosophic RHO– Ideal in RHO–Algebras

Arkan Ajil Atshan^{1,2}, Shuker Mahmood Khalil^{2*}

¹General Directorate of Education Al-Muthanna, Ministry of Education, Iraq

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Basrah, Iraq.

* Correspondence: shuker.alsalem@gmail.com; Tel.: (+469 77013144239)

Abstract: In real-life structures, indeterminacy is always present. Neutrosophic sets theory is a well-known mathematical tool for dealing with indeterminacy. Smarandache proposed the neutrosophic set approach. Neutrosophic sets deal with vague data. In this study, we introduced and investigated several types of ρ –algebra ideals, which we called neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra, complete neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra, neutrosophic ρ –ideal, complete neutrosophic ρ –ideal, neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ –ideal, and complete neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ –ideal, respectively. We also proposed some hypotheses to explain some of the relationships between these ideal types.

Keywords: Neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra; neutrosophic ρ –ideal; neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ –ideal.

1. Introduction

Many different problems in our lives, such as engineering and medical sciences, necessitate uncertainty. Non-classical sets, like fuzzy sets ([19],[24]), soft sets ([25]-[31]), and permutation sets ([32]-[37]) are used to solve some problems in decision making. Smarandache [2] investigates neutrosophic sets as a method for dealing with issues involving unreliable, indeterminate, and persistent data. Imai & Iseki [6] introduce the concepts of BCK –algebra and BCI –algebra. The d –algebra was then introduced by Negger & Kim [9] as a generalization of BCK –algebra. In d –algebra, Negger et al. [8] discussed the ideal theory. In 1965, Zadeh proposed the concept of a fuzzy set [12]. Following that, Atanassov introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy set [1], which is a natural generalization of fuzzy set. Jun et al. [7] later applied the intuitionistic fuzzy set concept to d –algebra. Hasan [4] developed the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy d –ideal of d –algebra in 2017. After that, Hasan [5] in 2020 introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy d –filter. Smarandache [3] proposed the concept of a neutrosophic set. Next, some basic properties of this notion are studied ([13]-[18]). Also, Smarandache and Rezaei studied the neutrosophic triplet of BI –

algebras[38]. In 2021, some notions of neutrosophic ideals in BCK-algebras are discussed [39].The ρ –algebra was first introduced by Khalil and Abud Alradha[10]. In this paper, we define neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra, complete neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra, neutrosophic ρ –ideal, full neutrosophic ρ –ideal, neutrosophic ρ –ideal, neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ –ideal, and complete neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ –ideal of ρ –algebra, and investigate the relationship between these types.

2. Preliminaries and Some Results.

Here, we will recall basic ideas and results that are necessary in this research.

Definition 2.1.[10] A ρ -algebra is a non-empty set \mathcal{U} with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ ϕ ” satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) $\alpha \phi \alpha = 0$,
- (2) $0 \phi \alpha = 0$,
- (3) $\alpha \phi \beta = 0 = \beta \phi \alpha$ imply that $\alpha = \beta$,
- (4) For all $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{U} - \{0\}$ imply that $\alpha \phi \beta = \beta \phi \alpha \neq 0$.

Definition 2.2. [10] A non-empty subset Y of a ρ -algebra $(\mathcal{U}, \phi, 0)$ is called ρ - subalgebra of \mathcal{U} if $\alpha \phi \beta \in Y$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in Y$.

Definition 2.3.[10] A non- empty subset Y of a ρ -algebra \mathcal{U} is called an ρ - ideal of \mathcal{U} if satisfies:

- (1) $\alpha, \beta \in Y \Rightarrow \alpha \phi \beta \in Y$,
- (2) $\alpha \phi \beta \in Y \ \& \ \beta \in Y \Rightarrow \alpha \in Y$.

Remark 2.4[10]. If Y is any a ρ - Ideal, then it is easy to show that Y is ρ - subalgebra. However, the convers maybe not true.

Definition2.5.[10] A non- empty subset Y of a ρ -algebra \mathcal{U} is called an $\bar{\rho}$ - ideal of \mathcal{U} if satisfies:

- (1) $0 \in Y$,
- (2) $\alpha \in Y \ \& \ \beta \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \alpha \phi \beta \in Y$.

Proposition 2.6. [10] Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is ρ -algebra. Then Y is a ρ - subalgebra of \mathcal{U} if it is $\bar{\rho}$ - Ideal.

Definition 2.7. [2] A Neutrosophic set \mathcal{N} (briefly, NS) over the universal \mathcal{U} is defined by $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}$, where $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$ are maps, with $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$ are real numbers and their values represent the degree of membership, indeterminate and non- membership of α to \mathcal{N} respectively.

Definition 2.8 . [2] A complement neutrosophic set \mathcal{N}^c over the universal \mathcal{U} is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}^c = 1 - \mathcal{N} = 1 - \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \} = \{ \langle \alpha, 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \} = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_T^c(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I^c(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F^c(\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}.$$

Definition 2.9. [2] Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) over the universal \mathcal{U} and $t \in [0,1]$ then the set $\mathcal{N}_t = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq t \}$ is called neutrosophic set t-cut, (briefly, NS-t-cut).

Definition 2.10. [2] Let $(\mathcal{U}, *, 0)$ be a ρ -algebra and $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}$ be a neutrosophic set (NS) of \mathcal{U} . We say \mathcal{N} is a neutrosophic ρ -constant of \mathcal{U} if all the maps $\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0,1]$ are constant maps.

3. Neutrosophic ρ – Subalgebra and Complete Neutrosophic ρ – Subalgebra:

Definition 3.1.A (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} is called a neutrosophic ρ –subalgebra (briefly, NS – ρ – SA) of \mathcal{U} if such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 3.2. Assume $\mathcal{U} = \{0,1,2,3\}$ is a set and \mathcal{F} is defined by table (1). So, we get $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra, We define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, \mathcal{N} is (NS – ρ – SA).

\mathcal{F}	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	2
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	2	2	0

Table (1) , \mathcal{N} is (NS – ρ – SA)

Lemma 3.3. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS – ρ – SA) of \mathcal{U} then:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$, (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS – ρ – SA) then

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS – ρ – SA) of \mathcal{U} then:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, (ii) $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) \leq \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$, (iii) $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS – ρ – SA) , then from lemma (3.3) we obtain: $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. Since, $\mathcal{N}^c = 1 - \mathcal{N}$, thus

$$\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(0) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(0) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(0) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0),$$

This completes proof.

Proposition 3.5: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) of ρ – algebra $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$, then \mathcal{N} is (NS – ρ – SA) if

it is $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(0) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) and $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$. Now, Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$, thus $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0) = \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,

thus $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,

$\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, thus $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$.

Hence \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA).

Proposition 3.6. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) of ρ - algebra $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$, then \mathcal{N}^c is (NS - ρ - SA).

If $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{N}^c = \{ \langle \alpha, \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \}$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$, thus $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0) = \max\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}$, thus $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$, thus $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$. Hence \mathcal{N}^c is (NS - ρ - SA).

Definition 3.7. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) of ρ - algebra $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$, then $K(\mathcal{N}) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(0) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(0) \}$ is a subset of \mathcal{U} and it is called neutrosophic ρ -kernel of \mathcal{N} over \mathcal{U} .

Example 3.8. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{ a, b, c, d \}$ and define \mathcal{F} on the set \mathcal{U} as table (2). Then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, a)$ is a ρ -algebra, we define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, K(\mathcal{N}) = \{a, d\}.$$

\mathcal{F}	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	b	a	b	d
c	c	b	a	d
d	d	d	d	a

Table (2), $K(\mathcal{N}) = \{a, d\}$

Proposition 3.9. If \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA) of $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$, then $K(\mathcal{N}^c)$ is a (ρ - SA).

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N}^c)$. Then; $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$.

Also $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$

$$[\text{since } \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}].$$

$$= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} [\text{since } \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}].$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \\
 \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\
 & \quad [\text{since } \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}]. \\
 &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\
 &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} \\
 &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0),
 \end{aligned}$$

and from lemma (3.4), W obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) &\geq \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \leq \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \geq \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \\
 \text{thus } \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) &= \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \\
 \text{this implies } \alpha \dot{\phi} \beta &\in K(\mathcal{N}^c), \text{ hence } K(\mathcal{N}^c) \text{ is } \rho\text{-subalgebra.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.10. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS - ρ - SA) then \mathcal{N}_t is ρ -subalgebra.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$, then

$$(\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq t) \text{ and } (\mathcal{N}_T(\beta) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\beta) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\beta) \geq t). \text{ Also, } \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \geq t, \text{ this implies } \alpha \dot{\phi} \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t, \text{ hence } \mathcal{N}_t \text{ subalgebra.}$$

Proposition 3.11. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \dot{\phi}, 0)$ be a ρ -algebra and \mathcal{N} be a (NS) of \mathcal{U} . Then \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA) if it is neutrosophic ρ -constant.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{N} is constant. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and so $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$. Next, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0) = \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0) = \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, hence \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA).

Proposition 3.12. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS - ρ - SA). Then $0 \in \mathcal{N}_t$, if $\mathcal{N}_t \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{N} is (NS - ρ - SA) and $\mathcal{N}_t \neq \emptyset$ then there is at least $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_t$, also

$$\text{from Lemma (3.3) and Definition (2.9) we obtain, } \mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq t, \text{ this means } 0 \in \mathcal{N}_t.$$

Corollary 3.13. If \mathcal{N} neutrosophic ρ -constant then \mathcal{N}_t is ρ -subalgebra.

Proof: From proposition (3.11) and proposition (3.10).

Definition 3.14. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) in \mathcal{U} then it is called a complete neutrosophic ρ -subalgebra (briefly, CNS - ρ - SA) of \mathcal{U} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \dot{\phi} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 3.15 .Assume $\mathcal{U} = \{0,1,2,3\}$ is a set and ϕ is defined by table (3). So, we get $(\mathcal{U}, \phi, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra, we define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - SA$).

ϕ	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1
2	2	1	0

Table (3) , \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - SA$)

Lemma 3.16. Let \mathcal{N} be (CNS $-\rho - SA$) of \mathcal{U} then:

(i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$, (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (CNS $-\rho - SA$) then,

(i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$.

(iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$. This completes proof.

Proposition 3.17. If \mathcal{N} is a (CNS $-\rho - SA$), then $K(\mathcal{N})$ is $\rho -$ subalgebra.

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$ and

$\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$. Also, $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_T(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_I(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(0), \mathcal{N}_F(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and from lemma (3.16) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \beta)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \beta)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \beta)$, thus $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and $\alpha \phi \beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$ hence $K(\mathcal{N})$ is $\rho -$ subalgebra.

Proposition 3.18. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) then \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - SA$) if and only if \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS $-\rho - SA$).

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - SA$) then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \phi \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \phi \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \phi \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \phi \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \phi \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \phi \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS $-\rho - SA$).

Conversely: Let \mathcal{N}^c be (CNS $-\rho - SA$) then $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}, \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}, \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}, \text{ Hence } \mathcal{N} \text{ is (NS } -\rho - SA). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.19.

- 1- Let \mathcal{N}^c be is (CNS $-\rho - SA$) then \mathcal{N}_t is $\rho -$ subalgebra.
- 2- Let \mathcal{N} be a neutrosophic ρ -constant then \mathcal{N}_t is $\rho -$ subalgebra.

Proof (1): From Proposition (3.18) and Proposition (3.10).

Proof (2): From Proposition (3.11) and Proposition (3.10).

4. Neutrosophic ρ -Ideal and Complete Neutrosophic ρ -Ideal:

Definition 4.1. Assume $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra and \mathcal{N} is (NS) of \mathcal{U} . We say \mathcal{N} is a neutrosophic ρ -ideal of \mathcal{U} (briefly, NS $-\rho - I$) if such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 4.2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{ \alpha, \gamma, \beta, \delta \}$ be a set with the following table (4), it is clear that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \alpha)$ is a ρ -algebra, We define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ 0.8 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$).

\mathcal{F}	α	β	γ	δ
α	α	α	α	α
β	β	α	β	γ
γ	γ	β	α	γ
δ	δ	γ	γ	α

Table (4): \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$).

Lemma 4.3: Every (NS $-\rho - I$) is (NS $-\rho - SA$).

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$). Then from Definition (4.1)-[(i),(ii),(iii)], we get \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - SA$).

Corollary 4.4.

1- Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$) then \mathcal{N}_t is $\rho -$ subalgebra.

2-Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$) then \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS $-\rho - SA$).

Proof 1: From Lemma (4.3) and Proposition (3.10).

Proof 2: From Lemma (4.3) and Proposition (3.18).

Lemma 4.5. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$) of \mathfrak{U} . Then;

(i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$, (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}$.

Proposition 4.6. If \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$), then $K(\mathcal{N})$ is $\rho -$ ideal.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$) and let $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$. Also, $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_T(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_I(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(0), \mathcal{N}_F(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and from lemma (4.5) we obtain $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta)$. Hence, $\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$. Now, assume that, $\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$ & $\beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$ then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and $\mathcal{N}_T(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, thus $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and from lemma (4.5) We obtain $\mathcal{N}_T(0) = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{N}_F(0) = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, thus $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{N})$, hence $K(\mathcal{N})$ is $\rho -$ ideal.

Proposition 4.7. If \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$), then $K(\mathcal{N}^c)$ is $\rho -$ ideal.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$) and let $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N}^c)$, then

$\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and from lemma (3.4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \geq \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \leq \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathbin{\–}\beta) \geq \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$$

thus $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$,
 this implies $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta \in K(\mathcal{N}^c)$. Now, let $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N}^c)$, then $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) =$
 $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$.

And $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$. Since \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$) then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha)\} = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and from lemma (3.4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) \leq \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$$

thus $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0)$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$,

this implies $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{N}^c)$, hence $K(\mathcal{N}^c)$ is ρ -ideal.

Proposition 4.8. If \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$), then \mathcal{N}_t is ρ -ideal.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \geq t$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \leq t$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \geq t$, this implies $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$. Now, assume that $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$ & $\beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$, and [since \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$)]. We obtain $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \geq t$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \leq t$, $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \geq t$, thus $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_t$, hence \mathcal{N}_t is ρ -ideal.

Definition 4.9. Assume $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra and \mathcal{N} is (NS) of \mathcal{U} . We say \mathcal{N} is a complete neutrosophic ρ -ideal of \mathcal{U} (briefly, CNS $-\rho - I$) if such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 4.10. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a set with the following table (5), it is clear that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra, We define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Hence, } \mathcal{N} \text{ is (CNS } -\rho - I).$$

\mathfrak{f}	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	2	4
2	2	1	0	2	2
3	3	2	2	0	3
4	4	4	2	3	0

Table (5): \mathcal{N} is (CNS $-\rho - I$).

Proposition 4.11: Let \mathcal{N} be NS then \mathcal{N} is (NS $-\rho - I$) if and only if \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS $-\rho - I$).

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be (NS $-\rho - I$), From proof proposition (3.18) we obtain $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$.

$$\text{Now, } \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS $-\rho - I$).

Conversely: Let \mathcal{N}^c be (CNS $-\rho - I$) then from proof proposition, (3.18) we obtain,

$$\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}.$$

$$\text{Now, } \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \mathfrak{f} \beta), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \wp \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{N} is (NS – ρ – I)

Corollary 4.12: If \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS – ρ – I). Then;

- 1- \mathcal{N}_t is ρ –subalgebra,
- 2- \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS – ρ – SA),
- 3- \mathcal{N}_t is ρ –ideal.

Proof 1: From proposition (4.11) and corollary (4.4)-1.

Proof 2: From proposition (4.11) and corollary (4.4)-2.

Proof 3: From Proposition (4.11) and Proposition (4.8).

5. Neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -Ideal and Complete Neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -Ideal

Definition 5.1. Assume $(\mathcal{U}, \wp, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra and \mathcal{N} is (NS) of \mathcal{U} . We say \mathcal{N} is a neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal of \mathcal{U} (briefly, NS – $\bar{\rho}$ – I) if such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 5.2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{x, y, z, w\}$ be a set with the following table(6), it is clear that (\mathcal{U}, \wp, x) is a ρ -algebra. We define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \\ 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \\ 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, \mathcal{N} is (NS – $\bar{\rho}$ – I).

\wp	x	y	z	w
x	x	x	x	x
y	y	x	z	w
z	z	z	x	z
w	w	w	z	x

Table (6): \mathcal{N} is (NS – $\bar{\rho}$ – I).

Lemma 5.3. If \mathcal{N} is (NS – $\bar{\rho}$ – I), then \mathcal{N} is (NS – ρ – SA).

Corollary 5.4. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS – $\bar{\rho}$ – I). Then;

- 1- \mathcal{N}_t is ρ –subalgebra,
- 2- \mathcal{N}^c is (CNS – ρ – S).

Proof (1): From lemma (5.3) and proposition (3.10).

Proof (2): From lemma (5.3) and proposition (3.18).

Proposition 5.5. If \mathcal{N} is $(NS - \bar{\rho} - I)$, then \mathcal{N}_t is $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{N} is $(NS - \bar{\rho} - I)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \geq t$, this implies $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta \in \mathcal{N}_t$. Since $[\mathcal{N}$ is $(NS - \bar{\rho} - I)]$, and $\mathcal{N} = \{\alpha, \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq t \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{U}\}$. We obtain $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq t, \mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq t, \mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq t$, thus $0 \in \mathcal{N}_t$, hence \mathcal{N}_t is $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal.

Definition 5.6. Assume $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra and \mathcal{N} is (NS) of \mathcal{U} . We say \mathcal{N} is a complete neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal of \mathcal{U} (briefly, $CNS - \bar{\rho} - I$). If such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha)$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha)$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}$.

Example 5.7. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following table(7), it is clear that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, 0)$ is a ρ -algebra. We define a (NS) \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{U} as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{N}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, \mathcal{N} is $(CNS - \bar{\rho} - I)$.

\mathcal{F}	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	3
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	3	2	0

Table (7): \mathcal{N} is $(CNS - \bar{\rho} - I)$.

Lemma 5.8. If \mathcal{N} is $(CNS - \bar{\rho} - I)$, then \mathcal{N} is $(CNS - \rho - SA)$.

Proposition 5.9: Let \mathcal{N} be $(CNS - \bar{\rho} - I)$, then $K(\mathcal{N})$ is ρ -subalgebra.

Proof: Assume $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(\beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$. Also, $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_T(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_I(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(0), \mathcal{N}_F(0)\} = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_I(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta), \mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta)$, thus $\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_T(0), \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_I(0), \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)$, and $\alpha \mathcal{F} \beta \in K(\mathcal{N})$ hence $K(\mathcal{N})$ is ρ -subalgebra.

Proposition 5.10. Let \mathcal{N} be (NS) then \mathcal{N} is $(NS - \bar{\rho} - I)$ if and only if \mathcal{N}^c is $(CNS - \bar{\rho} - I)$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be $(NS - \bar{\rho} - I)$, we obtain $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha)$, thus $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(0) = \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha) \leq 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(0) = \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(0) = \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{N}^c is $(\text{CNS} - \bar{\rho} - I)$.

Conversely: Let \mathcal{N}^c be $(\text{CNS} - \bar{\rho} - I)$, then $\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(0) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(0) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha),$

$$\mathcal{N}_I(0) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(0) \leq 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F(0) = 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(0) \geq 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha),$$

and from the following

$$\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\}, \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\}, \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U},$$

We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_T(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{T^c}(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_T(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_I(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{I^c}(\beta)\} = \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_I(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_F(\alpha \wp \beta) &= 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha \wp \beta) \geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\alpha), 1 - \mathcal{N}_{F^c}(\beta)\} = \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(\alpha), \mathcal{N}_F(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{N} is $(\text{NS} - \bar{\rho} - I)$.

Corollary 5.11. If \mathcal{N}^c is $(\text{CNS} - \bar{\rho} - I)$. Then;

- 1- \mathcal{N}_t is ρ -subalgebra,
- 2- \mathcal{N}^c is $(\text{CNS} - \rho - SA)$,
- 3- \mathcal{N}_t is $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal.

Proof 1: From proposition (5.10) and corollary (5.4)-1.

Proof 2: From Proposition (5.10) and Corollary (5.4)-2.

Proof 3: From Proposition (5.10) and Proposition (5.5).

6. Conclusion

We presented and examined several kinds of ρ -algebra ideals in this research, which we called neutrosophic ρ -subalgebra, complete neutrosophic ρ -subalgebra, neutrosophic ρ -ideal, complete neutrosophic ρ -ideal, neutrosophic ρ -ideal, neutrosophic ρ -ideal, neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal, and complete neutrosophic $\bar{\rho}$ -ideal, respectively. We also suggested some theories try to explain some of these ideal type relationships. In future work, we will use soft set theory to study our notions and results in neutrosophic soft sets.

References

1. K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and Systems, vol. 35, pp.87-96, 1986.

2. F. Smarandache. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, Neutrosophy, Neu-trosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, 1999.
3. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set, a Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24, 287-297, 2005.
4. A. K. Hasan, On intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of d-algebra. Journal University of Kerbala, 15(1), pp. 161-169, 2017.
5. A. K. Hasan, Intuitionistic fuzzy d-filter of d-algebra. Journal of mechanics of continua and mathematical sciences, 15(6), pp. 360-370, 2020.
6. Y. Iami and K. Iseki, On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV. Proceedings of the Japan Academy, 42, pp. 19-20, 1966.
7. Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and D. S. Yoo, Intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra. Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, pp.1289-1297, e-2006.
8. J. Neggers, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim, On d-ideals in d-algebras. Mathematica Slovaca, 49(3), pp. 243-251, 1999.
9. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On d-algebra. Mathematica Slovaca, 49(1), 19-26, 1999.
10. S. M. Khalil and M. Abud Alradha, Characterizations of ρ – algebra and Generation Permutation Topological Algebra Using Permutation in Symmetric Group, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 7(4), 152-159, 2017.
11. S. M. Khalil, F. Hameed, Applications of Fuzzy ρ –Ideals in ρ –Algebras, Soft Computing, vol. 24, pp.13997–14004, 2020.
12. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy set, Information And Control, 8, 338-353.
13. K. Damodharan, M. Vigneshwaran and S. M. Khalil, $N_{\delta, g\alpha}$ –Continuous and Irresolute Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 439-452, 2020.
14. N. M. Ali Abbas and S. M. Khalil, On new classes of neutrosophic continuous and contra mappings in neutrosophic topological spaces, IJNAA, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 718-725, 2021.
15. A. R. Nivetha, M. Vigneshwaran, N. M. Ali Abbas and S. M. Khalil, On $N_{,g\alpha}$ - continuous in topological spaces of neutrosophy, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 677-685, 2021. DOI: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1860288
16. N. M. Ali Abbas, S. M. Khalil and M. Vigneshwaran, The Neutrosophic Strongly Open Maps in Neutrosophic Bi-Topological Spaces, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 667-675, 2021. DOI:10.1080/09720502.2020.1860287
17. S. M. Khalil, On Neutrosophic Delta Generated Per-Continuous Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 48, pp. 122-141, 2022.
18. Abdel-Basset, M.; Gamal, A.; Son, L. H., and Smarandache, F., A Bipolar Neutrosophic Multi Criteria Decision Making Framework for Professional Selection, Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1202, 2020.
19. S. M. Khalil, M. A. Hasab, Decision Making Using New Distances of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Study Their Application in the Universities, INFUS 2020, vol. 1197, pp. 390–396, 2021. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-51156-2_46
20. S. Khalil, A. Hassan A, Alaskar H, Khan W, Hussain A. Fuzzy Logical Algebra and Study of the Effectiveness of Medications for COVID-19. Mathematics, vol. 9, no. 22, pp. 28-38, 2021.
21. S. M. Khalil, Decision Making Using New Category of Similarity Measures and Study Their Applications in Medical Diagnosis Problems, Afrika Matematika, vol. 32, 2021, pp. 865-878.
22. S. M. Khalil, and A. N. Hassan, New Class of Algebraic Fuzzy Systems Using Cubic Soft Sets with their

- Applications, IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 928 (2020) 042019 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/928/4/042019
23. S. M. Khalil, M. Ulrazaq, S. Abdul-Ghani, Abu Firas Al-Musawi, σ -Algebra and σ -Baire in Fuzzy Soft Setting, Adv. Fuzzy Syst., 2018, 10.
24. S. M. Khalil, A. Hassan, Applications of fuzzy soft ρ -ideals in ρ -algebras, Fuzzy Inf. Eng., vol. 10, pp. 467–475, 2018.
25. S. A. Abdul-Ghani, S. M. Khalil, M. Abd Ulrazaq, A. F. Al-Musawi, New Branch of Intuitionistic Fuzzification in Algebras with Their Applications, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2018, 6.
26. S. M. Khalil, Dissimilarity Fuzzy Soft Points and their Applications, Fuzzy Inf. Eng., vol. 8, pp. 281–294, 2016.
27. S. M. Khalil, Decision making using algebraic operations on soft effect matrix as new category of similarity measures and study their application in medical diagnosis problems, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, vol. 37, pp. 1865-1877, 2019.
28. S. M. Khalil, S. A. Abdul-Ghani, Soft M-ideals and soft S-ideals in soft S-algebras, IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys., 1234 (2019), 012100.
29. M. A. Hasan, S. M. Khalil, N. M. A. Abbas, Characteristics of the soft-(1, 2)-gprw-closed sets in soft bi-topological spaces, Conf., IT-ELA 2020, 9253110, pp.103–108, 2020.
30. S. M. Khalil, F. Hameed, Applications on cyclic soft symmetric, IOP Conf. Series: J. Phys., 1530 (2020), 012046.
31. A. M. Al –Musawi, S. M. Khalil, M. A. Ulrazaq, Soft (1,2)-Strongly Open Maps in Bi-Topological Spaces, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 571 (2019) 012002, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/571/1/012002.
32. S. M. Khalil, F. Hameed, An algorithm for generating permutations in symmetric groups using soft spaces with general study and basic properties of permutations spaces, J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol., vol. 96, pp. 2445–2457, 2018.
33. S. M. Khalil, Enoch Suleiman and M. M. Toriki, Generated New Classes of Permutation I/B-Algebras, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr., vol. 25, no.1, pp. 31-40, 2022.
34. S. M. Khalil, N. M. Abbas, Applications on New Category of the Symmetric Groups, in AIP Conference Proceedings, 2290 (2020), 040004.
35. S. M. Khalil, E. Suleiman and N. M. Ali Abbas, "New Technical to Generate Permutation Measurable Spaces," 2021 1st Babylon International Conference on Information Technology and Science (BICITS), pp. 160-163, 2021. doi: 10.1109/BICITS51482.2021.9509892.
36. N. M. Ali Abbas, S. Alsalem and E. Suleiman, "On Associative Permutation BM-Algebras," 2022 14th International Conference on Mathematics, Actuarial Science, Computer Science and Statistics (MACS), Karachi, Pakistan, 2022, pp. 1-5, 2022. doi: 10.1109/MACS56771.2022.10022691.
37. S. Alsalem, A. F. Al Musawi and E. Suleiman, "On Permutation Upper and Transitive Permutation BE-Algebras," 2022 14th International Conference on Mathematics, Actuarial Science, Computer Science and Statistics (MACS), Karachi, Pakistan, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/MACS56771.2022.10022454.
38. F. Smarandache, A. Rezaei, The Neutrosophic Triplet of BI-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 33, pp. 313-321, 2020.

39. X. L. Xin, H. Bordbar, F. Smarandache, R. A. Borzooei, Y. B. Jun, Implicative falling neutrosophic ideals of BCK-algebras, *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, vol. 40, pp. 214-234, 2021.

Received: August 20, 2022. Accepted: January 09, 2023