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#### Abstract

In this paper we find the number of smarandache zero divisors (S-zero divisors) and smarandache weak zero divisors (S-weak zero divisors) for the loop rings $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ of the loops $L_{n}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$. We obtain the exact number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors when $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ where $p, q$ are odd primes. We also prove $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors, where $Z$ is the ring of integers. For any loop $L$ we give conditions on $L$ so that the loop ring $Z_{2} L$ has S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors.


## §0 . Introduction

This paper has four sections. In the first section, we just recall the definitions of Szero divisors and S-weak zero divisors and some of the properties of the new class of loops $L_{n}(m)$. In section two, we obtain the number of S-zero divisors of the loop rings $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ and show when $n=p^{2}$, where $p$ is an odd prime, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-zero divisors. Also when $n=p^{3}, p$ an odd prime, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+p^{2}(1+$ $\left.\sum_{r=2, \text { reven }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-zero divisors. Again when $n=p q$, where $p, q$ are odd primes, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $p+q+p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-zero divisors. Further we prove $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors. In section three, we find the number of S -weak zero divisors for the loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ and prove that when $n=p^{2}$, where $p$ is an odd prime, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $2 p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-weak zero divisors. Also when $n=p^{3}$, where $p$ is an odd prime, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, \text { reven }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+2 p^{2}\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-weak zero divisors. Again when $n=p q$, where $p, q$ are odd primes, $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has $2\left[p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)\right]$ S-weak zero divisors. We prove $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors. The final section gives some unsolved problems and some conclusions based on our study.

## §1. Basic Results

Here we just recollect some basic results to make this paper a self contained one.
Definition 1.1[4]. Let $R$ be a ring. An element $a \in R \backslash\{0\}$ is said to be a S-zero divisor if $a . b=0$ for some $b \neq 0$ in $R$ and there exists $x, y \in R \backslash\{0, a, b\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
i . & a . x=0 & \text { or } & x . a=0 \\
i i & b . y=0 & \text { or } & y . b=0 \\
\text { iii. } & x . y \neq 0 & \text { or } & y . x \neq 0
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.2[4]. Let $R$ be a ring. An element $a \in R \backslash\{0\}$ is a S-weak zero divisor if there exists $b \in R \backslash\{0, a\}$ such that $a, b=0$ satisfying the following conditions: There exists $x, y \in R \backslash\{0, a, b\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rrlll}
i . & a . x=0 & \text { or } & x . a=0 \\
\text { ii. } & b . y=0 & \text { or } & y \cdot b=0 \\
\text { iii. } & \text { x. } y=0 & \text { or } & y \cdot x=0
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.3[3]. Let $L_{n}(m)=\{e, 1,2,3 \cdots, n\}$ be a set where $n>3, n$ is odd and $m$ is a positive integer such that $(m, n)=1$ and $(m-1, n)=1$ with $m<n$. Define on $L_{n}(m)$, a binary operation '.' as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { i. } \quad \text { e. } i=i . e \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad i \in L_{n}(m) \backslash\{e\} \\
\quad i i . \quad i^{2} .=e \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad i \in L_{n}(m)
\end{gathered}
$$

iii. $\quad i . j=t, \quad$ where $\quad t \equiv(m j-(m-1) i)(\bmod n) \quad$ for $\quad$ all $\quad i, j \in L_{n}(m), \quad i \neq e \quad$ and $\quad j \neq e$. Then $L_{n}(m)$ is a loop. This loop is always of even order; further for varying $m$, we get a class of loops of order $n+1$ which we denote by $L_{n}$.

Example 1.1[3]. Consider $L_{5}(2)=\{e, 1,2,3,4,5\}$. The composition table for $L_{5}(2)$ is given below:

| . | e | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e | e | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | e | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | e | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | e | 5 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | e | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | e |

This loop is non-commutative and non-associative and of order 6.
Theorem 1.1[3]. Let $L_{n}(m) \in L_{n}$. For every $t \mid n$ there exists $t$ subloops of order $k+1$, where $k=n / t$.

Theorem 1.2[3]. Let $L_{n}(m) \in L_{n}$. If $H$ is a subloop of $L_{n}(m)$ of order $t+1$, then $t \mid n$.

Remark 1.2[3]. Lagrange's theorem is not satisfied by all subloops of the loop $L_{n}(m)$,i.e there always exists a subloop $H$ of $L_{n}(m)$ which does not satisfy the Lagrange's theorem, i.e $o(H) \dagger o\left(L_{n}(m)\right)$.

## §2. Definition of the number of S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ and $Z L_{n}(m)$

In this section, we give the number of S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$. We prove $Z L_{n}(m)$ (where $n=p^{2}$ or $p q, p$ and $q$ are odd primes), has infinitely many S-zero divisors. Further we show any loop $L$ of odd (or even) order if it has a proper subloop of even (or odd) order then the loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ over the field $Z_{2}$ has S-zero divisors. We first show if $L$ is a loop of odd order and $L$ has a proper subloop of even order, then $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.1. Let $L$ be a finite loop of odd order. $Z_{2}=\{0,1\}$, the prime field of characteristic 2. Suppose $H$ is a subloop of $L$ of even order, then $Z_{2} L$ has S-zero divisors.

Proof. Let $|L|=n$; where $n$ is odd. $Z_{2} L$ be the loop ring of $L$ over $Z_{2} . H$ be the subloop of $L$ of order $m$, where $m$ is even. Let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}$, then

$$
X . Y=0 .
$$

Now

$$
\left(1+g_{t}\right) X=0, \quad g_{t} \in l \backslash H .
$$

also

$$
\left(1+h_{i}+h_{j}+h_{k}\right) Y=0, \quad h_{i}, h_{j}, h_{k} \in H .
$$

so that

$$
\left(1+g_{t}\right)\left(1+h_{i}+h_{j}+h_{k}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Hence the claim.
Corollary 2.1. If $L$ is a finite loop of even order $n$ and $H$ is a subloop of odd order $m$, then the loop ring $Z_{2} L$ has S-zero divisors.

It is important here to mention that $Z_{2} L$ may have other types of S-zero divisors. This theorem only gives one of the basic conditions for $Z_{2} L$ to have S-zero divisors.

Example 2.1. Let $Z_{2} L_{25}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{25}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$, where $(m, 25)=1$ and $(m-1,25)=1$. As $5 \mid 25$, so $L_{25}(m)$ has 5 proper subloops each of order 6 . Let $H$ be one of the proper subloops of $L_{25}(m)$.

Now take

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{26} g_{i}, \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{6} h_{i}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{25}(m), \quad h_{i} \in H,
$$

then

$$
\left(1+g_{i}\right) X=0, \quad g_{i} \in L_{25}(m) \backslash H
$$

$$
\left(1+h_{i}\right) Y=0, \quad h_{i} \in H
$$

but

$$
\left(1+g_{i}\right)\left(1+h_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

so $X$ and $Y$ are S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{25}(m)$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p^{2}$, $p$ an odd prime. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors.
Proof. Given $L_{n}(m)$ is a loop of order $n+1$, where $n=p^{2}$ ( $p$ an odd prime). Let $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{n}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$. Now clearly the loop $L_{n}(m)$ has exactly $p$ subloops of order $p+1$. The number of S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ for $n=p^{2}$ can be enumerated in the following way: Let

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}
$$

where $g_{i} \in L_{n}(m)$ and $h_{i} \in H_{j}$. For this

$$
X . Y=0
$$

choose

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=(1+g), \quad g \in L_{n}(m) \backslash H_{j} \\
b=\left(h_{i}+h_{j}\right), \quad h_{i}, h_{j} \in H_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
a \cdot X=0 \quad \text { and } \quad b \cdot Y=0
$$

but

$$
a . b \neq 0
$$

So $X$ and $Y$ are S-zero divisors. There are $p$ such S-zero divisors, as we have $p$ subloops $H_{j}$ $(j=1,2, \cdots, p)$ of $L_{n}(m)$.

Next consider, S-zero divisors of the form

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad \text { where } \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in H_{j}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m)
$$

put

$$
X=\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right), \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}
$$

we have ${ }^{p+1} C_{2}$ such S-zero divisors. This is true for each of the subloops. Hence there exists ${ }^{p+1} C_{2} \times p$ such S-zero divisors. Taking four elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}$ from $H_{j}$ at a time, we get

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}+h_{4}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0
$$

so we get ${ }^{p+1} C_{4} \times p$ such S-zero divisors. Continue in this way, we get

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad \text { where } \quad h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{p-1} \in H_{j}
$$

So we get ${ }^{p+1} C_{p-1} \times p$ such S-zero divisors. Adding all these S-zero divisors, we get

$$
p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

number of S-zero divisors in the loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$. Hence the claim.
Example 2.2. Let $Z_{2} L_{49}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{49}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$, where $(m, 49)=$ 1 and $(m-1,49)=1$. Here $p=7$, so from Theorem $2.2, Z_{2} L_{49}(m)$ has

$$
7\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{6}{ }^{7+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors i.e $7\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{6}{ }^{8} C_{r}\right)=889$ S-zero divisors.
Theorem 2.3. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p^{3}, p$ an odd prime. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+p^{2}\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p+1 C_{r}\right)
$$

## S-zero divisors.

Proof. We enumerate all the S-zero divisors of $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ in the following way:
Case I: As $p \mid p^{3}, L_{n}(m)$ has $p$ proper subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p^{2}+1$. In this case I, we have $p^{2}-1$ types of S-zero divisors. We just index them by type $I_{1}$, type $I_{2}, \cdots$, type $I_{p^{2}-1}$.

Type $I_{1}$ : Here

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{p^{2}+1} h_{i}=0, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m), \quad h_{i} \in H_{j},(j=1,2, \cdots, p)
$$

So we will get $p$ S-zero divisors of this type.
Type $I_{2}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in H_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, p) .
$$

As in the Theorem 2.2, we will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{2} \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type.
Type $I_{3}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}+h_{4}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4} \in H_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, p) .
$$

We will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{4} \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type.
Continue this way,

Type $I_{p^{2}-1}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p^{2}-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j}
$$

We will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{p^{2}-1} \times p$ S-zero divisors of this type. Hence adding all this types of S-zero divisors we will get

$$
p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors for case I.
Case II: Again $p^{2} \mid p^{3}$, so there are $p^{2}$ subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p+1$. Now we can enumerate all the S-zero divisors in this case exactly as in case I above. So there are

$$
p^{2}\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors. Hence the total number of S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ is

$$
p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+p^{2}\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

Hence the claim.
Example 2.3. Let $Z_{2} L_{27}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{27}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$, where $(m, 27)=1$ and $(m-1,27)=1$. Here $p=3$, so from Theorem 2.3, $Z_{2} L_{27}(m)$ has

$$
3\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{8} 3^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+3^{2}\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{2}{ }^{4} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors i.e $3\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{8}{ }^{10} C_{r}\right)+9\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{2}{ }^{4} C_{r}\right)=1533$ S-zero divisors.
Theorem 2.4. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p q$, where $p, q$ are odd primes. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
p+q+p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors.
Proof. We will enumerate all the S-zero divisors in the following way:
Case I: As $p \mid p q, L_{n}(m)$ has $p$ subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $q+1$. Proceeding exactly in the same way as in the Theorem 2.3, we will get $p+p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-zero divisors for case I.

Case II: Again $q \mid p q$, so $L_{n}(m)$ has $q$ subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p+1$. Now as above we will get $q+q\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)$ S-zero divisors for case II. Hence adding all the S-zero
divisors in case I and case II, we get

$$
p+q+p\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(1+\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$.
Hence the claim.
Now we prove for the loop ring $Z L_{n}(m)$ when $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$, where $p, q$ are odd primes, $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors.

Theorem 2.5. Let $Z L_{n}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{n}(m)$ over $Z$, where $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ ( $p, q$ are odd primes), then $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-zero divisors.

Proof. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop ring such that $n=p^{2}$. $L_{n}(M)$ has $p$ subloops (say $H_{j}$ ) each of order $p+1$.

Now the loop ring $Z L_{n}(m)$ has the following types of S-zero divisors:

$$
X=a-b h_{1}+b h_{2}-a h_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}
$$

where $a, b \in Z$ and $h_{i} \in H_{i}, g_{i} \in L_{n}(m)$ such that

$$
\left(a-b h_{1}+b h_{2}-a h_{3}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0
$$

Again

$$
\left(1-g_{k}\right) Y=0, \quad g_{k} \in L_{n}(m) \backslash H_{j}
$$

also

$$
\left(a-b h_{1}+b h_{2}-a h_{3}\right) \sum h_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j}
$$

clearly

$$
\left(1-g_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{h_{i} \in H_{j}} h_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

So $X, Y$ are S-zero divisors in $Z L_{n}(m)$. Now we see there are infinitely many S-zero divisors of this type for $a$ and $b$ can take infinite number of values in $Z$. For $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ we can prove the results in a similar way. Hence the claim.

## §3. Determination of the number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ and $Z L_{n}(m)$

In this section, we give the number of S-weak zero divisors in the loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ when $n$ is of the form $p^{2}, p^{3}$ or $p q$ where $p$ and $q$ are odd primes. Before that we prove the existence of S-weak zero divisors in the loop ring $Z_{2} L$ whenever $L$ has a proper subloop.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n$ be a finite loop of odd order. Suppose $H$ is a subloop of $L$ of even order, then $Z_{2} L$ has S-weak zero divisors.

Proof. Let $|L|=n$; $n$ odd. $Z_{2} L$ be the loop ring. $H$ be the subloop of $L$ of order $m$, where $m$ is even. Let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}$ and $Y=1+h_{t}, g_{i} \in L, h_{t} \in H$, then

$$
X . Y=0
$$

Now

$$
Y . \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H
$$

also

$$
X\left(1+g_{t}\right)=0, \quad g_{t}\left(\neq h_{t}\right) \in H
$$

so that

$$
\left(1+g_{t}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}=0
$$

Hence the claim.
Example 3.1. Let $Z_{2} L_{25}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{25}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$, where $(m, 25)=1$ and $(m-1,25)=1$. As $5 \mid 25$, so $L_{25}(m)$ has 5 proper subloops each of order 6 .

Take

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{26} g_{i}, \quad Y=1+h_{t}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{25}(m), \quad h_{t} \in H
$$

then

$$
X . Y=0
$$

again

$$
\begin{gathered}
X\left(1+g_{t}\right)=0, \quad g_{t}\left(\neq h_{t}\right) \in H \\
Y \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H
\end{gathered}
$$

also

$$
\left(1+g_{t}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{6} h_{i}=0
$$

So $X$ and $Y$ are S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{25}(m)$.
Example 3.2. Let $Z_{2} L_{21}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{21}(m)$ over $Z_{2}$, where where $(m, 21)=1$ and $(m-1,21)=1$. As $3 \mid 21$, so $L_{21}(m)$ has 3 proper subloops each of order 8 .

Take

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{8} h_{i}, \quad Y=1+h_{t}, \quad h_{i}, h_{t} \in H
$$

then

$$
X . Y=0
$$

again

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(1+g_{t}\right)=0, & g_{t}\left(\neq h_{t}\right) \in H \\
Y \sum_{i=1}^{22} g_{i} & =0,
\end{aligned} \quad g_{i} \in L_{21}(m)
$$

also

$$
\left(1+g_{t}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{22} g_{i}=0
$$

So $X$ and $Y$ are S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{21}(m)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p^{2}, p$ an odd prime. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-weak zero divisors.
Proof. Clearly the loop $L_{n}(m)$ has $p$ subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p+1$. As in case of Theorem 2.3, we index the $p-1$ types of S-weak zero divisors by $I_{1}, I_{2}, \cdots, I_{p-1}$. Now the number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ for $n=p^{2}$ can be enumerated in the following way:

Type $I_{1}$. Let

$$
X=h_{1}+h_{2}, \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}
$$

where $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H_{j}$ and $g_{i} \in L_{n}(m)$ then

$$
X Y=0
$$

take

$$
a=\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad b=h_{3}+h_{4} \quad \text { where } \quad h_{i} \in H_{j}, \quad(j=1,2, \cdots, p)
$$

then

$$
a X=0, \quad b Y=0
$$

also

$$
a b=0
$$

So for each proper subloop we will get ${ }^{p+1} C_{2}$ S-weak zero divisors and as there are $p$ proper subloops we will get ${ }^{p+1} C_{2} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.

Type $I_{2}$. Again let

$$
X=h_{1}+h_{2}, \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j}
$$

then

$$
X Y=0
$$

take

$$
a=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m), \quad b=h_{1}+h_{2}, \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in H_{j}
$$

then

$$
a X=0, \quad b Y=0
$$

also

$$
a b=0
$$

Here also we will get ${ }^{p+1} C_{2} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors of this type.
Type $I_{3}$.

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}+h_{4}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m), \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

As above we can say there are ${ }^{p+1} C_{4} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.
Type $I_{4}$.

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}+h_{4}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

There are ${ }^{p+1} C_{4} \times p$ such $S$-weak zero divisors.
Continue this way,
Type $I_{p-2}$.

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m), \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

there are ${ }^{p+1} C_{p-1} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors.
Type $I_{p-1}$.

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

Again there are ${ }^{p+1} C_{p-1} \times p$ such S-weak zero divisors of this type. Adding all these S -weak zero divisors we will get the total number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ as

$$
2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p+1 C_{r}\right)
$$

Hence the claim.
Theorem 3.3. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p^{3}, p$ an odd prime. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+2 p^{2}\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-weak zero divisors.
Proof. We enumerate all the S-weak zero divisors of $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ in the following way:
Case I: As $p \mid p^{3}, L_{n}(m)$ has $p$ proper subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p^{2}+1$. Now as in the Theorem 3.2.

Type $I_{1}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0, \quad g_{i} \in L_{n}(m), \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

So we will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{2} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type $I_{1}$.

Type $I_{2}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p^{2}+1} h_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j} .
$$

So we will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{2} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type $I_{2}$.
Continue in this way
Type $I_{p^{2}-2}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p^{2}-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}=0
$$

So we will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{p^{2}-1} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of this type.
Type $I_{p^{2}-1}$ :

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\cdots+h_{p^{2}-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p^{2}+1} h_{i}=0
$$

So we will get ${ }^{p^{2}+1} C_{p^{2}-1} \times p$ S-weak zero divisors of type $I_{p^{2}-1}$.
Adding all this S-weak zero divisors, we will get the total number of S-weak zero divisors (in case I) in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ as $2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)$.

Case II: Again $p^{2} \mid p^{3}$, so there are $p^{2}$ proper subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p+1$. Now we can enumerate all the S-weak zero divisors in this case exactly as in case I above. So there are

$$
2 p^{2}\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-weak zero divisors in case II.
Hence the total number of S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ is

$$
2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p^{2}-1} p^{2}+1 C_{r}\right)+2 p^{2}\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

Hence the claim.
Theorem 3.4. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop of order $n+1$ ( $n$ an odd number, $n>3$ ) with $n=p q, p, q$ are odd primes. $Z_{2}$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 . The loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ has exactly

$$
2\left[p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1} p+1 C_{r}\right)\right]
$$

S-weak zero divisors.
Proof. We will enumerate all the S-weak zero divisors in the following way:
Case I: As $p \mid p q, L_{n}(m)$ has $p$ proper subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $q+1$. Proceeding exactly same way as in Theorem 3.3, we will get

$$
2 p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-weak zero divisors in case I.
Case II: Again as $q \mid p q, L_{n}(m)$ has $q$ proper subloops $H_{j}$ each of order $p+1$. So as above we will get

$$
2 q\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)
$$

S-weak zero divisors in case II.
Hence adding all the S-weak zero divisors in case I and case II, we get

$$
2\left[p\left(\sum_{r=2, r \text { even }}^{q-1}{ }^{q+1} C_{r}\right)+q\left(\sum_{r=2, r 4 \text { even }}^{p-1}{ }^{p+1} C_{r}\right)\right]
$$

S-weak zero divisors in $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$.
Hence the claim.
Now we prove for the loop ring $Z L_{n}(m)$ where $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q,(p, q$ are odd primes), $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors.

Theorem 3.5. Let $Z L_{n}(m)$ be the loop ring of the loop $L_{n}(m)$ over $Z$, where $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ ( $p, q$ are odd primes), then $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinitely many S-weak zero divisors.

Proof. Let $L_{n}(m)$ be a loop ring such that $n=p^{2}$. $L_{n}(M)$ has $p$ subloops (say $H_{j}$ ) each of order $p+1$. Now the loop ring $Z L_{n}(m)$ has the following types of S -weak zero divisors:

$$
X=a-b h_{1}+b h_{2}-a h_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{i}
$$

where $a, b \in Z, g_{i} \in L_{n}(m)$ and $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in H_{j}$ are such that

$$
X Y=0 .
$$

Again

$$
X \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}=0, \quad h_{i} \in H_{j}
$$

also

$$
\left(1-g_{t}\right) Y=0, \quad g_{t}\left(\neq h_{t}\right) \in H_{j}
$$

clearly

$$
\left(1-g_{t}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_{i}\right)=0
$$

So $X, Y$ are S -weak zero divisors in $Z L_{n}(m)$. Now we see there are infinitely many S-weak zero divisors of this type for $a$ and $b$ can take infinite number of values in $Z$.

For $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ we can prove the results in a similar way.
Hence the claim.

## §4. Conclusions:

In this paper we find the exact number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors for the loop rings $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ in case of the special type of loops $L_{n}(m) \in L_{n}$ over $Z_{2}$, when $n=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ or $p q$ ( $p, q$ are odd primes). We also prove for the loop ring $Z L_{n}(m)$ has infinite number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors. We obtain conditions for any loop $L$ to have S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors. We suggest it would be possible to enumerate in the similar way the number of S-zero divisors and S-weak zero divisors for the loop ring $Z_{2} L_{n}(m)$ when $n=p^{s}, s>3 ; p$ a prime or when $p=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t}$ where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{t}$ are odd primes. However we find it difficult when we take $Z_{p}$ instead of $Z_{2}$, where $p$ can be odd prime or a composite number such that $(p, n+1=1)$ or $(p, n+1=p)$ and $n$ is of the form $n=p_{1}^{t_{1}} p_{2}^{t_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{t_{r}}, t_{i}>1, n$ is odd and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots p_{r}$ are odd primes.
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