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#### Abstract

A Roman domination function on a complementary prism graph $G G^{c}$ is a function $f: V \cup V^{c} \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ such that every vertex with label 0 has a neighbor with label 2. The Roman domination number $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the minimum of $\sum_{x \in V \cup V^{c}} f(x)$ over such functions, where the complementary prism $G G^{c}$ of $G$ is graph obtained from disjoint union of $G$ and its complement $G^{c}$ by adding edges of a perfect matching between corresponding vertices of $G$ and $G^{c}$. In this paper, we have investigated few properties of $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$ and its relation with other parameters are obtained.
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## §1. Introduction

In this paper, $G$ is a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Let $n=|V|$ and $m=|E|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph $G$, respectively. For any vertex $v$ of $G$, let $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ denote its open and closed neighborhoods respectively. $\alpha_{0}(G)\left(\alpha_{1}(G)\right)$, is the minimum number of vertices (edges) in a vertex (edge) cover of $G$. $\beta_{0}(G)\left(\beta_{1}(G)\right)$, is the minimum number of vertices (edges) in a maximal independent set of vertex (edge) of $G$. Let $\operatorname{deg}(v)$ be the degree of vertex $v$ in $G$. Then $\triangle(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ be maximum and minimum degree of $G$, respectively. If $M$ is a matching in a graph $G$ with the property that every vertex of $G$ is incident with an edge of $M$, then $M$ is a perfect matching in $G$. The complement $G^{c}$ of a graph $G$ is the graph having the same set of vertices as $G$ denoted by $V^{c}$ and in which two vertices are adjacent, if and only if they are not adjacent in $G$. Refer to [5] for additional graph theory terminology.

A dominating set $D \subseteq V$ for a graph $G$ is such that each $v \in V$ is either in $D$ or adjacent to a vertex of $D$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$. Further, a dominating set $D$ is a minimal dominating set of $G$, if and only if for each vertex $v \in D, D-v$ is not a dominating set of $G$. For complete review on theory of domination

[^0]and its related parameters, we refer [1], [6] and [7].
For a graph $G=(V, E)$, let $f: V \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ and let $\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be the ordered partition of $V$ induced by $f$, where $V_{i}=\{v \in V / f(v)=i\}$ and $\left|V_{i}\right|=n_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$. There exist 1-1 correspondence between the functions $f: V \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ and the ordered partitions $\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ of $V$. Thus we write $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$.

A function $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is a Roman dominating function (RDF) if $V_{2} \succ V_{0}$, where $\succ$ signifies that the set $V_{2}$ dominates the set $V_{0}$. The weight of a Roman dominating function is the value $f(V)=\sum_{v \epsilon V} f(v)=2\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|$. Roman dominating number $\gamma_{R}(G)$, equals the minimum weight of an RDF of $G$, we say that a function $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is a $\gamma_{R}$-function if it is an RDF and $f(V)=\gamma_{R}(G)$. Generally, let $I \subset\{0,1,2, \cdots, n\}$. A Smarandachely Roman $s$-dominating function for an integer $s, 2 \leq s \leq n$ on a graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is a function $f: V \rightarrow\{0,1,2, \cdots, n\}$ satisfying the condition that $|f(u)-f(v)| \geq s$ for each edge $u v \in E$ with $f(u)$ or $f(v) \in I$. Particularly, if we choose $n=s=2$ and $I=\{0\}$, such a Smarandachely Roman $s$-dominating function is nothing but the Roman domination function. For more details on Roman dominations and its related parameters we refer [3]-[4] and [9]-[11].

In [8], Haynes etal., introduced the concept of domination and total domination in complementary prisms. Analogously, we initiate the Roman domination in complementary prism as follows:

A Roman domination function on a complementary prism graph $G G^{c}$ is a function $f$ : $V \cup V^{c} \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ such that every vertex with label 0 has a neighbor with label 2. The Roman domination number $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the minimum of $\sum_{x \in V \cup V^{c}} f(x)$ over such functions, where the complementary prism $G G^{c}$ of $G$ is graph obtained from disjoint union of $G$ and its complement $G^{c}$ by adding edges of a perfect matching between corresponding vertices of $G$ and $G^{c}$.

## §2. Results

We begin by making a couple of observations.
Observation 2.1 For any graph $G$ with order $n$ and size $m$,

$$
m\left(G G^{c}\right)=n(n+1) / 2
$$

Observation 2.2 For any graph $G$,
(i) $\beta_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n$.
(ii) $\alpha_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2 n$.

Proof Let $G$ be a graph and $G G^{c}$ be its complementary prism graph with perfect matching M. If one to one correspondence between vertices of a graph $G$ and its complement $G^{c}$ in $G G^{c}$, then $G G^{c}$ has even order and $M$ is a 1-regular spanning sub graph of $G G^{c}$, thus (i) follows and due to the fact of $\alpha_{1}(G)+\beta_{1}(G)=n$,(ii) follows.

Observation 2.3 For any graph $G$,

$$
\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)=n
$$

if and only if $G$ or $G^{c}$ is totally disconnected graph.
Proof Let there be $n$ vertices of degree 1 in $G G^{c}$. Let $D$ be a dominating set of $G G^{c}$ and $v$ be a vertex of $G$ of degree $n-1, v \in D$. In $G G^{c}, v$ dominates $n$ vertices and remaining $n-1$ vertices are pendent vertices which has to dominate itself. Hence $\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)=n$. Conversely, if $\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)=n$, then there are $n$ vertices in minimal dominating set $D$.

Theorem 2.1 For any graph $G$,

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)
$$

if and only if $G$ being an isolated vertex.
Proof If $G$ is an isolated vertex, then $G G^{c}$ is $K_{2}$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2, \alpha_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)=1$ and $\beta_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)=1$. Conversely, if $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=\alpha_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)$. By above observation, then we have $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|$. Thus we consider the following cases:

Case 1 If $V_{2}=\phi,\left|V_{1}\right|=2$, then $V_{0}=\phi$ and $G G^{c} \cong K_{2}$.
Case 2 If $\left|V_{2}\right|=1,\left|V_{1}\right|=\phi$, then $G G^{c}$ is a complete graph.
Hence the result follows.

Theorem 2.2 Let $G$ and $G^{c}$ be two complete graphs then $G G^{c}$ is also complete if and only if $G \cong K_{1}$ 。

Proof If $G \cong K_{1}$ then $G^{c} \cong K_{1}$ and $G G^{c} \cong K_{2}$ which is a complete graph. Conversely, if $G G^{c}$ is complete graph then any vertex $v$ of $G$ is adjacent to $n-1$ vertices of $G$ and $n$ vertices of $G^{c}$. According to definition of complementary prism this is not possible for graph other than $K_{1}$.

Theorem 2.3 For any graph $G$,

$$
\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)<\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq 2 \gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)
$$

Further, the upper bound is attained if $V_{1}\left(G G^{c}\right)=\phi$.
Proof Let $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be $\gamma_{R}$-function. If $V_{2} \succ V_{0}$ and $\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right)$ dominates $G G^{c}$, then $\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)<\left|V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right|=\left|V_{1}\right|+2\left|V_{2}\right|=\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$. Thus the result follows.

Let $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an $R D F$ of $G G^{c}$ with $|D|=\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)$. Let $V_{2}=D, V_{1}=\phi$ and $V_{0}=V-D$. Since $f$ is an $R D F$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$ denotes minimum weight of $f(V)$. It follows $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq f(V)=\left|V_{1}\right|+2\left|V_{2}\right|=2|S|=2 \gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)$. Hence the upper bound follows. For graph $G G^{c}$, let $v$ be vertex not in $V_{1}$, implies that either $v \in V_{2}$ or $v \in V_{0}$. If $v \in V_{2}$ then $v \in D$, $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|=2|D|=2 \gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)$. If $v \in V_{0}$ then $N(v) \subseteq V_{2}$ or $N(v) \subseteq V_{0}$ as $v$ does not belong to $V_{1}$. Hence the result.

Theorem 2.4 For any graph $G$,

$$
2 \leq \gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq(n+1)
$$

Further, the lower bound is attained if and only if $G \cong K_{1}$ and the upper bound is attained if $G$ or $G^{c}$ is totally disconnected graph.

Proof Let $G$ be a graph with $n \geq 1$. If $f=\left\{V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right\}$ be a $R D F$ of $G G^{c}$, then $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \geq 2$. Thus the lower bound follows.

Upper bound is proved by using mathematical induction on number of vertices of $G$. For $n=1, G G^{c} \cong K_{2}, \gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n+1$. For $n=2, G G^{c} \cong P_{4}, \gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n+1$. Assume the result to be true for some graph $H$ with $n-1$ vertices, $\gamma_{R}\left(H H^{c}\right) \leq n$. Let $G$ be a graph obtained by adding a vertex $v$ to $H$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $w$ in $H$ which belongs to $V_{2}$, then $v \in V_{0}, \gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n<n+1$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex either in $V_{0}$ or $V_{1}$, then $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n+1$. If $v$ is adjacent to all vertices of $H$ then $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)<n<n+1$. Hence upper bound follows for any number of vertices of $G$.

Now, we prove the second part. If $G \cong K_{1}$, then $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2$. On the other hand, if $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2=2\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|$ then we have following cases:

Case 1 If $\left|V_{2}\right|=1,\left|V_{1}\right|=0$, then there exist a vertex $v \in V\left(G G^{c}\right)$ such that degree of $v=(n-1)$, thus one and only graph with this property is $G G^{c} \cong K_{2}$. Hence $G=K_{1}$.

Case 2 If $\left|V_{2}\right|=0,\left|V_{1}\right|=2$, then there are only two vertices in the $G G^{c}$ which are connected by an edge. Hence the result.

If $G$ is totally disconnected then $G^{c}$ is a complete graph. Any vertex $v^{c}$ in $G^{c}$ dominates $n$ vertices in $G G^{c}$. Remaining $n-1$ vertices of $G G^{c}$ are in $V_{1}$. Hence $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n+1$.

Proposition 2.1([3]) For any path $P_{n}$ and cycle $C_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices,

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(P_{n}\right)=\gamma_{R}\left(C_{n}\right)=\lceil 2 n / 3\rceil \text {, }
$$

where $\lceil x\rceil$ is the smallest integer not less than $x$.
Theorem 2.5 For any graph $G$,
(i) if $G=P_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$ vertices, then

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=4+\lceil 2(n-3) / 3\rceil ;
$$

(ii) if $G=C_{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ vertices, then

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=4+\lceil 2(n-2) / 3\rceil
$$

Proof ( $i$ ) Let $G=P_{n}$ be a path with with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then we have the following cases:

Case 1 Let $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an $R D F$ and a pendent vertex $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $u$ in $G$. The vertex $v^{c}$ is not adjacent to a vertex $u^{c}$ in $V^{c}$. But the vertex of $v^{c}$ in $V^{c}$ is adjacent
to $n$ vertices of $G G^{c}$. Let $v^{c} \in V_{2}$ and $N\left(v^{c}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. There are $n$ vertices left and $u^{c} \in N[u]$ but $\left\{N\left(u^{c}\right)-u\right\} \subseteq V_{0}$. Hence $u \in V_{2}, N(u) \subseteq V_{0}$. There are $(n-3)$ vertices left, whose induced subgraph $H$ forms a path with $\gamma_{R}(H)=\lceil 2(n-3) / 3\rceil$, this implies that $\gamma_{R}(G)=4+\lceil 2(n-3) / 3\rceil$.

Case 2 If $v$ is not a pendent vertex, let it be adjacent to vertices $u$ and $w$ in $G$. Repeating same procedure as above case , $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=6+\lceil 2(n-3) / 3\rceil$, which is a contradiction to fact of RDF.
(ii) Let $G=C_{n}$ be a cycle with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Let $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an $R D F$ and $w$ be a vertex adjacent to vertex $u$ and $v$ in $G$, and $w^{c}$ is not adjacent to $u^{c}$ and $v^{c}$ in $V^{c}$. But $w^{c}$ is adjacent to $(n-2)$ vertices of $G G^{c}$. Let $w^{c} \in V_{2}$ and $N\left(w^{c}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. There are $(n+1)$-vertices left with $u^{c}$ or $v^{c} \in V_{2}$. With out loss of generality, let $u^{c} \in V_{2}, N\left(u^{c}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. There are $(n-2)$ vertices left, whose induced subgraph $H$ forms a path with $\gamma_{R}(H)=\lceil 2(n-2) / 3\rceil$ and $V_{2}=\left\{w, u^{c}\right\}$, this implies that $\gamma_{R}(G)=4+\lceil 2(n-2) / 3\rceil$.

Theorem 2.6 For any graph $G$,

$$
\max \left\{\gamma_{R}(G), \gamma_{R}\left(G^{c}\right)\right\}<\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq\left(\gamma_{R}(G)+\gamma_{R}\left(G^{c}\right)\right)
$$

Further, the upper bound is attained if and only if the graph $G$ is isomorphic with $K_{1}$.
Proof Let $G$ be a graph and let $f: V \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ and $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be $R D F$. Since $G G^{c}$ has $2 n$ vertices when $G$ has $n$ vertices, hence $\max \left\{\gamma_{R}(G), \gamma_{R}\left(G^{c}\right)\right\}<\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)$ follows.

For any graph $G$ with $n \geq 1$ vertices. By Theorem 2.4, we have $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq(n+1)$ and $\left(\gamma_{R}(G)+\gamma_{R}\left(G^{c}\right)\right) \leq(n+2)=(n+1)+1$. Hence the upper bound follows.

Let $G \cong K_{1}$. Then $G G^{c}=K_{2}$, thus the upper bound is attained. Conversely, suppose $G \nexists K_{1}$. Let $u$ and $v$ be two adjacent vertices in $G$ and $u$ is adjacent to $v$ and $u^{c}$ in $G G^{c}$. The set $\left\{u, v^{c}\right\}$ is a dominating set out of which $u \in V_{2}, v^{c} \in V_{1} . \gamma_{R}(G)=2, \gamma_{R}\left(G^{c}\right)=0$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=3$ which is a contradiction. Hence no two vertices are adjacent in $G$.

Theorem 2.7 If degree of every vertex of a graph $G$ is one less than number of vertices of $G$, then

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)+1
$$

Proof Let $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an $R D F$ and let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ of degree $n-1$. In $G G^{c}, v$ is adjacent to $n$ vertices. If $D$ is a minimum dominating set of $G G^{c}$ then $v \in D$, $v \in V_{2}$ also $N(v) \subseteq V_{0}$. Remaining $n-1$ belongs to $V_{1}$ and D. $|D|=\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)=n$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=n+1=\gamma\left(G G^{c}\right)+1$.

Theorem 2.8 For any graph $G$ with $n \geq 1$ vertices,

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right) \leq\left[2 n-\left(\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)+1\right)\right]
$$

Further, the bound is attained if $G$ is a complete graph.
Proof Let $G$ be any graph with $n \geq 1$ vertices. Then $G G^{c}$ has $2 n$ - vertices. Let $f=$ $\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an $R D F$ and $v$ be any vertex of $G G^{c}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)$. Then $v$
dominates $\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)+1$ vertices. Let $v \in V_{2}$ and $N(v) \subseteq V_{0}$. There are $\left(2 n-\left(\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)+1\right)\right.$ vertices left in $G G^{c}$, which belongs to one of $V_{0}, V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$. If all these vertices $\in V_{1}$, then $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2\left|V_{2}\right|+\left|V_{1}\right|=2+\left(2 n-\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)+1\right)=2 n-\Delta\left(G G^{c}\right)+1$. Hence lower bound is attained when $G \cong K_{n}$, where $v$ is a vertex of $G$. If not all remaining vertices belong to $V_{1}$, then there may be vertices belonging to $V_{2}$ and which implies there neighbors belong to $V_{0}$. Hence the result follows.

Theorem 2.9 For any graph G,

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c} \leq \gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)
$$

Further, the bound is attained for one of the following conditions:
(i) $G G^{c} \cong\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$;
(ii) $G G^{c}$ is a complete graph.

Proof Let $G$ be a graph, $G G^{c}$ be its complementary graph and $\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$ be complement of complementary prism. According to definition of $G G^{c}$ there should be one to one matching between vertices of $G$ and $G^{c}$, where as in $\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$ there will be one to $(n-1)$ matching between vertices of $G$ and $G^{c}$ implies that adjacency of vertices will be more in $\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$. Hence the result. If $G G^{c} \cong\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$, domination and Roman domination of these two graphs are same. The only complete graph $G G^{c}$ can be is $K_{2}$. $\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}$ will be two isolated vertices, $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=2$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)^{c}=2$. Hence bound is attained.

To prove our next results, we make use of following definitions:
A rooted tree is a tree with a countable number of vertices, in which a particular vertex is distinguished from the others and called the root. In a rooted tree, the parent of a vertex is the vertex connected to it on the path to the root; every vertex except the root has a unique parent. A child of a vertex $v$ is a vertex of which $v$ is the parent. A leaf is a vertex without children.

A graph with exactly one induced cycle is called unicyclic.
Theorem 2.10 For any rooted tree T,

$$
\gamma_{R}\left(T T^{c}\right)=2\left|S_{2}\right|+\left|S_{1}\right|
$$

where $S_{1} \subseteq V_{1}$ and $S_{2} \subseteq V_{2}$.
Proof Let $T$ be a rooted tree and $f=\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be $R D F$ of a complementary prism $T T^{c}$. We label all parent vertices of $T$ as $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots P_{k}$ where $P_{k}$ is root of a tree $T$. Let $S_{p}$ be set of all parent vertices of $T, S_{l}$ be set of all leaf vertices of $T$ and $v \in S_{l}$ be a vertex farthest from $P_{k}$. The vertex $v^{c}$ is adjacent to $(n-1)$-vertices in $T T^{c}$. Let $v^{c} \in S_{2}$, and $N\left(v^{c}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. Let $P_{1}$ be parent vertex of $v \in T$. For $i=1$ to $k$ if $P_{i}$ is not assigned weight then $P_{i} \in S_{2}$ and $N\left(P_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. If $P_{i}$ is assigned weight and check its leaf vertices in $T$, then we consider the following cases:

Case 1 If $P_{i}$ has at least 2 leaf vertices, then $P_{i} \in S_{2}$ and $N\left(P_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{0}$.

Case 2 If $P_{i}$ has at most 1 leaf vertex, then all such leaf vertices belong to $S_{1}$. Thus $\gamma_{R}\left(G G^{c}\right)=$ $2\left|S_{2}\right|+\left|S_{1}\right|$ follows.

Theorem 2.11 Let $G^{c}$ be a complement of a graph $G$. Then the complementary prism $G G^{c}$ is
(i) isomorphic with a tree $T$ if and only if $G$ or $G^{c}$ has at most two vertices.
(ii) $(n+1) / 2$-regular graph if and only if $G$ is $(n-1) / 2$-regular.
(iii) unicyclic graph if and only if $G$ has exactly 3 vertices.

Proof ( $i$ ) Suppose $G G^{c}$ is a tree $T$ with the graph $G$ having minimum three vertices. Then we have the following cases:

Case 1 Let $u, v$ and $w$ be vertices of $G$ with $v$ is adjacent to both $u$ and $w$. In $G G^{c}, u^{c}$ is connected to $u$ and $w^{c}$ also $v^{c}$ is connected to $v$. Hence there is a closed path $u-v-w-w^{c}-u^{c}-u$, which is a contradicting to our assumption.

Case 2 If vertices $u, v$ and $w$ are totally disconnected in $G$, then $G^{c}$ is a complete graph. Since every complete graph $G$ with $n \geq 3$ has cycle. Hence $G G^{c}$ is not a tree.

Case 3 If $u$ and $v$ are adjacent but which is not adjacent to $w$ in $G$, then in $G G^{c}$ there is a closed path $u-u^{c}-w^{c}-v^{c}-v^{c}-u$, again which is a contradicting to assumption.

On the other hand, if $G$ has one vertex, then $G G^{c} \cong K_{2}$ and if $G$ have two vertices, then $G G^{c} \cong P_{4}$. In both the cases $G G^{c}$ is a tree.
(ii) Let $G$ be $r$-regular graph, where $r=(n-1) / 2$, then $G^{c}$ is $n-r-1$ regular. In $G G^{c}$, degree of every vertex in $G$ is $r+1=(n+1) / 2$ and degree of every vertex in $G^{c}$ is $n-r=(n+1) / 2$, which implies $G G^{c}$ is $(n+1) / 2$-regular. Conversely, suppose $G G^{c}$ is $s=(n+1) / 2$-regular. Let $E$ be set of all edges making perfect match between $G$ and $G^{c}$. In $G G^{c}-E, G$ is $s-1$-regular and $G^{c}$ is $(n-s-1)$-regular. Hence the graph $G$ is $(n-1) / 2$-regular.
(iii) If $G G^{c}$ has at most two vertices, then from (i), $G G^{c}$ is a tree. Minimum vertices required for a graph to be unicyclic is 3 . Because of perfect matching in complementary prism and $G$ and $G^{c}$ are connected if there are more than 3 vertices there will be more than 1 cycle.
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