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1. Introduction
Smarandache’s neutrosophic framework have wide scope of constant applications for the fields of Electrical & Electronic, Artificial Intelligence, Mechanics, Computer Science, Information Systems, Applied Mathematics, basic leadership. Prescription and Management Science and so forth. In 1965, Zadeh proposed Fuzzy set (FS), and Atanassov [1] proposed intuitionistic Fuzzy set (IFS) in 1983. Topology is an old style subject, as a speculation topological spaces many sort of topological spaces presented over the year. Smarandache [5] characterized the Neutrosophic set on three segment Neutrosophic sets (T Truth, I-Indeterminacy, F-Falsehood). Neutrosophic topological spaces (NS-T-S) presented by Salama [10] et al., R.Dhavaseelan [3], SaiedJafari are introduced Neutrosophic generalized closed sets. Point of this paper is we present and concentrate the ideas Neutrosophic Weakly Generalized Closed Set in Neutrosophic topological spaces and its Properties are talked about subtleties

2. Preliminaries
In this part, we review required essential definition and results of Neutrosophic sets

Definition 2.1 [5] Let $N^*_X$ be a non-empty fixed set. A Neutrosophic set $R^*_1$ is a object having the form

$$R^*_1 = \{< r, \mu_{R^*_1}(r), \sigma_{R^*_1}(r), \gamma_{R^*_1}(r) > : r \in N^*_X \},$$

$\mu_{R^*_1}(r)$-represents the degree of membership function

$\sigma_{R^*_1}(r)$-represents degree indeterminacy function and then
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\( \gamma_{R_1}(r) \)-represents the degree of non-membership function

**Definition 2.2** [5] Neutrosophic set \( R_1^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) : r \in N_\chi \rangle \) on \( N_\chi \) and \( \forall r \in N_\chi \) then complement of \( R_1^* \) is \( R_1^C = \langle r, \gamma_{R_1}(r), 1 - \sigma_{R_1}(r), \mu_{R_1}(r) : r \in N_\chi \rangle \)

**Definition 2.3** [5] Let \( R_1^* \) and \( R_2^* \) are two Neutrosophic sets, \( \forall r \in N_\chi \)

\[ R_1^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) : r \in N_\chi \rangle, R_2^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_2}(r), \sigma_{R_2}(r), \gamma_{R_2}(r) : r \in N_\chi \rangle \]

Then \( R_1^* \subseteq R_2^* \iff \mu_{R_1}(r) \leq \mu_{R_2}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r) \leq \sigma_{R_2}(r) \& \gamma_{R_1}(r) \geq \gamma_{R_2}(r) \)

**Definition 2.4** [5] Let \( N_\chi^* \) be a non-empty set, and Let \( R_1^* \) and \( R_2^* \) be two Neutrosophic sets are

\[ R_1^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) : r \in N_\chi^* \rangle, R_2^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_2}(r), \sigma_{R_2}(r), \gamma_{R_2}(r) : r \in N_\chi^* \rangle \]

Then \( R_1^* \cap R_2^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r) \cap \mu_{R_2}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r) \cap \sigma_{R_2}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) \cup \gamma_{R_2}(r) : r \in N_\chi^* \rangle \)

\[ R_1^* \cup R_2^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r) \cup \mu_{R_2}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r) \cup \sigma_{R_2}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) \cap \gamma_{R_2}(r) : r \in N_\chi^* \rangle \]

**Definition 2.5** [11] Let \( N_\chi^* \) be a non-empty set and \( NS_\chi \) be the collection of Neutrosophic subsets of \( N_\chi^* \) satisfying the accompanying properties:

1. \( 1_N \in NS_\chi \)
2. \( N_{T_1} \cap N_{T_2} \in NS_\chi \) for any \( N_{T_1}, N_{T_2} \in NS_\chi \)
3. \( \emptyset \cup N_{T_i} \in NS_\chi \) for every \( \{N_{T_i} : i \in I\} \subseteq NS_\chi \)

Then the space \( (N_\chi^*, NS_\chi) \) is called a Neutrosophic topological spaces (NS-T-S).

The component of \( NS_\chi \) are called NS-OS (Neutrosophic open set) and its complement is NS-CS (Neutrosophic closed set)

**Example 2.6.** Let \( N_\chi^* = \{r\} \) and \( \forall r \in N_\chi^* \)

\[ R_1^* = \langle r, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10} \rangle, R_2^* = \langle r, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \rangle \]

\[ R_3^* = \langle r, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{4}{10} \rangle, R_4^* = \langle r, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10} \rangle \]

Then the collection \( NS_\chi = \{N_{i_0}, R_1^*, R_2^*, R_3^*, R_4^*, 1_N\} \) is called a NS-T-S on \( X \).

**Definition 2.7** Let \( (N_\chi^*, NS_\chi) \) be a NS-T-S and \( R_1^* = \langle r, \mu_{R_1}(r), \sigma_{R_1}(r), \gamma_{R_1}(r) : r \in N_\chi^* \rangle \) be a Neutrosophic set in \( N_\chi^* \). Then \( R_1^* \) is said to be

1. Neutrosophic alpha-closed set [2] (NS-\( \alpha \)-CS in short) NS-cl(closure of NS-cl(\( R_1^* \)) = \( R_1^* \))
2. Neutrosophic pre-closed set [14] (NS-PCS in short) NS-cl(closure of NS-cl(\( R_1^* \)) = \( R_1^* \))
3. Neutrosophic regular closed set [5] (NS-RCS in short) NS-cl(closure of NS-cl(\( R_1^* \)) = \( R_1^* \))
4. Neutrosophic semi closed set [7] (NS-SCS in short) NS-cl(closure of NS-cl(\( R_1^* \)) = \( R_1^* \))
5. Neutrosophic generalized closed set [3] (NS-GCS in short) NS-cl(\( R_1^* \) \( \subseteq \) H whenever \( R_1^* \) \( \subseteq \) H and H is a NS-OS,
6. Neutrosophic generalized pre closed set [9] (NS-GPCS in short) NS-pcl(\( R_1^* \) \( \subseteq \) H whenever \( R_1^* \) \( \subseteq \) H and H is a NS-OS,
7. Neutrosophic \(\alpha\) generalized closed set [8] (NS-\(\alpha\)GCS in short) NS-\(\alpha\)-cl(\(R'_1\)) \(\subseteq\) H whenever \(R'_1\) \(\subseteq\) H and H is an NS-OS.

8. Neutrosophic generalized semi closed set [13] (NS-GCS in short) NS-Scl(\(R'_1\)) \(\subseteq\) H whenever \(R'_1\) \(\subseteq\) H and H is an NS-OS.

**Definition 2.8.** \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle\), be a NS-T-S and \(R'_1 = \{< r, \mu_{R'_1}(r), \sigma_{R'_1}(r), \gamma_{R'_1}(r) > : r \in N'_X \}\). Then

Neutrosophic closure of \(R'_1\) is
\[\text{NS-Cl}(R'_1) = \cap \{ H : H \text{ is a NS-CS in } N'_X \text{ and } R'_1 \subseteq H \}\]

Neutrosophic interior of \(R'_1\) is
\[\text{NS-Int}(R'_1) = \cup \{ M : M \text{ is a NS-OS in } N'_X \text{ and } M \subseteq R'_1 \}\]

**Definition 2.9.** Let \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle\), be a NS-T-S and \(R'_1 = \{< r, \mu_{R'_1}(r), \sigma_{R'_1}(r), \gamma_{R'_1}(r) > : r \in N'_X \}\)

NS-Sint(\(R'_1\)) = \(\cup \{ G : G \text{ is a NS-SOS in } N'_X \text{ and } G \subseteq R'_1 \}\),

NS-Scl(\(R'_1\)) = \(\cap \{ K : K \text{ is a NS-CS in } N'_X \text{ and } R'_1 \subseteq K \}\),

NS-\(\alpha\)-int(\(R'_1\)) = \(\cup \{ G : G \text{ is a NS-\(\alpha\)-OS in } N'_X \text{ and } G \subseteq R'_1 \}\),

NS-\(\alpha\)-cl(\(R'_1\)) = \(\cap \{ K : K \text{ is a NS-\(\alpha\)-CS in } N'_X \text{ and } R'_1 \subseteq K \}\).

3. Neutrosophic Weakly Generalized Closed Set

In this section we introduce Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set and have studied some of its properties.

**Definition 3.1** An (NS)\(S\) \(R'_1\) in an (NS)TS \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle\), is said to be an Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set (NS-WGC) \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(R'_1)) \subseteq U\) whenever \(R'_1 \subseteq U\), U is (NS)-OS in \(N'_X\).

The family of all (NS-WGC)CSs of an (NS)TS \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle\), is denoted by (NS-WGC)CS(
\(N'_X\).

**Example 3.2.** Let \(N'_X = \{r'_1, r'_2\}\) and let \(NS_x = \{0, N_T, 1\}\) be an (NS)T on \(N'_X\)

Where \(N_T = < r, (\frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}), (\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}) >\).

Then the (NS)S \(R'_1 = < r, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10} >\) is an (NS-WGC)CS in \(N'_X\).

**Theorem 3.3.** Every (NS)CS is an (NS-WGC)CS but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let \(R'_1\) be an (NS)CS in \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle,\). Let U be a Neutrosophic open set such that \(R'_1 \subseteq U\). Since \(R'_1\) is Neutrosophic closed, \(\text{NS-cl}(R'_1) = R'_1\) and hence \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NC}(R'_1)) \subseteq U\). But \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(R'_1)) \subseteq \text{NS-cl}(A) \subseteq U\). Therefore \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(R'_1)) \subseteq U\). Hence \(R'_1\) is an (NS-WGC)CS in \(N'_X\).

**Example 3.4.** Let \(N'_X = \{r'_1, r'_2\}\) and let \(NS_x = \{0, N_T, 1\}\) be an (NS)T on \(N'_X\),

where \(N_T = < r, (\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}), (\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}) >\).

Then the (NS)S \(R'_1 = < r, (\frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10} >\) is an (NS-WGC)CS in \(N'_X\),

but not an (NS)CS in \(N'_X\) since \(\text{NS-cl}(R'_1) \neq R'_1\).

**Theorem 3.5.** Every (NS) CS is an (NS-WGC)CS but not conversely.

**Proof:** Let \(R'_1\) be an (NS)CS in \(N'_X\) and let \(R'_1 \subseteq U\) and U is an NS-OS in \((N'_X, NS_x,\rangle,\). By hypothesis, \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(\text{NS-cl}(R'_1))) \subseteq R'_1\). Therefore \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(R'_1)) \subseteq \text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(\text{NS-cl}(R'_1))) \subseteq R'_1 \subseteq U\). Therefore \(\text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}(R'_1)) \subseteq U\). Hence \(R'_1\) is an (NS-WGC)CS in \(N'_X\).
Example 3.6: Let $X = \{r^*_1, r^*_2\}$ and let $NS_x = \{0^-, N^*_T, 1^-\}$ be a(NS)Ton $X$ where

\[ N_T = \langle r, \left( \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle. \]

Then the (NS)S $R^*_1 = \langle r, \left( \frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS but not an (NS)CS in $X$ since $R^*_1 \subseteq N_T$ but NS-cl(NS-in(NS-cl($R^*_1$))) = $\langle r, \left( \frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle \not\subseteq R^*_1$.

Theorem 3.7: Every (NS)GCS is a(NS-WG)CS but not conversely.
Proof: Let $R^*_1$ be a(NS)GCS in $X$ and let $R^*_1 \subseteq U$ and $U$ is a(NS)OS in $(\mathcal{N}_x, NS_x)$. Since NS-cl($R^*_1$) $\subseteq U$, NS-cl(NS-in(NS-cl($R^*_1$))) $\subseteq$ NS-cl($R^*_1$). That is NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\subseteq$ NS-cl($R^*_1$) $\subseteq$ U. Therefore NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\subseteq$ U. Hence $R^*_1$ is a(NS-WG)CS in $X$.

Example 3.8: Let $X = \{r^*_1, r^*_2\}$ and let $NS_x = \{0^-, N^*_T, 1^-\}$ be a(NS)Ton $X$ where

\[ N_T = \langle r, \left( \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle. \]

Then (NS)S $R^*_1 = \langle r, \left( \frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS but not an (NS)GCS in $X$ since $R^*_1 \subseteq N_T$ but NS-cl($R^*_1$) = $\langle r, \left( \frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle \not\subseteq N_T$.

Theorem 3.9: Every (NS)RCS is a(NS-WG)CS but not conversely.
Proof: Let $R^*_1$ be a(NS)RCS in $X$ and let $R^*_1 \subseteq U$ and $U$ is a(NS)OS in $(\mathcal{N}_x, NS_x)$. Since $R^*_1$ is (NS)RCS, NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) = $R^*_1 \subseteq U$. This implies NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\subseteq U$. Hence $R^*_1$ is a(NS-WG)CS in $X$.

Example 3.10:
Let $X = \{r^*_1, r^*_2\}$ and let $NS_x = \{0^-, N^*_T, 1^-\}$ be a(NS)Ton $X$, where

\[ N_T = \langle r, \left( \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle. \]

The (NS)S $R^*_1 = \langle r, \left( \frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS but not an (NS)RCS in $X$ since NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\neq 0^- R^*_1$.

Theorem 3.11: Every (NS)PCS is a(NS-WG)CS but not conversely.
Proof: Let $R^*_1$ be a(NS)PCS in $X$ and let $R^*_1 \subseteq U$ and $U$ is a(NS)OS in $(\mathcal{N}_x, NS_x)$. By Definition, NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\subseteq$ $R^*_1$ and $R^*_1 \subseteq U$. Therefore NS-cl(NS-in($R^*_1$)) $\subseteq U$. Hence $R^*_1$ is a(NS-WG)CS in $X$.

Example 3.12:
Let $X = \{r^*_1, r^*_2\}$ and let $NS_x = \{0^-, N^*_T, 1^-\}$ be a(NS)Ton $X$, where

\[ N_T = \langle r, \left( \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}, \frac{10}{10} \right) \rangle. \]
Then the (NS)S $R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{0}{10}\right), \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}\right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS \\
but not an (NS)PCS in $N_X^*$ \\
since $NS-cl(NS-in(R_1^*)) \not\subseteq R_1^*$. \\
**Theorem 3.13:** Every (NS) GCS is a(NS-WG)CS . \\
**Proof:** Let $R_1^*$ be a(NS) GCS in $N_X^*$ and let $R_1^* \not\subseteq U$ and $U$ is a (NS)OS in $(N_X^*, N_S)$, By Definition, \\
$R_1^* \not\subseteq NS-cl(NS-in(NS-cl(R_1^*))) \subseteq U$. This implies $NS-cl(NS-in(NS-cl(R_1^*))) \subseteq U$ and $NS-cl(NS-in(R_1^*)) \subseteq NS-cl(NS-in(NS-cl(R_1^*))) \subseteq U$. Therefore $NS-cl(NS-in(R_1^*)) \subseteq U$. Hence $R_1^*$ is a(NS-WG)CS in $N_X^*$. \\
**Example 3.14:** \\
Let $N_X^* = [r_1^*, r_2^*]$ and  \\
let $NS_\tau = [0-, N_\tau, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$,  \\
where $N_T = \langle r, \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{10}{10}\right), \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right) \rangle$ . \\
Then the (NS)S $R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS \\
but not an (NS) GCS in $N_X^*$ since $NS-\alpha cl(R_1^*) = 1- \not\subseteq N_T$. \\
**Proposition 3.15:** (NS)SCS and (NS-WG)CS are independent to each other which can be seen from 
the following example. \\
**Example 3.16:** Let $N_X^* = [r_1^*, r_2^*]$ and  \\
let $NS_\tau = [0-, N_\tau, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$  \\
$N_T = \langle r, \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle$. \\
Then (NS)S $R_1^* = N_T$ is a(NS)SCS \\
but not an (NS-WG)CS in $N_X^*$ since $R_1^* \not\subseteq N_T$ \\
but NS-cl(NS-in($R_1^*$)) = $\langle r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right) \rangle \not\subseteq N_T$. \\
**Example 3.17:** \\
Let $N_X^* = [r_1^*, r_2^*]$ and  \\
let $NS_\tau = [0-, N_\tau, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$,  \\
$N_T = \langle r, \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{0}{10}\right), \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle$. \\
Then the (NS)S $R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right) \rangle$ is a(NS-WG)CS \\
but not an (NS)SCS in $N_X^*$ since NS-in(NS-cl($R_1^*$)) = 1- $\not\subseteq R_1^*$. \\
**Proposition 3.18:** (NS)GCS and (NS-WG)CS are independent to each other. \\
**Example 3.19:** Let $N_X^* = [r_1^*, r_2^*]$ and  \\
let $NS_\tau = [0-, N_\tau, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$,  \\
where $N_T = \langle r, \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle$. \\
Then the (NS)S $R_1^* = N_T$ is a(NS-WG)CS \\
but not an (NS)GPCS in $N_X^*$ since $R_1^* \not\subseteq N_T$ \\
but NS-cl(NS-in($R_1^*$)) = $\langle r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle \not\subseteq R_1^*$. 

---
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Example 3.20: Let $N_X^*$ = [r_1^*, r_2^*] and let $NST = [0-, N_T, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$, where

$$N_T = < r, \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right), \left(\frac{8}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{0}{10}\right) > .$$

Then the (NS)S $R_1^* = < r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) >$ is a(NS-WG)CS but not an (NS)GCS in $N_X^*$ since NS-scl($R_1^*$) = 1~ $\not\in N_T$.

Remark 3.21: The union of any two (NS-WG)CSs need not be a (NS-WG)CS in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.22: Let $N_X^*$ = [r_1^*, r_2^*] be a(NS)TS and let $N_T = < r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) > .$

Then $NST = [0-, N_T, 1-]$ is a(NS)T on $N_X^*$ and the (NS)Ss

$$R_1^* = < r, \left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) > ,$$

$$R_2^* = < r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right) >$$

are (NS-WG)CSs but $R_1^* \cup R_2^*$ is not an (NS-WG)CS in $N_X^*$. The following implications are true:

**4. NEUTROSOPHIC WEAKLY GENERALIZED OPEN SET**

In this section we introduce Neutrosophic weakly generalized open set and have studied some of its properties.

Definition 4.1: An (NS)S $R_1^*$ is said to be a Neutrosophic weakly generalized open set ((NS-WG)OS in short) in $(N_X^*, NS_T)$, (NS) the complement $(R_1^*)^C$ is a(NS-WG)CS in $N_X^*$.

The family of all (NS-WG)OS of an (NS)TS $(N_X^*, NS_T)$, is denoted by (NS-WG)O($N_X^*$).

Example 4.2: Let $N_X^*$ = [r_1^*, r_2^*] and let $NST = [0-, N_T, 1-]$ be a(NS)T on $N_X^*$,
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where \( N_T = \langle r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right), \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle \).

Then the (NS)S \( R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right), \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle \) is a(NS-WG)OS in \( N_X^* \).

**Theorem 4.3:** For any (NS)TS \((N_X^*, N_T^*)\), we have the following:
(i) Every (NS)OS is a(NS-WG)OS.
(ii) Every (NS)SOS is a(NS-WG)OS.
(iii) Every (NS)αOS is a(NS-WG)OS.
(iv) Every (NS)GOS is a(NS-WG)OS. But the converses are not true in general.

**Proof:** Straight forward.

The converse of the above statement need not be true in general which can be seen from the following examples.

**Example 4.4:** Let \( N_X^* = \{r_1^*, r_2^*\} \) and \( N_T^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle \).

Then \( N_S^* = \{0\sim, N_T^*, 1\sim\} \) is a(NS)T on \( N_X^* \). The (NS)S \( R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{0}{10}\right), \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle \) is a(NS-WG)OS in \((N_X^*, N_S^*)\),

but not an (NS)OS in \( N_X^* \).

\( N_T^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{0}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{8}{10}\right), \left(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}\right) \rangle \).

Then the (NS)|SR_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{2}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10}\right), \left(\frac{3}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}\right) \rangle \) is an a(NS-WG)OS

but not an (NS)SOS in \( N_X^* \).

**Example 4.6:** Let \( N_X^* = \{r_1^*, r_2^*\} \) and let \( N_S^* = \{0\sim, N_T^*, 1\sim\} \) be a(NS)T on \( N_X^* \), where \( N_T^* = \langle r, \left(0, 0.5, 0.7\right), \left(0.5, 0.3\right) \rangle \).

Then the (NS)S \( R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle \) is a(NS-WG)OS

but not an (NS)αOS in \( N_X^* \).

**Example 4.7:** Let \( N_X^* = \{r_1^*, r_2^*\} \) and \( N_T^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{4}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{4}{10}\right) \rangle \).

Then \( N_S^* = \{0\sim, N_T^*, 1\sim\} \) is a(NS)T on \( N_X^* \).

The (NS)S \( R_1^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{6}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right), \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right) \rangle \) is a(NS-WG)OS

but not an (NS)POS in \( N_X^* \).

**Remark 4.8:**
The intersection of any two (NS-WG)OSs need not be a(NS-WG)OS in general.

**Example 4.9:** Let \( N_X^* = \{r_1^*, r_2^*\} \) be a(NS)TS and \( N_T^* = \langle r, \left(\frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) \rangle \).

Then \( N_S^* = \{0\sim, N_T^*, 1\sim\} \) is a(NS)T on \( N_X^* \).
The (NS)Ss \( R_1^* = r, \left( \frac{8}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, 0 \right), \left( \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{2}{10}, 0 \right) > \) and
\[ R_2^* = r, \left( \frac{3}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \right), \left( \frac{2}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{6}{10} \right) > \) are (NS-WG)OS’s but \( R_1^* \cap R_2^* \) is not an (NS-WG)OS in \( N_X \).

**Theorem 4.10:**
An (NS)S \( R_1^* \) of an (NS)TS \((N'_X, NS),\) is a (NS-WG)OS (NS) and only (NS) \( F \subseteq \text{in}(\text{NS-cl}(R_1^*))) \) whenever \( F \) is a (NS)CS and \( F \subseteq R_1^* \).

**Proof:**

Necessity:

Suppose \( R_1^* \) is a (NS-WG)OS in \( N'_X \). Let \( F \) be a (NS)CS and \( F \subseteq R_1^* \). Then \( F^c \) is a (NS)OS in \( N'_X \) such that \( (R_1^*)^c \subseteq F^c \). Since \( (R_1^*)^c \) is a (NS-WG)CS, \( \text{NS-cl}((R_1^*)^c) \subseteq F^c \). Hence \( \text{NS-in}(\text{NS-cl}(R_1^*)) \subseteq F^c \).

Sufficiency:

Let \( R_1^* \) be a (NS)OS of \( N'_X \) and let \( F \subseteq \text{NS-in}((\text{NS-cl}(R_1^*))) \) whenever \( F \) is a (NS)CS and \( F \subseteq R_1^* \). Then \( (R_1^*)^c \subseteq F^c \) and \( F^c \) is a (NS)OS. By hypothesis, \( \text{NS-in}((\text{NS-cl}(R_1^*))) \subseteq F^c \). Hence \( \text{NS-cl}(\text{NS-in}((R_1^*)^c)) \subseteq F^c \).

Hence \( R_1^* \) is a (NS-WG)OS of \( N'_X \).

5. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we introduce Neutrosophic \( wT^1 \) space and \( wqT \) space, which utilize Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set and its characterizations are proved.

**Definition 5.1:**
An (NS)TS \((N'_X, NS),\) is called an Neutrosophic \( wT^1 \) (\( (NS)w T^1 \) in short) space (NS) every (NS-WG)CS in \( N'_X \) is a (NS)CS in \( N'_X \).

**Definition 5.2:**
An (NS)TS \((N'_X, NS),\) is called an Neutrosophic \( wqT \) (\( (NS-WG)qT \) in short) space (NS) every (NS-WG)CS in \( N'_X \) is a (NS)PCS in \( N'_X \).

**Theorem 5.3:** Every \((NS)w T^1 \) space is a (NS-WG)qT space.  But reversal isn’t true in general.

**Proof:** Let \( N'_X \) be a (NS)\( wT^1 \) space and let \( R_1^* \) be a (NS-WG)CS in \( N'_X \). By hypothesis \( R_1^* \) is a (NS)CS in \( N'_X \). Since every (NS)CS is a (NS)PCS, \( R_1^* \) is a (NS)PCS in \( N'_X \). Hence \( N'_X \) is a (NS)\( wqT \) space. But reversal isn’t true in general.

**Example 5.4:** Let \( N'_X = \{ r_1^*, r_2^* \} \) and let \( NS = \{ 0\rightarrow, N_T, 1\rightarrow \} \)

\[ N_T = r, \left( \frac{9}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10} \right), \left( \frac{9}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{1}{10} \right) > \).

Then \((N'_X, NS),\) is a (NS-WG)qT space.

But it is not an (NS)\( wT^1 \) space

since the (NS)S \( R_1^* = r, \left( \frac{2}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10} \right), \left( \frac{3}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{10}, \frac{2}{10} \right) > \) is (NS-WG)CS but not (NS)CS in \( N'_X \).
Theorem 5.5: Let \((N'_X, NS_x)\), be a\((NS)TS\) and \(N'_X\) is a\((NS)wT^2\)space then

(i) Any union of \((NS-WG)CS\) is a\((NS-WG)CS\).
(ii) Any intersection of \((NS-WG)OS\) is a\((NS-WG)OS\).

Proof:

(i): Let \([A_i]\in E\) be a collection of \((NS-WG)CS\) in an \((NS)wT^2\)space \((N'_X, NS_x)\). Therefore every \((NS-WG)CS\) is a\((NS)CS\). But the union of \((NS)CS\) is a\((NS)CS\). Hence the Union of \((NS-WG)CS\) is a\((NS-WG)CS\) in \(N'_X\).
(ii): It tends to be demonstrated by taking complement at(i).

Theorem 5.6:
An \((NS)TS\) \(N'_X\) is a\((NS-WG)qT\) space \((NS)\) and only \((NS)\) \((NS-WG)OS(N'_X) = (NS)POS(N'_X)\).

Proof:

Necessity:
Let \(R'_1\) be a\((NS-WG)OS\) in \(N'_X\). Then \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS-WG)CS\) in \(N'_X\). By hypothesis \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS)PCS\) in \(N'_X\). Therefore \(R'_1\) is a\((NS)POS\) in \(N'_X\). Hence \((NS-WG)OS(N'_X) = (NS)POS(N'_X)\).

Sufficiency:
Let \(R'_1\) be a\((NS-WG)CS\) in \(N'_X\). Then \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS-WG)OS\) in \(N'_X\). By hypothesis \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS)POS\) in \(N'_X\). Therefore \(R'_1\) is a\((NS)PCS\) in \(N'_X\). Hence \(N'_X\) is a\((NS-WG)qT\) space.

Theorem 5.7: An \((NS)TS\) \(N'_X\) is a\((NS)wT^2\)space \((NS)\) and only \((NS)\) \((NS-WG)OS(N'_X) = (NS)OS(N'_X)\).

Proof: Necessity:
Let \(R'_1\) be a\((NS-WG)OS\) in \(N'_X\). Then \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS-WG)CS\) in \(N'_X\). By hypothesis \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS)CS\) in \(N'_X\). Therefore \(R'_1\) is a\((NS)OS\) in \(N'_X\). Hence \((NS-WG)OS(N'_X) = (NS)OS(N'_X)\).

Sufficiency:
Let \(R'_1\) be a\((NS-WG)CS\) in \(N'_X\). Then \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS-WG)OS\) in \(N'_X\). By hypothesis \((R'_1)^C\) is a\((NS)OS\) in \(N'_X\). Therefore \(R'_1\) is a\((NS)CS\) in \(N'_X\). Hence \(N'_X\) is a\((NS)wT^2\) space.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented another class of Neutrosophic closed set to be specific \((NS)WG\) closed set and have examined the connection between Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set and other existing Neutrosophic closed sets. Likewise we have explored a portion of the properties of Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set. As an utilization of Neutrosophic weakly generalized closed set we have presented two new spaces specifically \((NS)wT^2\) space and Neutrosophicwg qT ((NS-WG)qT in short) space and concentrated a portion of their properties.
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