

University of New Mexico

-

Tangent and Cotangent Similarity Measures of Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean Set in Virtual Education During

Covid Pandemic

R. Radha ^{1,*}, R. Prema ², A. Stanis Arul Mary³ and Said Broumi ⁴

¹ Assistant Professor Department of Science and Humanities, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore (TN), India.r.radha@kce.ac.in, radharmat2020@gmail.com

² Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, KPR College of Arts Science and Research, Coimbatore (TN), India. rprems6@gmail.com

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala college for Women, Coimbatore (TN), India. stanisarulmary@gmail.com

⁴Laboratory of Information Processing, Faculty of Science, Ben M ' Sik, University Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco & Regional center for the Professions of Education and Training(C.R.M.E.F), Casablanca-Settat,Morocco. broumisaid78@gmail.com

* Correspondence: radharmat2020@gmail.com,kathirsubbu1987@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, a new tangent and cotangent similarity measures between two Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean [PNP] sets with truth membership, falsity membership, ignorance and contradiction membership as dependent Neutrosophic component is proposed and its properties are investigated. The unknown membership alone will be considered as independent Neutrosophic components. Also, the weighted similarity measures are also studied with a decision making problem.

Keywords: PNP set, Tangent similarity measure, cotangent similarity measure.

1. Introduction

Traditionally, the teaching and learning method uses several exercises fixing, sending and evaluating ideas and information about a subject. Learning is that the method of getting relative permanent changes in understanding, attitude, knowledge, information, capability and skill through expertise. A modification are often set or involuntary, to raised or worse learning. The training method is an enclosed cognitive event. To assist this teaching and learning method, it is necessary the utilization of a laptoop tool ready to stimulate these changes. Also, it is necessary that it will operate as validation and serving tool to the college students.

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused important disruption with in the domain of education, that is considered as essential determinant for economic progress of any country. Even developed countries are waging a battle against COVID-19 for minimizing the impact on their economy because of prolonged lockdown. Education sector isn't an exception, and method of educational delivery has been grossly affected. There has been unforeseen and impetuous transition from real classroom to on-line and virtual teaching methodology across the world. There's an enormous question on the sustainability of online mode of teaching post-pandemic and its percussions on world education market. Impact of lockdown on the teaching—learning method has been studied in present paper with the objective to assess the quality of online classes and challenges associated with them. The paper proposes about the benefits of social media in virtual education among College Students

In order to deal with uncertainties, the thought of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations was introduced by Zadeh [17]. The speculation of fuzzy topological space was studied and developed by C.L. Chang [3]. The paper of Chang sealed the approach for the subsequent growth of the various fuzzy topological ideas. Since then a lot of attention has been paid to generalize the fundamental ideas of general topology in fuzzy setting and therefore a contemporary theory of fuzzy topology has been developed. Atanassov and plenty of researchers [1] worked on intuitionistic fuzzy sets within the literature. Florentine Smarandache [15] introduced the idea of Neutrosophic set in 1995 that provides the information of neutral thought by introducing the new issue referred to as uncertainty within the set. Thus neutrosophic set was framed and it includes the parts of truth membership function(T), indeterminacy membership function(I), and falsity membership function(F) severally. Neutrosophic sets deals with non normal interval of]–0 1+[. Pentapartitioned neutrosophic set and its properties were introduced by Rama Malik and Surpati Pramanik [14]. In this case, indeterminacy is divided into three components: contradiction, ignorance, and an unknown membership function. The concept of Pentapartitioned neutrosophic pythagorean sets was initiated by R. Radha and A. Stanis Arul Mary[9].

Similarity measure is an important topic in the current fuzzy, Pythagorean, Neutrosophic and different hybrid environments. Recently, the improved correlation coefficients of Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean sets and Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean sets was introduced by R. Radha and A. Stanis Arul Mary. Pranamik and Mondal [5,6]has also proposed weighted similarity measures based on tangent function and cotangent function and its application on medical diagnosis. In this paper, the weighted similarity measures of Tangent and Cotangent functions has been applied to PNP sets in virtual education during Covid Pandemic.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Definition [15]

Let X be a universe. A Neutrosophic set A on X can be defined as follows:

 $A = \{ < x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) > : x \in X \}$

Where T_A , I_A , F_A : $U \to [0,1]$ and $0 \le T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \le 3$

2.2 Definition [9]

Let X be a universe. A Pentapartitioned neutrosophic pythagorean [PNP] set A with T, F, C and U as dependent neutrosophic components and I as independent component for A on X is an object of the form

$$A = \{ < x, T_A, C_A, I_A, U_A, F_A > : x \in X \}$$

Where $T_A + F_A \leq 1$, $C_A + U_A \leq 1$ and

$$(T_A)^2 + (C_A)^2 + (I_A)^2 + (U_A)^2 + (F_A)^2 \le 3$$

Here, $T_A(x)$ is the truth membership, $C_A(x)$ is contradiction membership, $U_A(x)$ is ignorance membership, $F_A(x)$ is the false membership and I_A (*x*) is an unknown membership.

2.3 Definition [14]

Let P be a non-empty set. A Pentapartitioned neutrosophic set A over P characterizes each element p in P a truth -membership function T_A , a contradiction membership function C_A , an ignorance membership function G_A , unknown membership function U_A and a false membership function F_A , such that for each p in P

$$T_A + C_A + G_A + U_A + F_A \le 5$$

2.4 Definition [9]

The complement of a pentapartitioned neutrosophic pythagorean set A on R Denoted by A^{c} or A^{*} and is defined as

 $A^{C} = \{ < x, F_{A}(x), U_{A}(x), 1 - G_{A}(x), C_{A}(x), T_{A}(x) > : x \in X \}$

2.5 Definition [9]

Let $A = \langle x, T_A(x), C_A(x), G_A(x), U_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle$ and

B = $\langle x, T_B(x), C_B(x), G_B(x), U_B(x), F_B(x) \rangle$ are pentapartitioned neutrosophic pythagorean sets. Then

A U B = $\langle x, max(T_A(x), T_B(x)), max(C_A(x), C_B(x)), min(G_A(x), G_B(x)), min(U_A(x), U_B(x)), min(F_A(x), F_B(x)), \rangle$ A \cap B = $\langle x, min(T_A(x), T_B(x)), min(C_A(x), C_B(x)), max(G_A(x), G_B(x)), max(U_A(x), U_B(x)), max(F_A(x), F_B(x)) \rangle$

2.6 Definition[9]

A PNP topology on a nonempty set R is a family of a PNP sets in R satisfying the following axioms

- 1) 0,1∈ *r*
- 2) $R_1 \cap R_2 \in r$ for any $R_1, R_2 \in r$
- 3) $\bigcup R_i \in r$ for any $R_i: i \in I \subseteq r$

The complement R^{*} of PNP open set (PNPOS, in short) in PNP topological space [PNPTS] (R,*r*), is called a PNP closed set [PNPCS].

3. Tangent and Cotangent Similarity Measures of PNP Sets

3.1 Definition

Let $P = \{(r, B1_P(r), B2_P(r), B3_P(r), B4_P(r), B5_P(r)): r \in R\}$ and $Q = \{(r, B1_Q(r), B2_Q(r), B3_Q(r), B4_Q(r), B5_Q)(r): r \in R\}$ be two Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean numbers with B1 and B5, B2 and B4 as dependent Neutrosophic components. Now tangent similarity function which measures the similarity between two vectors based only on the direction, ignoring the impact of the distance between them can be presented as follows

$$T_{PNP}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [1 - \tan(\frac{\pi}{20} ||B1^{2}(r)_{p} - B1^{2}(r)_{Q}|| + |B2^{2}(r)_{p} - B2^{2}(r)_{Q}|| + |B3^{2}(r)_{p} - B3^{2}(r)_{Q}|| + |B4^{2}(r)_{p} - B4^{2}(r)_{Q}|| + |B5^{2}_{p} - B5^{2}_{Q}|(r)_{i}|])]$$

3.2 Theorem

The defined tangent similarity measure $T_{PNP}(P, Q)$ between PNP set P and Q satisfies the following properties

- 1. $0 \leq T_{PNP}(P,Q) \leq 1$;
- 2. $T_{PNP}(P,Q) = 1$ iff P = Q;
- 3. $T_{PNP}(P,Q) = T_{PNP}(Q,P);$
- **4.** If T is a PNP set in R and $P \subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then

 $T_{PNP}(P,T) \leq T_{PNP}(P,Q)$ and $T_{PNP}(P,T) \leq T_{PNP}(Q,T)$.

Proof

1) As the truth membership, contradiction membership, ignorance membership, falsity membership and the unknown membership function of the PNP sets and the value of the tangent function also is within [0,1].

Hence $0 \le T_{PNP}(P,Q) \le 1$. 2) For any two PNP sets P and Q if P = Q, this implies B $1_P(r_i) = B1_Q(r_i)$, $B2_P(r_i) = B2_Q(r_i)$, $B3_P(r_i) = B3_Q(r_i)$, $B4_P(r_i) = B4_Q(r_i)$ and $B5_P(r_i) = B5_Q(r_i)$. Hence $|B1_P^2(r_i) - B1_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B2_P^2(r_i) - B2_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_P^2(r_i) - B3_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B4_P^2(r_i) - B4_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$ and $|B5_P^2(r_i) - B5_Q^2(r_i)|$. Thus $T_{PNP}(P,Q) = 1$. Conversely, if $T_{PNP}(P,Q) = 1$, then $|B1_P^2(r_i) - B1_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B2_P^2(r_i) - B2_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_P^2(r_i) - B3_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B4_P^2(r_i) - B4_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$ and $|B5_P^2(r_i) - B5_Q^2(r_i)|$ since $\tan(0) = 0$. So we can write B $1_P(r_i) = B1_Q(r_i)$, B $2_P(r_i) = B2_Q(r_i)$, B $3_P(r_i) = B3_Q(r_i)$, B $4_P(r_i) = B4_Q(r_i)$ and B $5_P(r_i) = B5_Q(r_i)$. Hence P = Q. 3) The Proof is obvious 4) If $P \subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then $B1_P(r_i) \le B1_Q(r_i) \le B1_T(r_i)$, $B2_P(r_i) \le B2_Q(r_i) \le B2_T(r_i)$, $|B1_P^2(r_i) - B1_Q^2(r_i) - B1_Q^2(r_i)| \le B4_Q(r_i) = B1_P(r_i) = B1_Q(r_i)$, B $4_P(r_i) = B1_Q(r_i) \le B5_T(r_i)$. $|B1_P^2(r_i) - B1_P^2(r_i)| \le B1_Q(r_i) \le B1_P(r_i) \le B1_P(r_i) = B1_Q^2(r_i) = B5_Q(r_i)$.

$$\begin{split} |B2_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B2_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B2_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B3_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B3_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B3_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B4_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B4_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B4_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B4_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B5_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B5_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|, \\ |B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B5_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|. \end{split}$$

Thus,

 $T_{PNP}(P,T) \leq T_{PNP}(P,Q)$ and $T_{PNP}(P,T) \leq T_{PNP}(Q,T)$ Since tangent function is increasing in the interval $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$.

3.3 Definition

Let $P = \{(r, B1_P(r), B2_P(r), B3_P(r), B4_P(r), B5_P(r)): r \in R\}$ and $Q = \{(r, B1_Q(r), B2_Q(r), B3_Q(r), B4_Q(r), B5_Q)(r): r \in R\}$ be two Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean numbers with B1 and B5, B2 and B4 as dependent Neutrosophic components. Now weighted tangent similarity function which measures the similarity between two vectors based only on the direction, ignoring the impact of the distance between them can be presented as follows $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{1} w_i [1 - \tan(\prod_{i=1}^{m} [|B1_i^2(r) - B1_i^2(r)| + |B2_i^2(r) - B2_i^2(r)| + |B3_i^2(r) - B3_i^2(r)| + |B4_i^2(r) - B4_i^2(r)| + |B5_i^2 - B5_i^2(r)|])]$

Where $w \in [0,1], i = 0,1,2...n$ are the weights and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. If we take $w_i = \frac{1}{n}, i = \frac{1}{n}$

0,1,2...,n, then $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = T_{PNP}(P,Q)$.

3.4 Theorem

The defined weighted tangent similarity measure $T_{WPNP}(P, Q)$ between PNP set P and Q satisfies the following properties

- 1) $0 \le T_{WPNP}(P,Q) \le 1$;
- 2) $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = 1$ iff P = Q;
- 3) $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = T_{WPNP}(Q,P);$
- 4) If T is a PNP set in R and $P \subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then

 $T_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq T_{WPNP}(P,Q)$ and $T_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq T_{WPNP}(Q,T)$.

Proof

1) As the truth membership, contradiction membership, ignorance membership, falsity membership and the unknown membership function of the PNP sets and the value of the tangent function also is within [0,1] and Where $w_i \in [0,1], i = 0,1,2 \dots n$ are the weights and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. Hence $0 \le T_{WPNP}(P,Q) \le 1$.

2) For any two PNP sets P and Q if P = Q, this implies $B1_{P}(r_{i}) = B1_{Q}(r_{i}), B2_{P}(r_{i}) = B2_{Q}(r_{i}), B3_{P}(r_{i}) = B3_{Q}(r_{i}), B4_{P}(r_{i}) = B4_{Q}(r_{i}) and B5_{P}(r_{i}) = B5_{Q}(r_{i}).$ Hence $|B1_{P}^{2}(r) - B1_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B2_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0 and |B5_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B2_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B2_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B4_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| = 0, |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) = B4_{Q}(r_{i}) and B5_{P}(r_{i}) = B5_{Q}(r_{i}).$ Hence P = D. 3) The Proof is obvious 4) If P $\subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then $B1_{P}(r_{i}) \leq B1_{Q}(r_{i}) \leq B1_{T}(r_{i}), B2_{P}(r_{i}) \leq B2_{Q}(r_{i}) \leq B2_{Q}(r_{i}) \leq B5_{T}(r_{i}) and <math>\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} = 1.$ $|B_{1}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{1}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B_{1}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{1}^{2}(r_{i})|, |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{1}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{2}^{2}(r_{i})|, |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{2}^{2}(r_{i})|, |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{2}^{2}(r_{i})|, |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{2}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B_{2}^{2}(r_{i}) - B_{2}^{2}(r_{i})|, |B_{2$

 $T_{WPNP}(P,T) \le T_{WPNP}(P,Q)$ and $T_{WPNP}(P,T) \le T_{WPNP}(Q,T)$ Since tangent function is increasing in the interval $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$.

3.5 Definition

Assume that $P = \{(r, B1_P(r), B2_P(r), B3_P(r), B4_P(r), B5_P(r)): r \in R\}$ and $Q = \{(r, B1_Q(r), B2_Q(r), B3_Q(r), B4_Q(r), B5_Q)(r): r \in R\}$ are two Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean numbers with B1 and B5, B2 and B4 as dependent Neutrosophic components. A cotangent similarity measure between two PNP sets P and Q is proposed as follows

$$\begin{split} |B5_{p}^{2}(r) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r)| &\leq |B5_{p}^{2}(r) - B5_{T}^{2}(r)|, \\ |B5_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{T}^{2}(r_{i})| &\leq |B5_{p}^{2}(r) - B5_{T}^{2}(r)|. \end{split}$$

$$COT_{PNP}(P,Q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\cot(\frac{\pi}{20} [5 + |B1_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B1_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| + |B2_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| + |B3_{p}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| + |B5_{p}^{2} - B5_{Q}^{2}|(r_{i})] \right]$$

3.6 Theorem

The cotangent similarity measure $COT_{PNP}(P, Q)$ between PNP set P and Q also satisfies the following properties

- 1) $0 \leq COT_{PNP}(P,Q) \leq 1$;
- 2) $COT_{PNP}(P,Q) = 1$ iff P = Q;
- 3) $COT_{PNP}(P,Q) = COT_{PNP}(Q,P);$
- 4) If T is a PNP set in R and $P \subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then

 $COT_{PNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{PNP}(P,Q)$ and $COT_{PNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{PNP}(Q,T)$.

3.7 Definition

Assume that $P = \{(r, B1_p(r), B2_p(r), B3_p(r), B4_p(r), B5_p(r)): r \in R\}$ and $Q = \{(r, B1_Q(r), B2_Q(r), B3_Q(r), B4_Q(r), B5_Q)(r): r \in R\}$ are two Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean numbers with B1 and B5, B2 and B4 as dependent Neutrosophic components. A weighted cotangent similarity measure between two PNP sets P and Q is proposed as follows

$$COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left[\cot(\frac{\pi}{20} [5 + |B1^{2}_{p}(r_{i}) - B1^{2}_{q}(r_{i})| + |B2^{2}_{p}(r_{i}) - B2^{2}_{q}(r_{i})| + |B3^{2}_{p}(r_{i}) - B3^{2}_{q}(r_{i})| + |B3^{2}_{p}(r_{i}) - B3^{2}_{q}(r_{i})| + |B5^{2}_{p} - B5^{2}_{q}(r_{i})| \right]$$

Where $w \in [0,1], i = 0,1,2 \dots n$ are the weights and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. If we take $w_i = \frac{1}{n}, i = \frac{1}{n}$

0,1,2...,n, then $COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) = COT_{PNP}(P,Q)$.

3.8 Theorem

The weighted cotangent similarity measure $COT_{PNP}(P, Q)$ between PNP set P and Q also satisfies the following properties

- 1) $0 \leq COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) \leq 1$;
- 2) $COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) = 1$ iff P = Q;
- 3) $COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) = COT_{WPNP}(Q,P);$
- 4) If T is a PNP set in R and $P \subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then

 $COT_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{WPNP}(P,Q)$ and $COT_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{WPNP}(Q,T)$.

Proof

1) As the truth membership, contradiction membership, ignorance membership, falsity membership and the unknown membership function of the PNP sets and the value of the tangent function also is

within [0,1] and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$.

Hence $0 \le COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) \le 1$. 2) For any two PNP sets P and Q if P = Q, this implies $B1_P(r_i) = B1_Q(r_i)$, $B2_P(r_i) = B2_Q(r_i)$, $B3_P(r_i) = B3_Q(r_i)$, $B4_P(r_i) = B4_Q(r_i)$ and $B5_P(r_i) = B5_Q(r_i)$. Hence $|B1_2^2(r_i) - B1_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B2_p^2(r_i) - B2_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_p^2(r_i) - B3_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B4_p^2(r_i) - B4_Q^2(r_i)| = 0$ and $|B5_p^2(r_i) - B5_Q^2(r_i)|$. Thus $COT_{WPNP}(P,Q) = 1$. Conversely, if $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = 1$, then $|B1_2^2(r_i) - B1_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B2_2^2(r_i) - B2_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_2^2(r_i) - B2_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_2^2(r_i) - B2_2^2(r_i)| = 0$, $|B3_2^2(r_i) - B3_2^2(r_i)| = 0$,

Conversely, if $T_{WPNP}(P,Q) = 1$, then $|B1_{P}^{2}(r) - B1_{Q}^{2}(r)| = 0$, $|B2_{P}^{2}(r) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r)| = 0$, $|B3_{P}^{2}(r) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r)| = 0$, $|B4_{P}^{2}(r) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r)| = 0$ and $|B5_{P}^{2}(r) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r)|$ since $\tan(0) = 0$. So we can write

B $1_{P}(r_{i}) = B1_{Q}(r_{i})$, B $2_{P}(r_{i}) = B2_{Q}(r_{i})$, B $3_{P}(r_{i}) = B3_{Q}(r_{i})$, B $4_{P}(r_{i}) = B4_{Q}(r_{i})$ and B $5_{P}(r_{i}) = B5_{Q}(r_{i})$. Hence P = Q. 3) The Proof is obvious 4) If P $\subseteq Q \subseteq T$ then $B1_{P}(r_{i}) \leq B1_{Q}(r_{i}) \leq B1_{T}(r_{i})$, $B2_{P}(r_{i}) \leq B2_{Q}(r_{i}) \leq B2_{T}(r_{i})$, B $3_{P}(r_{i}) \geq B3_{Q}(r_{i}) \geq B3_{T}(r_{i})$, B $4_{P}(r_{i}) \geq B4_{Q}(r_{i}) \geq B4_{T}(r_{i})$ and B $5_{P}(r_{i}) \geq B5_{Q}(r_{i}) \geq B5_{T}(r_{i})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} = 1$. $|B1_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B1_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B1_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B1_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B2_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B2_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B3_{P}^{2}(r_{i}) - B3_{T}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B4_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})|,$ $|B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})| \leq |B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i}) - B5_{Q}^{2}(r_{i})|.$

The cotangent function is decreasing function within the interval $[0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. Hence, we can write $COT_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{WPNP}(P,Q)$ and $COT_{WPNP}(P,T) \leq COT_{WPNP}(Q,T)$

4. Decision Making Based on Tangent and Cotangent Similarity Measures

Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$ be a discrete set of candidates, $C_1, C_2, ..., C_n$ be the set of criteria for each candidate and $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ are the alternatives of each candidate. The decision -maker provides the ranking of alternatives with respect to each candidate. The ranking presents the performance of candidates A_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m) against the criteria C_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n). The values associated with the alternatives for MADM problem can be presented in the following decision matrix(see Tab 1 and Tab 2). The relation between candidates and attributes are given in Tab 1. The relation between attributes and alternatives are given in the Tab 2.

R_1	<i>C</i> ₁	<i>C</i> ₂	 C_n
A_1	<i>a</i> ₁₁	<i>a</i> ₁₂	 a_{1n}
A_2	<i>a</i> ₂₁	<i>a</i> ₁₃	 a_{2n}

Table 1 : The relation between candidates and attributes

A_m	a_{m1}	a_{m2}	 a_{mn}
//			

Table 2 : The relation between attributes and alternatives

<i>R</i> ₂	D_1	<i>D</i> ₂	 D_k
C_1	<i>c</i> ₁₁	<i>c</i> ₁₂	 c_{1k}
<i>C</i> ₂	<i>c</i> ₂₁	<i>c</i> ₂₂	 c_{2k}
C_n	<i>c</i> _{<i>n</i>1}	<i>C</i> _{<i>n</i>2}	 C _{nk}

Here a_{ij} and c_{ij} are all Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean Fuzzy numbers.

The steps corresponding to Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean number based on tangent and cotangent functions are presented following steps.

Step 1: Determination of the relation between candidates and attributes

The relation between candidate A_i (i = 1, 2, ..., m) and the attribute C_j (j = 1, 2, ..., n) is presented in Table 3.

Table 3 : The relation between candidates and attributes in terms of PNP sets

R_1	<i>C</i> ₁	<i>C</i> ₂	 C_n
A_1	$(b1_{11}, b2_{11}, b3_{11}, b4_{11}, b5_{11})$	$(b1_{12}, b2_{12}, b3_{12}, b4_{12}, b5_{12})$	 $(b1_{1n}, b2_{1n}, b3_{1n}, b4_{1n}, b5_{1n})$
A_2	$(b1_{21}, b2_{21}, b3_{21}, b4_{21}, b5_{21})$	$(b1_{22}, b2_{22}, b3_{22}, b4_{22}, b5_{22})$	 $(b1_{2n}, b2_{2n}, b3_{2n}, b4_{2n}, b5_{2n})$
A_m	(<i>b</i> 1 _{<i>m</i>1} ,	(<i>b</i> 1 _{<i>m</i>2} ,	 (<i>b</i> 1 _{<i>mn</i>} ,
	$b2_{m1}, b3_{m1}, b4_{m1}, b5_{m1})$	$b2_{m2}, b3_{m2}, b4_{m2}, b5_{m2})$	$b2_{mn}, b3_{mn}, b4_{mn}, b5_{mn})$

Table 4 : The relation between attributes and alternatives in terms of PNP sets

R_2	D_1	<i>D</i> ₂	 D_k
C_1	(c1 ₁₁ ,cb2 ₁₁ ,c3 ₁₁ ,c4 ₁₁ ,c5 ₁₁)	$(c1_{12}, c2_{12}, c3_{12}, c4_{12}, c5_{12})$	 $(c1_{1k}, c2_{1k}, c3_{1k}, c4_{1k}, c5_{1k})$
<i>C</i> ₂	$(b1_{21}, b2_{21}, b3_{21}, b4_{21}, b5_{21})$	$(c1_{22}, c2_{22}, c3_{22}, c4_{22}, c5_{22})$	 $(c1_{2k}, c2_{2k}, c3_{2k}, c4_{2k}, c5_{2k})$

C_n	$(c1_{n1}, c2_{n1}, c3_{n1}, c4_{n1}, c5_{n1})$	$(c1_{n2}, c2_{n2}, c3_{n2}, c4_{n2}, c5_{n2})$		$(c1_{nk}, c2_{nk}, c3_{nk}, c4_{nk}, c5_{nk})$
-------	---	---	--	---

Step 3: Determination of the relation between attributes and alternatives

Determine the similarity measure between the Tab 3 and Tab 4 using $T_{PNP}(P,Q)$ $T_{WPNP}(P,Q)$, $COT_{PNP}(P,Q)$ and $COT_{WPNP}(P,Q)$.

Step 4: Ranking the alternatives

Ranking the alternatives is prepared based on the descending order of the similarity measures. Highest value reflects the best alternative.

Step 5: End

5. Example

Higher education institutions have faced various challenges in adapting online education to control the pandemic spread of COVID. The present work aims to apply similaty measures between social media and its benefits of students.Let D ={ R1, R2, R3} be a set of College student respondents, $E = \{$ YouTube, Facebook, WhatsApp, Blog} be Social medias and H ={ Communication Tool, Online Learning, Connecting with experts, Global exposure} be its benefits.The solution strategy is to determine the student regarding the relation between student respondents and its benefits in virtual education (see Tab 5) and the relation between socal media and its benefits in Table 6. Further we have calculated Tangent and Cotangent similarity measures can be calculated in Table 7 and 8. Also the weighted similarity measures of the tangent and cotangent functions of PNP sets be calculated in Table 9 and 10.

Table 5: (P1) The relation between respondents and benefits in Virtual Education

P1	Online Learning	Communication Tool	Connecting with Experts	Global Exposure
R1	(0.7,0.2,0.8,0.3,0.3)	(0.1,0.2,0.9,0.3,0.7)	(0.4,0.2,0.2,0.3,0.6)	(0.2,0.2,0.7,0.3,0.8)
R2	(0.3,0.2,0.1,0.3,0.5)	(0.6,0.2,0.8,0.3,0.4)	(0.6,0.2,0.1,0.3,0.4)	(0.2,0.2,0.9,0.3,0.7)
R3	(0.1,0.2,0.8,0.3,0.5)	(0.6,0.2,0.8,0.3,0.4)	(0.6,0.2,0.1,0.3,0.4)	(0.7,0.2,0.7,0.3,0.3)

Table 6: (P2) The relation between Social Media and its benefits

P2	WhatsApp	YouTube	Facebook	Blog
Online Learning	(0.4,0.2,0.6.0.3,0.1)	(0.1,0.2,0.5,0.3.0.5)	(0.2,0.2,0.5,0.3,0.4)	(0.2,0.4,0.6,0.7,0.3)
Communication Tool	(0.7,0.2,0.9,0.3,0.3)	(0.5,0.2,0.9,0.3,0.5)	(0.7,0.2,0.1,0.3,0.2)	(0.3,0.5,0.8,0.1,0.2)
Connecting with Experts	(0.1,0.2,0.5,0.3,0.7)	(0.8,0.2.0.1,0.3,0.2)	(0.6,0.2,0.8,0.3,0.4)	(0.4,0.6,0.2,0.1,0.1)

Global Exposure	(0.6,0.2,0.3,0.3,0.4)	(0.5,0.2,0.2,0.3,0.5)	(0.6,0.2,0.9,0.3,0.4)	(0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5,0.3)

Table 7: The Tangent Similarity Measure between P1 and P2

Tangent Similarity	WhatsApp	YouTube	Facebook	Blog
Measure				
R1	0.9467	0.9430	0.9148	0.9463
R2	0.9583	0.9792	0.9603	0.9350
R3	0.9595	0.9791	0.9504	0.9430

Table 8: The Weighted Tangent Similarity Measure between P1 and P2

Weighted	WhatsApp	YouTube	Facebook	Blog
Tangent				
Similarity				
Measure				
R1	0.9444	0.9409	0.919	0.9308
R2	0.9547	0.977	0.9597	0.9319
R3	0.9635	0.9771	0.9565	0.9416

Table 9: The Cotangent Similarity Measure between P1 and P2

Cotangent Similarity	WhatsApp	YouTube	Facebook	Blog
Measure				
R1	0.8995	0.8927	0.8504	0.8706
R2	0.9583	0.9599	0.9195	0.8788
R3	0.9244	0.9488	0.9092	0.8927

Table 10: The Weighted Cotangent Similarity Measure between P1 and P2

Weighted	WhatsApp	YouTube	Facebook	Blog
Cotangent				

Similarity Measure				
R1	0.8957	0.889	0.8587	0.871
R2	0.9547	0.9561	0.9185	0.8732
R3	0.9308	0.9425	0.9207	0.8904

The highest similarity measures reflects the benefits of Social Media among College Students. Therefore Student R2 and R3 gains knowledge more from YouTube and R1 from WhatsApp.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed tangent and cotangent similarity measures for Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean set with dependent Neutrosophic components and proved some of its basic properties. Furthermore, we have also investigated about the weighted similarity measures in Decision Making and illustrated with an example. In future, we can study about the improved similarity measure for the above set and can be used in Medical Diagnosis, Data mining. Clustering Analysis etc.

References

- 1. K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 1986, volume 20 87-96.
- 2. Broumi S, Smarandache F (2014) Rough Neutrosophic sets, *Ital J Pure Appl Math* ,**2014**, *volume* 32:493-502.
- 3. C.L. Chang Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl, **1984**, volume 24, 182-190.
- 4. D.H. Hong, Fuzzy measures for a correlation coefficient of fuzzy numbers under Tw (the weakest thorm)-based fuzzy arithmetic operations, *Information Sciences* **2006** volume 176,150-160.
- 5. S.Pranamik, K. Mondal, Weighted fuzzy similarity measures based onTangent function and its application to medical Diagnosis., International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology,4(2015),158-164
- 6. S. Pranamik, K. Mondal, Cotangent Similarity measures of rough Neutrosophic sets and its application in medical diagnosis, Journal of New Theory, 4(2015),464-471.
- 7. Rajashi Chatterjee, P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, On some similarity measures and entropy on quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic sets, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **2016**, volume 302475-2485.
- 8. R. Radha, A. Stanis Arul Mary. Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic pythagorean Soft set, *IRJMETS*, **2021**, *Volume* 3(2),905-914.
- 9. R. Radha, A. Stanis Arul Mary. Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean Set, *IRJASH*, **2021**, *volume 3*, 62-82.

- **10.** R. Radha, A. Stanis Arul Mary. Heptapartitioned neutrosophic sets, *IRJCT*, **2021** , *volume* 2,222-230.
- 11. R. Radha, A. Stanis Arul Mary, F. Smarandache. Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Pythagorean soft set, *International journal of Neutrosophic Science*, **2021**, *volume*14(1),9-23.
- 12. R. Radha, A. Stanis Arul Mary, F. Smarandache. Neutrosophic Pythagorean soft set, *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, **2021**,*vol* 42,65-78.
- 13. R. Radha , A. Stanis Arul Mary, Pentapartitioned neutrosophic pythagorean resolvable and irresolvable spaces(Communicated)
- 14. Rama Malik, Surapati Pramanik. Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic set and its properties, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2020**, *Vol* 36,184-192,2020
- 15. F.Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, *American Research Press, Rehoboth*.
- 16. Wang H, Smarandache F, Zhang YQ, Sunderraman R, Single valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace Multistruct*, *2010*, *volume* 4:410-413.
- 17. L. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 1965, volume 8, 87-96.

Received: August 13, 2022. Accepted: January 10, 2023