



# Neutrosophic Triplet $m$ – Banach Spaces

Abdullah Kargin<sup>1,\*</sup>, Azize Dayan<sup>2</sup>, İsmet Yıldız<sup>3</sup> and Adil Kılıç<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. [abdullahkargin27@gmail.com](mailto:abdullahkargin27@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. [azizedayan853@gmail.com](mailto:azizedayan853@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Düzce University, Düzce, Turkey. [ismetyildiz@duzce.edu.tr](mailto:ismetyildiz@duzce.edu.tr)

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep 27310, Turkey. [adilkilic@gantep.edu.tr](mailto:adilkilic@gantep.edu.tr)

\*Correspondence: [abdullahkargin27@gmail.com](mailto:abdullahkargin27@gmail.com); Tel.: +9005542706621

**Abstract:** Neutrosophic triplet theory has an important place in neutrosophic theory. Since the neutrosophic triplet set (Nts), which have the feature of having multiple unit elements, have different units than the classical unit, they have more features than the classical set. Also, Banach spaces are complete normed vector space defined by real and complex numbers that are studied historically in functional analysis. Thus, normed space and Banach space have an important place in functional analysis. In this article, neutrosophic triplet  $m$  - Banach spaces (NtmBs) are firstly obtained. Then, some definitions and examples are given for NtmBs. Based on these definitions, new theorems are given and proved. In addition, it is shown that NtmBs is different from neutrosophic triplet Banach space (NtBs). Furthermore, it is shown that relationship between NtmBs and NtBs. So, we added a new structure to functional analysis and neutrosophic triplet theory.

**Keywords:** neutrosophic triplet set, neutrosophic triplet normed space, neutrosophic triplet Banach space, neutrosophic triplet  $m$  - normed space, neutrosophic triplet  $m$  – Banach space

---

## 1 Introduction

Neutrosophic theory [1] has also supported the scientific world with more objective solutions by obtaining new solutions and methods in many fields in both application sciences and theoretical sciences. Neutrosophic theory was obtained by Smarandache in order to obtain more objective results by taking into account the effects of uncertainties encountered in science in 1998 [1]. A neutrosophic number is formulated by  $(T, I, F)$ . Where,  $T$  is truth function;  $I$  is indeterminacy function and  $F$  is falsity function and these functions's values are independently. Thus, neutrosophic theory is generalized of fuzzy theory [2] and intuitionistic fuzzy theory [3] and neutrosophic theory is more useful than fuzzy theory and intuitionistic fuzzy theory. Thus, many researchers studied neutrosophic theory for these reasons [4-6]. Recently, Olgun et al. studied neutrosophic logic on the decision tree

[7]; Şahin et al. obtained decision making application for single – valued neutrosophic set [8] and Uluçay et al. introduced decision making application for neutrosophic soft expert graphs [9]. Uluçay et al. [10] proposed an outranking approach for MCDM-problems with neutrosophic multi-sets. Uluçay and Şahin [11] defined the concepts of neutrosophic multi group. Bakbak et al. [12] developed some new operations. Uluçay et al. [13] introduced a new hybrid distance-based similarity measure for refined neutrosophic sets.

Neutrosophic triplet structures, which are a sub-branch of the neutrosophic theory, also aimed to carry the new advantages of neutrosophy to the algebraic structure. For this reason, many studies have been carried out on neutrosophic triplet structures. Thus, many structures in classical algebra were reconsidered in neutrosophic theory and new features emerged. Thus, a neutrosophic triplet structure has become available in fixed point theory Also, Smarandache and Ali studied neutrosophic triplet set (Nts) [14]. Since the neutrosophic triplet set (Nts), which have the feature of having multiple unit elements, have different units than the classical unit, they have more features than the classical set. A Nts  $k$  is formulated by  $(k, \text{neut}(k), \text{anti}(k))$ . Furthermore, the sets of have been studied by the scholars, on neutrosophic sets [15-20] neutrosophic triplet structures in neutrosophic triplet algebraic structures [21-26], some metric spaces on neutrosophic triplet [27-32]. Recently, Şahin et al. studied Nt  $m$  - metric space [33]; Zhang et al. obtained cyclic associative neutrosophic extended triplet groupoids [34]; Sahin et al. obtained Nt normed space [22], Shalla et al. introduced direct and semi-direct product of neutrosophic extended triplet group [35]; Şahin et al. Nt partial bipolar metric space [36]; Şahin et al. Nt partial  $g$ -metric space [37], Kandasamy et al. obtained Nts in neutrosophic rings [38], Shalla et al. introduced neutrosophic extended triplet group action [44].

Metric spaces, normed spaces and Banach spaces have an important place in classical mathematics. Metric spaces are widely used, especially in fixed point theory. Thus, Asadi, Karapınar and Salimi introduced  $m$  - metric spaces [39] in 2014.  $m$  - metric space is a generalized form of classical metric space and classical  $p$  - metric space. The  $m$  - metric spaces have an important role in fixed point theory. Recently, Souayah et al. obtained fixed point theorems for  $m$  – metric space [40]; Patle et al. studied mappings in  $m$  – metric space [41] and Pitchaimani et. al introduced  $\$$ -contraction on  $m$  – metric space [42]. Also, Normed spaces and Banach spaces, which are special cases of normed spaces, have an important usage area, especially in the field of analysis.

In this article, we have defined Ntmns with a more specific structure than neutrosophic triplet  $m$ -metric spaces. We discussed the properties of this structure and proved the theorems related to this

structure. We also discussed the relationship between this structure and the Ntmms. In addition, with the help of some definitions in Ntmms, we obtained important definitions such as convergence and Cauchy sequence in Ntmns. Also, we have defined MtmBs. We compared these structures with previously obtained neutrosophic triplet structures. Thanks to this comparison, we have determined that the structures we have obtained have different and new features than others. Thus, we added a new structure to the neutrosophic triplet theory and prepared the ground for new structures that can be obtained. In Section 2, we give definitions and properties for neutrosophic structures [14], [36] and [37]. In Section 3, we define Ntmns and NtmBs and we give some properties for Ntmns and NtmBs. Furthermore, we obtain neutrosophic triplet  $m$  – metric space (NTmms) reduced by Ntmns. Also, we show that Ntns are different from the Ntmns due to triangular inequality. Then, we examine relationship between Ntmns and Ntns. In Section 4, we give conclusions.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1 [14]:** Let  $\mu$  be a binary operation. An Nts  $(L, \mu)$  is a set such that for  $l \in L$ ,

- i) There is neutral of “1” such that  $l \mu \text{neut}(l) = \text{neut}(l) \mu l = l$ ,
- ii) There is anti of “1” such that  $l \mu \text{anti}(l) = \text{anti}(l) \mu l = \text{neut}(l)$ .

Also, an Nt “1” is showed with  $(l, \text{neut}(l), \text{anti}(l))$ .

Furthermore,  $\text{neut}(l)$  must different from classical unit element.

**Definition 2.2: [43]** Let  $(L, \mu, \pi)$  be an Nts with two binary operations  $\mu$  and  $\pi$ . Then  $(L, \mu, \pi)$  is called Ntf if the following conditions are satisfied.

1.  $(L, \mu)$  is a commutative Nt group with respect to  $\mu$ .
2.  $(L, \pi)$  is an Nt group with respect to  $\pi$ .
3.  $k\pi(l \mu m) = (k \pi l)\mu(k \pi m)$ ;  $(l \mu m)\pi k = (l \pi k)\mu(m \pi k)$  for all  $k, l, m \in L$ .

**Definition 2.3: [22]** Let  $(L, \mu_1, \pi_1)$  be an Ntf and let  $(V, \mu_2, \pi_2)$  be an Nts with binary operations “ $\mu_2$ ” and “ $\pi_2$ ”. Then  $(V, \mu_2, \pi_2)$  is called an Ntvs if the following conditions are satisfied.

- i)  $m \mu_2 n \in V$  and  $m \#_2 s \in V$ ;  $m, n \in V$  and  $s \in L$ ;

- ii)  $(m\mu_2n) \mu_2l = m\mu_2 (n\mu_2l)$ ;  $m, n, l \in V$ ;
- iii)  $m\mu_2n = n\mu_2m$ ;  $m, n \in V$ ;
- iv)  $(m\mu_2n) \pi_2s = (m\pi_2s) \mu_2(n\pi_2s)$ ;  $s \in L$  and  $m, n \in V$ ;
- v)  $(s\mu_1p) \pi_2m = (s\pi_2m) \mu_1(p\pi_2m)$ ;  $s, p \in L$  and  $m \in V$ ;
- vi)  $(s\pi_1p) \pi_2m = s\pi_1(p\pi_2m)$ ;  $s, p \in L$  and  $m \in V$ ;
- vii) there exists at least an element  $s \in L$  for each element  $m$  such that  $m\pi_2 \text{ neut}(s) = \text{neut}(s) \pi_2 m = m$ ;  $m \in V$ .

**Definition 2.4: [22]** Let  $(V, \mu_2, \pi_2)$  be an Ntvs on  $(L, \mu_1, \pi_1)$  Ntf. If the function  $\| \cdot \| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is satisfied the following properties, then the function  $\| \cdot \|$  is an Ntn.

Where,

$f: L \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a function such that

$f(k, l) = f(k, \text{anti}(l))$  and

if  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , then  $f(k, l) = 0$ ;  $k \in L, l \in V$

a)  $\|1\| \geq 0$ ,

b) If  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , then  $\|1\| = 0$ ,

c)  $\|k \pi_2 l\| = f(k, l) \cdot \|1\|$ ,

d)  $\|\text{anti}(l)\| = \|1\|$ ,

e) If there exists at least an element  $m \in N$  for  $k, l \in V$  pair such that

$\|k\mu_2 l\| \leq \|k\mu_2 l\mu_2 \text{neut}(m)\|$ , then  $\|k\mu_2 l\mu_2 \text{neut}(m)\| \leq \|k\| + \|1\|$ ;  $k, l, m \in V$ .

Also,  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$  is called a Ntns.

**Definition 2.5: [22]** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$  be an Ntns. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$  and let  $m$  be an NTm reduced by  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$ . If each  $\{a_n\}$  Nt Cauchy sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$  is Nt convergent according to Ntmm, then  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|)$  is called an Nt Banach space (NtBs).

**Theorem 2.6:[22]** Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an NT group without zero divisors, with respect to  $\mu$ . For  $l \in L$ ;

- (i)  $\text{neut}(\text{neut}(l)) = \text{neut}(l)$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{anti}(\text{neut}(l)) = \text{neut}(l)$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{anti}(\text{anti}(l)) = l$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{neut}(\text{anti}(l)) = \text{neut}(l)$ .

**Definition 2.7:[33]** Let  $L$  be a nonempty set and  $m^d: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. Then,

$$(i) m_{\text{In}}^d = \min\{m(l, l), m(n, n)\} = m(l, l) \vee m(n, n); l, n \in L$$

$$(ii) M_{\text{In}}^d = \max\{m(l, l), m(n, n)\} = m(l, l) \wedge m(n, n); l, n \in L$$

**Definition 2.8: [33]** Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an Nts and  $m: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. If  $(L, \mu)$  and  $m$  satisfy the following properties, then  $m$  is called an Nt  $m$ -metric space.

a) For all  $l, n \in L, l \mu n \in L$ ,

b) If  $m(l, l) = m(n, n) = m(l, n) = 0$ , then  $l = n$ ,

c)  $m_{\text{In}}^d \leq m(l, n)$ ,

d)  $m(l, n) = m(l, n)$ ,

e) If there exists at least an element  $s \in L$  for each pair  $k, l \in L$  such that

$$m(k, l) \leq m(k, l \mu \text{neut}(s)), \text{ then } (m(k, l \mu \text{neut}(s)) - m_{kl}) \leq (m(k, l) - m_{ks}) + (m(l, s) - m_{ls}).$$

Also,  $((L, \mu), m)$  is called an Ntmms.

### 3 Neutrosophic Triplet $m$ – Normed Space

In this section, we have defined Ntmns with a more specific structure than Ntmms. We discussed the properties of this structure and proved the theorems related to this structure. We also discussed the relationship between this structure and the Ntmms. In addition, with the help of some definitions in Ntmms, we obtained important definitions such as convergence and Cauchy sequence in Ntmns.

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $V$  be an Nts and let  $m: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  be a function. Then,

$$(i) m_{\text{In}} = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\},$$

$$(ii) M_{\text{In}} = \max\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\}.$$

**Definition 3.2:** Let  $(V, \mu_2, \pi_2)$  be an Ntv on the Ntf  $(L, \mu_1, \pi_1)$ . Then function  $\| \cdot \|_m : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is an Nt m – norm (Ntmn) such that

a)  $0 \leq \| \text{neut}(l) \|_m \leq \| l \|_m$ ,

b) If  $\| l \mu_2 \text{neut}(n) \|_m = \| \text{neut}(l) \|_m = \| \text{neut}(n) \|_m = 0$ , then  $l = n$ .

c)  $\| \beta \pi_2 l \|_m = f(\beta, l) \cdot \| l \|_m$ . Where,  $f: L \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ ,  $f(\beta, l) = f(\beta, \text{anti}(l))$  is a function.

d)  $\| \text{anti}(l) \|_m = \| l \|_m$ ,

(e) If there exists at least  $l, n \in V$  for each  $l, n \in V$  pair of elements such that

$$\| l \mu_2 n \|_m \leq \| l \mu_2 n \mu_2 \text{neut}(p) \|_m; \text{ then}$$

$$\| l \mu_2 n \mu_2 \text{neut}(p) \|_m - m_{ln} \leq \| l \|_m + \| n \|_m - m_{lp} - m_{np}.$$

In this case,  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \| \cdot \|_m)$  is called Nt m – normed space (Ntmns).

**Corollary 3.3:** From Definition 3.2, we obtain that  $m_{ln} \leq \| l \|_m$  and  $m_{ln} \leq \| n \|_m$

since  $0 \leq \| \text{neut}(l) \|_m \leq \| l \|_m$  and  $0 \leq \| \text{neut}(n) \|_m \leq \| n \|_m$ .

**Corollary 3.4:** From Definition 2.4 and Definition 3.2, an Ntmns is different from an Ntns since the triangle inequalities are different in these definitions.

**Example 3.5:** Let  $P(M) = \{\emptyset, \{l\}, \{n\}, \{l, n\}\}$  be a set and  $\mu$  be binary operation such that

$$K \mu N = \begin{cases} N \setminus K, & \text{if } s(K) < s(N) \\ K \setminus N, & \text{if } s(K) > s(N) \\ M, & \text{if } s(K) = s(N) \wedge K \neq N \\ K, & \text{if } K = N \end{cases}$$

Where, it is clear that  $(P(M) \setminus \emptyset, \mu)$  be an Nts. Also,

$$\text{neut}(\{l\}) = \{l\}, \text{anti}(\{l\}) = \{l\}; \text{neut}(\{n\}) = \{n\}, \text{anti}(\{n\}) = \{n\}; \text{neut}(\{l, n\}) = \{l, n\}, \text{anti}(\{l, n\}) = \{l, n\}.$$

Then, from Definition 2.2,  $(P(M) \setminus \emptyset, \mu, \cup)$  is an Ntf. Furthermore, from Definition 2.3,  $(P(M) \setminus \emptyset, \mu, \cup)$  is an Ntvs.

Now, we show that

$$\| K \|_m : P(M) \setminus \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}, \| K \|_m = \begin{cases} 2^{s(K)}, & \text{if } K \neq \{l, n\} \\ 2^{s(K)-1}, & \text{if } K = \{l, n\} \end{cases} \text{ is an Ntmn such that}$$

$f: P(M) \setminus \emptyset \times P(M) \setminus \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ ,  $f(K, N) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{s(K \cup N)} - 1}{2^{s(N)}}, & \text{if } K \cup N = \{1, n\} \\ \frac{2^{s(K \cup N)}}{2^{s(N)}}, & \text{if } K \cup N \neq \{1, n\} \end{cases}$ . Where  $s(K)$  is the number of elements of the set  $K$ .

Since  $\text{anti}(\{1\}) = \{1\}$ ,  $\text{anti}(\{n\}) = \{n\}$ ,  $\text{anti}(\{1, n\}) = \{1, n\}$ ; it is clear that  $f(K, N) = f(K, \text{anti}(N))$  for  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ .

a) Since  $\text{neut}(\{1\}) = \{1\}$ ,  $\text{neut}(\{n\}) = \{n\}$ ,  $\text{neut}(\{1, n\}) = \{1, n\}$ ; it is clear that  $0 \leq \|\text{neut}(I)\|_m \leq \|I\|_m$  for  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ .

b) There are not  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$  such that  $\|K \mu \text{neut}(N)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(K)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(N)\|_m = 0$ .

c) We assume that  $K \cup N = \{1, n\}$ . Thus,  $\|K \cup N\|_m = 2^{s(K \cup N) - 1} = \frac{2^{s(K \cup N)} - 1}{2^{s(N)}} \cdot 2^{s(N)} = f(K, N) \cdot \|N\|_m$  for  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ .

We assume that  $K \cup N \neq \{1, n\}$ . Thus,  $\|K \cup N\|_m = 2^{s(K \cup N)} = \frac{2^{s(K \cup N)}}{2^{s(N)}} \cdot 2^{s(N)} = f(K, N) \cdot \|N\|_m$  for  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ .

d) Since  $\text{anti}(\{1\}) = \{n\}$ ,  $\text{anti}(\{n\}) = \{1\}$ ,  $\text{anti}(\{1, n\}) = \{1, n\}$ ; we obtain that  $\|\text{anti}(I)\|_m = \|I\|_m$  for  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ .

e) For  $\{1\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{1\} \mu \{1\}\|_m \leq \|(\{1\} \mu \{1\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{1\} \mu \{1\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\})\|_m \leq \|\{1\}\|_m + \|\{1\}\|_m + m_{\{1\}\{1\}} - m_{\{1\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1\}\{n\}}.$$

For  $\{n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{n\} \mu \{n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{n\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{n\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1\})\|_m \leq \|\{n\}\|_m + \|\{n\}\|_m + m_{\{n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1\}\{n\}}.$$

For  $\{1, n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{1, n\} \mu \{1, n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{1, n\} \mu \{1, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{1, n\} \mu \{1, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\})\|_m \leq \|\{1, n\}\|_m + \|\{1, n\}\|_m + m_{\{1, n\}\{1, n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{n\}}.$$

For  $\{1\}, \{n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{1\} \mu \{n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{1\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1, n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{1\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1, n\})\|_m \leq \|\{1\}\|_m + \|\{n\}\|_m + m_{\{1\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{1\}}.$$

For  $\{n\}, \{1\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{n\} \mu \{1\}\|_m \leq \|(\{n\} \mu \{1\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1, n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{n\} \mu \{1\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1, n\})\|_m \leq \|\{n\}\|_m + \|\{1\}\|_m + m_{\{1\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{1\}}.$$

For  $\{n\}, \{1, n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{n\} \mu \{1, n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{n\} \mu \{1, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{n\} \mu \{1, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1\})\|_m \leq \|\{n\}\|_m + \|\{1, n\}\|_m + m_{\{n\}\{1, n\}} - m_{\{1, n\}\{x\}} - m_{\{n\}\{1\}}.$$

For  $\{1, n\}, \{n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{1, n\} \mu \{n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{1, n\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{1\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{l, n\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{l\})\|_m \leq \|\{l, n\}\|_m + \|\{n\}\|_m + m_{\{l,n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{n\}\{l\}} - m_{\{l,n\}\{l\}}.$$

For  $\{l\}, \{l, n\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{l\} \mu \{l, n\}\|_m \leq \|(\{l\} \mu \{l, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{l\} \mu \{l, n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\})\|_m \leq \|\{l\}\|_m + \|\{l, n\}\|_m + m_{\{l\}\{l,n\}} - m_{\{l,n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{n\}\{l\}}.$$

For  $\{l, n\}, \{l\} \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\|\{l, n\} \mu \{l\}\|_m \leq \|(\{l, n\} \mu \{l\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\}))\|_m$ . Thus,

$$\|\{l, n\} \mu \{n\} \mu \text{neut}(\{n\})\|_m \leq \|\{l, n\}\|_m + \|\{l\}\|_m + m_{\{l,n\}\{l\}} - m_{\{l,n\}\{n\}} - m_{\{l\}\{n\}}.$$

Hence, if there exists at least a  $P \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$  for each  $K, N \in P(M) \setminus \emptyset$  pair of elements such that

$$\|K \mu N\|_m \leq \|K \mu N \mu \text{neut}(P)\|_m; \text{ then}$$

$$\|K \mu N \mu \text{neut}(P)\|_m - m_{KN} \leq \|K\|_m + \|N\|_m - m_{KP} - m_{PN}.$$

Therefore,  $\|K\|_m$  is an Ntmns.

**Theorem 3.6:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be an Ntmns. Then, the function

$$m: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \text{ defined by } m(l, n) = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m \text{ is an Ntmms.}$$

**Proof:** Let  $l, n, p \in V$ . From Definition 3.2,

a) Since  $(V, \mu_2, \pi_2)$  is Ntvs, we obtain that  $l \mu n \in V$ , for all  $l, n \in V$ .

b) If  $m(l, n) = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(l)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m = 0$ , then  $l = n$ .

(c) We show that

$$m_{ln}^d = \min\{m(l, l), m(n, n)\} = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} \leq m(l, n) = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m.$$

We assume that  $\|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m \leq \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n) \mu_2 \text{neut}(p)\|_m$ . From Definition 3.2,

$$m(l, n) = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m \leq \|l\|_m + \|\text{anti}(n)\|_m + m_{a\text{anti}(n)} - m_{lp} - m_{\text{anti}(n)p}. \text{ Also, since } \|\text{anti}(n)\|_m = \|n\|_m \text{ and Theorem 2.5; we obtain that}$$

$$m(l, n) = \|\mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + m_{ln} - m_{lp} - m_{np}. \tag{1}$$

There are two cases:

1) Let  $\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m$ .

Then we show that  $\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + m_{ln} - m_{lp} - m_{np}$ .

We assume that

i)  $\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1),

$$\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m.$$

Hence,  $m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, l), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

ii)  $\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1)

$$\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m. \quad \text{Hence,}$$

$m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, l), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

iii) Let  $\|\text{neut}(p)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1)

$$\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m.$$

Therefore,  $m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, l), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

2) Let  $\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m$ .

Then we show that  $\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + m_{ln} - m_{lp} - m_{np}$ .

We assume that

i)  $\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1),

$$\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m.$$

Hence,  $m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, l), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

ii)  $\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1)

$$\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m.$$

Hence,  $m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, n), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

iii) Let  $\|\text{neut}(p)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m$ . Thus, from (1)

$$\|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n) \leq \|l\|_m + \|n\|_m + \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m - \|\text{neut}(p)\|_m.$$

Hence,  $m^d_{ln} = \min(m(l, l), m(n, n)) = \min\{\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m, \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m\} = \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq m(l, n)$ .

(d) For any  $n \in V$ ; suppose that  $m(l, p) = \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p)\| \leq \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p) \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|$ . Then

$$m(l, p) = \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p)\| \leq \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p) \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\| = \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p) \mu_2 n \mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|.$$

As  $V$  is an  $Nt$  commutative group, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(p) \mu_2 n \mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\| &= \|(l \mu_2 \text{anti}(n)) \mu_2 (\text{anti}(p) \mu_2 n)\| \\ &\leq \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\| + \|n \mu_2 \text{anti}(p)\| + m_{lp} - m_{ln} - m_{np} \\ &= m(l, n) + m(n, p) + m_{lp} - m_{ln} - m_{np} \end{aligned}$$

Thus; if  $m(l, p) \leq m(l, p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n))$ ; then

$$(m(l, p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)) - m_{lp}) \leq (m(l, n) - m_{ln}) + (m(n, p) - m_{np}).$$

**Corollary 3.7:** Every Ntmns is an Ntmms.

**Definition 3.8:** Let  $((N, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be an Ntmns. Let  $m: V \times V \rightarrow R$  be an Ntmm defined by

$$m(l, n) = \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(n)\|_m .$$

Then,  $m$  is called the Ntmm reduced by  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$ .

**Definition 3.9:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be a Ntmns. Let  $\{l_n\}$  be a sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  and let  $m$  be an Ntmm reduced by  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $l \in V$  if there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$

$$m(l, \{l_n\}) - m_{ln} = \|l \mu_2 \text{anti}(\{l_n\})\|_m - m_{ln} < \varepsilon , \text{ then } \{l_n\} \text{ m-sequence is said to Nt converge to } x. \text{ It is denoted by } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = l \text{ or } l_n \rightarrow l.$$

**Definition 3.10:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be an Ntmns. Let  $\{l_n\}$  be a sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  and let  $m$  be an Ntmm reduced by  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $l \in V$  if there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m > n_0$

$$m(\{l_m\}, \{l_n\}) - m_{l_m l_n} = \|l_m \mu_2 \text{anti}(\{l_n\})\|_m - m_{l_m l_n} < \varepsilon , \text{ then the sequence } \{l_n\} \text{ is called an Nt } m \text{ - Cauchy sequence.}$$

**Definition 3.11:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be an Ntmns. Let  $\{l_n\}$  be a sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  and let  $m$  be an Ntmm reduced by  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$ . If each  $\{l_n\}$  Nt  $m$  - Cauchy sequence in  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is Nt convergent according to Ntmm, then  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is called an Nt  $m$  - Banach space.

**Corollary 3.12:** From Definition 2.5 and Definition 3.11, an NtBs is different from a NtmBs since the triangle inequalities are different in these definitions.

**Theorem 3.13:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|)$  be an Ntns and  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , for all  $l \in V$ . Then,  $\|l\|_m = \|l\| + n$  is an Ntmn. Where,  $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Proof:**

a) Since,  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , it is clear that  $0 \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\| + n \leq \|l\| + n$ . Thus,  $0 \leq \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m \leq \|l\|_m$

b) There are not  $l, n \in V$  such that

$$\|l \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m = \|l \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\| + n = \|\text{neut}(l)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(l)\| + n = \|\text{neut}(n)\|_m = \|\text{neut}(n)\| + n = 0.$$

c) Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|)$  is an Ntns, we can define  $\|k\pi_2 a\| = f(k, l) \cdot \|l\|$ . Also, we assume that

$\|k\pi_2 l\|_m = f_m(k, l) \cdot \|l\|_m$  and  $f_m(k, l) = (f(k, l) \cdot \|l\| + n) \setminus (\|l\| + n)$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$\|k\pi_2 l\| + n = \|k\pi_2 l\|_m = f(k, a) \cdot \|l\| + n = f_m(k, l) \cdot \|l\|_m.$$

d) Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|)$  is an Ntns, we obtain  $\|\text{anti}(l)\| = \|l\|$ .

Thus,  $\|\text{anti}(l)\| + n = \|\text{anti}(l)\|_m = \|l\| + n = \|l\|_m$ .

e) We assume that there exists  $n \in V$  such that  $\|l \mu_2 p\| \leq \|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|$ .  
(2)

Also, we obtain  $\|l \mu_2 p\| + n \leq \|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\| + n$ .

Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|)$  is an Ntns, from (2),  $\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\| \leq \|l\| + \|p\|$ .  
(3)

From (3), we obtain that  $\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\| + n \leq \|l\| + n + \|p\| + n$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|l\|_m + \|p\|_m + n - n. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore,  $m_{lp} = m_{ln} = m_{np} = n$ , since,  $l = \text{neut}(l)$  and  $\|\text{neut}(l)\| = 0$ . Thus, from (4),

$$\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m - m_{lp} \leq \|l\|_m + \|p\|_m - m_{ln} - m_{np}.$$

**Corollary 3.14:** If  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , an Ntmns can be obtained from an Ntns.

**Theorem 3.15:** Let  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  be an Ntmns and  $l = \text{neut}(l)$  for all  $l \in V$ . If the following condition is satisfied, then  $\|\cdot\|_m$  an Ntn.

i) If  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , then  $\|l\|_m = 0$ .

ii) If  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , then  $f(k, l) = 0$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is an Ntmns,  $f(k, l) = f(k, \text{anti}(l))$ . Also, from condition ii,  $f(k, l) = f(k, \text{anti}(l))$ .

a) Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is an Ntmns, it is clear that  $\|l\|_m \geq 0$ .

b) It is clear that from condition i.

c) Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is an Ntmns, we obtain that  $\|k\pi_2 l\|_m = f(k, l) \cdot \|l\|_m$ .

d) Since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is an Ntmns, we obtain that  $\|\text{anti}(l)\|_m = \|l\|_m$ .

e) From condition i, If  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , then  $\|l\|_m = 0$ . Since,  $l = \text{neut}(l)$ , we obtain that  $\|\text{neut}(l)\|_m = 0$ , for all  $l \in V$ . Thus, we obtain that  $m_{ln} = 0$ , for all  $l, n \in V$ .

(5)

Also, since  $((V, \mu_2, \pi_2), \|\cdot\|_m)$  is an Ntmns, If there exists at least  $n \in V$  for each  $l, p \in V$  pair of elements such that

$$\|l \mu_2 p\|_m \leq \|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m; \text{ then}$$

$$\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m - m_{lp} \leq \|l\|_m + \|p\|_m - m_{lp} - m_{np}.$$

Thus, from (5),

$$\|l \mu_2 p \mu_2 \text{neut}(n)\|_m \leq \|l\|_m + \|p\|_m.$$

**Conclusion**

Metric spaces, normed spaces and Banach spaces have an important place in classical mathematics. Metric spaces are widely used, especially in fixed point theory. For this purpose,  $m$ -metric space [39] has been defined and many studies have been carried out on fixed point theories with this definition. Normed spaces and Banach spaces, which are special cases of normed spaces, have an important usage area, especially in the field of analysis. Neutrosophic theory [1] has also supported the scientific world with more objective solutions by obtaining new solutions and methods

in many fields in both application sciences and theoretical sciences. Nt structures, which are a sub-branch of the neutrosophic theory, also aimed to carry the new advantages of neutrosophy to the algebraic structure. For this reason, many studies have been carried out on Nt structures. Thus, many structures in classical algebra were reconsidered in neutrosophic theory and new features emerged. In addition,  $m$  - metric space was considered in the Nt theory in 2020 and defined Ntmms [33]. Thus, a Nt structure has become available in fixed point theory. In this study, we have defined Ntmns with a more specific structure than Ntmms. We discussed the properties of this structure and proved the theorems related to this structure. We also discussed the relationship between this structure and the Ntmms. In addition, with the help of some definitions in Ntmms, we obtained important definitions such as convergence and Cauchy sequence in Ntmns. Also, we have defined MtmBs. We compared these structures with previously obtained Nt structures. Thanks to this comparison, we have determined that the structures we have obtained have different and new features than others. Thus, we added a new structure to the Nt theory and prepared the ground for new structures that can be obtained. In addition, by using Ntmns and NtmBs, researchers can obtain Nt  $m$  - inner product spaces and Nt  $m$  - Hilbert spaces. These structures can be the start of many new buildings.

### Abbreviations

Nt: Neutrosophic triplet

Nts: Neutrosophic triplet set

Ntn: Neutrosophic triplet norm

Ntns: Neutrosophic triplet normed space

NtBs: Neutrosophic triplet Banach space

Ntmm: Neutrosophic triplet  $m$  - metric

NTmms: Neutrosophic triplet  $m$  - metric space

Ntf: Neutrosophic triplet field

Ntvs: Neutrosophic triplet vector space

Ntmn: Neutrosophic triplet  $m$  - norm

Ntmns: Neutrosophic triplet  $m$  – normed space

NtmBs: Neutrosophic triplet  $m$  –Banach space

## References

- [1] Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic; American Research Press: Rehoboth, DE, USA, 1999.
- [2] Zadeh A. L. (1965) Fuzzy Sets. *Information and Control*, **1965**, 8.3 338-353,
- [3] Atanassov T. K. (1986) Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, **1986**, 20 87–96
- [4] Wang H., Smarandache F., Zhang Y. Q., Sunderraman R. (2010) Single valued neutrosophic sets. *Multispace Multistructure* **2010**, 4, 410–413.
- [5] Şahin M., Olgun N, Uluçay, V., Kargin A. and Smarandache F. (2017) A new similarity measure on falsity value between single valued neutrosophic sets based on the centroid points of transformed single valued neutrosophic numbers with applications to pattern recognition. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2017**, 15, 31-48, doi: org/10.5281/zenodo570934
- [6] Şahin M., Ecemiş O., Uluçay, V. and Kargin A. (2017) Some new generalized aggregation operators based on centroid single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers and their applications in multi-attribute decision making. *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research* **2017**, 16(2) 63-84.
- [7] Olgun, N., & Hatip, A. (2020) The Effect of The Neutrosophic Logic on The Decision Tree. In *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2020; vol. 17, 238 -253.
- [8] Şahin, M., and Kargin, A. New Similarity Measure Between Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Decision-Making Applications in Professional Proficiencies. In *Neutrosophic Sets in Decision Analysis and Operations Research*, IGI Global 2020; vol. 7, 129-149
- [9] Uluçay, V., & Şahin, M. Decision-Making Method based on Neutrosophic Soft Expert Graphs. In *Neutrosophic Graph Theory and Algorithms*, IGI Global. 2020; 33-76.
- [10] Uluçay, V., Kılıç, A., Yıldız, İ., & Şahin, M. (2019). An outranking approach for MCDM-problems with neutrosophic multi-sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2019**, 30(1), 17.
- [11] Uluçay, V., & Şahin, M. (2019). Neutrosophic Multigroups and Applications. *Mathematics*, **2019**, 7(1), 95.
- [12] Bakkak, D., Uluçay, V., & Şahin, M. (2019). Neutrosophic soft expert multiset and their application to multiple criteria decision making. *Mathematics*, **2019**, 7(1), 50.
- [13] Uluçay, V., Kılıç, A., Sahin, M., & Deniz, H. (2019). A new hybrid distance-based similarity measure for refined neutrosophic sets and its application in medical diagnosis. *Matematika*, **2019**, 35(1), 83–96.
- [14] Smarandache, F., & Ali, M. (2018). Neutrosophic triplet group. *Neural Computing and Applications*, **2018**, 29(7), 595-601.

- [15] Şahin, M., Uluçay, V., & Menekşe, M. (2018). Some new operations of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  interval cut set of interval valued neutrosophic sets. *Journal of Mathematical and Fundamental Sciences*, **2018**, 50(2), 103-120.
- [16] Sahin, M., Deli, I., & Uluçay, V. (2018). Extension Principle Based on Neutrosophic Multi-Fuzzy Sets and Algebraic Operations. *Journal of Mathematical Extension*, **2018** 12(1), 69-90.
- [17] Uluçay, V., Deli, I., & Şahin, M. (2018). Similarity measures of bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application to multiple criteria decision making. *Neural Computing and Applications*, **2018** 29(3), 739-748.
- [18] Uluçay, V., Kiliç, A., Yıldız, I., & Sahin, M. (2018). A new approach for multi-attribute decision-making problems in bipolar neutrosophic sets. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2018**, 23(1), 12.
- [19] Uluçay, V., Şahin, M., & Hassan, N. (2018). Generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making. *Symmetry*, **2018**, 10(10), 437.
- [20] Hassan, N., Uluçay, V., & Şahin, M. (2018). Q-neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications* **2018**, 7(4), 37-61.
- [21] Şahin, M., Kargın A. (2019), Neutrosophic triplet groups based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2019**, 30, 122 – 131.
- [22] Şahin M. and Kargın A. (2017) Neutrosophic triplet normed space, *Open Physics*, **2017**, 15, 697-704
- [23] Smarandache F., Şahin M., Kargın A. (2018) Neutrosophic Triplet G- Module, *Mathematics*, **2018**, 6, 53.
- [24] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2019) Neutrosophic triplet Lie Algebra, In *Neutrosophic Triplet Research*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2019; vol. 6, 68 -78
- [25] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2019) Isomorphism theorems for Neutrosophic triplet G - module, In *Neutrosophic Triplet Research*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2019; vol. 5, 54 -67
- [26] Şahin M. and Kargın A. (2019) Neutrosophic triplet metric topology, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2019, 27, 154 -162
- [27] Şahin M. and Kargın A. (2019) Neutrosophic triplet b – metric space, In *Neutrosophic Triplet Research*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2019, vol. 7, 79 - 90
- [28] Şahin M., Kargın A., Çoban M. A. (2018) Fixed point theorem for neutrosophic triplet partial metric space, *Symmetry*, 2018, 10, 240
- [29] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2018) Neutrosophic triplet v – generalized metric space, *Axioms*, **2018**, 7, 67
- [30] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2018) Neutrosophic triplet normed ring space, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2018**, 21, 20 – 27
- [31] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2019), Neutrosophic triplet groups Based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2018**, 30, 122 -131
- [32] Şahin M., Kargın A. (2019) Neutrosophic triplet partial v – generalized metric space, In *Neutrosophic Triplet Research*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2019; vol. 2, 22 -34
- [33] Şahin M., Kargın A., Dayan A. (2020) Neutrosophic triplet m - Metric Space, In *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU, 2020; vol. 15, 213 -223

- [34] Zhang, X., Ma, Z., & Yuan, W. (2019). Cyclic associative groupoids (CA-groupoids) and cyclic associative neutrosophic extended triplet groupoids (CA-NET-groupoids). *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2019**, 29(1), 2.
- [35] Shalla, M. M., & Olgun, N. (2019). Direct and Semi-Direct Product of Neutrosophic Extended Triplet Group. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2019**, 29(1), 16.
- [36] Şahin, M., Kargın, A., & Uz, M. S. (2020). Neutrosophic Triplet Partial Bipolar Metric Spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2020**, 33(1), 19.
- [37] Şahin, M., Kargın, A., & Yücel, M. (2020). Neutrosophic Triplet Partial g-Metric Space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2020**, 33(1), 8.
- [38] Kandasamy WB, V., Kandasamy, I., & Smarandache, F. (2019). Neutrosophic triplets in neutrosophic rings. *Mathematics*, **2019**, 7(6), 563.
- [39] Asadi, M., Karapınar, E., & Salimi, P. (2014). New extension of p-metric spaces with some fixed-point results on M-metric spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2014** 1, 18
- [40] Souayah, N.; Mlaiki, N.; Mrad, M. (2018) The GM-Contraction Principle for Mappings on M-Metric Spaces Endowed with a Graph and Fixed Point Theorems. *IEEE Access* 6, 25178–25184.
- [41] Patle, P. R., Kumar Patel, D., Aydi, H., Gopal, D., & Mlaiki, N. Nadler and Kannan (2019), Type Set Valued Mappings in M-Metric Spaces and an Application. *Mathematics*, **2019**, 7(4), 373
- [42] Pitchaimani, M., & Saravanan, K. (2020). Almost  $\zeta$ -contraction on  $M$ -metric space. *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, **2020**, 28(2), 391-403.
- [43] Ali, M., Smarandache, F., & Khan, M. (2018). Study on the development of neutrosophic triplet ring and neutrosophic triplet field. *Mathematics*, **2018**, 6(4), 46.
- [44] Shalla, M. M., & Olgun, N. (2019). Neutrosophic Extended Triplet Group Action and Burnside's Lemma. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2019**, 30(1), 4.

Received: May 27, 2020. Accepted: Nov, 20, 2020