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#### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is using the elementary method to study the property of the Smarandache function, and give an interesting result. Keywords Smarandache function; Additive property; Greatest prime divisor.


## §1. Introduction and results

Let $n$ be an positive integer, the famous Smarandache function $S(n)$ is defined as following:

$$
S(n)=\min \{m: m \in N, n \mid m!\} .
$$

About this function and many other Smarandache type function, many scholars have studied its properties, see [1], [2], [3] and [4]. Let $p(n)$ denotes the greatest prime divisor of $n$, it is clear that $S(n) \geq p(n)$. In fact, $S(n)=p(n)$ for almost all $n$, as noted by Erdös [5]. This means that the number of $n \leq x$ for which $S(n) \neq p(n)$, denoted by $N(x)$, is $o(x)$. It is easily to show that $S(p)=p$ and $S(n)<n$ except for the case $n=4, n=p$. So there have a closely relationship between $S(n)$ and $\pi(x)$ :

$$
\pi(x)=-1+\sum_{n=2}^{[x]}\left[\frac{S(n)}{n}\right]
$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes up to $x$, and $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$. For two integer $m$ and $n$, can you say $S(m n)=S(m)+S(n)$ is true or false? It is difficult to say. For some $m$ an $n$, it is true, but for some other numbers it is false.

About this problem, J.Sandor [7] proved an very important conclusion. That is, for any positive integer $k$ and any positive integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{k}$, we have the inequality

$$
S\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} S\left(m_{i}\right)
$$

This paper as a note of [7], we shall prove the following two conclusions:

Theorem 1. For any integer $k \geq 2$ and positive integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{k}$, we have the inequality

$$
S\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k} S\left(m_{i}\right)
$$

Theorem 2. For any integer $k \geq 2$, we can find infinite group numbers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{k}$ such that:

$$
S\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} S\left(m_{i}\right)
$$

## §2. Proof of the theorems

In this section, we will complete the proof of the Theorems. First we prove a special case of Theorem 1. That is, for any positive integers $m$ and $n$, we have

$$
S(m) S(n) \geq S(m n)
$$

If $m=1$ ( or $n=1$ ), then it is clear that $S(m) S(n) \geq S(m n)$. Now we suppose $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, so that $S(m) \geq 2, S(n) \geq 2, m n \geq m+n$ and $S(m) S(n) \geq S(m)+S(n)$. Note that $m \mid S(m)$ !, $n \mid S(n)$ !, we have $m n|S(m)!S(n)!|((S(m)+S(n))$ !. Because $S(m) S(n) \geq S(m)+S(n)$, we have $(S(m)+S(n))!\mid(S(m) S(n))!$. That is, $m n|S(m)!S(n)!|(S(m)+S(n))!\mid(S(m) S(n))$ !. From the definition of $S(n)$ we may immediately deduce that

$$
S(m n) \leq S(m) S(n)
$$

Now the theorem 1 follows from $S(m n) \leq S(m) S(n)$ and the mathematical induction.
Proof of Theorem 2. For any integer $n$ and prime $p$, if $p^{\alpha} \| n$ !, then we have

$$
\alpha=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{n}{p^{j}}\right] .
$$

Let $n_{i}$ are positive integers such that $n_{i} \neq n_{j}$, if $i \neq j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq k, k \geq 2$ is any positive integer. Since

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{p^{n_{i}}}{p^{r}}\right]=p^{n_{i}-1}+p^{n_{i}-2}+\cdots+1=\frac{p^{n_{i}}-1}{p-1}
$$

For convenient, we let $u_{i}=\frac{p^{n_{i}}-1}{p-1}$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(p^{u_{i}}\right)=p^{n_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, k . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, we also have

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{n_{i}}}{p^{r}}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{p^{n_{i}}-1}{p-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(p^{u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{k}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{n_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (2) we may immediately obtain

$$
S\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} p^{u_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} S\left(p^{u_{i}}\right)
$$

Let $m_{i}=p^{u_{i}}$, noting that there are infinity primes $p$ and $n_{i}$, we can easily get Theorem 2 .
This completes the proof of the theorems.
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