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#### Abstract

In this work we present for the first time the concept of literal neutrosophic markov chains and literal plithogenic markov chains. Also, we presented many theorems related to the properties of transition matrix. In literal neutrosophic markov chains we proved that a neutrosophic matrix $M=A+B I$ is a transition matrix if and only if $A$ is a classical transition matrix and $A+B$ is a classical transition matrix. We also proved that multiplication of two neutrosophic transition matrices is again a neutrosophic transition matrix and that the power of a neutrosophic transition matrix is a neutrosophic transition matrix. Finally, we proved that the ( $n$ ) step neutrosophic transition matrix is equivalent to raising the main neutrosophic transition matrix to the power n . In literal plithogenic markov chains which is a generalization of the previous case we proved that $M=A+$ $B P_{1}+C P_{2}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix if and only if all of the matrices $A, A+$ $B, A+B+C$ are transition matrices in classical concept. We also proved that multiplication of two plithogenic transition matrices is a plithogenic transition matrix and that raising a plithogenic transition matrix to a power r will produce a new plithogenic transition matrix. Also, as in neutrosophic case, the ( $n$ ) step plithogenic transition matrix is equivalent to the main plithogenic matrix raised to


[^0]the power n . Theorems were provided with suitable solved examples and problems.
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## 1. Introduction

In the realm of stochastic processes and probability theory, Markov chains stand as a foundational model for understanding the dynamics of sequential events. These chains provide a powerful framework for analyzing various systems, ranging from biological processes to financial markets. However, traditional Markov chains often struggle to capture the inherent uncertainties and ambiguities present in many realworld scenarios. [1]-[5]

This paper delves into the intriguing fusion of two distinct conceptual frameworks, namely plithogenic and neutrosophic, with the well-established Markov chain theory. Plithogenic and neutrosophic concepts extend the conventional notions of truth and falsity to encompass the realm of partial truth and indeterminacy, respectively.[6]-[21] This unique blend of theories offers a promising avenue to model complex systems where inherent vagueness and uncertainty play a significant role.

Throughout this paper, we aim to elucidate the theoretical foundations of plithogenic and neutrosophic Markov chains, shedding light on their mathematical underpinnings and conceptual implications. We will explore how these novel extensions can be seamlessly integrated into traditional Markov chain models which

[^1]have many practical applications across diverse domains such as decision-making, risk assessment, and artificial intelligence.

By merging the realms of classical Markov chains, plithogenic reasoning, and neutrosophic logic, this paper strives to contribute to the advancement of probabilistic modeling in situations where uncertainty and ambiguity are central. Through comprehensive exploration and illustrative examples, we endeavor to demonstrate the utility and significance of these novel frameworks in tackling the intricacies of real-world systems. In doing so, we aim to provide researchers and practitioners with a deeper understanding of the capabilities and limitations of plithogenic and neutrosophic Markov chains, paving the way for more nuanced and accurate modeling in complex and uncertain scenarios.

This work can be considered as a complement to previous works in probability theory and stochastic processes built under symbolic neutrosophic structures and can be also considered as an introduction to related fields such as queueing theory, reliability theory, dynamic systems, etc.[11], [17], [22]-[41]

## 2. Preliminaries

## Definition 2.1

Let $\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{I})=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b I} ; \boldsymbol{I}^{\mathbf{2}}=\boldsymbol{I}\right\}$, we call $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{I})$ the neutrosophic field of reals.

## Definition 2.2

Let $R(I)$ be the neutrosophic field of reals, and let $a_{N}=a_{1}+a_{2} I, b_{N}=b_{1}+$ $\mathrm{b}_{2} I \in R(I)$. We can say that $a_{N} \geq_{N} b_{N}$ if: $a_{1} \geq b_{1}$ and $a_{1}+a_{2} \geq b_{1}+b_{2}$

## Definition 2.3

One-dimensional isometry between $R(I)$ and $R \times R$ and its inverse are defined as follows:
$\boldsymbol{T}: \boldsymbol{R}(I) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R} ; \boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b I})=(a, a+b)$.
$T^{-1}: R \times R \rightarrow R(I) ; T^{-1}(a, b)=a+(b-a) I$.
Definition 2.4

Let $\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, \boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{0}+\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{P}_{2} ; \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{2}=\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, \boldsymbol{P}_{2}^{2}=\boldsymbol{P}_{2}, \boldsymbol{P}_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=\boldsymbol{P}_{2} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}=\boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right\}$, we call $\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, \boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right) \quad$ Plithogenic field of reals.

## Definition 2.5

Let $R\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ be the Plithogenic field of reals, and let $a_{P}=a_{0}+a_{1} P_{1}+$ $a_{2} P_{2}, b_{P}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{1}+b_{2} P_{2} \in R\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$. We say that $a_{P} \geq_{P} b_{P}$ if:
$a_{0} \geq b_{0}, a_{0}+a_{1} \geq b_{0}+b_{1}$ and $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2} \geq b_{0}+b_{1}+b_{2}$

## Definition 2.6

One-dimensional isometry between $\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}, \boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right)$ and the space $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}$ is defined as follows:
$T: R\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right) \rightarrow R \times R \times R ; T\left(a_{0}+a_{1} P_{1}+a_{2} P_{2}\right)=\left(a_{0}, a_{0}+a_{1}, a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}\right)$ $T^{-1}: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right) ; T^{-1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right) P_{1}+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) P_{2}$

## 3. Literal Neutrosophic Markov chains

## Definition 3.1

A set of random variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ satisfying:
$\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}, X_{n-1}=i_{n-1}, \ldots, X_{0}=i_{0}\right\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}\right\}$
is called a literal or symbolic neutrosophic markov chain if the last probability
takes the form $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}\right\}=a+b I ; 0 \leq a \leq 1,0 \leq a+b \leq 1, I^{2}=I$

## Definition 3.2

We call $\boldsymbol{p}_{i j}^{(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{1})}{ }_{\boldsymbol{N}}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { P r }}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{n + 1}}=\boldsymbol{j} \mid \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\boldsymbol{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{I})$ literal or symbolic neutrosophic one-step transition probability.

## Definition 3.3

A squared neutrosophic matrix

$$
M_{N}=A+B I=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j} I\right]_{n \times n}
$$

Is called a neutrosophic markov transition matrix if its elements satisfy:

1. $\sum_{j} a_{i j}+b_{i j} I=1$ $; i=1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
2. $0 \leq_{N} a_{i j}+b_{i j} I \leq_{N} 1$

$$
; i, j=1,2,3, \ldots, n
$$

## Example 3.1

let's take:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.3 \mathrm{I} & 1-0.3 \mathrm{I} \\
0.4+0.2 \mathrm{I} & 0.6-0.2 \mathrm{I}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $M_{N}$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix because:

$$
0.3 \mathrm{I}+1-0.3 \mathrm{I}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad 0.4+0.2 \mathrm{I}+0.6-0.2 \mathrm{I}=1
$$

Also, according to the definition of comparison between Neutrosophic numbers we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0+0.3 I \leq_{N} 1+0 I \text { because } 0 \leq 1 \& 0.3 \leq 1 \\
& 1-0.3 I \leq_{N} 1+0 I \text { because } 1 \leq 1 \& 0.7 \leq 1 \\
& 0.4+0.2 I \leq_{N} 1+0 I \text { because } 0.4 \leq 1 \& 0.6 \leq 1 \\
& 0.6-0.2 I \leq_{N} 1+0 I \text { because } 0.6 \leq 1 \& 0.4 \leq 1 \\
& 0+0 I \leq_{N} 0+0.3 I \text { because } 0 \leq 0 \& 0 \leq 0.3 \\
& 0+0 I \leq_{N} 1-0.3 I \text { because } 0 \leq 1 \& 0 \leq 0.7 \\
& 0+0 I \leq_{N} 0.4+0.2 I \text { because } 0 \leq 0.4 \& 0 \leq 0.6 \\
& 0+0 I \leq_{N} 0.6-0.2 I \text { because } 0 \leq 0.6 \& 0 \leq 0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 3.1

The matrix $M_{N}=A+B I$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix if and only if $A$ is a crisp transition matrix and $A+B$ is a crisp transition matrix.

## Proof

Let's assume that $M_{N}$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix and prove that $A$ and $A+B$ are two transition matrices:
we have $0+0 I \leq_{N} a_{i j}+b_{i j} I \leq_{\mathrm{N}} 1+0 I \quad$ so $a_{i j} \leq 1, a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq$
$a_{i j}$ and $0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \quad$ which means that:
$0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1$
Also, we have $\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{I}\right)=1=1+0 \mathrm{I}$ which means that $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$
0 and $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$
So, we can conclude that:
$0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j} a_{i j}=1 \Rightarrow A$ is a transition matrix.
$0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right)=1 \Rightarrow A+B$ is a transition matrix.
Now, let's assume that both A and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ are transition matrices and prove that $M_{N}=$ $A+B I$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix:
since $A, A+B$ are transition matrices then $0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1,0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1$ which
means that $0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} I \leq 1$
Also, we have $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$ and $\sum_{j}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right)=1$ that yields to the fact
that $\sum_{j} b_{i j}=0$
Then we conclude that $\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{I}\right)=1$ and this proves the theorem.

## Example 3.2

Let's take the matrix:
$M_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 I & 1-0.3 I \\ 0.4+0.2 I & 0.6-0.2 I\end{array}\right]$
that is:
$M_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 & -0.3 \\ 0.2 & -0.2\end{array}\right] I$
$A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6\end{array}\right]$ and $A+B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.4\end{array}\right]$
we note that A and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ are two transition matrices fulfill conditions
$\sum_{j} a_{i j}=1 ; i=1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1 ; i, j=1,2$
$\sum_{j} a_{i j}+b_{i j}=1 ; i=1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1 ; i, j=1,2$

## Theorem 3.2

If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are two neutrosophic transition matrices, then their multiplication is a neutrosophic transition matrix.

## Proof

Let $M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{11}+b_{11} I & a_{12}+b_{12} I \\ a_{21}+b_{21} I & a_{22}+b_{22} I\end{array}\right], M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}c_{11}+d_{11} I & c_{12}+d_{12} I \\ c_{21}+d_{21} I & c_{22}+d_{22} I\end{array}\right]$
$M_{1} . M_{2}$
$=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)\left(c_{11}+d_{11} I\right)+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} I\right)\left(c_{21}+d_{21} I\right) & \left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)\left(c_{12}+d_{12} I\right)+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} I\right)\left(c_{22}\right. \\ \left(a_{21}+b_{21} I\right)\left(c_{11}+d_{11} I\right)+\left(a_{22}+b_{22} I\right)\left(c_{21}+d_{21} I\right) & \left(a_{21}+b_{21} I\right)\left(c_{12}+d_{12} I\right)+\left(a_{22}+b_{22} I\right)\left(c_{22}\right.\end{array}\right.$
Let's check the first condition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)\left(c_{11}+d_{11} I\right)+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} I\right)\left(c_{21}+d_{21} I\right)+\left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)\left(c_{12}+d_{12} I\right)+\left(a_{12}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+b_{12} I\right)\left(c_{22}+d_{22} I\right)= \\
& \left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)\left[\left(c_{11}+d_{11} I\right)+\left(c_{12}+d_{12} I\right)\right]+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} I\right)\left[\left(c_{21}+d_{21} I\right)+\left(c_{22}+d_{22} I\right)\right]= \\
& \left(a_{11}+b_{11} I\right)+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} I\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we find that sum of elements of the second row of matrix $\left(M_{1} \cdot M_{2}\right)$ is 1 Also, since all elements of the matrices $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are positive and since that sum of each row of the matrix $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$ is 1 then we conclude that each element lays between 0 and 1

## Example 3.3

Let $M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\ 0.3+0.1 I & 0.7-0.1 I\end{array}\right], M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.2+0.3 I & 0.8-0.3 I \\ 0.2 I & 1-0.2 I\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1} \cdot M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\
0.3 & +0.1 I \\
0.7-0.1 I
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.2+0.3 I & 0.8-0.3 I \\
0.2 I & 1-0.2 I
\end{array}\right] \\
&=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.32 I+0.06 I^{2} & 1-0.32 I-0,06 I^{2} \\
0.6+0.25 I+0,01 I^{2} & 0.94-0.25 I-0,01 I^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.38 I & 1-0.38 I \\
0.6+0.26 I & 0.31-0.26 I
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the matrix $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$ It is a neutrosophic transition matrix because it satisfies the assumed conditions.

## Definition 3.4

Let $M_{N}=A+B I$ be a neutrosophic matrix and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then:

$$
M_{N}^{r}=A^{r}+I\left[(A+B)^{r}-A^{r}\right]
$$

## Theorem 3.3

If $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$ neutrosophic transition matrix, then $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix.

## Proof

Straight forward by mathematical induction according to theorem 3.2.

## Example 3.4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { Let } M_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\
0.3+0.1 I & 0.7-0.1 I
\end{array}\right] \\
& M_{N}^{2}=M_{N} \cdot M_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\
0.3+0.1 I & 0.7-0.1 I
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\
0.3+0.1 I & 0.7-0.1 I
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.3-0.08 I+0.3 I^{2} & 0.7+0.08 I-0.3 I^{2} \\
0.21+0.22 I+0.05 I^{2} & 0.79-0.22 I-0.05 I^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.3-0.38 I & 0.7+0.38 I \\
0.21+0.27 I & 0.79-0.27 I
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $M_{N}^{2}$ is a neutrosophic transition matrix, also:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{N}^{3}=M_{N}^{2} \cdot M_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.3-0.38 I & 0.7+0.38 I \\
0.21+0.27 I & 0.79-0.27 I
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 I & 1-0.6 I \\
0.3+0.1 I & 0.7-0.1 I
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.21+0.364 I-0.190 I^{2} & 0.79-0.364 I+0.190 I^{2} \\
0.237+0.124 I+0.135 I^{2} & 0.763-0.124 I-0.135 I^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.21+0.174 I & 0.79-0.174 I \\
0.237+0.259 I & 0.763-0.259 I
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $M_{N}^{3}$ is also a neutrosophic transition matrix.

## Theorem 3.4

Let $M_{N}=A+B I$ be a neutrosophic transition matrix and let $M_{N}^{(n)}$ be the ( n ) steps transition matrix then:

$$
M_{N}^{(n)}=M_{N}^{n}
$$

## Proof

By takin the isometric image we have:

$$
T\left(M_{N}^{(n)}\right)=\left(A^{(n)},(A+B)^{(n)}\right)
$$

Since both $A^{(n)},(A+B)^{(n)}$ are transition matrices in classical scene then by the well-known Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem we have:
$A^{(n)}=A^{n},(A+B)^{(n)}=(A+B)^{n}$
Which means that:
$T\left(M_{N}^{(n)}\right)=\left(A^{n},(A+B)^{n}\right)$
Now, taking inverse isometry yields to:
$T^{-1}\left(T\left(M_{N}^{(n)}\right)\right)=A^{n}+\left[(A+B)^{n}-A^{n}\right] I=M^{n}$

## 4. Literal Plithogenic Markov chains

## Definition 4.1

A set of random variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ satisfying:
$\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}, X_{n-1}=i_{n-1}, \ldots, X_{0}=i_{0}\right\}=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}\right\}$
is called a literal or symbolic neutrosophic markov chain if the last probability takes the form $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{n+1}=i_{n+1} \mid X_{n}=i_{n}\right\}=a+\mathrm{b} P_{1}+\mathrm{cP}_{2} ; 0 \leq \mathrm{a} \leq 1,0 \leq \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \leq 1,0 \leq \mathrm{a}+$ $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \leq 1 ; P_{1}^{2}=P_{1}, P_{2}^{2}=P_{2}$,
$P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} P_{1}=P_{2}$

## Definition 4.2

We call $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i j}}^{(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n + 1})}{ }_{\boldsymbol{P}}=\boldsymbol{P r}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{n + 1}}=\boldsymbol{j} \mid \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\boldsymbol{i}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ literal or symbolic plithogenic one-step transition probability.

## Definition 4.3

A squared plithogenic matrix
$M_{N}=A+B P_{1}+C P_{2}=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j} P_{1}+c_{i j} P_{2}\right]_{n \times n}$
Is called a plithogenic markov transition matrix if its elements satisfy:

1. $\sum_{j} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{P}_{2}=1 \quad ; \mathrm{i}=1,2,3, \ldots ., \mathrm{n}$
2. $0 \leq_{\mathrm{p}} a_{i j}+b_{i j} P_{1}+c_{i j} P_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{p}} 1 \quad ; \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \ldots ., \mathrm{n}$

## Example 4.1

let's take: $\quad M_{P}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 P_{1}+0.1 P_{2} & 1-0.3 P_{1}-0.1 P_{2} \\ 0.4+0.2 P_{1}-0.6 P_{2} & 0.6-0.2 P_{1}+0.6 P_{2}\end{array}\right]$
Then $M_{P}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix because:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.3 P_{1}+0.1 P_{2} & +1-0.3 P_{1}-0.1 P_{2} \\
& =1 \text { and } 0.4+0.2 P_{1}-0.6 P_{2}+0.6-0.2 P_{1}+0.6 P_{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, according to the definition of comparison between plithogenic numbers we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0 \leq 1 \& 0.3 \leq 1 \\
& 1-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 1 \leq 1 \& 0.7 \leq 1 \\
& 0.4+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0.4 \leq 1 \& 0.6 \leq 1 \\
& 0.6-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0.6 \leq 1 \& 0.4 \leq 1 \\
& 0+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 P_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0 \leq 0 \& 0 \leq 0.3 \\
& 0+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0 \leq 1 \& 0 \leq 0.7 \\
& 0+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 P_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 0.4+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0 \leq 0.4 \& 0 \leq 0.6 \\
& 0+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 0.6-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2} \text { because } 0 \leq 0.6 \& 0 \leq 0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem4.1

The matrix $M_{P}=A+B P_{1}+C P_{2}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix if and only if $A$ is a crisp transition matrix, $A+B$ is a crisp transition matrix and $A+B+C$ is a crisp transition matrix.

## Proof

Let's assume that $M_{P}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix and prove that $A, A+$ $B$ and $A+B+C$ are transition matrices:
we have $0+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{P}_{2} \leq_{\mathrm{P}} 1+0 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ so $a_{i j} \leq 1, a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq$ 1and $a_{i j}+b_{i j}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1$
$0 \leq a_{i j}, 0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j}$ and $0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ which means that:
$0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1$
Also, we have $\sum_{j}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j} P_{1}+c_{i j} P_{2}\right)=1=1+0 P_{1}+0 P_{2}$ which means
that $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0 \quad \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$
So, we can conclude that:
$0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j} a_{i j}=1 \Rightarrow A$ is a transition matrix.
$0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{j}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right)=1 \Rightarrow A+B$ is a transition matrix.
$0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1$ and $\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ transition matrix.
Now, let's assume that both $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ are transition matrices and prove that $M_{P}=A+B P_{1}+C P_{2}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix:
since $A, A+B, A+B+C$ are transition matrices then $0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1,0 \leq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1,0 \leq$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq 1$ which means that $0 \leq_{\mathrm{P}} a_{i j}+b_{i j} P_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} P_{2} \leq_{P} 1$
Also, we have $\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1, \sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=1$ and $\sum_{j}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=1$ that yields to the fact that $\sum_{j} b_{i j}=0$ and $\sum_{j} c_{i j}=0$
Then we conclude that $\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}} P_{2}\right)=1$ and this proves the theorem.

## Example 4.2

Let's take the matrix:
$M_{P}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.4+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.6-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.6 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
that is:
$M_{P}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 & -0.3 \\ 0.2 & -0.2\end{array}\right] P_{1}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.1 & -0.1 \\ -0.6 & 0.6\end{array}\right] P_{2}$
$A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0.4 & 0.6\end{array}\right]$ and $A+B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.3 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.4\end{array}\right]$ and $A+B+C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow$
we note that $A, A+B$ and $A+B+C$ are transition matrices fulfill conditions
$\sum_{j} a_{i j}=1 ; i=1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{i j} \leq 1 ; i, j=1,2$
$\sum_{j} a_{i j}+b_{i j}=1 ; i=1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j} \leq 1 ; i, j=1,2$
$\sum_{j} a_{i j}+b_{i j}+c_{i j}=1 \quad ; i=1,2 \quad 0 \leq a_{i j}+b_{i j}+c_{i j} \leq 1 \quad ; i, j=1,2$

## Theorem 4.2

If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are two plithogenic transition matrices, then their multiplication is a plithogenic transition matrix.

## Proof

## Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}_{11}+\mathrm{b}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2} & \mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \\
\mathrm{a}_{21}+\mathrm{b}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{2} & \mathrm{a}_{22}+\mathrm{b}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right] M_{2} \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d}_{11}+\mathrm{e}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2} & \mathrm{~d}_{12}+\mathrm{e}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2} \\
\mathrm{~d}_{21}+\mathrm{e}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{2} & \mathrm{~d}_{22}+\mathrm{e}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& M_{1} \cdot M_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\left(a_{11}+b_{11} P_{1}+c_{11} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{11}+e_{11} P_{1}+f_{11} P_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} P_{1}+c_{12} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{21}+e_{21} P_{1}+f_{21} P_{2}\right) \\
& y=\left(a_{11}+b_{11} P_{1}+c_{11} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{12}+e_{12} P_{1}+f_{12} P_{2}\right)+\left(a_{12}+b_{12} P_{1}+c_{12} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{22}+e_{22} P_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f_{22} P_{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{z}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{21}+b_{21} P_{1}+c_{21} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{11}+e_{11} P_{1}+f_{11} P_{2}\right)+\left(a_{22}+b_{22} P_{1}+c_{22} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{21}+e_{21} P_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f_{21} P_{2}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{w}= & \left(a_{21}+b_{21} P_{1}+c_{21} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{12}+e_{12} P_{1}+f_{12} P_{2}\right)+\left(a_{22}+b_{22} P_{1}+c_{22} P_{2}\right)\left(d_{22}+e_{22} P_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f_{22} P_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's check the condition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{a}_{11}+\mathrm{b}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{11}+\mathrm{e}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{21}+\mathrm{e}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{f}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11}+\mathrm{b}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{12}+\mathrm{e}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{d}_{22}+\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{e}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{a}_{11}+\mathrm{b}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{d}_{11}+\mathrm{e}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{d}_{12}+\mathrm{e}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \\
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{d}_{21}+\mathrm{e}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{21} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{d}_{22}+\mathrm{e}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad=\left(\mathrm{a}_{11}+\mathrm{b}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{11} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{12} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we find that sum of elements of the second row of matrix $\left(M_{1} \cdot M_{2}\right)$ is 1 Also, since all elements of the matrices $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are positive and since that sum of each row of the matrix $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$ is 1 then we conclude that each element lays between 0 and 1

## Example 4.3

Let

$$
M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\
0.3+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.7-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right], M_{2}=
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.2+0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.8-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\
0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.3 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.3+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.7-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.2+0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.8-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.3 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.3 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.06 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(0.32-0.22 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.34 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.08 \mathrm{P}_{2}^{2} & -0.06 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(-0.32+0.22 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.34 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.01 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(0.25-0.09 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.06+0.08 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.20 \mathrm{P}_{2}^{2} & -0.01 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(-0.25+0.09 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.94-0 . \\ 0.06 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(0.32 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.22 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+0.34 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.08 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.06 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(-0.32 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.22 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)-0.34 \mathrm{P}_{2}+ \\ 0.01 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(0.25 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.09 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+0.06+0.08 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.20 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.01 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(-0.25 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.09 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+0.94-0.0\end{array}\right.$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.38 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.04 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.38 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.04 \mathrm{P}_{2}+1 \\ 0.26 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.06+0.19 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.26 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.94-0.19 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
Note that the matrix $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}$ It is a plithogenic transition matrix because it satisfies
the assumed conditions.

## Definition 4.4

Let $M_{P}=A+B P_{1}+C P_{2}$ be a plithogenic matrix and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then:

$$
M_{P}^{r}=A^{r}+P_{1}\left[(A+B)^{r}-A^{r}\right]+P_{2}\left[(A+B+C)^{r}-(A+B)^{r}\right]
$$

## Theorem 4.3

If $M_{P}$ plithogenic transition matrix, then $M_{P}^{r}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix.

## Proof

Straight forward by mathematical induction according to theorem 4.2.

## Example 4.4

Let $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.3+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.7-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
$M_{P}^{2}=M_{P} . M_{P}$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.3+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.7-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 1-0.6 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.2 \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ 0.3+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2} & 0.7-0.1 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.5 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.30 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(0.52 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.08\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.14 \mathrm{P}_{2}^{2}+0.3-0.56 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.30 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(0.08-0.52 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.56 \mathrm{P}_{2}- \\ 0.05 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(0.22-0.18 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.14 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.35 \mathrm{P}_{2}^{2}+0.21 & -0.05 \mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}+\left(-0.22+0.18 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.79+\mathrm{c}\end{array}\right.$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.30 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(0.52 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.08 \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)+0.14 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.3-0.56 \mathrm{P}_{2} & -0.30 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(0.08 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.52 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+0.56 \mathrm{P}_{2}- \\ 0.05 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(0.22 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.18 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)-0.14 \mathrm{P}_{2}-0.35 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.21 & -0.05 \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left(-0.22 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.18 \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)+0.79+0 .\end{array}\right.$
$=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.22 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.1 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.3 & -0.22 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0 . \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.7 \\ 0.27 \mathrm{P}_{1}-0.67 \mathrm{P}_{2}+0.21 & -0.27 \mathrm{P}_{1}+0.79+0.67 \mathrm{P}_{2}\end{array}\right]$
Notice that $M_{N}^{2}$ is a plithogenic transition matrix.

## Theorem 4.4

Let $M_{P}=A+B P_{1}+C P_{2}$ be a plithogenic transition matrix and let $M_{P}^{(n)}$ be the (n) steps transition matrix then:

$$
M_{P}^{(n)}=M_{P}^{n}
$$

## Proof

By takin the isometric image we have:

$$
T\left(M_{P}^{(n)}\right)=\left(A^{(n)},(A+B)^{(n)},(A+B+C)^{(n)}\right)
$$

Since $A^{(n)},(A+B)^{(n)},(A+B+C)^{(n)}$ are transition matrices in classical scene then by the well-known Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem we have:
$A^{(n)}=A^{n},(A+B)^{(n)}=(A+B)^{n},(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})^{(\mathrm{n})}=(A+B+C)^{n}$
Which means that:
$T\left(M_{P}^{(n)}\right)=\left(A^{n},(A+B)^{n},(A+B+C)^{n}\right)$
Now, taking inverse isometry yields to:
$T^{-1}\left(T\left(M_{P}^{(n)}\right)\right)=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}+\left[(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}\right] \mathrm{P}_{1}+\left[(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})^{\mathrm{n}}-(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{n}}\right] \mathrm{P}_{2}=M^{n}$

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper pioneers the integration of symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic concepts into the well-established framework of Markov chains, yielding a profound extension that encapsulates the nuances of uncertainty and ambiguity. Through a meticulous presentation of eight theorems, we have established a bridge between these novel matrices and their classical counterparts, revealing their intrinsic alignment. The introduced operations of matrix exponentiation and multiplication further amplify the versatility of these frameworks, enabling the exploration of complex system dynamics under varying degrees of indeterminacy. Moreover, the adaptation of Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem to the symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic domains augments our ability to analyze state transitions in environments laden with partial truth. This
study not only advances the theoretical frontiers of probabilistic modeling but also lays a fertile ground for practical applications across disciplines such as decision analysis, risk assessment, and artificial intelligence. As the confluence of traditional and innovative theories continues to shape the landscape of uncertainty modeling, symbolic neutrosophic and plithogenic Markov chains stand poised to offer invaluable insights into the intricate fabric of real-world systems.
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