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There are an innumerable numbers of conjectures and unsolved problems in number theory predominantly on primes which have been giving sleepless nights to the mathematicians all over the world for centuries. Here are a few more to trouble them.

(1) Every even number can be expressed as the difference of two primes.

(2) Every even number can be expressed as the difference of two consecutive primes.

i.e. for every $m$ there exists an $n$ such that $2m = p_{n+1} - p_n$, where $p_n$ is the $n^{th}$ prime.

(3) Every number can be expressed as $N / d(N)$, where $d(N)$ is the number of divisors of $N$.

If $d(N)$ divides $N$ we define $N / d(N) = I$ as the index of beauty for $N$.

The conjecture can be stated in other words as follows. For every natural number $M$ there exists a number $N$ such that $M$ is the index of beauty for $N$. i.e. $M = N / d(N)$.

The conjecture is true for primes can be proved as follows:

We have $2 = 12 / d(12) = 12 / 6$, $2$ is the index of beauty for $12$.

$3 = 9 / d(9) = 9 / 3$, $3$ is the index of beauty for $9$.

For a prime $p > 3$ we have $N = 12p$, $d(N) = 12$ and $N / d(N) = p$.

($N = 8p$ can also be taken).

The conjecture is true for a large number of canonical forms can be established and further explored.

The proof for the general case or giving a counter example is still a challenging unsolved problem.

(4) If $p$ is a prime there exist infinitely many primes of the form

$A. 2^n p + 1$. (B) $2^{n^2} + 1$.

(5) It is a well known fact that one can have arbitrarily large numbers of consecutive composite numbers.

i.e. $(r+1)! + 2, (r+1)! + 3, (r+1)! + 4, \ldots, (r+1)! + r - 1, (r+1)! + r$ give $r$ consecutive composite numbers where $r$ is chosen arbitrarily.

But these are not necessarily the smallest set of such numbers. Let us consider the smallest set of $r$ consecutive composite numbers as follows
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>Smallest set of composite numbers</th>
<th>( r / ) first composite number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>2/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14, 15, 16</td>
<td>3/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24, 25, 26, 27</td>
<td>4/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24, 25, 26, 27, 28</td>
<td>5/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95</td>
<td>6/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96</td>
<td>7/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>114, 115, .. up to .. 121</td>
<td>8/114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly for 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 the first of the composite numbers is 114.

We conjecture that the sum of the ratios in the third column is finite and \( > e \).

(6) Given a number \( N \). Carryout the following step of operation to get a number \( N_1 \)

\[
N - p_{r_1} = N_1, \quad \text{where } p_{r_1} < N < p_{r_1+1}, p_{r_1} \text{ is the } r_1^{th} \text{ prime.}
\]

Repeat the above step to get \( N_2 \)

\[
N_1 - p_{r_2} = N_2, \quad p_{r_2} < N_1 < p_{r_2+1}.
\]

Go on repeating these steps till one gets \( N_k = 0 \) or 1.

The conjecture is (a) however large \( N \) be, \( k < \log_2 \log_2 N \)

(b) There exists a constant \( C \) such that \( k < C \).

Open Problem: In case (b) is true, find the value of \( C \).