ON Some Characterization of Smarandache Lattice with Pseudo complement

Dr. N. Kannappa¹, Mr. K. Suresh²

¹Associate professor, PG& Reasearch Department of Mathematics, T.B.M.L, College, Porayar.

sivaguru91@yahoo.com

² Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Mailam Engineering college, Mailam.

sureshphd2009@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this paper we have introduced smarandache - 2 - Algebraic structure of lattice namely

smarandache lattice. A smarandache 2- algebraic structure on a set N means a weak algebraic structure

Ao on N such that there exists a proper subset M of N which is embedded with a stronger algebraic

structure A₁, Stronger algebraic structure means that it is satisfying more axioms, by proper subset one

understands a subset different from the empty set, from the unit element if any, and from the whole set.

we define smarandache lattice and obtain some of its characterization through Pseudo complemented .For

basic concept we refer to PadilaRaul[4].

Keywords: Lattice, Boolean Algebra, Smarandache lattice, Pseudo complemented lattice.

1. Introduction:

In order that New notions are introduced in algebra to better study the congruence in number

theory by Florentin smarandache [1]. By proper subset>of a set A we consider a set P included in A, and

different from A, different from the empty set, and from the unit element in A – if any they rank the

algebraic structures using an order relationship:

They say that the algebraic structures $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ if :both are defined on the same set;: all S_1 laws

are also S₂ laws; all axioms of an S₁ law are accomplished by the corresponding S₂ law; S₂ law

accomplish strictly more axioms than S_1 laws, or S_2 laws has more laws than S_1 .

group, ring unitary ring, etc.they define a General special structure to be a structure SM on a set A,

different from a structure SN, such that a proper subset of A is an SN structure, where SM

SN.

1

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: Let S be a lattice with 0. Let $x \in S$ x* is a Pseudo complementet of x iff $x* \in S$ and $x \land x* = 0$ and for every $y \in S$: if $x \land y = 0$ then $y \le x*$.

Definition 2.2: S is pseudo complemented iff every element of S has a pseudo complement. Let S be a pseudo complemented lattice $.N_S = \{x^* : x \in S\}$, the set of pseudo complements in S $N_S = \{N_S \leq_N, \neg N, 0_N, 1_N, \wedge_N, \vee_N\}$ where:

- (i). \leq_N is defined by :for every $x, y \in Ns : x \leq_N y$ iff $x \leq_S b$
- (ii). \neg N is defined by :

for every
$$x \in N_S : \neg N(x) = x^*$$

(iii). \wedge_N is defined by:

for every
$$x,y \in N_S$$
 $x \wedge_N y = x \wedge_S y$

(iv). \vee_N is defined by :

for every
$$x, y \in N_S : x \vee_N y = (x * \wedge_S y^*)^*$$

(vi).
$$1_N = 0_S^*, 0_N = 0_S$$

Definition 2.3: If S is a distributive lattice with $0,I_S$ is a complete Pseudo Complemented lattice.

Let S be a lattice with 0. NI_S , the set of normal ideals in S, is given $NI_S = \{I^* \in I_S : I \in I_S \}$.

Definition 2.4: A pseudo complemented distributive lattice S is called a stone lattice if, for all $a \in S$, it satisfies the property $a \lor a^{**} = 1$.

Definition 2.5: Let S be a pseudo complemented distributive lattice. Then for any filter F of S, define the set $\delta(F)$ as follows $\delta(F) = \{a^* \in S \mid a^* \in F\}$.

Definition 2.6: Let S be a pseudo complemented distributive lattice, An ideal I of S is called a δ -ideal if $I = \delta(F)$ for some filter F of S.

Now we have introduced a definition by [4]:

Definition:

A lattice S is said to be a Smarandache lattice. If there exist a proper subset L of S, which is a Boolean Algebra with respect to the same induced operations of S.

3. Characterizations

Theorem 3.1: Let (S, \land, \lor) be a lattice. If there exist a proper subset N_S of S, where $N_S = \{x^*: x \in S\}$ is the set of all Pseudo complemented lattice in S. Then S is a smarandache lattice.

Proof: By hypothesis, let (S, \land, \lor) be a lattice and whose proper subset $N_S = \{x^* : x \in S\}$ the set of all pseudo complemented lattice in S.

It is enough to prove that N_S is a Boolean Algebra.

For,

(i) for every $x, y \in N_S$

 $x \wedge_N y \in N_S$ and $\wedge_N is$ meet under \leq_{N_S}

if $x, y \in N_S$, then $x=x^{**}$ and $y=y^{**}$.

Since $x \wedge_S y \leq_S x$, by result $x \leq_N y$ then $y^* \leq_N x^*$,

 $x^* \le_S (x \land_S y)^*$, and, with by result $x \le_N y$ then $y^* \le_N x^*$,

 $(x \wedge_S y)^{**} \leq_S x$. Similarly, $(x \wedge_S y)^{**} \leq_S y$.

Hence $(x \land_S y)^{**} \leq_S (x \land_S y)$.

By result, $x \le N x^{**}$, $(x \land N y) \le N (x \land N y)^{**}$,

Hence $(x \land_S y) \in N_{S,} (x \land_N y) \in N_S$.

If $a \in N_S$ and $a \le_N x$ and $a \le_N y$,

Then $a \leq_S x$ and $a \leq_S y$, $a \leq_S (x \land_S y)$,

Hence $a \leq_N (x \wedge_N y)$. So indeed \wedge_N is meet in \leq_N .

(ii) For every $x,y \in N_S : x \vee_N y \in N_S$ and \vee_N is join under \leq_N .

Let $x,y \in N_S$. Then $x^*,\,y^* \in N_S$. Then by (i) , $(x^* \bigwedge_S y^*) \in N_S$.

Hence $(x^* \land _S y^*)^* \in N_S$ and hence $(x \lor_N y) \in N_S$.

 $(x^* \land_S y^*) \leq_S x^*$, hence, by result $x \leq_N x^{**}$

 $X ** \leq_S (x* \land_S y*)*,$

By result $N_S = \{x \in S; x = x^{**}\}, x \leq_S (x^* \land_S y^*)^*.$

Similarly, $y \leq_S (x^* \land_S y^*)^*$.

If $a \in N_S$ and $x \le_N a$ and $y \le_N a$, then $x \le_S a$ and $y \le_S a$, then by result if $x \le_N y$

Then $y^* \le_N x^*$, $a^* \le_S x^*$ and $a^* \le_S y^*$, hence $a^* \le_S (x^* \land_S y^*)$

Hence ,by result if $x \le_N y$ then $y^* \le_N x^*$,

 $(x^* \land_S y^*) \leq_S a^{**}$ hence, by result $N_S = \{x \in S; x = x^{**}\},$

 $(x^* \land g y^*)^* \leq g a$, hence $x \lor g y \leq g a$ so, indeed $\lor g$ is join in $\leq g$.

(iii) 0_N , $1_N \in N_S$ and 0_N and $1_{N \text{ are}}$ the bounds of N_S .

Obviously $1_N \in N_S$, since $1_N = 0_S^*$ since for every $a \in N_S$, $a \land s \circ 0_S = 0_S$.

For every $a \in N_S$, $a \le S O_S^*$, hence $a \le N_S I_N$.

 $0_S^*, 0_S^{**} \in N_S$. Hence $0_S^* \land 0_S^{**} \in N_S$. But of course, $0_S^* \land 0_S^{**} = 0_S$.

Hence $0_S \in N_S$, $0_N \in N_S$. Obviously, for every $a \in N_S$; $0_S \le S$ a.

Hence for every $a \in N_S$: $0_N \le_N a$.So N_S is bounded lattice.

(iv) For every $a \in N_S$: $\neg_N(a) \in N_S$ and for every $a \in N_S$: $a \land_N \neg_N(a) = 0_N$ and For every $a \in N_S$: $a \lor_N \neg_N(a) = 1_N$.

Let $a \in N_S$, Obviously $\neg_N(a) \in N_{S}$.

$$a \lor_N \lnot_N (a) = a \lor_N a^* = ((a^* \land_S b^{**}))^* = (a^* \land_S a)^* = 0_S^* = 1_N$$

$$a \wedge_N \neg_N (a) = a \wedge_S a^* = 0_S = 0_N$$
.

So N_S is a bounded complemented lattice.

(v) Distributive.

Let
$$x,y,z \in N_S$$
. Since $x \le N(X \lor_N (y \land_N z))$,

$$(x \wedge_{NZ}) \leq_N x \vee_N (y \wedge_{NZ})$$

Also
$$(y \wedge_{NZ}) \leq_N X \vee_N (y \wedge_{NZ})$$

Obviously, if $a \le N$ b, then $a \land N$ b* = 0_N , Since $b \land b* = 0_N$,

Hence,
$$(x \wedge_N z) \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^* = 0_N$$
 and

$$(y \wedge_{NZ}) \wedge_{N} (x \vee_{N} (y \wedge_{NZ}))^* = 0_N,$$

$$_{X} \wedge_{N} (_{Z} \wedge_{N} (_{X} \vee_{N} (_{Y} \wedge_{N} Z))^{*}) = 0_{N}$$
,

$$y \wedge_N (z \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^*) = 0_N.$$

By definition of Pseudocomplement:

$$z \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^* \leq_N x^*$$
,

$$z \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^* \leq_N y^*$$

Hence
$$z \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^* \leq_N x^* \wedge_N y^*$$

Once again, If $a \leq_N b$, then $a \wedge_N b^* = 0_N$,

Hence,
$$z \wedge_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^* \wedge (x^* \wedge_N y^*)^* = 0_N$$

 $z \wedge_N (x^* \wedge_N y^*)^* \leq_N (x \vee_N (y \wedge_N z))^{**}$ Now, by definition of \vee_N :

$$z \wedge_N (x^* \vee_N y^*)^* = z \wedge_N (x \vee_N y)$$
 And by $N_s = \{ x \in S, : x = x^{**} \}$:

$$(x \lor_N (y \land_N z))^{**} = x \lor_N (y \land_N z), \text{ Hence } : z \land_N (x \lor_N y) \le_N x \lor_N (y \land_N z).$$

Hence, indeed N_s is a Boolean Algebra.

Therefore by definition, S is a smarandache lattice.

Theorem 3.2: Let S be a distributive lattice with 0. If there exist a proper subset I_S of S, where I_S is the set of all ideals in S. Then S is a Smarandache lattice.

Proof: By hypothesis ,let S be a distributive lattice with 0 and whose proper subset

Is is the set of all ideals in S.

We claim that I_S is a Boolean algebra.

Let $I \in I_s$. Take $I^* = \{y \in S : \text{for every } i \in I : y \land i = 0\}, I^* \in I_s$

Namely if $a \in I^*$ then for every $i \in I: a \land i=0$,

Let $b \le a$, Then, obviously, for every $i \in I:b \land i=0$ hence $b \in I^*$.

If $a,b \in I^*$ then for every $i \in I:a \land i=0$, and for every $i \in I:b \land i=0$,

Hence for every $i \in I:(a \land i) \lor (b \land i)=0$.

With distributive, for every $i \in I$: $i \land (a \lor b) = 0$, hence $a \lor b \in I^*$.

Hence $I^* \in I_{S,} I \cap I^* = I \cap \{y \in S : \text{for every } i \in I : y \land i = 0\} = \{0\}.$

Let $I \cap J = \{0\}$, let $j \in J$ Suppose that for some $i \in I : i \land j \neq 0$.

Then $i \land j \in I \cap J$, Since I and j are ideals, hence $I \cap J \neq \{0\}$.

Hence forevery $i \in I: j \land i=0$, and hence $j \subseteq I^*$.

Consequently, I^* is a pseudo complement of I and I_s is a pseudo complemented .

In Theorem 3.1we have proved that pseudo complemented form a Boolean algebra .

Therefore I_s is a Boolean algebra.

Then by definition, S is a Smarandache lattice.

Theorem 3.3: Let S be a distributive lattice with 0. If there exist a proper subset NI_s of S , where $NI_s = \{I^* \in I_s, I \in I_s\}$ is the set of normal ideals in S. Then S is a smarandache lattice.

Proof: By hypothesis ,let S be a distributive lattice with 0 and whose proper subset $NI_s = \{I^* \in I_s, I \in I_s\}$ is the set of normal ideals in S.

We claim that NIs is Boolean Algebra.

Since $NI_s = \{I^* \in I_s : I \in I_s\}$ is the set of normal ideals in S.

Alternatively $NI_s = \{I \in I_s : I = I^{**}\}.$

Thus NI_s is the set of all Pseudo complemented lattice in I_s .

In Theorem 3.1we have proved that pseudo complemented form a Boolean algebra .

Therefore NI_s is a Boolean algebra.

Hence by definition, S is a smarandache lattice.

Theorem 3.4: Let S be a lattice. If there exist a Pseudo complemented distributive lattice L, $X^*(L)$ is a sub-lattice of the lattice $I^{\delta}(L)$ of all δ -ideals of L,which is the proper subset of S. Then S is a Smarandache lattice.

Proof: By hypothesis ,let S be a lattice and there exist a Pseudo complemented distributive lattice L, $X^*(L)$ is a sub-lattice of the lattice $I^{\delta}(L)$ of all δ -ideals of L,which is the proper subset of S.

Let $(a^*], (b^*] \in X^*(L)$, for some $a, b \in L$. Then clearly $(a^*] \cap (b^*] \in X^*(L)$.

Again,
$$(a^*] \cup (b^*] = \delta([a)) \cup \delta([b)) = \delta([a) \cup ([b)) = \delta([a \cap b)) = ((a \cap b)^*] \in X^*(L)$$
.

Hence $X^*(L)$ is a sub lattice of $I^{\delta}(L)$ and hence a distributive lattice.

Clearly $(0^{**}]$ and $(0^{*}]$ are the least and greatest elements of $X^{*}(L)$.

Now for any $a \in I$, $(a^*] \cap (a^{**}] = (0]$ and

$$(a^*] \cup (b^{**}] = \delta([a)) \cup \delta([a^*]) = \delta([a)) \cup ([a^*]) = \delta([a \cap a^*]) = \delta([0)) = \delta(L) = L.$$

Hence (a**] is the complement of (a*] in $X^*(L)$. Therefore $\{X^*(L), \cup, \cap\}$ is a bounded distributive lattice in which every element is complemented.

Thus $X^*(L)$ is a Boolean Algebra.

By definition, S is a Smarandache lattice.

Theorem 3.5 Let S be a lattice, L be a pseudo complemented distributive lattice.

If S is a Smarandache lattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a). L is a Boolean algebra.
- (b). every element of L is closed,
- (c). every principal ideal is a δ -ideal,
- (d). for any ideal I, $a \in I$ implies $a^{**} \in I$,
- (e). for any proper ideal I, I \cap D(L) = ϕ
- (f). for any prime ideal P, $P \cap D(L) = \phi$
- (g). every prime ideal is a minimal prime ideal,
- (h). every prime ideal is a δ –ideal,
- (i). for any $a,b \in S$, $a^* = b^*$ implies a = b,
- (j). D (L) is a singleton set.

Proof: Since S is a Smarandache lattice. Then by definition, there exist a proper subset L of S such that which is a Boolean algebra.

Therefore L is a Boolean algebra.

Now to prove

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Then clearly L has a unique dense element, precisely the greatest element.

Let $a \in S$. Then $a^* \wedge a = 0 = a^* \wedge a^{**}$. Also $a^* \vee a$, $a^* \vee a^{**} \in D(L)$.

Hence $a^* \lor a = a^* \lor a^{**}$. By the cancellation property of S, we get $a = a^{**}$.

Therefore every element of L is closed.

- **(b)** \Rightarrow **(c)**: Let I be a principal ideal of L. Then I = (a] for some $a \in L$. Then by condition (b), $a = a^{**}$. Now, $(a] = (a^{**}] = \delta$ ([a*)). Therefore (a)] is a δ -ideal.
- (c) \Rightarrow (d): I be a proper ideal of L. Let $a \in I$. Then $(a] = \delta$ (F) for some filter F of L. Hence We get $a^{***}=a^* \in F$. Therefore $a^{**}\in \delta$ (F) = $(a] \subseteq I$.
- (d) \Rightarrow (e): Let I be a proper ideal of L. Suppose $a \in I \cap D$ (S). Then $a^{**} \in S$ and $a^*=0$. Therefore $1=0^*=a^{**} \in L$, which is a contradiction.
- (e) \Rightarrow (f): Let I be a proper ideal of S,I \cap D(L) = ϕ , then P be a prime ideal of L,P \cap D(L) = ϕ .
- (f) \Rightarrow (g): Let P be a prime ideal of S such that $P \cap D$ (L) $= \phi$. Let $a \in P$. Then clearly $a \wedge a^* = 0$ and $a \vee a^* \in D$ (L). Hence $a \vee a^* \notin p$ Thus $a^* \notin p$ Therefore P is a minimal prime ideal of L.
- (g) \Rightarrow (h): Let P be a minimal prime ideal of L. Then clearly L-P is a filter of L. Let $a \in P$. Since P is minimal, there exists $b \notin P$ such that $a \land b = 0$. Hence $a^* \land b = b$.
- $a^* \notin P$. Thus $a^* \in (S-P)$ which yields $a \in \delta$ (L-P). Conversely, let $a \in \delta$ (L-P). Then we get $a^* \notin P$. Hence we have $a \in P$. Thus $P = \delta$ (L-P). And therefore P is δ ideal of L.
- (h) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that every prime ideal of L is a δ ideal. Let a, b \in L be such that $a^*=b^*$. Suppose $a \neq b$. Then there exists a prime ideal P of S such that $a \in P$ and $b \notin P$. By Hypothesis, P is a δ ideal of L. Hence $P = \delta$ (F) for some filter F of L. Since $a \in P = \delta$ (F), We get $b^* = a^* \in F$. Hence $b \in \delta$ (F) = P, which is a contradiction. Therefore a = b.
- (i) \Rightarrow (j): Suppose x, y be two elements of D (L). Then x * = 0 = y *. Hence x = y. Therefore D (L) is a singleton set.
- (j) \Rightarrow (a): Assume that D (L) = {d} is singleton set. Let $a \in L$. We have always $a \vee a^* D$ (L). Therefore $a \wedge a^* = 0$ and $a \vee a^* = d$. This true for all $a \in L$. Also $0 \le a \le a \vee a^* = d$. Hence the above conditions are equivalent.

References

- [1]. Florentin Smarandache, Special Algebraic structures, University of New Mexico .1991 SC:06A99
- [2]. Gratzer, G., General lattice Theory, Acodemic Press, New York, sanfrancisco, 1978
- [3]. Monk, J. Donald, bonnet, Robert, eds (1989), Hand book of Boolean Algebras, Amstrerdom, North Holland, publishing co.
- [4]. Padilla, Raul, "Smarandache Algebraic Structure" < International Conference on Semi groups >, Universidad do minho, Bra go, Portugal, 18-23 June 1999.
- [5]. Padilla, Raul," Smarandache Algebraic Structure" < Smarandache Notions journal > USA, Vol 1.9, No36-38
- [6]. Padilla,Raul ,Smarandache Algebraic Structures<Bulletin of Pure and Applied Science>,Delhi,india,Vol 17.E,NO.1,119-121,1998
- [7]. Samba Siva RAO , Ideals in Pseudo complemented Distributive Lattices, No 48,97-05,2012
- [8]. Sabine Koppel berg, General theory Boolean algebra, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1989, Volume of Monk [8], MR90K; 06002.