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Abstract: Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Banach space over a field $\mathcal{F}$. A $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow is a graph $\overrightarrow{G}$ embedded in a topological space $\mathcal{X}$ associated with an injective mappings $L: u^v \to L(u^v) \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $L(u^v) = -L(v^u)$ for $\forall (u, v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})$ holding with conservation laws

$$\sum_{u \in N_G(v)} L(u^v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V(\overrightarrow{G}),$$

where $u^v$ denotes the semi-arc of $(u, v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})$, which is an abstract model, also a mathematical object for things embedded in a topological space, or matters happened in the world. The main purpose of this paper is to extend Banach spaces on topological graphs with operator actions and show all of these extensions are also Banach space with unique correspondence in elements on linear continuous functionals, which enables one to solve linear functional equations in such extended space, particularly, solve algebraic, differential or integral equations on a topological graph, i.e., find multi-space solutions for equations, for instance, the Einstein's gravitational equations. A generalization of some well-known results in classical mathematics, such as those of the fundamental theorem in algebra, Hilbert and Schmidt’s result on integral equations and the discussion on stability of such $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow solutions with applications to controlling of ecologically industrial systems can be also found in this paper. All of these results in this paper establish the mathematical foundation for multi-spaces, i.e., mathematical combinatorics.
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§1. Introduction

Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a Banach space over a field \( \mathcal{F} \). All graphs \( \overrightarrow{G} \), denoted by \( (V(\overrightarrow{G}), X(\overrightarrow{G})) \) considered in this paper are strong-connected without loops. A topological graph \( \overrightarrow{G} \) is an embedding of an oriented graph \( \overrightarrow{G} \) in a topological space \( \mathcal{C} \). All elements in \( V(\overrightarrow{G}) \) or \( X(\overrightarrow{G}) \) are respectively called vertices or arcs of \( \overrightarrow{G} \).

An arc \( e = (u, v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G}) \) can be divided into 2 semi-arcs, i.e., initial semi-arc \( u \rightarrow v \) and end semi-arc \( v \rightarrow u \), such as those shown in Fig.1 following.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
u \quad \vdash u^v \quad L(u^v) \quad v^u \quad v
\end{array}
\]

Fig.1

All these semi-arcs of a topological graph \( \overrightarrow{G} \) are denoted by \( X_{\frac{1}{2}}(\overrightarrow{G}) \).

A vector labeling \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \) on \( \overrightarrow{G} \) is a 1 \(-\) 1 mapping \( L : \overrightarrow{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \) such that \( L(u^v) \in \mathcal{V} \) for \( \forall u^v \in X_{\frac{1}{2}}(\overrightarrow{G}) \), such as those shown in Fig.1. For all labelings \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \) on \( \overrightarrow{G} \), define

\[
\overrightarrow{G}^L_1 + \overrightarrow{G}^L_2 = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1 + L_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \overrightarrow{G}^L = \overrightarrow{G}^{\lambda L}.
\]

Then, all these vector labelings on \( \overrightarrow{G} \) naturally form a vector space. Particularly, a \( \overrightarrow{G} \)-flow on \( \overrightarrow{G} \) is such a labeling \( L : u^v \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \) for \( \forall u^v \in X_{\frac{1}{2}}(\overrightarrow{G}) \) hold with \( L(u^v) = -L(v^u) \) and conservation laws

\[
\sum_{u \in N_G(v)} L(v^u) = 0
\]

for \( \forall v \in V(\overrightarrow{G}) \), where \( \mathbf{0} \) is the zero-vector in \( \mathcal{V} \). For example, a conservation law for vertex \( v \) in Fig.2 is \(-L(v^{u_1}) - L(v^{u_2}) - L(v^{u_3}) + L(v^{u_4}) + L(v^{u_5}) + L(v^{u_6}) = 0\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
u_1 \quad L(v^{u_1}) \quad u_4 \\
u_2 \quad L(v^{u_2}) \quad L(v^{u_5}) \quad u_5 \\
u_3 \quad L(v^{u_3}) \quad F(v^{u_6}) \quad u_6
\end{array}
\]

Fig.2
Clearly, if $V = Z$ and $\mathcal{O} = \{1\}$, then the $G$-flow $G^L$ is nothing else but the network flow $X(G) \to Z$ on $G$.

Let $G^L, G^{L_1}, G^{L_2}$ be $G$-flows on a topological graph $G$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ a scalar. It is clear that $G^L + G^{L_1}$ and $\xi \cdot G^L$ are also $G$-flows, which implies that all conservation $G$-flows on $G$ also form a linear space over $\mathcal{F}$ with unit $G^0$ under operations $+$ and $\cdot$, denoted by $G^L$, where $G^L$ is such a $G$-flow with vector $0$ on $u^v$ for $(u, v) \in X(G)$, denoted by $O$ if $G$ is clear by the paragraph.

The flow representation for graphs are first discussed in [5], and then applied to differential operators in [6], which has shown its important role both in mathematics and applied sciences. It should be noted that a conservation law naturally determines an autonomous systems in the world. We can also find $G$-flows by solving conservation equations

$$\sum_{u \in N_G(v)} L(u^v) = 0, \quad v \in V(G).$$

Such a system of equations is non-solvable in general, only with $G$-flow solutions such as those discussions in references [10]-[19]. Thus we can also introduce $G$-flows by Smarandache multi-system ([21]-[22]). In fact, for any integer $m \geq 1$ let $(\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R})$ be a Smarandache multi-system consisting of $m$ mathematical systems $(\Sigma_1; R_1), (\Sigma_2; R_2), \ldots, (\Sigma_m; R_m)$, different two by two. A topological structure $G^L[\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R}]$ on $(\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R})$ is inherited by

$$V(G^L[\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R}]) = \{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots, \Sigma_m\},$$

$$E(G^L[\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R}]) = \{(\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j) | \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j \neq \emptyset, \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\} \text{ with labeling}$$

$$L: \Sigma_i \to L(\Sigma_i) = \Sigma_i \quad \text{and} \quad L: (\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j) \to L(\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j) = \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$$

for integers $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$, i.e., a topological vertex-edge labeled graph. Clearly, $G^L[\tilde{\Sigma}; \tilde{R}]$ is a $G$-flow if $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = v \in \mathcal{V}$ for integers $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the theoretical foundation, i.e., extending Banach spaces, particularly, extended Hilbert spaces on topological graphs with operator actions and show all of these extensions are also Banach space with unique correspondence in elements on linear continuous functionals, which enables one to solve linear functional equations in such extended space, particularly, solve algebraic or differential equations on a topological graph, i.e., find multi-space solu-
tions for equations, such as those of algebraic equations, the Einstein gravitational equations and integral equations with applications to controlling of ecologically industrial systems. All of these discussions provide new viewpoint for mathematical elements, i.e., mathematical combinatorics.


§2. $\mathcal{G}$-Flow Spaces

2.1 Existence

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Banach space. A family $V$ of vectors $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is conservative if

$$\sum_{v \in V} v = 0,$$

called a conservative family.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Banach space over a field $\mathcal{F}$ with a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{\dim \mathcal{V}}\}$. Then, for $v \in V$ there are scalars $x_1^v, x_2^v, \cdots, x_{\dim \mathcal{V}}^v \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{V}} x_i^v \alpha_i.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{V}} x_i^v \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{V}} \left( \sum_{v \in V} x_i^v \right) \alpha_i = 0$$

implies that

$$\sum_{v \in V} x_i^v = 0$$

for integers $1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$.

Conversely, if

$$\sum_{v \in V} x_i^v = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{V},$$

define

$$v^i = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{V}} x_i^v \alpha_i.$$
and $V = \{v^i, 1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{V}\}$. Clearly, $\sum_{v \in V} v = 0$, i.e., $V$ is a family of conservation vectors. Whence, if denoted by $x^*_i = (v, \alpha_i)$ for $\forall v \in V$, we therefore get a condition on families of conservation in $\mathcal{V}$ following.

**Theorem 2.2** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Banach space with a basis $\{\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \cdots, \overline{\alpha}_{\dim \mathcal{V}}\}$. Then, a vector family $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ is conservation if and only if

$$\sum_{v \in V} (v, \overline{\alpha}_i) = 0$$

for integers $1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$.

For example, let $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$v_1 = (1, 1, 1), \quad v_2 = (-1, 1, 1),$$
$$v_3 = (1, -1, -1), \quad v_4 = (-1, -1, -1)$$

Then it is a conservation family of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Clearly, a conservation flow consists of conservation families. The following result establishes its inverse.

**Theorem 2.3** A $G$-flow $L^G$ exists on $G$ if and only if there are conservation families $L(v)$ in a Banach space $\mathcal{V}$ associated an index set $V$ with

$$L(v) = \{L(v^u) \in \mathcal{V} \text{ for some } u \in V\}$$

such that $L(v^u) = -L(u^v)$ and

$$L(v) \bigcap (-L(u)) = L(v^u) \text{ or } \emptyset.$$

**Proof** Notice that

$$\sum_{u \in N_G(v)} L(v^u) = 0$$

for $\forall v \in V(G)$ implies

$$L(v^u) = -\sum_{u \in N_G(v) \setminus \{v\}} L(v^u).$$

Whence, if there is an index set $V$ associated conservation families $L(v)$ with

$$L(v) = \{L(v^u) \in \mathcal{V} \text{ for some } u \in V\}$$
for $\forall v \in V$ such that $L(v^u) = -L(u^v)$ and $L(v) \cap (-L(u)) = L(v^u)$ or $\emptyset$, define a topological graph $\overrightarrow{G}$ by

$$V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right) = V \quad \text{and} \quad X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right) = \bigcup_{v \in V} \{(v, u) | L(v^u) \in L(v)\}$$

with an orientation $v \rightarrow u$ on its each arcs. Then, it is clear that $\overrightarrow{G}^L$ is a $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow by definition.

Conversely, if $\overrightarrow{G}^L$ is a $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow, let

$$L(v) = \{L(v^u) \in \mathcal{V} \text{ for } \forall (v, u) \in X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)\}$$

for $\forall v \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$. Then, it is also clear that $L(v), v \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$ are conservation families associated with an index set $V = V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$ such that $L(v, u) = -L(u, v)$ and

$$L(v) \cap (-L(u)) = \begin{cases} L(v^u) & \text{if } (v, u) \in X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } (v, u) \notin X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right) \end{cases}$$

by definition. \hfill \square

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 enables one to get the following result.

**Corollary 2.4** There are always existing $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows on a topological graph $\overrightarrow{G}$ with weights $\lambda v$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}$, particularly, $\lambda_e \pi_i$ on $\forall e \in X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$ if $|X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)| \geq |V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)| + 1$.

**Proof** Let $e = (u, v) \in X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, for an integer $1 \leq i \leq \dim \mathcal{V}$, such a $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow exists if and only if the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{u \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)} \lambda_{(v, u)} = 0, \quad v \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$$

is solvable. However, if $|X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)| \geq |V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)| + 1$, such a system is indeed solvable by theory of linear equations. \hfill \square

### 2.2 $\overrightarrow{G}$-Flow Spaces

Define

$$\left\|\overrightarrow{G}^L\right\| = \sum_{(u, v) \in X\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)} \|L(u^v)\|$$
for \( \forall \tilde{G}^L \in \tilde{G}^\mathcal{Y} \), where \( \|L(u^v)\| \) is the norm of \( F(u^v) \) in \( \mathcal{Y} \). Then

1. \( \|\tilde{G}^L\| \geq 0 \) and \( \|\tilde{G}^L\| = 0 \) if and only if \( \tilde{G}^L = \tilde{G}^0 = 0 \).
2. \( \|\tilde{G}^L\| = \xi \|\tilde{G}^L\| \) for any scalar \( \xi \).
3. \( \|\tilde{G}^{L_1} + \tilde{G}^{L_2}\| \leq \|\tilde{G}^{L_1}\| + \|\tilde{G}^{L_2}\| \) because of

\[
\|\tilde{G}^{L_1} + \tilde{G}^{L_2}\| = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \|L_1(u^v) + L_2(u^v)\| \\
\leq \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \|L_1(u^v)\| + \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \|L_2(u^v)\| = \|\tilde{G}^{L_1}\| + \|\tilde{G}^{L_2}\|.
\]

Whence, \( \|\cdot\| \) is a norm on linear space \( \tilde{G}^\mathcal{Y} \).

Furthermore, if \( \mathcal{Y} \) is an inner space with inner product \( \langle , \rangle \), define

\[
\langle \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^{L_2} \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle.
\]

Then we know that

4. \( \langle \tilde{G}^L, \tilde{G}^L \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \langle L(u^v), L(u^v) \rangle \geq 0 \) and \( \langle \tilde{G}^L, \tilde{G}^L \rangle = 0 \) if and only if \( L(u^v) = 0 \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X(\tilde{G}) \), i.e., \( \tilde{G}^L = 0 \).

5. \( \langle \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^{L_2} \rangle = \langle \tilde{G}^{L_2}, \tilde{G}^{L_1} \rangle \) for \( \forall \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^{L_2} \in \tilde{G}^\mathcal{Y} \) because of

\[
\langle \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^{L_2} \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \langle L_2(u^v), L_1(u^v) \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\tilde{G})} \langle \tilde{G}^{L_2}, \tilde{G}^{L_1} \rangle = \langle \tilde{G}^{L_2}, \tilde{G}^{L_1} \rangle.
\]

6. For \( \tilde{G}^L, \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^{L_2} \in \tilde{G}^\mathcal{Y} \), there is

\[
\langle \lambda \tilde{G}^{L_1} + \mu \tilde{G}^{L_2}, \tilde{G}^L \rangle = \lambda \langle \tilde{G}^{L_1}, \tilde{G}^L \rangle + \mu \langle \tilde{G}^{L_2}, \tilde{G}^L \rangle.
\]
because of
\[
\langle \lambda \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \rangle = \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{\lambda L_1} + \overrightarrow{G}^{\mu L_2}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle \\
= \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})} \langle \lambda L_1(u^v) + \mu L_2(u^v), L(u^v) \rangle \\
= \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})} \langle \lambda L_1(u^v), L(u^v) \rangle + \sum_{(u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})} \langle \mu L_2(u^v), L(u^v) \rangle \\
= \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{\lambda L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle + \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{\mu L_2}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle \\
= \lambda \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle + \mu \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle.
\]

Thus, \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is an inner space also and as the usual, let
\[
\| \overrightarrow{G}^L \| = \sqrt{\left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle}
\]
for \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \). Then it is a normed space. Furthermore, we know the following result.

**Theorem 2.5** For any topological graph \( \overrightarrow{G} \), \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is a Banach space, and furthermore, if \( Y \) is a Hilbert space, \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is a Hilbert space also.

**Proof** As shown in the previous, \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is a linear normed space or inner space if \( Y \) is an inner space. We show that it is also complete, i.e., any Cauchy sequence in \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is converges. In fact, let \( \left\{ \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\} \) be a Cauchy sequence in \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \). Thus for any number \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there always exists an integer \( N(\varepsilon) \) such that
\[
\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} \| < \varepsilon
\]
if \( n, m \geq N(\varepsilon) \). By definition,
\[
\| L_n(u^v) - L_m(u^v) \| \leq \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} \| < \varepsilon
\]
i.e., \( \{ L_n(u^v) \} \) is also a Cauchy sequence for \( \forall (u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G}) \), which is converges on in \( Y \) by definition.

Let \( L(u^v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n(u^v) \) for \( \forall (u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G}) \). Then it is clear that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} = \overrightarrow{G}^L.
\]
However, we are needed to show $\overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^\nu$. By definition,

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_n(u^v) = 0$$

for $\forall u \in V \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)$ and integers $n \geq 1$. Let $n \to \infty$ on its both sides. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_n(u^v) \right) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n(u^v)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) = 0.$$

Thus, $\overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^\nu$. □

Similarly, two conservation $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}$ are said to be orthogonal if $\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \rangle = 0$. The following result characterizes those of orthogonal pairs of conservation $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows.

**Theorem 2.6** Let $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \in \overrightarrow{G}^\nu$. Then $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}$ is orthogonal to $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}$ if and only if $\langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = 0$ for $\forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)$.

**Proof** Clearly, if $\langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = 0$ for $\forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)$, then,

$$\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)} \langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = 0,$$

i.e., $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}$ is orthogonal to $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}$.

Conversely, if $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}$ is indeed orthogonal to $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_2}$, then

$$\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)} \langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = 0$$

by definition. We therefore know that $\langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle = 0$ for $\forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right)$ because of $\langle L_1(u^v), L_2(u^v) \rangle \geq 0$. □

**Theorem 2.7** Let $\nu$ be a Hilbert space with an orthogonal decomposition $\nu = V \oplus V^\perp$ for a closed subspace $V \subset \nu$. Then there is a decomposition

$$\overrightarrow{G}^\nu = \overrightarrow{V} \oplus \overrightarrow{V}^\perp,$$
where,
\[
\tilde{V} = \left\{ \tilde{G}^{L_1} \in \tilde{G}^\gamma \mid L_1 : X\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V \right\}
\]
\[
\tilde{V}^\perp = \left\{ \tilde{G}^{L_2} \in \tilde{G}^\gamma \mid L_2 : X\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V^\perp \right\},
\]
i.e., for \( \forall \tilde{G}^L \in \tilde{G}^\gamma \), there is a uniquely decomposition
\[
\tilde{G}^L = \tilde{G}^{L_1} + \tilde{G}^{L_2}
\]
with \( L_1 : X\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V \) and \( L_2 : X\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V^\perp \).

Proof By definition, \( L(u^v) \in \mathcal{V} \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X\left( \tilde{G} \right) \). Thus, there is a decomposition
\[
L(u^v) = L_1(u^v) + L_2(u^v)
\]
with uniquely determined \( L_1(u^v) \in V \) but \( L_2(u^v) \in V^\perp \).

Let \( \left[ \tilde{G}^{L_1} \right] \) and \( \left[ \tilde{G}^{L_2} \right] \) be two labeled graphs on \( \tilde{G} \) with \( L_1 : X_{\frac{1}{2}}\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V \) and \( L_2 : X_{\frac{1}{2}}\left( \tilde{G} \right) \to V^\perp \). We need to show that \( \left[ \tilde{G}^{L_1} \right], \left[ \tilde{G}^{L_2} \right] \in \langle \tilde{G}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \). In fact, the conservation laws show that
\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) = 0, \quad i.e., \quad \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} \left( L_1(u^v) + L_2(u^v) \right) = 0
\]
for \( \forall u \in V\left( \tilde{G} \right) \). Consequently,
\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) + \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) = 0.
\]

Whence,
\[
0 = \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) + \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) + \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle
\]
\[
= \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle
\]
\[
+ \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle
\]
\[
= \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle
\]
We therefore get that
\[
\left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle.
\]

Notice that
\[
\left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle \geq 0.
\]

We therefore get that
\[
\left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v), \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) \right\rangle = 0,
\]
i.e.,
\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_1(u^v) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_2(u^v) = 0.
\]
Thus, \([G_1^L], [G_2^L] \in \mathcal{G}^v\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

2.3 Solvable \(\vec{G}\)-Flow Spaces

Let \(\vec{G}_L\) be a \(\vec{G}\)-flow. If for \(\forall v \in V(\vec{G})\), all flows \(L(v^u), u \in N_G^+(v) \setminus \{u_0^+\}\) are determined by equations
\[
\mathcal{F}_v \left( L(v^u); L(w^v), w \in N_G^-(v) \right) = 0
\]
unless \(L(v^{u_0})\), then \(\vec{G}\)-flow is called solvable, and \(L(v^{u_0})\) the co-flow at vertex \(v\). Such a \(\vec{G}\)-flow is linear if each \(L\left(v^{u^+}\right), u^+ \in N_G^+(v) \setminus \{u_0^+\}\) is determined by
\[
L\left(v^{u^+}\right) = \sum_{u^- \in N_G^-(v)} a_{u^-} L\left(u^{-v}\right),
\]
with scalars \(a_{u^-} \in \mathcal{F}\) for \(\forall v \in V(\vec{G})\) unless \(L(v^{u_0^+})\), and is ordinary or partial differential if \(L\left(v^u\right)\) is determined by ordinary differential equations
\[
L_v \left( \frac{d}{dx_i}; 1 \leq i \leq n \right) \left( L(v^{u^+}); L(u^{-v}), u^- \in N_G^- (v) \right) = 0
\]
or
\[
L_v \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}; 1 \leq i \leq n \right) \left( L(v^{u^+}); L(u^{-v}), u^- \in N_G^- (v) \right) = 0
\]
unless \( L(v^{u^0}) \) for \( \forall v \in V(\overline{G}) \), where, \( L_v \left( \frac{d}{dx_i}; 1 \leq i \leq n \right) \), \( L_v \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}; 1 \leq i \leq n \right) \) denote an ordinary or partial differential operators, respectively.

Notice that a linear equation \( a_u + L(v^{u^+}) + L(u^-) = 0 \) is solvable for \( L(v^{u^+}) \) if and only if \( a_u \neq 0 \), such as those shown in Fig.3 following.

![Fig.3](image.png)

We consequently know the following result on linear solvable \( \overline{G} \)-flows.

**Theorem 2.8** For a strong-connected graph \( \overline{G} \), there exist linear \( \overline{G} \)-flows \( \overline{G}^L \), not all flows being zero on \( \overline{G} \).

**Proof** Notice that \( \overline{G} \) is strong-connected. There must be a decomposition

\[
\overline{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_1} \overline{C}_i \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_2} \overline{T}_i,
\]

where \( \overline{C}_i, \overline{T}_j \) are respectively directed circuit or path in \( \overline{G} \) with \( m_1 \geq 1, m_2 \geq 0 \).

For an integer \( 1 \leq k \leq m_1 \), let \( \overline{C}_k = u^k_1 u^k_2 \cdots u^k_s \) and \( L(u^k_{i+1}) = v_k \), where \( i + 1 \equiv (\text{mod} s) \). Similarly, for integers \( 1 \leq j \leq m_2 \), if \( \overline{T}_j = w^j_1 w^j_2 \cdots w^j_i \), let \( F(w^j_i, w^j_{i+1}) = 0 \). Clearly, the conservation law hold at \( \forall v \in V(\overline{G}) \) by definition, and each flow \( L(u^k_{i+1}) \), \( i + 1 \equiv (\text{mod} s) \) is linear determined by

\[
L(u^k_{i+1}) = L(u^k_{i-1}) + 0 \times \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{v \in N_{\overline{C}_j}(u^k_i)} L(v^k_{u^k_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \sum_{v \in N_{\overline{T}_j}(u^k_i)} L(v^k_{u^k_i})
\]

\[
= v_k + 0 + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \sum_{v \in N_{\overline{T}_j}(u^k_i)} 0 = v_k.
\]

Thus, \( \overline{G}^L \) is a linear solvable \( \overline{G} \)-flow and not all flows being zero on \( \overline{G} \). \( \Box \)
All $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows constructed in Theorem 2.8 can be also replaced by vectors dependent on the time $t$, i.e., $v(t)$. According to the theory of ordinary differential equations ([23]), the differential equation $\dot{x} = f(x, t)$ with $x|_{t=t_0} = x_0$ is always solvable in a neighborhood of $x_0$, where, $\dot{x} = \left(\frac{dx_1}{dt}, \frac{dx_2}{dt}, \cdots, \frac{dx_n}{dt}\right)$ and $f(x, t) = (f_1(x, t), f_2(x, t), \cdots, f_n(x, t))$. Thus, if there is a vertex $v \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$ with $\rho^-(v) \geq 2$ and $\rho^+(v) \geq 2$, i.e., $v$ is in at least 2 directed circuits $\overrightarrow{C}, \overrightarrow{C}'$, let flows on $\overrightarrow{G}$ and $\overrightarrow{C}'$ be respectively $x$ and $f(x, t)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8, we know the conservation laws hold for vertices in $\overrightarrow{G}$, and there are indeed flows on $\overrightarrow{G}$ determined by ordinary differential equations. We therefore know the following result.

**Theorem 2.9** Let $\overrightarrow{G}$ be a strong-connected graph. If there exists a vertex $v \in V\left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$ with $\rho^-(v) \geq 2$ and $\rho^+(v) \geq 2$ then there exist ordinary differential $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows $\overrightarrow{G}^L$, not all flows being zero on $\overrightarrow{G}$.

For example, the $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow shown in Fig.4 is an ordinary differential $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow in a vector space if $\dot{x} = f(x, t)$ is solvable with $x|_{t=t_0} = x_0$.

Similarly, we know the result on partial differential $\overrightarrow{G}$-flows. By the theory of partial differential equations of first order ([3]), let $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
x_i &= x_i(t, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}) \\
u &= u(t, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}) \\
p_i &= p_i(t, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}
$$
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is a solution of system
\[ \frac{dx_1}{F_{p_1}} = \frac{dx_2}{F_{p_2}} = \cdots = \frac{dx_n}{F_{p_n}} = -\frac{dp_1}{F_{x_1} + p_1 F_u} = \cdots = -\frac{dp_n}{F_{x_n} + p_n F_u} = dt \]
with initial values
\[
\begin{align*}
  x_{i_0} &= x_{i_0}(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}) \\
  u_0 &= u_0(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}) \\
  p_{i_0} &= p_{i_0}(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}
\]
such that
\[ F(x_{1_0}, x_{2_0}, \cdots, x_{n_0}, u, p_{1_0}, p_{2_0}, \cdots, p_{n_0}) = 0 \]
\[ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial s_j} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i_0} \frac{\partial x_{i_0}}{\partial s_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1, \]
then it is the solution of partial differential equation
\[ F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, u, p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) = 0 \]
with the same initial values, where \( p_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \) and \( F_{p_i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \) for integers \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

For partial differential equations of second order, the Cauchy problems on heat or wave equations
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = a^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \]
with initial values
\[ u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \psi(x) \]
are solvable. For example, we know closed formulae ([3])
\[ u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y_1, \cdots, y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \]
for heat equations, and
\[ u(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi a^2} \int_{S_{at}^M} \varphi dS \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi a^2} \int_{S_{at}^M} \psi dS \]
for wave equations in \( n = 3 \), where \( S^M_\alpha t \) denotes the sphere centered at \( M (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) with radius \( at \). Similar to Theorem 2.9, we get a result on partial \( \bar{G}^L \)-flows following.

**Theorem 2.10** Let \( \bar{G}^t \) be a strong-connected graph. If there exists a vertex \( v \in V \left( \bar{G}^t \right) \) with \( \rho^{-} (v) \geq 2 \) and \( \rho^{+} (v) \geq 2 \) then there exist partial differential \( \bar{G}^t \)-flows \( \bar{G}^L \), not all flows being zero on \( \bar{G}^t \).

### §3. Operators on \( \bar{G}^t \)-Flow Spaces

#### 3.1 Linear Continuous Operators

**Definition 3.1** Let \( T \in \mathcal{O} \) be an operator on Banach space \( \mathcal{V} \) over a field \( \mathcal{F} \). An operator \( T : \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) is bounded if

\[
\| T \left( \bar{G}^L \right) \| \leq \xi \| \bar{G}^L \|
\]

for \( \forall \bar{G}^L \in \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) with a constant \( \xi \in [0, \infty) \) and furthermore, is a contractor if

\[
\| T \left( \bar{G}^L_1 \right) - T \left( \bar{G}^L_2 \right) \| \leq \xi \| \bar{G}^L_1 - \bar{G}^L_2 \|
\]

for \( \forall \bar{G}^L_1, \bar{G}^L_2 \in \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) with \( \xi \in [0, 1) \).

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( T : \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) be a contractor. Then there is a uniquely conservation \( G \)-flow \( \bar{G}^L \in \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) such that

\[
T \left( \bar{G}^L \right) = \bar{G}^L.
\]

**Proof** Let \( \bar{G}^L_0 \in \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \) be a \( G \)-flow. Define a sequence \( \left\{ \bar{G}^L_n \right\} \) by

\[
\bar{G}^L_1 = T \left( \bar{G}^L_0 \right), \\
\bar{G}^L_2 = T \left( \bar{G}^L_1 \right) = T^2 \left( \bar{G}^L_0 \right), \\
\vdots \\
\bar{G}^L_n = T \left( \bar{G}^L_{n-1} \right) = T^n \left( \bar{G}^L_0 \right), \\
\vdots
\]

We prove \( \left\{ \bar{G}^L_n \right\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \bar{G}^\mathcal{V} \). Notice that \( T \) is a contractor. For any integer \( m \geq 1 \), we know that

\[
\| \bar{G}^L_{m+1} - \bar{G}^L_m \| = \| T \left( \bar{G}^L_m \right) - T \left( \bar{G}^L_{m-1} \right) \|
\]
\[ \leq \xi \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-1}} \| = \| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-1}} \right) - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-2}} \right) \| \leq \xi^2 \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-1}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-2}} \| \leq \cdots \leq \xi^m \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \|. \]

Applying the triangle inequality, for integers \( m \geq n \) we therefore get that
\[
\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \| \\
\leq \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-1}} \| + \cdots + \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{n-1}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \| \\
\leq (\xi^n + \xi^{n-1} + \cdots + \xi) \times \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \| \\
= \frac{\xi^n - \xi}{1 - \xi} \times \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \| \quad \text{for } 0 < \xi < 1.
\]

Consequently, \( \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \| \to 0 \) if \( m \to \infty, n \to \infty \). So the sequence \( \{ \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \} \) is a Cauchy sequence and converges to \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \). Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5, we know it is a \( G \)-flow, i.e., \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \). Notice that
\[
\| \overrightarrow{G}^L - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) \| \\
\leq \| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} \| + \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) \| \\
\leq \| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m} \| + \xi \| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{m-1}} - \overrightarrow{G}^L \|. 
\]

Let \( m \to \infty \). For \( 0 < \xi < 1 \), we therefore get that \( \| \overrightarrow{G}^L - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) \| = 0 \), i.e.,
\[ T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) = \overrightarrow{G}^L. \]

For the uniqueness, if there is an another conservation \( G \)-flow \( \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) holding with \( T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \right) = \overrightarrow{G}^L \), by
\[
\| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \| = \| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \right) \| \leq \xi \| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \| ,
\]
it can be only happened in the case of \( \overrightarrow{G}^L = \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \) for \( 0 < \xi < 1 \). \( \square \)

**Definition 3.3** An operator \( T : \overrightarrow{G}^Y \to \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is linear if
\[ T \left( \lambda \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \right) = \lambda T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \right) + \mu T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \right) \]
for \( \forall \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) and \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \), and is continuous at a \( \overrightarrow{G} \)-flow \( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \) if there always exist a number \( \delta(\varepsilon) \) for \( \forall \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[ \| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right) \| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \| < \delta(\varepsilon). \]
The following result reveals the relation between conceptions of \( \textit{linear continuous} \) with that of \( \textit{linear bounded} \).

**Theorem 3.4** An operator \( T : \overrightarrow{G}^y \to \overrightarrow{G}^y \) is linear continuous if and only if it is bounded.

**Proof** If \( T \) is bounded, then
\[
\left\| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L \right) - T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right) \right\| = \left\| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right) \right\| \leq \xi \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right)
\]
for an constant \( \xi \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \forall \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \in \overrightarrow{G}^y \). Whence, if
\[
\left\| \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\| < \delta(\varepsilon) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\xi}, \quad \xi \neq 0,
\]
then there must be
\[
\left\| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^L - \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right) \right\| < \varepsilon,
\]
i.e., \( T \) is linear continuous on \( \overrightarrow{G}^y \). However, this is obvious for \( \xi = 0 \).

Now if \( T \) is linear continuous but unbounded, there exists a sequence \( \{ \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \} \) in \( \overrightarrow{G}^y \) such that
\[
\left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\| \geq n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|.
\]

Let
\[
\overrightarrow{G}^{L_n^*} = \frac{1}{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|} \times \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n}.
\]
Then \( \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n^*} \right\| = \frac{1}{n} \to 0 \), i.e., \( \left\| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n^*} \right) \right\| \to 0 \) if \( n \to \infty \). However, by definition
\[
\left\| T \left( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n^*} \right) \right\| = \left\| T \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{G}^{L_n}}{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|} \right) \right\|
\]
\[
= \frac{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|}{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|} \geq \frac{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|}{n \left\| \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \right\|} = 1,
\]
a contradiction. Thus, \( T \) must be bounded. \( \square \)

The following result is a generalization of the representation theorem of Fréchet and Riesz on linear continuous functionals, i.e., \( T : \overrightarrow{G}^y \to \mathbb{C} \) on \( \overrightarrow{G} \)-flow space \( \overrightarrow{G}^y \), where \( \mathbb{C} \) is the complex field.
Theorem 3.5 Let $\overrightarrow{G} : \overrightarrow{G}^r \to \mathbb{C}$ be a linear continuous functional. Then there is a unique $\overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r$ such that

$$T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle$$

for $\forall \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r$.

Proof Define a closed subset of $\overrightarrow{G}^r$ by

$$\mathcal{N}(T) = \left\{ \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r \mid T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = 0 \right\}$$

for the linear continuous functional $T$. If $\mathcal{N}(T) = \overrightarrow{G}^r$, i.e., $T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = 0$ for $\forall \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r$, choose $\overrightarrow{G}^L = O$. We then easily obtain the identity

$$T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^L \right\rangle.$$

Whence, we assume that $\mathcal{N}(T) \neq \overrightarrow{G}^r$. In this case, there is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\overrightarrow{G}^r = \mathcal{N}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}^\perp(T)$$

with $\mathcal{N}(T) \neq \{O\}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\perp(T) \neq \{O\}$.

Choose a $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \in \mathcal{N}^\perp(T)$ with $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \neq O$ and define

$$\overrightarrow{G}^{L^*} = \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right)\right)\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} - \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)\right)\overrightarrow{G}^L$$

for $\forall \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r$. Calculation shows that

$$T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L^*}\right) = \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right)\right)T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right) - \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)\right)T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = 0,$$

i.e., $\overrightarrow{G}^{L^*} \in \mathcal{N}(T)$. We therefore get that

$$0 = \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L^*}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right)\right)\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} - \left(T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)\right)\overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle$$

$$= T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right)\left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle - T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)\left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle.$$

Notice that $\left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle = \left\|\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right\|^2 \neq 0$. We find that

$$T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^L\right) = \frac{T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)}{\left\|\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right\|^2} \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle = \left\langle \overrightarrow{G}^L, \frac{T\left(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right)}{\left\|\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\right\|^2} \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \right\rangle.$$
Let
\[ \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} = \frac{T(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0})}{\|\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\|^2} \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^{\lambda L_0}, \]
where \( \lambda = \frac{T(\overrightarrow{G}^{L})}{\|\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}\|^2} \). We consequently get that \( T(\overrightarrow{G}^{L}) = \langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L}, \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} \rangle \).

Now if there is another \( \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \in \overrightarrow{G}^{\gamma} \) such that \( T(\overrightarrow{G}^{L}) = \langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L}, \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \rangle \) for \( \forall \overrightarrow{G}^{L} \in \overrightarrow{G}^{\gamma} \), there must be \( \langle \overrightarrow{G}^{L}, \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} \rangle = 0 \) by definition. Particularly, let
\[ \overrightarrow{G}^{L} = \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \]. We know that
\[ \| \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \| = \langle \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} , \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \rangle = 0, \]
which implies that \( \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} - \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} = \mathbf{0} \), i.e., \( \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} = \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}'} \). \( \square \)

### 3.2 Differential and Integral Operators

Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be Hilbert space consisting of measurable functions \( f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \) on a set
\[ \Delta = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \}, \]
i.e., the functional space \( L^2[\Delta] \), with inner product
\[ \langle f(\mathbf{x}), g(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \int_{\Delta} \overline{f(\mathbf{x})}g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{for} \quad f(\mathbf{x}), g(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2[\Delta] \]
and \( \overrightarrow{G}^{\gamma} \) its \( \overrightarrow{G} \)-extension on a topological graph \( \overrightarrow{G} \). The **differential operator** and **integral operators**
\[ D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Delta}, \quad \int_{\Delta} \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}}, \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}} \]
on \( \overrightarrow{G}^{\gamma} \) are respectively defined by
\[ D\overrightarrow{G}^{L} = \overrightarrow{G}^{DL(u^v)} \]
and
\[ \int_{\Delta} \overrightarrow{G}^{L} = \int_{\Delta} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\overrightarrow{G}^{L}[y]d\mathbf{y} = \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}}\int_{\Delta} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})L(u^v)[y]d\mathbf{y}, \]
\[ \int_{\Delta} \overrightarrow{G}^{L} = \int_{\Delta} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\overrightarrow{G}^{L}[y]d\mathbf{y} = \overrightarrow{G}^{\hat{L}}\int_{\Delta} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})L(u^v)[y]d\mathbf{y} \]
for \( \forall (u, v) \in X \left( \overline{G} \right) \), where \( a_i, \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j} \in \mathbb{C}^0(\Delta) \) for integers \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \) and \( K(x, y) : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \in L^2(\Delta \times \Delta, \mathbb{C}) \) with

\[
\int_{\Delta \times \Delta} K(x, y)dx dy < \infty.
\]

Such integral operators are usually called adjoint for \( \int_{\Delta} = \int_{\Delta} \) by \( K(x, y) = K(x, y) \). Clearly, for \( \overline{G}^{L_1}, \overline{G}^{L_2} \in \overline{G}^{V} \) and \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{F} \),

\[
D \left( \lambda \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overline{G}^{L_2} \right) = D \left( \overline{G}^{\lambda L_1(u^v) + \mu L_2(u^v)} \right) = \overline{G}^{D(\lambda L_1(u^v) + \mu L_2(u^v))}
\]

\[
= \overline{G}^{D(\lambda L_1(u^v))} + \overline{G}^{D(\mu L_2(u^v))}
\]

\[
= D \left( \overline{G}^{\lambda L_1(u^v)} + \overline{G}^{\mu L_2(u^v)} \right) = \lambda D \overline{G}^{\lambda L_1(u^v)} + D \left( \mu \overline{G}^{L_2(u^v)} \right)
\]

for \( \forall (u, v) \in X \left( \overline{G} \right) \), i.e.,

\[
D \left( \lambda \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overline{G}^{L_2} \right) = \lambda D \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu D \overline{G}^{L_2}.
\]

Similarly, we know also that

\[
\int_{\Delta} \left( \lambda \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overline{G}^{L_2} \right) = \lambda \int_{\Delta} \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \int_{\Delta} \overline{G}^{L_2},
\]

\[
\int_{\Delta} \left( \lambda \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \overline{G}^{L_2} \right) = \lambda \int_{\Delta} \overline{G}^{L_1} + \mu \int_{\Delta} \overline{G}^{L_2}.
\]

Thus, operators \( D, \int_{\Delta} \) and \( \int_{\Delta} \) are all linear on \( \overline{G}^{V} \).

For example, let \( f(t) = t, g(t) = e^t \), \( K(t, \tau) = t^2 + \tau^2 \) for \( \Delta = [0, 1] \) and let \( \overline{G}^{L} \) be the \( \overline{G} \)-flow shown on the left in Fig.6. Then, we know that \( Df = 1, Dg = e^t \),

\[
\int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 (t^2 + \tau^2) \tau d\tau = \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = a(t),
\]

\[
\int_0^1 K(t, \tau) g(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 K(t, \tau) g(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 (t^2 + \tau^2) e^\tau d\tau = (e - 1)t^2 + e - 2 = b(t)
\]

and the actions \( D \overline{G}^{L}, \int_{[0,1]} \overline{G}^{L} \) and \( \int_{[0,1]} \overline{G}^{L} \) are shown on the right in Fig.5.
Furthermore, we know that both of them are injections on $\overline{G}$. 

**Theorem 3.6** $D : \overline{G} \to \overline{G}$ and $\int_\Delta : \overline{G} \to \overline{G}$.

**Proof** For $\forall \overline{G}^L \in \overline{G}$, we are needed to show that $D\overline{G}^L$ and $\int_\Delta \overline{G}^L \in \overline{G}$, i.e., the conservation laws

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} DL(u^v) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} \int_\Delta L(u^v) = 0$$

hold with $\forall v \in V\left(\overline{G}\right)$. However, because of $\overline{G}^{L(u^v)} \in \overline{G}$, there must be

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) = 0 \text{ for } \forall v \in V\left(\overline{G}\right),$$

we immediately know that

$$0 = D \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) \right) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} DL(u^v)$$

and

$$0 = \int_\Delta \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) \right) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} \int_\Delta L(u^v)$$

for $\forall v \in V\left(\overline{G}\right)$. $\square$
§4. $\mathcal{G}$-Flow Solutions of Equations

As we mentioned, all $G$-solutions of non-solvable systems on algebraic, ordinary or partial differential equations determined in [13]-[19] are in fact $\mathcal{G}$-flows. We show there are also $\mathcal{G}$-flow solutions for solvable equations in this section.

4.1 Linear Equations

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a field $(\mathcal{F}; +, \cdot)$. We can further define

$$G^{L_1} \circ G^{L_2} = G^{L_1 \cdot L_2}$$

with $L_1 \cdot L_2(u^v) = L_1(u^v) \cdot L_2(u^v)$ for $\forall (u, v) \in X \left( \mathcal{G} \right)$. Then it can be verified easily that $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is also a field $(\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}}; +, \circ)$ with a subfield $\mathcal{F}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$ if the conservation laws is not emphasized, where

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ G^L \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}} | L(u^v) \text{ is constant in } \mathcal{F} \text{ for } \forall (u, v) \in X \left( \mathcal{G} \right) \right\}.$$  

Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \mathcal{F}^{E \left( \mathcal{G} \right)}$. Thus $\left| \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}} \right| = p^n |E \left( \mathcal{G} \right)|$ if $|\mathcal{F}| = p^n$, where $p$ is a prime number. For this $\mathcal{F}$-extension on $\mathcal{G}$, the linear equation

$$aX = G^L$$

is uniquely solvable for $X = G^{a^{-1}L}$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ if $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{F}$. Particularly, if one views an element $b \in \mathcal{F}$ as $b = G^L$ if $L(u^v) = b$ for $(u, v) \in X \left( \mathcal{G} \right)$ and $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{F}$, then an algebraic equation

$$ax = b$$

in $\mathcal{F}$ also is an equation in $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with a solution $x = G^{a^{-1}L}$ such as those shown in Fig.6 for $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_4$, $a = 3$, $b = 5$ following.
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Let $[L_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ be a matrix with entries $L_{ij} : u^v \to v'$. Denoted by $[L_{ij}]_{m \times n} (u^v)$ the matrix $[L_{ij} (u^v)]_{m \times n}$. Then, a general result on $G$-flow solutions of linear systems is known following.

**Theorem 4.1** A linear system $(LES^n_m)$ of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11}X_1 + a_{12}X_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}X_n &= \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \\
    a_{21}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}X_n &= \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \\
    \cdots & \cdots \\
    a_{m1}X_1 + a_{m2}X_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}X_n &= \overrightarrow{G}^{L_m}
\end{align*}
\]

$(LES^n_m)$

with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_i} \in \overrightarrow{G}^v$ for integers $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ is solvable for $X_i \in \overrightarrow{G}^v$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ if and only if

$$\text{rank} [a_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \text{rank} [a_{ij}^+]_{m \times (n+1)} (u^v)$$

for $\forall (u, v) \in \overrightarrow{G}$, where

$$[a_{ij}^+]_{m \times (n+1)} = \begin{bmatrix}
    a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} & L_1 \\
    a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} & L_2 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} & L_m
\end{bmatrix}.$$ 

**Proof** Let $X_i = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{x_i}}$ with $L_{x_i} (u^v) \in v'$ on $(u, v) \in X (\overrightarrow{G})$ for integers $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $\forall (u, v) \in X (\overrightarrow{G})$, the system $(LES^n_m)$ appears as a common linear system

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11}L_{x_1} (u^v) + a_{12}L_{x_2} (u^v) + \cdots + a_{1n}L_{x_n} (u^v) &= L_1 (u^v) \\
    a_{21}L_{x_1} (u^v) + a_{22}L_{x_2} (u^v) + \cdots + a_{2n}L_{x_n} (u^v) &= L_2 (u^v) \\
    \cdots & \cdots \\
    a_{m1}L_{x_1} (u^v) + a_{m2}L_{x_2} (u^v) + \cdots + a_{mn}L_{x_n} (u^v) &= L_m (u^v)
\end{align*}
\]

By linear algebra, such a system is solvable if and only if ([4])

$$\text{rank} [a_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \text{rank} [a_{ij}^+]_{m \times (n+1)} (u^v)$$

for $\forall (u, v) \in \overrightarrow{G}$. 
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Labeling the semi-arc \( u^v \) respectively by solutions \( L_{x_1}(u^v), L_{x_2}(u^v), \ldots, L_{x_n}(u^v) \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X(G) \), we get labeled graphs \( \overline{G}^{L_{x_1}}, \overline{G}^{L_{x_2}}, \ldots, \overline{G}^{L_{x_n}} \). We prove that \( \overline{G}^{L_{x_1}}, \overline{G}^{L_{x_2}}, \ldots, \overline{G}^{L_{x_n}} \in \overline{G}^Y \).

Let \( \text{rank} [a_{ij}]_{m \times n} = r \). Similar to that of linear algebra, we are easily know that

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{j_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{1i} \overline{G}^{L_i} + c_{1,r+1} X_{j_{r+1}} + \cdots + c_{1n} X_{j_n} \\
X_{j_2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{2i} \overline{G}^{L_i} + c_{2,r+1} X_{j_{r+1}} + \cdots + c_{2n} X_{j_n} \\
&\vdots \\
X_{j_r} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{ri} \overline{G}^{L_i} + c_{r,r+1} X_{j_{r+1}} + \cdots + c_{rn} X_{j_n}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Whence, if \( \overline{G}^{L_{x_{j_{r+1}}}}, \ldots, \overline{G}^{L_{x_{j_n}}} \in \overline{G}^Y \), then

\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_{x_k}(u^v) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{ki} L_i(u^v) + \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} c_{2,r+1} X_{j_{r+1}}(u^v) + \cdots + \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} c_{2n} L_{x_{jn}}(u^v)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{ki} \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_i(u^v) \right) + c_{2,r+1} \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} X_{j_{r+1}}(u^v) + \cdots + c_{2n} \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_{x_{jn}}(u^v) = 0
\]

Whence, the system \( (L_{E}S^m_n) \) is solvable in \( \overline{G}^Y \). \( \square \)

The following result is an immediate conclusion of Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 4.2** A linear system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\
& a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\
& \vdots \\
& a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m
\end{align*}
\]

with \( a_{ij} \), \( b_j \in \mathcal{F} \) for integers \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \) holding with

\[
\text{rank} [a_{ij}]_{m \times n} = \text{rank} [a_{ij}]_{m \times (n+1)}
\]

has \( \overline{G} \)-flow solutions on infinitely many topological graphs \( \overline{G} \).
Let the operator $D$ and $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the same as in Subsection 3.2. We consider differential equations in $\overrightarrow{G}^r$ following.

**Theorem 4.3** For $\forall G^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^r$, the Cauchy problem on differential equation

$$DG^X = G^L$$

is uniquely solvable prescribed with $\overrightarrow{G}^X|_{x_n=x_0^n} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_0}$.

**Proof** For $\forall (u, v) \in X \left(\overrightarrow{G}\right)$, denoted by $F(u^v)$ the flow on the semi-arc $u^v$. Then the differential equation $DG^X = G^L$ transforms into a linear partial differential equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial F(u^v)}{\partial x_i} = L(u^v)$$

on the semi-arc $u^v$. By assumption, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^0(\Delta)$ and $L(u^v) \in L^2[\Delta]$, which implies that there is a uniquely solution $F(u^v)$ with initial value $L_0(u^v)$ by the characteristic theory of partial differential equation of first order. In fact, let $\phi_i(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, F), 1 \leq i \leq n$ be the $n$ independent first integrals of its characteristic equations. Then

$$F(u^v) = F'(u^v) - L_0(x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_{n-1}) \in L^2[\Delta],$$

where, $x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_{n-1}$ and $F'$ are determined by system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 
\phi_1(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_0^n, F) = \overline{\phi}_1 \\
\phi_2(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_0^n, F) = \overline{\phi}_2 \\
\cdots
dots
dots
dots
dots
\phi_n(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_0^n, F) = \overline{\phi}_n
\end{cases}$$

Clearly,

$$D \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} F(u^v) \right) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} DF(u^v) = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L(u^v) = 0.$$ 

Notice that

$$\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} F(u^v) \bigg|_{x_n=x_0^n} = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_0(u^v) = 0.$$
We therefore know that
\[ \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} F(u^v) = 0. \]
Thus, we get a uniquely solution \( G^X = G^F \in G^Y \) for the equation
\[ DG^X = G^L \]
prescribed with initial data \( G^X|_{u^n = x_0} = G^{L_0}. \)

We know that the Cauchy problem on heat equation
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \]
is solvable in \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \) if \( u(x, t_0) = \varphi(x) \) is continuous and bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( c \) a non-zero constant in \( \mathbb{R} \). For \( \overrightarrow{G}^L \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) in Subsection 3.2, if we define
\[ \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{G}^L}{\partial t} = \overrightarrow{g} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{G}^L}{\partial x_i} = \overrightarrow{g} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \]
then we can also consider the Cauchy problem in \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \), i.e.,
\[ \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i^2} \]
with initial values \( X|_{t=t_0} \), and know the result following.

**Theorem 4.4** For \( \forall \overrightarrow{G}^L' \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) and a non-zero constant \( c \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), the Cauchy problems on differential equations
\[ \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i^2} \]
with initial value \( X|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^L' \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) is solvable in \( \overrightarrow{G}^Y \) if \( L' (u^v) \) is continuous and bounded in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right) \).

**Proof** For \( (u, v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right) \), the Cauchy problem on the semi-arc \( u^v \) appears as
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \]
with initial value \( u|_{t=0} = L'(u^v)(x) \) if \( X = \overrightarrow{G}^F \). According to the theory of partial differential equations, we know that

\[
F(u^v)(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \ldots + (x_n-y_n)^2}{4t}} L'(u^v)(y_1, \ldots, y_n) dy_1 \cdots dy_n.
\]

Labeling the semi-arc \( u^v \) by \( F(u^v)(x,t) \) for \( \forall (u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G}) \), we get a labeled graph \( \overrightarrow{G}^F \) on \( \overrightarrow{G} \). We prove \( \overrightarrow{G}^F \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \).

By assumption, \( \overrightarrow{G}' \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \), i.e., for \( \forall u \in V(\overrightarrow{G}) \),

\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L'(u^v)(x) = 0,
\]

we know that

\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} F(u^v)(x,t)
= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \ldots + (x_n-y_n)^2}{4t}} \left( \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L'(u^v)(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \right) dy_1 \cdots dy_n
= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \ldots + (x_n-y_n)^2}{4t}} (0) dy_1 \cdots dy_n = 0
\]

for \( \forall u \in V(\overrightarrow{G}) \). Therefore, \( \overrightarrow{G}^F \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \) and

\[
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = c^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i^2}
\]

with initial value \( X|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}' \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \) is solvable in \( \overrightarrow{G}^V \). \( \Box \)

Similarly, we can also get a result on Cauchy problem on 3-dimensional wave equation in \( \overrightarrow{G}^V \) following.

**Theorem 4.5** For \( \forall \overrightarrow{G}' \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \) and a non-zero constant \( c \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), the Cauchy problems on differential equations

\[
\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_3^2} \right)
\]
with initial value $X|_{t=t_0}=G^{L'} \in \mathcal{G}^\mathcal{V}$ is solvable in $\mathcal{G}^\mathcal{V}$ if $L'(u^v)$ is continuous and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $\forall (u, v) \in X \left( \mathcal{G} \right)$.

For an integral kernel $K(x, y)$, the two subspaces $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^* \subset L^2[\Delta]$ are determined by

$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ \phi(x) \in L^2[\Delta] \mid \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \phi(y) dy = \phi(x) \right\}$,

$\mathcal{N}^* = \left\{ \varphi(x) \in L^2[\Delta] \mid \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \varphi(y) dy = \varphi(x) \right\}$.

Then we know the result following.

**Theorem 4.6** For $\forall G^L \in \mathcal{G}^\mathcal{V}$, if $\dim \mathcal{N} = 0$, then the integral equation

$\mathcal{G}^X - \int_{\Delta} \mathcal{G}^X = G^L$

is solvable in $\mathcal{G}^\mathcal{V}$ with $\mathcal{V} = L^2[\Delta]$ if and only if

$\left\langle \mathcal{G}^L, \mathcal{G}^{L'} \right\rangle = 0, \ \forall \mathcal{G}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^*$.

**Proof** For $\forall (u, v) \in X \left( \mathcal{G} \right)$

$\mathcal{G}^X - \int_{\Delta} \mathcal{G}^X = G^L$ and $\left\langle \mathcal{G}^L, \mathcal{G}^{L'} \right\rangle = 0, \ \forall \mathcal{G}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^*$

on the semi-arc $u^v$ respectively appear as

$F(x) - \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) F(y) dy = L(u^v)[x]$ if $X(u^v) = F(x)$ and

$\int_{\Delta} \overline{L(u^v)[x]} L'(u^v)[x] dx = 0$ for $\forall \mathcal{G}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^*$.

Applying Hilbert and Schmidt’s theorem ([20]) on integral equation, we know the integral equation

$F(x) - \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) F(y) dy = L(u^v)[x]$ is solvable in $L^2[\Delta]$ if and only if

$\int_{\Delta} \overline{L(u^v)[x]} L'(u^v)[x] dx = 0$. 
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for $\forall \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^*$. Thus, there are functions $F(x) \in L^2[\Delta]$ hold for the integral equation

$$F(x) - \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) F(y) dy = L(u^v)[x]$$

for $\forall (u, v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})$ in this case.

For $\forall u \in V(\overrightarrow{G})$, it is clear that

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \left( F(u^v)[x] - \int_{\Delta} K(x, y) F(u^v)[x] \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} L(u^v)[x] = 0,$$

which implies that,

$$\int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \left( \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} F(u^v)[x] \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} F(u^v)[x].$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} F(u^v)[x] \in \mathcal{N}.$$

However, if $\dim \mathcal{N} = 0$, there must be

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{N}(u)} F(u^v)[x] = 0$$

for $\forall u \in V(\overrightarrow{G})$, i.e., $\overrightarrow{G}^F \in \overrightarrow{G}^Y$. Whence, if $\dim \mathcal{N} = 0$, the integral equation

$$\overrightarrow{G}^X - \int_{\Delta} \overrightarrow{G}^X = \overrightarrow{G}^L$$

is solvable in $\overrightarrow{G}^Y$ with $\mathcal{V} = L^2[\Delta]$ if and only if

$$\left< \overrightarrow{G}^L, \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \right> = 0, \quad \forall \overrightarrow{G}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^*.$$

This completes the proof. \[\square\]

**Theorem 4.7** Let the integral kernel $K(x, y) : \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbb{C} \in L^2(\Delta \times \Delta)$ be given with

$$\int_{\Delta \times \Delta} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy > 0, \quad \dim \mathcal{N} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overrightarrow{G}(x, y) = K(x, y)$$
for almost all \((x, y) \in \Delta \times \Delta\). Then there is a finite or countably infinite system \(\overrightarrow{G}\)-flows \(\left\{ \overrightarrow{L}^i \right\}_{i=1,2,...} \subset L^2(\Delta, \mathbb{C})\) with associate real numbers \(\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1,2,...} \subset \mathbb{R}\) such that the integral equations

\[
\int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \overrightarrow{G}^{L_i}[y] \, dy = \lambda_i \overrightarrow{G}^{L_i}[x]
\]

hold with integers \(i = 1, 2, \ldots\), and furthermore,

\[
|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i = 0.
\]

**Proof** Notice that the integral equations

\[
\int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \overrightarrow{G}^{L_i}[y] \, dy = \lambda_i \overrightarrow{G}^{L_i}[x]
\]

is appeared as

\[
\int_{\Delta} K(x, y) L_i(u^v)[y] \, dy = \lambda_i L_i(u^v)[x]
\]

on \((u,v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})\). By the spectral theorem of Hilbert and Schmidt ([20]), there is indeed a finite or countably system of functions \(\{L_i(u^v)[x]\}_{i=1,2,...}\) hold with this integral equation, and furthermore,

\[
|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i = 0.
\]

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.5, if \(\dim \mathcal{N} = 0\), we know that

\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_i(u^v)[x] = 0
\]

for \(\forall u \in V(\overrightarrow{G})\), i.e., \(\overrightarrow{G}^{L_i} \in \overrightarrow{G}^\mathcal{V}\) for integers \(i = 1, 2, \cdots\). \(\square\)

### 4.2 Non-linear Equations

If \(\overrightarrow{G}\) is chosen with a special structure, we can get a general result on \(\overrightarrow{G}\)-solutions of equations, including non-linear equations following.

**Theorem 4.8** If the topological graph \(\overrightarrow{G}\) can be decomposed into circuits

\[
\overrightarrow{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \overrightarrow{C}_i
\]
such that \( L(u^v) = L_i(x) \) for \( \forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{C_i} \right) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq l \) and the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_i(x, u, u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1x_2}, \ldots) = 0 \\
|u|_{x_0} = L_i(x)
\end{align*}
\]

is solvable in a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{V} \) on domain \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) for integers \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), then the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_i(x, X, X_{x_1}, \ldots, X_{x_n}, X_{x_1x_2}, \ldots) = 0 \\
|X|_{x_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^L
\end{align*}
\]

such that \( L(u^v) = L_i(x) \) for \( \forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{C_i} \right) \) is solvable for \( X \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \).

**Proof** Let \( X = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_u(x)} \) with \( L_u(x)(u^v) = u(x) \) for \( (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right) \). Notice that the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_i(x, u, u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1x_2}, \ldots) = 0 \\
|u|_{x_0} = G^L
\end{align*}
\]

then appears as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_i(x, u, u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1x_2}, \ldots) = 0 \\
|u|_{x_0} = L_i(x)
\end{align*}
\]

on the semi-arc \( u^v \) for \( (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right) \), which is solvable by assumption. Whence, there exists solution \( u(u^v)(x) \) holding with

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_i(x, u, u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1x_2}, \ldots) = 0 \\
|u|_{x_0} = L_i(x)
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_u(x)} \) be a labeling on \( \overrightarrow{G} \) with \( u(u^v)(x) \) on \( u^v \) for \( \forall (u,v) \in X \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right) \). We show that \( \overrightarrow{G}^{L_u(x)} \in \overrightarrow{G}^V \). Notice that

\[
\overrightarrow{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \overrightarrow{C_i}
\]

and all flows on \( \overrightarrow{C_i} \) is the same, i.e., the solution \( u(u^v)(x) \). Clearly, it is holden with conservation on each vertex in \( \overrightarrow{C_i} \) for integers \( 1 \leq i \leq l \). We therefore know that

\[
\sum_{v \in N_G(u)} L_{x_0}(u^v) = 0, \quad u \in V \left( \overrightarrow{G} \right).
\]
Thus, $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_u(x)} \in \overrightarrow{G}^y$. This completes the proof.

There are many interesting conclusions on $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow solutions of equations by Theorem 4.8. For example, if $\mathcal{P}_i$ is nothing else but polynomials of degree $n$ in one variable $x$, we get a conclusion following, which generalizes the fundamental theorem in algebra.

**Corollary 4.9 (Generalized Fundamental Theorem in Algebra)** If $\overrightarrow{G}$ can be decomposed into circuits

$$\overrightarrow{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \overrightarrow{C}_i$$

and $L_i(u^v) = a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\forall (u, v) \in X\left(\overrightarrow{C}_i\right)$ and integers $1 \leq i \leq l$, then the polynomial

$$F(X) = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \circ X^n + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_2} \circ X^{n-1} + \cdots + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \circ X + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{n+1}}$$

always has roots, i.e., $X_0 \in \overrightarrow{G}^C$ such that $F(X_0) = 0$ if $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$.

Particularly, an algebraic equation

$$a_1 x^n + a_2 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n x + a_{n+1} = 0$$

with $a_1 \neq 0$ has infinite many $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow solutions in $\overrightarrow{G}^C$ on those topological graphs $\overrightarrow{G}$ with $\overrightarrow{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \overrightarrow{C}_i$.

Notice that Theorem 4.8 enables one to get $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow solutions both on those linear and non-linear equations in physics. For example, we know the spherical solution

$$ds^2 = f(t) \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r_g}{r}} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

for the Einstein’s gravitational equations ([9])

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}$$

with $R^{\mu\nu} = R^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta\nu}$. $R = g_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}$, $G = 6.673 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^3$/gs$^2$, $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4 = 2.08 \times 10^{-48}$ cm$^{-1}$·g$^{-1}$·s$^2$. By Theorem 4.8, we get their $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow solutions following.

**Corollary 4.10** The Einstein’s gravitational equations

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g^{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G T^{\mu\nu},$$
has infinite many $\mathcal{G}$-flow solutions in $\mathcal{G}^\mathcal{C}$, particularly on those topological graphs $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{C}_i$ with spherical solutions of the equations on their arcs.

For example, let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}_4$. We are easily find $\mathcal{C}_4$-flow solution of Einstein’s gravitational equations, such as those shown in Fig.7.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig7.png}
\caption{Fig.7}
\end{figure}

where, each $S_i$ is a spherical solution

$$ds^2 = f(t) \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$$

of Einstein’s gravitational equations for integers $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

As a by-product, Theorems 4.5-4.6 can be also generalized on those topological graphs with circuit-decomposition following.

**Corollary 4.11** Let the integral kernel $K(x, y) : \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbb{C} \in L^2(\Delta \times \Delta)$ be given with

$$\int_{\Delta \times \Delta} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy > 0, \quad K(x, y) = K(x, y)$$

for almost all $(x, y) \in \Delta \times \Delta$, and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{C}_i$$

such that $L(u^v) = L_{[i]}(x)$ for $\forall (u, v) \in X(\mathcal{C}_i)$ and integers $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then, the integral equation

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^X - \int_{\Delta} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^X = G^L$$

is solvable in $\mathcal{G}^\mathcal{V}$ with $\mathcal{V} = L^2[\Delta]$ if and only if

$$\langle \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^L, \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^{L'} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}^{L'} \in \mathcal{N}^\mathcal{V}.$$
Corollary 4.12 Let the integral kernel \( K(x, y) : \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbb{C} \in L^2(\Delta \times \Delta) \) be given with
\[
\int_{\Delta \times \Delta} |K(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy > 0, \quad K(x, y) = K(x, y)
\]
for almost all \((x, y) \in \Delta \times \Delta\), and
\[
\mathcal{G}^L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} C_i
\]
such that \( L(u^v) = L_{[i]}(x) \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X \left( C_i \right) \) and integers \( 1 \leq i \leq l \). Then, there is a finite or countably infinite system \( \mathcal{G}^L\)-flows \( \left\{ \mathcal{G}^{L_i} \right\}_{i=1, 2, \cdots} \subset L^2(\Delta, \mathbb{C}) \) with associate real numbers \( \left\{ \lambda_i \right\}_{i=1, 2, \cdots} \subset \mathbb{R} \) such that the integral equations
\[
\int_{\Delta} K(x, y) \mathcal{G}^{L_i[y]} \, dy = \lambda_i \mathcal{G}^{L_i[x]}
\]
hold with integers \( i = 1, 2, \cdots \), and furthermore,
\[
|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i = 0.
\]

§5. Applications to System Control

5.1 Stability of \( \mathcal{G}^L\)-Flow Solutions

Let \( X = \mathcal{G}^{L_{u(x)}} \) and \( X_2 = \mathcal{G}^{L_{u_1(x)}} \) be respectively solutions of
\[
\mathcal{F} (x, X_{x_1}, \cdots, X_{x_n}, X_{x_1x_2}, \cdots) = 0
\]
on the initial values \( X|_{x_0} = \mathcal{G}^{L} \) or \( X|_{x_0} = \mathcal{G}^{L_1} \) in \( \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{V}} \) with \( \mathcal{V} = L^2[\Delta] \), the Hilbert space. The \( \mathcal{G}^L\)-flow solution \( X \) is said to be stable if there exists a number \( \delta(\varepsilon) \) for any number \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
\|X_1 - X_2\| = \left\| \mathcal{G}^{L_{u_1(x)}} - \mathcal{G}^{L_{u(x)}} \right\| < \varepsilon
\]
if
\[
\left\| \mathcal{G}^{L_1} - \mathcal{G}^{L} \right\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon).
\]

By definition,
\[
\left\| \mathcal{G}^{L_1} - \mathcal{G}^{L} \right\| = \sum_{(u,v) \in X} \|L_1(u^v) - L(u^v)\|.
\]
and
\[ \left\| \text{G}^{G_{L_{u_1}(x)}} - \text{G}^{G_{L_u(x)}} \right\| = \sum_{(u,v) \in X(G)} \left\| u_1(u^v)(x) - u(u^v)(x) \right\|. \]

Clearly, if these \( \text{G} \)-flow solutions \( X \) are stable, then
\[ \left\| u_1(u^v)(x) - u(u^v)(x) \right\| \leq \sum_{(u,v) \in X(G)} \left\| u_1(u^v)(x) - u(u^v)(x) \right\| < \varepsilon \]
if
\[ \left\| L_1(u^v) - L(u^v) \right\| \leq \sum_{(u,v) \in X(G)} \left\| L_1(u^v) - L(u^v) \right\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon), \]
i.e., \( u(u^v)(x) \) is stable on \( u^v \) for \( (u,v) \in X(G) \).

Conversely, if \( u(u^v)(x) \) is stable on \( u^v \) for \( (u,v) \in X(G) \), i.e., for any number \( \varepsilon/\varepsilon(G) > 0 \) there always is a number \( \delta(\varepsilon)(u^v) \) such that
\[ \left\| u_1(u^v)(x) - u(u^v)(x) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon(G)} \]
if
\[ \left\| L_1(u^v) - L(u^v) \right\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)(u^v), \]
then there must be
\[ \sum_{(u,v) \in X(G)} \left\| u_1(u^v)(x) - u(u^v)(x) \right\| < \varepsilon(G) \times \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon(G)} = \varepsilon \]
if
\[ \left\| L_1(u^v) - L(u^v) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon(G)}, \]
where \( \varepsilon(G) \) is the number of arcs of \( G \) and
\[ \delta(\varepsilon) = \min \left\{ \delta(\varepsilon)(u^v) \mid (u,v) \in X(G) \right\}. \]

Whence, we get the result following.
**Theorem 5.1** Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be the Hilbert space \( L^2[\Delta] \). The \( \vec{G} \)-flow solution \( X \) of equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(x, X, X_{x_1}, \ldots, X_{x_n}, X_{x_1 x_2}, \ldots) &= 0 \\
X|_{x_0} &= \vec{G}^L
\end{aligned}
\]
in \( \vec{G} \) is stable if and only if the solution \( u(x) \) of equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(x, u, u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, u_{x_1 x_2}, \ldots) &= 0 \\
u|_{x_0} &= \vec{G}^L
\end{aligned}
\]
is stable on the semi-arc \( u \) for \( \forall (u, v) \in X \) \( \vec{G} \).

This conclusion enables one to find stable \( \vec{G} \)-flow solutions of equations. For example, we know that the stability of trivial solution \( y = 0 \) of an ordinary differential equation
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} = [A]y
\]
with constant coefficients, is dependent on the number \( \gamma = \max\{\Re \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma[A]\} \) ([23]), i.e., it is stable if and only if \( \gamma < 0 \), or \( \gamma = 0 \) but \( m'(\lambda) = m(\lambda) \) for all eigenvalues \( \lambda \) with \( \Re \lambda = 0 \), where \( \sigma[A] \) is the set of eigenvalue of the matrix \( [A] \), \( m(\lambda) \) the multiplicity and \( m'(\lambda) \) the dimension of corresponding eigenspace of \( \lambda \).

**Corollary 5.2** Let \([A]\) be a matrix with all eigenvalues \( \lambda < 0 \), or \( \gamma = 0 \) but \( m'(\lambda) = m(\lambda) \) for all eigenvalues \( \lambda \) with \( \Re \lambda = 0 \). Then the solution \( X = 0 \) of differential equation
\[
\frac{dX}{dx} = [A]X
\]
is stable in \( \vec{G} \), where \( \vec{G} \) is such a topological graph that there are \( \vec{G} \)-flows hold with the equation.

For example, the \( \vec{G} \)-flow shown in Fig.8 following

Fig.8
is a $G$-flow solution of the differential equation
\[ \frac{d^2 X}{dx^2} + 5 \frac{dX}{dx} + 6X = 0 \]
with $f(x) = C_1 e^{-2x} + C_1' e^{-3x}$ and $g(x) = C_2 e^{-2x} + C_2' e^{-3x}$, where $C_1, C_1'$ and $C_2, C_2'$ are constants.

Similarly, applying the stability of solutions of wave equations, heat equations and elliptic equations, the conclusion following is known by Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 5.3** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the Hilbert space $L^2[\Delta]$. Then, the $G$-flow solutions $X$ of equations following
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_2^2} \right) = G^L \\
X|_{t_0} = G^{L_{\phi(x_1,x_2)}}, \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}|_{t_0} = G^{L_{\phi(x_1,x_2)}}, \quad X|_{\partial \Delta} = G^{L_{\rho(t,x_1,x_2)}}
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_1} = G^L \\
X|_{t_0} = G^{L_{\phi(x_1,x_2)}}
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_3^2} = 0 \\
X|_{\partial \Delta} = G^{L_{\phi(x_1,x_2,x_3)}}
\end{array} \right.
\]
are stable in $G^\mathcal{V}$, where $G$ is such a topological graph that there are $G$-flows hold with these equations.

**5.2 Industrial System Control**

An industrial system with raw materials $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n$, products (including by-products) $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ but $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s$ wastes after a produce process, such as those shown in Fig.9 following.

![Fig.9](image-url)
i.e., an input-output system, where,

\[(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_m) = F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)\]

determined by differential equations, called the *production function* and constrained with the conservation law of matter, i.e.,

\[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s} w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i.\]

Notice that such an industrial system is an opened system in general, which can be transferred into a closed one by letting the nature as an additional cell, i.e., all materials come from and all wastes resolve by the nature, a classical one on human beings with the nature. However, the resolvability of nature is very limited. Such a classical system finally resulted in the environmental pollution accompanied with the developed production of human beings.

Different from those of classical industrial systems, an *ecologically industrial system* is a recycling system ([24]), i.e., all outputs of one of its subsystem, including products, by-products provide the inputs of other subsystems and all wastes are disposed harmless to the nature. Clearly, such a system is nothing else but a $\overrightarrow{G}$-flow because it is holding with conservation laws on each vertex in a topological graph $\overrightarrow{G}$, where $\overrightarrow{G}$ is determined by the technological process for products, wastes disposal and recycle, and can be characterized by differential equations in Banach space $\overrightarrow{G}^{\nu}$. Whence, we can determine such a system by $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_u}$ with $L_u : u^v \to u((u^v)(t,x))$ for $(u, v) \in X(\overrightarrow{G})$, or ordinary differential equations

\[
\begin{cases}
\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \circ \frac{d^k X}{dt^k} + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \circ \frac{d^{k-1} X}{dt^{k-1}} + \cdots + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{u(t,x)}} = 0 \\
X|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{h_0(x)}}, \frac{dX}{dt}|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{h_1(x)}}, \cdots, \frac{dX^{k-1}}{dt^{k-1}}|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{h_{k-1}(x)}}
\end{cases}
\]

for an integer $k \geq 1$, or a partial differential equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\overrightarrow{G}^{L_0} \circ \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_1} \circ \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + \overrightarrow{G}^{L_n} \circ \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_n} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{u(t,x)}} \\
X|_{t=t_0} = \overrightarrow{G}^{L_{u(x)}}
\end{cases}
\]

and characterize its stability by Theorem 5.1, where, the coefficients $\overrightarrow{G}^{L_i}, i \geq 0$ are determined by the technological process of production.
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