Soft Neutrosophic Loops and Their Generalization

Mumtaz Ali¹, Christopher Dyer², Muhammad Shabir³ and Florentin Smarandache⁴

¹,³Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan. E-mail: mumtazali770@yahoo.com, mshbirbhatti@yahoo.co.uk
²,⁴University of New Mexico, 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, New Mexico 87301, USA. E-mail: cdyer@unm.edu, fsmarandache@gmail.com

Abstract. Soft set theory is a general mathematical tool for dealing with uncertain, fuzzy, not clearly defined objects. In this paper we introduced soft neutrosophic loop, soft neutrosophic biloop, soft neutrosophic N-loop with the discussion of some of their characteristics. We also introduced a new type of soft neutrosophic loop, the so-called soft strong neutrosophic loop which is of pure neutrosophic character. This notion also found in all the other corresponding notions of soft neutrosophic theory. We also given some of their properties of this newly born soft structure related to the strong part of neutrosophic theory.
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1 Introduction

Florentin Smarandache for the first time introduced the concept of neutrosophy in 1995, which is basically a new branch of philosophy which actually studies the origin, nature, and scope of neutralities. The neutrosophic logic came into being by neutrosophy. In neutrosophic logic, each proposition is approximated to have the percentage of truth in a subset \( T \), the percentage of indeterminacy in a subset \( I \), and the percentage of falsity in a subset \( F \). Neutrosophic logic is an extension of fuzzy logic. In fact the neutrosophic set is the generalization of classical set, fuzzy conventional set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, and interval valued fuzzy set. Neutrosophic logic is used to overcome the problems of impreciseness, indeterminate, and inconsistency of date etc. The theory of neutrosophy is so applicable to every field of algebra. W.B. Vasantha Kandasamy and Florentin Smarandache introduced neutrosophic fields, neutrosophic rings, neutrosophic vector spaces, neutrosophic groups, neutrosophic bigroups and neutrosophic \( N \)-groups, neutrosophic semigroups, neutrosophic bisemigroups, and neutrosophic \( N \) -semigroups, neutrosophic loops, neutrosophic biloops, and neutrosophic \( N \) -loops, and so on. Mumtaz Ali et al. introduced neutrosophic \( LA \) -semigroups.

Molodtsov introduced the theory of soft set. This mathematical tool is free from parameterization inadequacy, syndrome of fuzzy set theory, rough set theory, probability theory and so on. This theory has been applied successfully in many fields such as smoothness of functions, game theory, operation reaserch, Riemann integration, Perron integration, and probability. Recently soft set theory attained much attention of the researchers since its appearance and the work based on several operations of soft set introduced in [2, 9, 10]. Some properties and algebra may be found in [1]. Feng et al. introduced soft semirings in [5]. By means of level soft sets an adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set can be seen in [6]. Some other concepts together with fuzzy set and rough set were shown in [7, 8].

This paper is about to introduced soft neutrosophic loop, soft neutrosophic biloop, and soft neutrosophic \( N \) -loop and the related strong or pure part of neutrosophy with the notions of soft set theory. In the proceeding section, we define soft neutrosophic loop, soft neutrosophic strong loop, and some of their properties are discussed. In the next section, soft neutrosophic biloop are presented with their strong neutrosophic part. Also in this section some of their characterization have been made. In the last section soft neutrosophic \( N \) -loop and their correponding strong theory have been constructed with some of their properties.

2 Fundamental Concepts

Neutrosophic Loop

Definition 1. A neutrosophic loop is generated by a loop \( L \) and \( I \) denoted by \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). A neutrosophic loop in general need not be a loop for \( I^2 = I \) and \( I \) may not have an inverse but every element in a loop has an inverse.
Definition 2. Let \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) be a neutrosophic loop. A proper subset \( \langle P \cup I \rangle \) of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is called the neutrosophic subloop, if \( \langle P \cup I \rangle \) is itself a neutrosophic loop under the operations of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

Definition 3. Let \( \langle (L \cup I), \circ \rangle \) be a neutrosophic loop of finite order. A proper subset \( P \) of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is said to be Lagrange neutrosophic subloop, if \( P \) is a neutrosophic subloop under the operation \( \circ \) and \( o(P) / o(L \cup I) \).

Definition 4. If every neutrosophic subloop of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is Lagrange then we call \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) to be a Lagrange neutrosophic loop.

Definition 5. If \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) has no Lagrange neutrosophic subloop then we call \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) to be a Lagrange free neutrosophic loop.

Definition 6. If \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) has atleast one Lagrange neutrosophic subloop then we call \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) to be a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic loop.

Neutrosophic Biloops

Definition 6. Let \( \langle (B \cup I), *, _1, _2 \rangle \) be a non-empty neutrosophic set with two binary operations \( *, _1, _2 \). \( \langle B \cup I \rangle \) is a neutrosophic biloop if the following conditions are satisfied.
1. \( \langle B \cup I \rangle = P_1 \cup P_2 \) where \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are proper subsets of \( \langle B \cup I \rangle \).
2. \( (P_1, *) \) is a neutrosophic loop.
3. \( (P_2, *_2) \) is a group or a loop.

Definition 7. Let \( \langle (B \cup I), *, _1, _2 \rangle \) be a neutrosophic biloop. A proper subset \( P \) of \( \langle B \cup I \rangle \) is said to be a neutrosophic subbiloop of \( \langle B \cup I \rangle \) if \( (P, *, _1, _2) \) is itself a neutrosophic biloop under the operations of \( \langle B \cup I \rangle \).

Definition 8. Let \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *, _1, _2) \) be a finite neutrosophic biloop. Let \( P = (P_1 \cup P_2, *, _1, _2) \) be a neutrosophic biloop. If \( o(P) / o(B) \) then we call \( P \) a Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloop of \( B \).

Definition 9. If every neutrosophic subbiloop of \( B \) is Lagrange then we call \( B \) to be a Lagrange neutrosophic biloop.

Definition 10. If \( B \) has atleast one Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloop then we call \( B \) to be a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop.

Definition 11. If \( B \) has no Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloops then we call \( B \) to be a Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop.

Neutrosophic N-loop

Definition 12. Let \( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n), _1, _2, _3, ..., _N \} \) be a non-empty neutrosophic set with \( N \) binary operations. \( S(B) \) is a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop if \( S(B) = S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n) \). \( S(B) \) are proper subsets of \( S(B) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq N \) and some of \( S(B_i) \) are neutrosophic loops and some of the \( S(B_i) \) are groups.

Definition 13. Let \( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n), _1, _2, _3, ..., _N \} \) be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop. A proper subset \( (P, _1, _2, _3, ..., _N) \) of \( S(B) \) is said to be a neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop of \( S(B) \) if \( P \) itself is a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop under the operations of \( S(B) \).

Definition 14. Let \( (L = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, _1, _2, _3, ..., _N) \) be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop of finite order. Suppose \( P \) is a proper subset of \( L \), which is a neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop. If \( o(P) / o(L) \) then we call \( P \) a Lagrange neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop.

Definition 15. If every neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop is Lagrange then we call \( L \) to be a Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop.
Definition 16. If \( L \) has at least one Lagrange neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop then we call \( L \) to be a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop.

Definition 17. If \( L \) has no Lagrange neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop then we call \( L \) to be a Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop.

Soft Sets

Throughout this subsection \( U \) refers to an initial universe, \( E \) is a set of parameters, \( P(U) \) is the power set of \( U \), and \( A, B \subseteq E \). Molodtsov defined the soft set in the following manner:

Definition 7. A pair \((F, A)\) is called a soft set over \( U \) where \( F \) is a mapping given by \( F : A \rightarrow P(U) \).

In other words, a soft set over \( U \) is a parameterized family of subsets of the universe \( U \). For \( a \in A \), \( F(a) \) may be considered as the set of \( a \)-elements of the soft set \((F, A)\), or as the set of \( a \)-approximate elements of the soft set.

Example 1. Suppose that \( U \) is the set of shops. \( E \) is the set of parameters and each parameter is a word or sentence. Let

\[
E = \left\{ \text{high rent}, \text{normal rent}, \text{in good condition}, \text{in bad condition} \right\}.
\]

Let us consider a soft set \((F, A)\) which describes the attractiveness of shops that Mr. Z is taking on rent. Suppose that there are five houses in the universe \( U = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\} \) under consideration, and that \( A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \) be the set of parameters where

- \( a_1 \) stands for the parameter ‘high rent’,
- \( a_2 \) stands for the parameter ‘normal rent’,
- \( a_3 \) stands for the parameter ‘in good condition’.

Suppose that

\[
F(a_1) = \{s_1, s_4\},
F(a_2) = \{s_2, s_5\},
F(a_3) = \{s_3\}.
\]

The soft set \((F, A)\) is an approximated family \(\{F(a_i)\}, i = 1, 2, 3\) of subsets of the set \(U\) which gives us a collection of approximate description of an object. Then \((F, A)\) is a soft set as a collection of approximations over \( U \), where

\[
F(a_1) = \text{high rent} = \{s_1, s_4\},
F(a_2) = \text{normal rent} = \{s_2, s_5\},
F(a_3) = \text{in good condition} = \{s_3\}.
\]
Definition 13. The extended union of two soft sets 
\((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) over a common universe \(U\) is the 
soft set \((H, D)\), where \(D = A \cup C\) , and for all 
c \(D\), \(H(c)\) is defined as
\[
H(c) = \begin{cases} 
F(c) & \text{if } c \in A - C, \\
K(c) & \text{if } c \in C - A, \\
F(c) \cup K(c) & \text{if } c \in A \cap C.
\end{cases}
\]
We write \((F, A) \cup_{R} (K, C) = (H, D)\).

3 Soft Neutrosophic Loop

Definition 14. Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) be a neutrosophic loop and 
\((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is 
called soft neutrosophic loop if and only if \(F(a)\) is neutro-
sophic subloop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

Example 2. Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle = \langle L, (4) \cup I \rangle\) be a neutro-
sophic loop where \(L, (4)\) is a loop. Then \((F, A)\) is a soft 
neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\), where
\[
F(a_1) = \{\langle e, 1, 2, 2I \rangle \}, F(a_2) = \{\langle e, 3 \rangle \}, \\
F(a_3) = \{\langle e, eI \rangle \}.
\]

Theorem 1. Every soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) 
contains a soft loop over \(L\).

Proof. The proof is straightforward.

Proposition 1. The extended intersection of two soft neu-
trosophic loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft neutrosophic loop 
over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

Remark 2. The restricted union of two soft neutrosophic 
loops \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is not a soft 
neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

One can easily check it by the help of example.

Proposition 2. The restricted intersection of two soft neu-
trosophic loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft neutrosophic loop 
over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

Remark 3. The \(OR\) operation of two soft neutosophic 
loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) may not be a soft nuterosophic loop 
over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

Definition 15. Let 
\(\langle L_n (m) \cup I \rangle = \{e, 1, 2, ..., n, eI, 1I, 2I, ..., nI\}\) be a 
new class of neutrosophic loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft neutro-
sophic loop over \(\langle L_n (m) \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called 
soft new class neutrosophic loop if \(F(a)\) is a neutrosophic 
subloop of \(\langle L_n (m) \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

Example 3. Let
\begin{align*}
\{L_5(3) \cup I\} &= \{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, e l, 11, 21, 31, 41, 51\} \text{ be a new class of neutrosophic loop. Let} \\
A &= \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5\} \text{ be a set of parameters. Then} \\
(F, A) \text{ is soft new class neutrosophic loop over} \\
\{L_5(3) \cup I\}, \text{ where} \\
F(a_1) &= \{e, e l, 1, 11\}, \quad F(a_2) = \{e, e l, 2, 21\}, \\
F(a_3) &= \{e, e l, 3, 31\}, \quad F(a_4) = \{e, e l, 4, 41\}, \\
F(a_5) &= \{e, e l, 5, 51\}.
\end{align*}

**Theorem 4.** Every soft new class neutrosophic loop over 
\(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over 
\(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\) but the converse is not true.

**Proposition 4.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft new class neutrosophic loops over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\). Then

1) Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_k (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).
2) Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_k (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).
3) Their \textbf{AND} operation \((F, A) \land (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).

**Remark 4.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft new class neutrosophic loops over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\). Then

1) Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_k (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).
2) Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_k (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).
3) Their \textbf{OR} operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic loop over \(\{L_n(m) \cup I\}\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 16.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called the identity soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) if \(F(a) = \{e\}\) for all \(a \in A\), where \(e\) is the identity element of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

**Definition 17.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called an absolute soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) if \(F(a) = \{L \cup I\}\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Definition 18.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((H, C)\) is called a soft neutrosophic subloop of \((F, A)\), if

1. \(H(a)\) is a neutrosophic subloop of \(F(a)\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Example 4.** Consider the neutrosophic loop
\[\langle L_{35}(2) \cup I \rangle = \{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 15, e l, 11, 21, 31, 41, 51\}\]
of order 32. Let \(A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}\) be a set of parameters.

Then \((F, A)\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L_{35}(2) \cup I \rangle\), where
\[F(a_1) = \{e, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, e l, 21, 51, 81, 111, 141\},\]
\[F(a_2) = \{e, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14\},\]
\[F(a_3) = \{e, 3, e l, 31\}.

Thus \((H, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic subloop of \((F, A)\) over \(\langle L_{35}(2) \cup I \rangle\), where
\[H(a_1) = \{e, e l, 21, 51, 81, 111, 141\},\]
\[H(a_2) = \{e, 3\}.

**Theorem 5.** Every soft loop over \(L\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

**Definition 19.** Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) be a neutrosophic loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called a soft normal neutrosophic loop if and only if \(F(a)\) is normal neutrosophic subloop of \(\{L \cup I\}\) for all

\[
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Let \( a \in A \).

**Example 5.** Let \( L_5(3) \cup I = \{ e, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, eI, 1I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 5I \} \) be a neutrosophic loop. Let \( A = \{ a_1, a_2, a_3 \} \) be a set of parameters. Then clearly \( (F, A) \) is soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L_5(3) \cup I \), where

\[
F(a_1) = \{ e, eI, 1, 1I \}, \quad F(a_2) = \{ e, eI, 2, 2I \}, \quad F(a_3) = \{ e, eI, 3, 3I \}.
\]

**Theorem 6.** Every soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \) but the converse is not true.

**Proposition 5.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft normal neutrosophic loops over \( L \cup I \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap (K, C) \) is a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap (K, C) \) is a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
3. Their AND operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).

**Remark 5.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft normal neutrosophic loops over \( L \cup I \). Then

1. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup (K, C) \) is not a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
2. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup (K, C) \) is not a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
3. Their OR operation \( (F, A) \vee (K, C) \) is not a soft normal neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 20.** Let \( L \cup I \) be a neutrosophic loop and \( (F, A) \) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \). Then \( (F, A) \) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop if \( F(a) \) is a Lagrange neutrosophic subloop of \( L \cup I \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Example 6.** In Example (1), \( (F, A) \) is a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).

**Theorem 7.** Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 8.** If \( L \cup I \) is a Lagrange neutrosophic loop, then \( (F, A) \) over \( L \cup I \) is a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 6.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic loops over \( L \cup I \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
3. Their AND operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
4. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
5. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).
6. Their OR operation \( (F, A) \vee (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \( L \cup I \).

One can easily verify (1),(2),(3),(4),(5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 21.** Let \( L \cup I \) be a neutrosophic loop and
\((F,A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then 
\((F,A)\) is called soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop if 
albeit one \(F(a)\) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic subloop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for some \(a \in A\).

**Example 7.** Consider the neutrosophic loop 
\[\langle L_5(2) \cup I \rangle = \{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 15, eI, 1I, 2I, ..., 14I, 15I\}\] 
of order 32. Let \(A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}\) be a set of parameters. 
Then \((F,A)\) is a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L_5(2) \cup I \rangle\), where 
\[F(a_1) = \{e, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 2I, 5I, 8I, 11I, 14I\},\] 
\[F(a_2) = \{e, 3, 6, 9, 12\},\] 
\[F(a_3) = \{e, 4, 7, 10, 13\}.

**Theorem 9.** Every soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 10.** If \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop, then \((F,A)\) over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is also soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 7.** Let \((F,A)\) and \((K,C)\) be two soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F,A) \cap_E (K,C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F,A) \cap_R (K,C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
3. Their AND operation \((F,A) \land (K,C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
4. Their extended union \((F,A) \cup_E (K,C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
5. Their restricted union \((F,A) \cup_R (K,C)\) is not

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 22.** Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) be a neutrosophic loop and 
\((F,A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then 
\((F,A)\) is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop if 
\(F(a)\) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic subloop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Theorem 11.** Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 12.** If \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a Lagrange free neutrosophic loop, then \((F,A)\) over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is also a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 8.** Let \((F,A)\) and \((K,C)\) be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F,A) \cap_E (K,C)\) is not a soft Lagrange soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F,A) \cap_R (K,C)\) is not a soft Lagrange soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
3. Their AND operation \((F,A) \land (K,C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
4. Their extended union \((F,A) \cup_E (K,C)\) is not a soft Lagrange soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
5. Their restricted union \((F,A) \cup_R (K,C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**4 Soft Neutrosophic Strong Loop**

**Definition 23.** Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) be a neutrosophic loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft neutrosophic strong loop if and only if \(F(a)\) is a strong neutrosophic subloop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Proposition 6.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_e (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \land (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

**Remark 9.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then

1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_e (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 24.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((H, C)\) is called soft neutrosophic strong subloop of \((F, A)\), if

1. \(C \subseteq A\).
2. \(H(a)\) is a neutrosophic strong subloop of \(F(a)\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Definition 25.** Let \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) be a neutrosophic strong loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop if \(F(a)\) is a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subloop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Theorem 13.** Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 14.** If \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop, then \((F, A)\) over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is a soft Lagrange neutrosophic loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 10.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_e (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange strong neutrosophic loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \land (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_e (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 26.** Let \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) be a neutrosophic strong loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop if atleast one \( F(a) \) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subloop of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) for some \( a \in A \).

**Theorem 15.** Every soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 16.** If \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop, then \((F, A)\) over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is also soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 11.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loops over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft weak Lagrange neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 27.** Let \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) be a neutrosophic strong loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop if \( F(a) \) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subloop of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Theorem 17.** Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is a soft neutrosophic loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 18.** If \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is a Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop, then \((F, A)\) over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is also a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 12.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loops over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
\( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Soft Neutrosophic Biloop**

**Definition 27.** Let \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \) be a neutrosophic biloop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( \langle B \cup I, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

Then \((F, A)\) is called soft neutrosophic biloop if and only if \(F(a)\) is a neutrosophic subbiloop of \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \) for all \(a \in A\).

**Example 8.** Let \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle = (\{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, eI, 1I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 5I\} \cup \{g : g \in G\}, e, eI) \)

be a neutrosophic biloop. Let \( A = \{a_1, a_2\} \) be a set of parameters. Then \((F, A)\) is clearly soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \), where

\[
F(a_1) = \{e, 2, eI, 2I\} \cup \{g^2, g^4, e\},
F(a_2) = \{e, 3, eI, 3I\} \cup \{g^3, e\}.
\]

**Theorem 19.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, A)\) be two soft neutrosophic biloops over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then their intersection \((F, A) \cap (H, A)\) is again a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Theorem 20.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, A)\) be two soft neutrosophic biloops over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \) such that

\( A \cap C = \emptyset \). Then their union is soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 7.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic biloops over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_e (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

**Remark 13.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic biloops over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_{e} (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).
3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 28.** Let \( B = \langle (L_n(m) \cup I) \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) be a new class neutrosophic biloop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( B = \langle (L_n(m) \cup I) \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then

\( B = \langle (L_n(m) \cup I) \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) is called soft new class neutrosophic subbiloop if and only if \( F(a) \) is a neutrosophic subbiloop over \( \langle (B \cup I), *_1, *_2 \rangle \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Example 9.** Let \( B = \langle B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) be a new class neutrosophic biloop, where

\( B_1 = \langle L_5(3) \cup I \rangle = \{e, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, eI, 2I, 3I, 4I, 5I, 1I\} \)

be a new class of neutrosophic loop and

\( B_2 = \{g : g^{12} = e\} \) is a group.

\( \{e, eI, 1, 1I\} \cup \{1, g^6\} \),

\( \{e, el, 2, 2I\} \cup \{1, g^2, g^4, g^6, g^8, g^{10}\} \),

\( \{e, el, 3, 3I\} \cup \{1, g^3, g^6, g^9\} \),

\( \{e, el, 4, 4I\} \cup \{1, g^4, g^8, g^{12}\} \),

\( \{e, el, 5, 5I\} \cup \{1, g^5, g^9, g^{13}\} \).
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\( \langle e, e, l, 4, 4l \rangle \cup \{1, g^4, g^8\} \) are neutrosophic subloops of \( B \). Let \( A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \) be a set of parameters. Then \((F, A)\) is soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B \), where
\[
F(a_i) = \{e, e, l, 1, 1l \cup \{e, g^6\},
F(a_2) = \{e, e, l, 2, 2I \cup \{e, g^2, g^4, g^6, g^8, g^{10}\},
F(a_3) = \{e, e, l, 3, 3I \cup \{e, g^3, g^6, g^6\},
F(a_4) = \{e, e, l, 4, 4I \cup \{e, g^4, g^8\}.
\]

**Theorem 21.** Every soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) is trivially a soft neutrosophic biloop over but the converse is not true.

**Proposition 8.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft new class neutrosophic biloops over \( B = \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

**Remark 14.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft new class neutrosophic biloops over \( B = \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

2. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \cup (K, C)\) is not a soft new class neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle \langle L_n(m) \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 29.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then \((F, A)\) is called the identity soft neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) if \( F(a) = \{e_1, e_2\} \) for all \( a \in A \), where \( e_1, e_2 \) are the identities of \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) respectively.

**Definition 30.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then \((F, A)\) is called an absolute-soft neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) if \( F(a) = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Definition 31.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic biloops over \( B = \langle B_1 \cup I \rangle \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \). Then \((H, C)\) is called soft neutrosophic subbiloop of \((F, A)\), if

1. \( C \subseteq A \).

2. \( H(a) \) is a neutrosophic subbiloop of \( F(a) \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Example 10.** Let \( B = \langle B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2 \rangle \) be a neutrosophic biloop, where \( B_1 = \langle L_2(3) \cup I \rangle = \{e, l, 2, 3, 4, 5, e, 1, 2, l, 3, l, 4, l, 5, l\} \) be a new class of neutrosophic loop and \( B_2 = \{g : g^{12} = e\} \) is a group. Let \( A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \) be a set of parameters. Then \((F, A)\) is soft neutrosophic biloop over \( B \), where
\[
F(a_i) = \{e, e, l, 1, 1l \cup \{e, g^6\},
F(a_2) = \{e, e, l, 2, 2I \cup \{e, g^2, g^4, g^6, g^8, g^{10}\},
F(a_3) = \{e, e, l, 3, 3I \cup \{e, g^3, g^6, g^6\},
F(a_4) = \{e, e, l, 4, 4l \cup \{e, g^4, g^8\}.
\]

Then \((H, C)\) is soft neutrosophic subbiloop of \((F, A)\), where
Definition 32. Let \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) be a neutrosophic biloop and \( (F, A) \) be a soft set over \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \). Then \( (F, A) \) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop if and only if \( F(a) \) is Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloop of \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) for all \( a \in A \).

Example 11. Let \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2) \) be a neutrosophic biloop of order 20, where \( B_1 = \langle L_5(3) \cup I \rangle \) and \( B_2 = \{g : g^8 = e\} \). Then clearly \( (F, A) \) is a soft Lagrange soft neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \), where

\[
F(a_1) = \{e, eI, 2, 2I\} \cup \{e\},
F(a_2) = \{e, eI, 3, 3I\} \cup \{e\}.
\]

Theorem 22. Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) is a soft neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

Remark 15. Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloops over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_e (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_R (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
3. Their AND operation \( (F, A) \land (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
4. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup_e (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
5. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup_R (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
6. Their OR operation \( (F, A) \lor (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

Definition 33. Let \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) be a neutrosophic biloop and \( (F, A) \) be a soft set over \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \). Then \( (F, A) \) is called soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop if atleast one \( F(a) \) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloop of \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) for some \( a \in A \).

Example 12. Let \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2) \) be a neutrosophic biloop of order 20, where \( B_1 = \langle L_5(3) \cup I \rangle \) and \( B_2 = \{g : g^8 = e\} \). Then clearly \( (F, A) \) is a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( \langle B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \), where

\[
F(a_1) = \{e, eI, 2, 2I\} \cup \{e\},
F(a_2) = \{e, eI, 3, 3I\} \cup \{e^1\}.
\]

Theorem 23. Every soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) is a soft neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

Theorem 24. If \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \) is a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop, then \( (F, A) \) over \( B \) is also a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not holds.

Remark 16. Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloops over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_e (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over \( B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_R (K, C) \)
is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

3. Their AND operation $(F, A) \land (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

4. Their extended union $(F, A) \cup_E (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

5. Their restricted union $(F, A) \cap_R (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

6. Their OR operation $(F, A) \lor (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 34.** Let $\langle (B \cup I), \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$ be a neutrosophic biloop and $(F, A)$ be a soft set over $\langle (B \cup I), \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$. Then $(F, A)$ is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop if and only if $F(a)$ is not a Lagrange neutrosophic subbiloop of $(B \cup I, \ast_1, \ast_2)$ for all $a \in A$.

**Example 13.** Let $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2)$ be a neutrosophic biloop of order 20, where $B_1 = \langle L_3(3) \cup I \rangle$ and $B_2 = \{g : g^8 = e\}$. Then clearly $(F, A)$ is a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $\langle (B \cup I), \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$, where $F(a_1) = \{e, eI, 2, 2I\} \cup \{e, g^2, g^4, g^6\}$, $F(a_2) = \{e, eI, 3, 3I\} \cup \{e, g^4\}$.

**Theorem 25.** Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$ is a soft neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 26.** If $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$ is a Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop, then $(F, A)$ over $B$ is also soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not holds.

**Remark 17.** Let $(F, A)$ and $(K, C)$ be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloops over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$. Then

1. Their extended intersection $(F, A) \cap_E (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

2. Their restricted intersection $(F, A) \cap_R (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

3. Their AND operation $(F, A) \land (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

4. Their extended union $(F, A) \cup_E (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

5. Their restricted union $(F, A) \cap_R (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

6. Their OR operation $(F, A) \lor (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic biloop over $B = \langle (B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2 \rangle$.

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Soft Neutrosophic Strong Biloop**

**Definition 35.** Let $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2)$ be a neutrosophic biloop where $B_1$ is a neutrosophic biloop and $B_2$ is a neutrosophic group and $(F, A)$ be soft set over $B$. Then $(F, A)$ over $B$ is called soft neutrosophic strong biloop if and only if $F(a)$ is a neutrosophic strong subbiloop of $B$ for all $a \in A$.

**Example 14.** Let $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, \ast_1, \ast_2)$ where $B_1 = \langle L_3(2) \cup I \rangle$ is a neutrosophic loop and $B_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 21, 31, 41\}$ under multiplication modulo 5 is a neutrosophic group. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$ be a set of parameters. Then $(F, A)$ is soft neutrosophic strong biloop over $B$, where
is a neutrosophic bi-loop but the converse is not true.

Theorem 28. If \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \) is a neutrosophic strong bi-loop, then \((F, A)\) over \( B \) is also soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop but the converse is not true.

Proposition 9. Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong bi-loops over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).

Remark 18. Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, B)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong bi-loops over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \). Then

1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
2. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

Definition 36. Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong bi-loops over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \). Then \((H, C)\) is called soft neutrosophic strong subbi-loop of \((F, A)\), if

1. \( C \subseteq A \).
2. \( H(a) \) is a neutrosophic strong subbi-loop of \( F(a) \) for all \( a \in A \).

Definition 37. Let \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \) be a neutrosophic bi-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop if and only if \( F(a) \) is a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subbi-loop of \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \) for all \( a \in A \).

Theorem 29. Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \) is a soft neutrosophic bi-loop but the converse is not true.

Remark 19. Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loops over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong bi-loop over \( B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2) \).
One can easily verify $(1),(2),(3),(4),(5)$ and $(6)$ by the help of examples.

**Definition 38.** Let $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ be a neutrosophic biloop and $(F, A)$ be a soft set over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$. Then $(F, A)$ is called soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop if at least one $F(a)$ is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subbiloop of $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ for some $a \in A$.

**Theorem 30.** Every soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ is a soft neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 31.** If $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ is a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop, then $(F, A)$ over $B$ is also soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop but the converse does not hold.

**Remark 20.** Let $(F, A)$ and $(K, C)$ be two soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloops over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$. Then

1. Their extended intersection $(F, A) \cap_E (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
2. Their restricted intersection $(F, A) \cap_R (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
3. Their AND operation $(F, A) \land (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
4. Their extended union $(F, A) \cup_E (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
5. Their restricted union $(F, A) \cup_R (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
6. Their OR operation $(F, A) \lor (K, C)$ is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.

One can easily verify $(1), (2), (3), (4), (5)$ and $(6)$ by the help of examples.

**Definition 39.** Let $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ be a neutrosophic biloop and $(F, A)$ be a soft set over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$. Then $(F, A)$ is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop if and only if $F(a)$ is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong subbiloop of $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ for all $a \in A$.

**Theorem 32.** Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ is a neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 33.** If $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$ is a Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop, then $(F, A)$ over $B$ is also soft strong Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 21.** Let $(F, A)$ and $(K, C)$ be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloops over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$. Then

1. Their extended intersection $(F, A) \cap_E (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
2. Their restricted intersection $(F, A) \cap_R (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
3. Their AND operation $(F, A) \land (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
4. Their extended union $(F, A) \cup_E (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
5. Their restricted union $(F, A) \cup_R (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.
6. Their OR operation $(F, A) \lor (K, C)$ is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong biloop over $B = (B_1 \cup B_2, *_1, *_2)$.

One can easily verify $(1), (2), (3), (4), (5)$ and $(6)$ by the help of examples.
Soft Neutrosophic N-loop

**Definition 40.** Let
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
be a neutrosophic N-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(S(B)\). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft neutrosophic N-loop if and only if \(F(a)\) is a neutrosophic sub N-loop of \(S(B)\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Example 15.** Let
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup S(B_3), *_1, *_2, *_3 \} \]
be a neutrosophic 3-loop, where \(S(B_1) = \{ L_6(3) \cup I \} \), \(S(B_2) = \{ g : g^{12} = e \} \) and \(S(B_3) = S_3\). Then \((F, A)\) is a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\), where
\[
F(a_1) = \{ e, eI, 2, 2I \} \cup \{ e, g^6 \} \cup \{ e, (12) \},
F(a_2) = \{ e, eI, 3, 3I \} \cup \{ e, g^4, g^8 \} \cup \{ e, (13) \}.
\]

**Theorem 34.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, A)\) be two soft neutrosophic N-loops over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
then their intersection \((F, A) \cap (H, A)\) is again a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Theorem 35.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic N-loops over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
such that \(A \cap C = \emptyset\). Then their union is soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).

**Proof.** Straightforward.

**Proposition 10.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic N-loops over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
then
1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).
3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \land (K, C)\) is a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).

**Remark 22.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic N-loops over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
then
1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).
2. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).
3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \lor (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 41.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic N-loop over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
. Then \((F, A)\) is called the identity soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\) if \(F(a) = \{ e, e_2, \ldots, e_N \} \) for all \(a \in A\), where \(e, e_2, \ldots, e_N\) are the identities element of \(S(B_1), S(B_2), \ldots, S(B_N)\) respectively.

**Definition 42.** Let \((F, A)\) be a soft neutrosophic N-loop over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
. Then \((F, A)\) is called an absolute-soft neutrosophic N-loop over \(S(B)\) if \(F(a) = S(B)\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Definition 43.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic N-loops over
\[ S(B) = \{ S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]
. Then \((H, C)\) is called soft neutrosophic sub N-loop of \((F, A)\), if
1. \(C \subseteq A\).
2. \(H(a)\) is a neutrosophic sub N-loop of \(F(a)\) for all \(a \in A\).
**Definition 45.** Let
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( S(B) \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop if and only if \( F(a) \) is Lagrange neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop of \( S(B) \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Theorem 36.** Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
is a soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 23.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loops over
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \).
Then
1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
3. Their \( AND \) operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( B \).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrangian neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
6. Their \( OR \) operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 46.** Let
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( S(B) \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic 
be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over
\( S(B) \).

**Theorem 37.** Every soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic 
\( N \)-loop over
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
is a soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 38.** If
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
is a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop, then \((F, A)\) over \( S(B) \) is also soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop but the converse is not holds.

**Remark 24.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loops over
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \).
Then
1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
3. Their \( AND \) operation \((F, A) \wedge (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrangian neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
6. Their \( OR \) operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 47.** Let
\( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup \ldots \cup S(B_N), *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \)
be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over
\( S(B) \).
Let \( (F, A) \) be a soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \). Then \( (F, A) \) is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop if and only if \( F(a) \) is not a neutrosophic sub \( N \)-loop of \( S(B) \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Theorem 39.** Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n), *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \) is a soft neutrosophic biloop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 40.** If \( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n), *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \) is a Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop, then \( (F, A) \) over \( S(B) \) is also soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop but the converse is not hold.

**Remark 25.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loops over \( S(B) = \{S(B_1) \cup S(B_2) \cup ... \cup S(B_n), *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_{E} (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( B = \{(B_1 \cup I) \cup B_2, *_{1}, *_{2}\} \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_{R} (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
3. Their AND operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
4. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup_{E} (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
5. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup_{R} (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).
6. Their OR operation \( (F, A) \vee (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic \( N \)-loop over \( S(B) \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Soft Neutrosophic Strong \( N \)-loop**

**Definition 48.** Let \( \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \) be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \( (F, A) \) be a soft set over \( \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \). Then \( (F, A) \) is called soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop if and only if \( F(a) \) is a neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop of \( \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \) for all \( a \in A \).

**Example 16.** Let \( \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3, *_{1}, *_{2}, *_{3}\} \) where \( L_1 = \{L_2(3) \cup I\}, L_2 = \{L_3(3) \cup I\} \) and \( L_3 = \{1, 2, 11, 21\} \). Then \( (F, A) \) is a soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \), where \( F(a_1) = \{e, 2, eI, 2I\} \cup \{e, 2, eI, 2I\} \cup \{1, 1\} \), \( F(a_2) = \{e, 3, eI, 3I\} \cup \{e, 3, eI, 3I\} \cup \{1, 2, 21\} \).

**Theorem 41.** All soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loops are soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loops but the converse is not true.

One can easily see the converse with the help of example.

**Proposition 11.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loops over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \). Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_{E} (K, C) \) is a soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_{R} (K, C) \) is a soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).
3. Their AND operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is a soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

**Remark 26.** Let \( (F, A) \) and \( (K, C) \) be two soft neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loops over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup ... \cup L_n, *_{1}, *_{2}, ..., *_{N}\} \). Then
1. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

2. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

3. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), and (3) by the help of examples.

**Definition 49.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((H, C)\) be two soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loops over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) = \(\{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}\). Then \((H, C)\) is called soft neutrosophic strong sub \(N\) -loop of \((F, A)\), if

1. \(C \subseteq A\).
2. \(H(a)\) is a neutrosophic strong sub \(N\) -loop of \(F(a)\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Definition 50.** Let
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}
\]
be a neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop if and only if \(F(a)\) is a Lagrange neutrosophic strong sub \(N\) -loop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for all \(a \in A\).

**Theorem 42.** Every soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}
\]
is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

3. Their AND operation \((F, A) \cap (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

4. Their extended union \((F, A) \cup_E (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

5. Their restricted union \((F, A) \cup_R (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

6. Their OR operation \((F, A) \vee (K, C)\) is not a soft neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

**Definition 51.** Let
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}
\]
be a neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop if atleast one \(F(a)\) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong sub \(N\) -loop of \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) for some \(a \in A\).

**Theorem 43.** Every soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}
\]
is a neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 44.** If
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}
\]
is a weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop, then \((F, A)\) over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\) is also a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop but the converse is not true.

**Remark 27.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loops over
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}\]. Then

1. Their extended intersection \((F, A) \cap_E (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).
2. Their restricted intersection \((F, A) \cap_R (K, C)\) is not a soft Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loop over \(\langle L \cup I \rangle\).

**Remark 28.** Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \(N\) -loops over
\[
\langle L \cup I \rangle = \{L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_n, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_n\}\]. Then
1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_E (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_R (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

3. Their \( \text{AND} \) operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

4. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup_E (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

5. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup_R (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

6. Their \( \text{OR} \) operation \( (F, A) \lor (K, C) \) is not a soft weakly Lagrange neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

\textbf{Definition 52.} Let 
\[ \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{ L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_N, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \] 
be a neutrosophic \( N \)-loop and \((F, A)\) be a soft set over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \). Then \((F, A)\) is called soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop if and only if \( F(a) \) is not a Lagrange neutrosophic strong sub \( N \)-loop of \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) for all \( a \in A \).

\textbf{Theorem 45.} Every soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over 
\[ \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{ L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_N, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \] 
is a soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loop but the converse is not true.

\textbf{Theorem 45.} If 
\[ \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{ L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_N, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \] 
is a Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop, then \((F, A)\) over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \) is also a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop but the converse is not true.

\textbf{Remark 29.} Let \((F, A)\) and \((K, C)\) be two soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loops over 
\[ \langle L \cup I \rangle = \{ L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_N, *_1, *_2, \ldots, *_N \} \]. Then

1. Their extended intersection \( (F, A) \cap_E (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

2. Their restricted intersection \( (F, A) \cap_R (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

3. Their \( \text{AND} \) operation \( (F, A) \wedge (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

4. Their extended union \( (F, A) \cup_E (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

5. Their restricted union \( (F, A) \cup_R (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

6. Their \( \text{OR} \) operation \( (F, A) \lor (K, C) \) is not a soft Lagrange free neutrosophic strong \( N \)-loop over \( \langle L \cup I \rangle \).

One can easily verify (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) by the help of examples.

\textbf{Conclusion}

This paper is an extension of neutrosophic loop to soft neutrosophic loop. We also extend neutrosophic biloop, neutrosophic \( N \)-loop to soft neutrosophic biloop, and soft neutrosophic \( N \)-loop. Their related properties and results are explained with many illustrative examples. The notions related with strong part of neutrosophy also established within soft neutrosophic loop.
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