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1 Introduction

This function is originated from the Romanian professor Florentin Smarandache. It is defined as follows:

For any non-null integer \( n \), \( S(n) = \min \{ m \mid m! \text{ is divisible by } n \} \).

So we have \( S(1) = 0, S(2^5) = S(2^6) = S(2^7) = 8 \).

If

\[ n = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k} \]

(1)

is the decomposition of \( n \) into primes, then

\[ S(n) = \max S(p_i^{a_i}) \]

(2)

and moreover, if \( [m, n] \) is the smallest common multiple of \( m \) and \( n \) then

\[ S([m, n]) = \max \{ S(m), S(n) \} \]

(3)

Let us observe that if \( \wedge = \min, \vee = \max, \wedge = \text{the greatest common divisor}, \vee = \text{the smallest common multiple} \) then \( S \) is a function from the lattice \( (\mathbb{N}, \wedge, \vee) \) into the lattice \( (\mathbb{N}, \wedge, \vee) \) for which

\[ S \left( \vee_{i=1}^{d} m_i \right) = \vee_{i=1}^{d} S(m_i) \]

(4)
The calculus of $S(n)$

From (2) it results that to calculate $S(n)$ is necessary and sufficient to know $S(p_i^a)$. For this let $p$ be an arbitrary prime number and

\[ a_n(p) = \frac{p^n-1}{p-1} \quad b_n(p) = p^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

If we consider the usual numerical scale

\[(p) : b_0(p), b_1(p), \ldots, b_k(p), \ldots\]

and the generalised numerical scale

\[[p] : a_1(p), a_2(p), \ldots, a_n(p), \ldots\]

then from the Legendre's formula

\[ \alpha! = \prod_{p_i \leq \alpha} p_{i\alpha}^{E_{p_i}(\alpha)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $E_{p_i}(\alpha) = \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{p_i^\nu} \right\rfloor$ it results that

\[ S\left(p^n(p)\right) = b_n(p) \]

and even that: if

\[ \alpha = k_\nu a_\nu(p) + k_{\nu-1} a_{\nu-1}(p) + \ldots + k_1 a_1(p) = \overline{k_\nu k_{\nu-1} \ldots k_1[p]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

is the expression of $\alpha$ in the generalised scale $[p]$ then

\[ S\left(p^\alpha\right) = k_\nu p^\nu + k_{\nu-1} p^{\nu-1} + \ldots + k_1 p \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The right hand in (8) may be written as $p \left( \alpha_{[p]} \right)_{(p)}$. That is $S\left(p^\alpha\right)$ is the number obtained multiplying by $p$ the exponent $\alpha$ written in the scale $[p]$ and “read” it in the scale $(p)$. So, we have

\[ S\left(p^\alpha\right) = p \left( \alpha_{[p]} \right)_{(p)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

For example to calculate $S(3^{100})$ we write the exponent $\alpha = 100$ in the scale

\[[3] : 1, 4, 13, 40, 121, \ldots\]
We have \( a_\nu(p) \leq p \Leftrightarrow (p^\nu - 1)/(p - 1) \leq \alpha \Leftrightarrow \nu \leq \log_p ((p - 1)\alpha + 1) \) and so \( \nu \) is the integer part of \( \log_p ((p - 1)\alpha + 1) \),

\[ \nu = \lfloor \log_p ((p - 1)\alpha + 1) \rfloor \]

For our example \( \nu = \lfloor \log_3 201 \rfloor = 4 \). Then the first digit of \( \alpha[i] \) is \( k_i = \lfloor \alpha/a_{i-1}(3) \rfloor = 2 \). So 100 = 2a4(3) + 20. For \( \alpha_1 = 20 \) it results \( \nu_1 = \lfloor \log_3 41 \rfloor = 3 \) and \( k_{\nu_1} = \lfloor 20/a_{3}(3) \rfloor = 1 \) so 20 = a3(3) + 7 and we obtain 1003 = 2a4(3) + a3(3) + 2a2(3) + 3 = 211313.

From (8) it results \( S(3^{100}) = 3(2113)(3) = 207 \).

Indeed, from the Legendre's formula it results that the exponent of the prime \( p \) in the decomposition of \( \alpha! \) is \( \sum_{j \geq 1} \lfloor \alpha/p^j \rfloor \), so the exponent of 3 in the decomposition of 207! is \( \sum_{j \geq 1} \lfloor 207/p^j \rfloor = 69 + 23 + 7 + 2 = 101 \) and the exponent of 3 in the decomposition of 206! is 99.

Let us observe that, as it is shown in [1], the calculus in the generalised scale \([p]\) is essentially different from the calculus in the standard scale \((p)\), because

\[ a_{n+1}(p) = pa_n(p) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n+1}(p) = pb_n(p) \]

Other formulae for the calculus of \( S(p\alpha) \) have been proved in [2] and [3].

If we note \( S_p(\alpha) = S(p\alpha) \) then it results [2] that

\[ S_p(\alpha) = (p - 1)\alpha + \sigma_{([p])}(\alpha) \quad (10) \]

where \( \sigma_{([p])}(\alpha) \) is the sum of the digits of \( \alpha \) written in the scale \([p]\)

\[ \sigma_{([p])}(\alpha) = k_\nu + k_{\nu - 1} + \cdots + k_1 \]

and also

\[ S_p(\alpha) = \frac{(p - 1)^2}{p} (E_p(\alpha) + \alpha) + \frac{p - 1}{p} \sigma_{(p)}(\alpha) + \sigma_{([p])}(\alpha) \]

where \( \sigma_{(p)}(\alpha) \) is the sum of digits of \( \alpha \) written in the scale \((p)\), or

\[ S_p(\alpha) = p \left( \alpha - \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{p} \right\rfloor + \frac{\sigma_{([p])}(\alpha)}{p} \right) \]

As a direct application of the equalities (2) and (8) in [16] is solved the following problem:
"Which are the numbers with the factorial ending in 1000 zeros?"

The solution is
\[ S(10^{1000}) = S(2^{1000}5^{1000}) = \max \{S(2^{1000}), S(5^{1000})\} = \]
\[ = \max \left\{ 2 \left(1000\frac{5}{2}\right), 5 \left(1000\frac{5}{5}\right) \right\} = 4005. \]
4005 is the smallest natural number with the asked propriety.

4006, 4007, 4008, and 4009 verify the propriety but 4010 does not, because 4010! = 4009! · 4010 has 1001 zeros.

In [11] it presents another calculus formula of \( S(n) \):

\[ S(n) = n + 1 - \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\sin(k\pi/\pi)} \right] \]

3 Solved and unsolved problems concerning the Smarandache Function

In [16] there are proposed many problems on the Smarandache Function.

M. Mudge in [12] discusses some of these problems. Many of them are unsolved until now. For example:

Problem (i): Investigate those sets of consecutive integers \( i, i + 1, i + 2, \ldots, i + x \) for which \( S \) generates a monotonic increasing (or indeed monotonic decreasing) sequence. (Note: For \( 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \), \( S \) generates the monotonic increasing sequence 0, 2, 3, 4, 5).

Problem (ii): Find the smallest integer \( k \) for which it is true that for all \( n < n_0 \) at least one of \( S(n), S(n + 1), \ldots, S(n - k + 1) \) is

(A) a perfect square
(B) a divisor of \( k^n \)
(C) a factorial of a positive integer

Conjecture what happens to \( k \) as \( n_0 \) tends to infinity.

Problem (iii): Construct prime numbers of the form \( S(n)S(n + 1)\ldots S(n + k) \).

For example \( S(2)S(3) = 23 \) is prime, and \( S(14)S(15)S(16)S(17) = 75617 \) also prime.

The first order forward finite differences of the Smarandache function are defined thus:

\[ D_s(x) = |S(x + 1) - S(x)| \]
\[ D_s^{(k)}(x) = D(D(\ldots k \text{ times } D_s(x) \ldots)) \]

Problem (iv): Investigate the conjecture that \( D_s^{(k)}(1) = 1 \) or 0 for all \( k \)
greater than or equal to 2.

J. Duncan in [7] has proved that for the first 32000 natural numbers the conjecture is true.

J. Rodriguez in [14] poses the question than if it is possible to construct an increasing sequence of any (finite) length whose Smarandache values are strictly decreasing. P. Gronas in [9] and K. Khan in [10] give different solution to this question.

T. Yau in [17] ask the question that:
For any triplets of consecutive positive integers, do the values of S satisfy the Fibonacci relationship \( S(n) + S(n+1) = S(n+2) \)?

Checking the first 1200 positive integers the author founds just two triplets for which this holds:
\[
S(9) + S(10) = S(11), \quad S(119) + S(120) = S(121).
\]
That is \( S(11 - 2) + S(11 - 1) = S(11) \) and \( S(11^2 - 2) + S(11^2 - 1) = S(11^2) \)

but we observe that \( S(11^3 - 2) + S(11^3 - 1) \neq S(11^3) \).

More recently Ch. Ashbacher has announced that for \( n \) between 1200 and 1000000 there exists the following triplets satisfying the Fibonacci relationship:
\[
S(4900) + S(4901) = S(4902); \quad S(26243) + S(26244) = S(26245);
S(32110) + S(32111) = S(32112); \quad S(64008) + S(64009) = S(64010);
S(368138) + S(368139) = S(368140); \quad S(415662) + S(415663) = S(415664);
\]
but it is not known if there exists an infinity family of solutions.

The function \( C_s : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad C_s(n) = \frac{1}{n} (S(1) + S(2) + \cdots + S(n)) \) is the sum of Cesaro concerning the function \( S \).

**Problem (v):** Is there \( \sum_{n \geq 1} C_s^{-1}(n) \) a convergent series? Find the smallest \( k \)

for which \( \left( C_s \circ C_s \circ \cdots \circ C_s \right) (m) \geq n \).

**Problem (vi):** Study the function \( S_{\min}^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad S_{\min}^{-1}(n) = \min S^{-1}(n) \), where \( S^{-1}(n) = \{ m \in \mathbb{N} | S(m) = n \} \).

M. Costewitz in [6] has investigated the problem to find the cardinal of \( S_{\min}^{-1}(n) \).

In [2] it is shown that if for \( n \) we consider the standard decomposition (1) and \( q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_s < n \) are the primes so that \( p_i \neq q_j, \ i = 1, t, \ j = 1, s \), then if we note \( e_i = E_{p_i}(n), \ f_k = E_{q_k}(n) \) and \( \hat{n} = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_t^{e_t}, \hat{n}_0 = \hat{n}/n, \)
\[ q = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_l^{f_l}, \] it result

\[ \text{card } S^{-1}(n) = (d(\hat{n}) - d(\hat{n}_0)) d(q) \] (11)

where \( d(r) \) is the number of divisors of \( r \).

The generating function \( F_S : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \) associated to \( S \) is defined by
\[ F_S(n) = \sum_{d/n} S(d). \]
For example \( F_S(18) = S(1) + S(2) + S(3) + S(6) + S(9) + S(18) = 20. \)

P. Gronas in [8] has proved that the solution of the diophantine equation \( F_S(n) = n \) have the solution \( n \in \{9, 16, 24\} \) or \( n \) prime.

In [11] is investigated the generating function for \( n = p^\alpha \). It is shown that

\[ F_S(p^\alpha) = (p - 1) \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \sigma_{[j]}(j) \] (12)

and it is given an algorithm to calculate the sum in the right hand of (12).

Also it is proved that \( F_S(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} 2^{i-1} p_i \). Diophantine equations are given in [14] (see also [12]).

We mentione the followings:

(a) \( S(x) = S(x + 1) \) conjectured to have no solution
(b) \( S(mx + n) = m \)
(c) \( S(mx + n) = n + nx \)
(d) \( S(mx + n) = x! \)
(e) \( S(x^m) = x^n \)
(f) \( S(x) + y = x + S(y) \), \( x \) and \( y \) not prime
(g) \( S(x + y) = S(x) + S(y) \)
(h) \( S(x + y) = S(x)S(y) \)
(i) \( S(xy) = S(x)S(y) \)

In [1] it is shown that the equation (f) has as solution every pair of composite numbers \( x = p(1 + q) \), \( y = q(1 + p) \), where \( p \) and \( q \) are consecutive primes, and that the equation (i) has no solutions \( x, y > 1 \).
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4 Generalizations of the Smarandache Function

In [4] are given three generalizations of the Smarandache Function, namely the Smarandache functions of the first kind are the functions $S_n : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ defined as follows:

(i) if $n = u^i (u = 1 \text{ or } u = p \text{, prime number })$ then $S_n(a)$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ with the property that $k!$ is a multiple of $n^a$.

(ii) if $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_t^{a_t}$ then $S_n(a) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq t} S_{p_j}(a)$.

If $n = p$ then $S_n$ is the function $S_p$ defined by F. Smarandache in [15] ($S_p(a)$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that $k!$ is divisible by $p^a$).

The Smarandache function of the second kind $S^k : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ are defined by:

$$S^k(n) = S_n(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

For $k = 1$, the function $S^k$ is the Smarandache function, with the modification that $S^1(1) = 1$.

If (a): $1 = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots$

(b): $1 = b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, \ldots$

are two sequences with the property that

$$a_{kn} = a_k a_n \quad ; \quad b_{kn} = b_kb_n$$

Let $f^k_a : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ be the function defined by $f^k_a(n) = S_{a_n}(b_n), \quad (S_{a_n}$ is the Smarandache function of the first kind).

It is easy to see that:

(i) if $a_n = 1$ and $b_n = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $f^k_a = S_1$.

(ii) if $a_n = n$ and $b_n = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $f^k_a = S^1$.

The Smarandache functions the third kind are functions $S^k_a = f^k_a$ in the case that the sequences (a) and (b) are different from those concerned in the situations (i) and (ii) from above.

In [4] it is proved that

$$S_n(a + b) \leq S_n(a) + S_n(b) \leq S_n(a)S_n(b) \quad \text{for } n > 1$$

$$\max \left\{ S^k(a), S^k(b) \right\} \leq S^k(ab) \leq S^k(a) + S^k(b) \quad \text{for every } a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

$$\max \left\{ f^k_a(k), f^k_b(n) \right\} \leq f^k_a(kn) \leq b_n f^k_a(k) + b_k f^k_b(n)$$

so, for $a_n = b_n = n$ it results

$$\max \left\{ S_n(k), S_n(n) \right\} \leq S_{kn}(kn) \leq nS_n(k) + kS_n(n) \quad \text{for every } k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$
This relation is equivalent with the following relation written by means of
the Smarandache function:

$$\max \{S(k^k), S(n^n)\} \leq S((kn)^kn) \leq nS(k^k) + kS(n^n)$$

In [5] it is presents an other generalization of the Smarandache function.
Let $\mathcal{M} = \{S_m(n)|n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$, let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}^*) \setminus \emptyset$ and $a = \min A$, $b = \min B$, $a^* = \max A$, $b^* = \max B$. The set $I$ is the set of the functions
$I^B_A : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ with

$$I^B_A(n) = \begin{cases} 
S_a(b), & \text{if } n < \max\{a, b\} \\
S_a(b_k), & \text{if } \max\{a, b\} \leq n \leq \max\{a^*, b^*\}
\end{cases}$$

where

$$a_k = \max \{a_i \in A|a_i \leq n\}$$
$$b_k = \max \{b_j \in B|b_j \leq n\}$$

$$S_{a^*}(b^*), \text{ if } n > \max\{a^*, b^*\}$$

Let the rule $\mathcal{T} : I \times I \mapsto I$, $I^B_A \mathcal{T} I^D_C = I^{BUC}_{AUC}$ and the partial order relation $\rho \subset I \times I$, $I^B_A \rho I^D_C \iff A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$.
It is easy to see that $(I, \mathcal{T}, \rho)$ is a semilattice.
The elements $u, v \in I$ are $\rho$-strictly preceded by $w$ if:
(i) $w \rho u$ and $w \rho v$
(ii) $\forall x \in I \setminus \{w\}$ so that $x \rho u$ and $x \rho v \Rightarrow x \rho w$.

Let $I^\# = \{(u, v) \in I \times I|u, v \text{ are } \rho \text{-strictly preceded}\}$, the rule
$L : I^\# \mapsto I$, $I^B_A L I^D_C = I^{BUD}_{AUC}$ and the order partial relation $r$, $I^B_A r I^D_C \iff I^D_C \rho I^B_A$. Then the structure $(I^\#, L, r)$ is called the return of semilattice
$(I, \mathcal{T}, \rho)$.
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