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#### Abstract

For any positive integer $n$, let $S P(n)$ denotes the Smarandache power function, and $\phi(n)$ is the Euler totient function. The main purpose of this paper is using the elementary method to study the solutions of the equation $S P\left(n^{k}\right)=\phi(n)$, and give its all positive integer solutions for $k=1,2,3$.
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## §1. Introduction and Results

For any positive integer $n$, the famous Smarandache power function $S P(n)$ is defined as the smallest positive integer $m$ such that $m^{m}$ is divisible by $n$. That is,

$$
S P(n)=\min \left\{m: n \mid m^{m}, m \in N, \prod_{p \mid m} p=\prod_{p \mid n} p\right\}
$$

where $N$ denotes the set of all positive integers. For example, the first few values of $S P(n)$ are: $S P(1)=1, S P(2)=2, S P(3)=3, S P(4)=2, S P(5)=5, S P(6)=6, S P(7)=7, S P(8)=4$, $S P(9)=3, S P(10)=10, S P(11)=11, S P(12)=6, S P(13)=13, S P(14)=14, S P(15)=15$, $S P(16)=4, S P(17)=17, S P(18)=6, S P(19)=19, S P(20)=10, \cdots$. In reference [1], Professor F.Smarandache asked us to study the properties of $S P(n)$. From the definition of $S P(n)$ we can easily get the following conclusions: if $n=p^{\alpha}$, then

$$
S P(n)= \begin{cases}p, & 1 \leq \alpha \leq p \\ p^{2}, & p+1 \leq \alpha \leq 2 p^{2} \\ p^{3}, & 2 p^{2}+1 \leq \alpha \leq 3 p^{3} \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ p^{\alpha}, & (\alpha-1) p^{\alpha-1}+1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha p^{\alpha}\end{cases}
$$

Let $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$ denotes the factorization of $n$ into prime powers. If $\alpha_{i} \leq p_{i}$ for all $\alpha_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, r)$, then we have $S P(n)=U(n)$, where $U(n)=\prod_{p \mid n} p, \prod_{p \mid n}$ denotes the product over all different prime divisors of $n$. It is clear that $S P(n)$ is not a multiplicative function. For example, $S P(3)=3, S P(8)=4, S P(24)=6 \neq S P(3) \times S P(8)$. But for most $n$ we have $S P(n)=U(n)$.

About other properties of $S P(n)$, many scholars had studied it, and obtained some interesting results. For example, In reference [2], Dr.Zhefeng Xu studied the mean value properties of $S P(n)$, and obtain some sharper asymptotic formulas, one of them as follows: for any real number $x \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} S P(n)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p+1)}\right)+O\left(x^{\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon}\right),
$$

where $\prod_{p}$ denotes the product over all prime numbers, $\epsilon$ is any given positive number. Huanqin Zhou [3] studied the convergent properties of an infinite series involving $S P(n)$, and gave some interesting identities. That is, she proved that for any complex number $s$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\mu(n)}}{\left(S P\left(n^{k}\right)\right)^{s}}= \begin{cases}\frac{2^{s}+1}{2^{s}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(s)}, & k=1,2 \\ \frac{2^{s}+1}{2^{s}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(s)}-\frac{2^{s}-1}{4^{s}}, & k=3 \\ \frac{2^{s}+1}{2^{s}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(s)}-\frac{2^{s}-1}{4^{s}}+\frac{3^{s}-1}{9^{s}}, & k=4,5\end{cases}
$$

If $n \geq 1$, the Euler function $\phi(n)$ is defined as the number of all positive integers not exceeding $n$, which are relatively prime to $n$. It is clear that $\phi(n)$ is a multiplicative function. In this paper, we shall use the elementary method to study the solutions of the equation $S P\left(n^{k}\right)=\phi(n)$, and give its all solutions for $k=1,2,3$. That is, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1. The equation $S P(n)=\phi(n)$ have only 4 positive integer solutions, namely, $n=1,4,8,18$.

Theorem 2. The equation $S P\left(n^{2}\right)=\phi(n)$ have only 3 positive integer solutions, namely, $n=1,8,18$.

Theorem 3. The equation $S P\left(n^{3}\right)=\phi(n)$ have only 3 positive intrger solutions, namely, $n=1,16,18$.

Generally, for any given positive integer number $k \geq 4$, we conjecture that the equation $S P\left(n^{k}\right)=\phi(n)$ has only finite positive integer solutions. This is an open problem.

## §2. Proof of the theorems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of the theorems. First we prove Theorem 1. It is easy to versify that $n=1$ is one solution of the equation $S P(n)=\phi(n)$. In order to obtain the other positive integer solution, we discuss in the following cases:

1. $n>1$ is an odd number.

At this time, from the definition of the Smarandache power function $S P(n)$ we know that $S P(n)$ is an odd number, but $\phi(n)$ is an even number, hence $S P(n) \neq \phi(n)$.
2. $n$ is an even number.
(1) $n=2^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 1$. It is easy to versify that $n=2$ is not a solution of the equation $S P(n)=\phi(n)$ and $n=4,8$ are the solutions of the equation $S P(n)=\phi(n)$. If $\alpha \geq 4$, $(\alpha-2) 2^{\alpha-2} \geq \alpha$, so $2^{\alpha} \mid\left(2^{\alpha-2}\right)^{2^{\alpha-2}}$, namely $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$, which implies $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}<\phi(n)$.
(2) $n=2^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, where $p_{i}$ is an odd prime, $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k}, \alpha_{i} \geq 1, i=$ $1,2, \cdots, k, \alpha \geq 2, k \geq 1$. At this time,

$$
\phi(n)=2^{\alpha-1} p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{k}-1\right)
$$

If $n \dagger(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$, then from the definition of the Smarandache power function $S P(n)$ we know that $S P(n) \neq \phi(n)$.

If $n \mid(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$, then from the form of $\phi(n)$, we can imply $\alpha_{k} \geq 2$.
(i) for $2^{\alpha}$. $\alpha \geq 2$, so

$$
(\alpha-1) \frac{\phi(n)}{2} \geq(\alpha-1) 2^{\alpha-1} p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} \frac{p_{k}-1}{2} \geq(\alpha-1) \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \geq 6(\alpha-1) \geq 3 \alpha>\alpha
$$

which implies $2^{\alpha} \left\lvert\,\left(2^{(\alpha-1)}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Hence $2^{\alpha} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$.
(ii) for $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \mid n$. If $\alpha_{i}=1$, associating

$$
\frac{\phi(n)}{2} \geq 2^{\alpha-1} p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} \frac{p_{k}-1}{2} \geq 2 \cdot 3=6>1
$$

with $p_{i} \mid(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$ which implies $p_{i} \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right.$, we can deduce that $p_{i} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. If $\alpha_{i} \geq 2$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \frac{\phi(n)}{2} \geq\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) 2^{\alpha-1} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} \frac{p_{i}-1}{2} \geq\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \geq 6\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \geq 3 \alpha_{i}>\alpha_{i}
$$

which implies $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(p_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right)}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Hence $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Consequently, $\forall p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\left|n, p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\right|$ $\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}$.

Combining (i) and (ii), we can deduce that if $n \mid(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$, then $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Hence $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}<\phi(n)$.
(3) $n=2 p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, where $p_{i}$ is an odd prime, $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k}, \alpha_{i} \geq 1, i=$ $1,2, \cdots, k, k \geq 1$. At this time,

$$
\phi(n)=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{k}-1\right)
$$

If $n \dagger(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$, then from the definition of the Smarandache power function $S P(n)$ we know that $S P(n) \neq \phi(n)$.

If $n \mid(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$, then from the form of $\phi(n)$, we can imply $\alpha_{k} \geq 2$.
(i) $k \geq 2$. We will prove that $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$.

For one hand, obviously, $2 \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. For the other hand, $\forall p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \mid n$, if $\alpha_{i}=1$, associating

$$
\frac{\phi(n)}{2} \geq p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\left(p_{i}-1\right) \frac{p_{k}-1}{2} \geq 3 \cdot 2=6>1
$$

with $p_{i} \mid(\phi(n))^{\phi(n)}$ which implies $p_{i} \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right.$, we can deduce that $p_{i} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. If $\alpha_{i} \geq 2$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \frac{\phi(n)}{2} \geq\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right) \frac{p_{k}-1}{2} \geq\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \geq 20\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right) \geq 10 \alpha_{i}>\alpha_{i}
$$

which implies $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(p_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right)}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Hence $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Consequently, $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$, which implies $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}<\phi(n)$.
(ii) $k=1$. At this time, $n=2 p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \alpha_{1} \geq 2, \phi(n)=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right)$.
which implies $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(p_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right)}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Hence $p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$. Consequently, $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{2}}\right.$, which implies $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}<\phi(n)$.
(ii) $k=1$. At this time, $n=2 p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \alpha_{1} \geq 2, \phi(n)=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\left(p_{1}-1\right)$.
(ii)' $p_{1} \geq 5$, because $\alpha_{1} \geq 2$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) \frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}=\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} 2 \geq\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \geq 10\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) \geq 5 \alpha_{1}>\alpha_{1}
$$

which implies $p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \left\lvert\,\left(p_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right)}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}}\right.$. Hence $p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{\frac{\phi}{1-1}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}}\right.$. Obviously, $2 \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{\frac{\phi(n)}{p_{1}-1}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}\right.$. Consequently, $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{p_{1}-1}}\right.$, which implies $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{\frac{p_{1}-1}{2}}<\phi(n)$.
(ii) " $p_{1}=3$, namely $n=2 \cdot 3^{\alpha_{1}}$.
$\alpha_{1}=1, \phi(n)=\phi(6)=2, S P(n)=S P(6)=6$, so $S P(n) \neq \phi(n)$.
$\alpha_{1}=2, \phi(n)=\phi(18)=6, S P(n)=S P(18)=6$, so $S P(n)=\phi(n)$.
$\alpha_{1} \geq 3,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{3}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{3}}=\left(2 \cdot 3^{\alpha_{1}-2}\right)^{2 \cdot 3^{\alpha_{1}-2}}$, so $n \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{3}\right)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{3}}\right.$, which implies $S P(n) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{3}<$ $\phi(n)$.

Combining (1), (2) and (3), we know that if $n$ is an even number, then $S P(n)=\phi(n)$ if and only if $n=4,8,18$.

Associating the cases 1 and 2, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.
Using the similar discussion, we can easily obtain the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.
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