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Abstract

During the past decades there are growing concerns on the escalation and massive deprivation of the public life’s quality, which some researchers attribute these as effects of the so-called ‘Globalization’. To cite a few books, Prof. J. Stiglitz’s book “Globalization and its Discontent” has sparked debate all over the world. A somewhat less known book which is worth to mention here is N. Hertz’s book “The Silent Takeover.”

The present article may not offer something new compared to the aforementioned ‘standard literature’ in the critical analysis of globalization, but we discuss some hints on deep the root causes of the problems related to globalization, not only at phenomenological-social level but also at mathematical foundations of economics theory itself, namely the notion of ‘utility’.

Introduction

During the past decades there are growing concerns on the escalation and massive deprivation of the public life’s quality, which some researchers attribute these as effects of the so-called ‘Globalization’. To cite a few books, Prof. J. Stiglitz’s book “Globalization and its Discontent” has sparked debate all over the world. A somewhat less known book which is worth to mention here is N. Hertz’s book “The Silent Takeover.”

The present article may not offer something new compared to the aforementioned ‘standard literature’ in the critical analysis of globalization, but we discuss some hints on deep the root causes of the problems related to globalization, not only at phenomenological-social level but also at mathematical foundations of economics theory itself, namely the notion of ‘utility’. Furthermore, at an ‘empirical’ level to supports our arguments, we cite some quotations from Perkins [5], and Prof. M. Chossudovsky, who has studied globalization extensively in the past decades. [6-8].

This so-called “globalization” is called on Internet in the following different ways and names, such as: global totalitarianism, neo-totalitarianism, new world order, global fascist order, neo-fascism, today’s new fascism, semi-colonialism, neocolonialism, global cyber hegemony (global control and manipulation of the Internet), global dictatorship, etc. where a few elites from some power countries try to take over the whole globe, which would become a prison planet. These unscrupulous, immoral, corrupted, genocidal, power-hungry elites will exercise an apartheid policy against the whole world, controlling people’s soul through their totalitarian regulation...
While the present article may not offer something new from analytical viewpoint, by relying on both phenomenology-sociological and mathematical results, we submit the viewpoint on the validity of the hypothesis presented herein. The purpose of this article is to call attention from national leaders on the extensiveness and criticalities of the issues discussed here, and suggest them to take actions together.

**Our only hope for liberty would be… to move to another planet!**

This global colonization, which we call in the present article Glob-Colonization, means that a few powerful circles of elites wish to transform the third world countries into politically, ideologically, militarily, economically, financially, spiritually, culturally dominated territories.

While at first glance this proposition sounds like a fantasy, comparable to the dark picture described in Orwell’s book 1984, and some others would think that globalization is inevitable in order to reach global prosperity; in the following sections we will cite a number of phenomenology-social facts which supports our argument.

It is generally accepted among academicians (notably S. Huntington) that in a rapid movement towards globalization, societies tend to become unstable and therefore we observe disintegration almost everywhere in the World. His hypothesis is supported with plenty of statistical data in his famous book.

Nonetheless at this point we can also ask, what if instead of ‘natural tendency’ towards instability as he supposed, the reality is that those small countries are merely ‘the puppets’ under the strings played by the masters of Global Totalitarianism? In this alternative scenario, then the national leaders of small countries are nothing more than actors who want to maximize the ‘utility’ function of their role as national leaders, and actually don’t care at all if by doing so they serve the ‘grandmasters’ who want to take advantage of the people in their countries?

This alternative hypothesis, while unknown so far to the majority of academicians who cling to the same belief of ‘natural tendency’ hypothesis of S. Huntington, are indeed supported by rigorous research by Prof. Chossudovsky [6-8] and also confessions book by Perkins [5].

To support this alternative hypothesis, let us cite a number of observations in the following section.

**Phenomenology-Sociology observation**

These powerful circles of elites do the followings in order to dominate the underdeveloped countries:

(a) Install puppet or at least semi-puppet governments and presidents in underdeveloped countries, easily manipulated and subordinated to them. Many times, they put in power
and support dictators, hated by the local population (see for example Pakistan, then some countries in Latin America, in some Arabic countries, etc.).

(b) Falsify and manipulate underdeveloped countries’ local elections in order to bring to power marionettes subordinated to them. The secret services of these powers start by publicizing, before local elections, spurious “Gallup poll” or “opinion statistics” that show as favorable (of course!) their marionette politicians – in order to psychologically prepare the local population for accepting these marionettes.

When the falsification of the elections does not succeed, a flood of slandering, defamatory propaganda is lunched by the secret services (sheltered by these power countries’ embassies/consulates/missions, etc.) against the democratically elected government.

If learning from history can be useful at all, let us cite that in the 17th-19th centuries, Romania and other countries under the Ottoman Empire had eastern leaders, called “Phanariotes” (i.e. Greeks nobles from the Phanar district of Istanbul), now local population jokes that their leaders are… western-Phanariotes [or neo-Phanariotes].

(c) Destroy the industry of underdeveloped countries, making the populace poorer, jobless, and thus obliged to emigrate to the west as cheap and discriminated labor. In this kind, they eliminate industrial competition. A country in general cannot be rich without industrialization.

(d) Dumping third world countries’ agriculture system in order to destroy their peasants’ small economies, and thus make the citizens of the third world countries dependent of the dominant powers.

(e) Break up underdeveloped countries into small parts, by pedaling on regional differences between various ethnic groups.

(f) Send so-called “peaceful traders” in underdeveloped countries, who in realities are spies who collect information and stir an ethnic group against another in these underdeveloped countries in order to provoke regional turbulence, encourage separatist groups, and try to destabilize these countries.

See for example Czechoslovakia, then Yugoslavia and afterwards Serbia [because they are Slavic countries], and who would be dismembered next?

Attempts were made against Romania too.

Also, attempts to break Brazil, since it is too big and becomes a dangerous competitor, into South Brazil (a rich part) and North Brazil (a poor part), or to remove Amazon’s jungle from Brazil because, because as they say: Amazon’s jungle belongs to the planet not to Brazil.

Maybe, Indonesia will follow next (?) (East Timor was already cut off from it.) There are some scenarios showing that this process may already be apparent.

As in paradoxism, the Balkanization of the world is “performed” by non-Balkan powers, the vile actors on the world scene.

But the same powerful circles of elites do not want to hear about, for example, dividing Canada into two parts, the French part, Québec – that many times asked for
independence, and the Anglo part; or splitting Belgium into two parts, French part (Wallonie) and Flemish part; or letting Ireland unite with Northern Ireland… .

So, what kind of globalization is that in which, instead of unifying, it divides? These powerful circles of elites do whatever they can for dominance by force and by deceiving. To point out the basic scheme here; this can be observed quite easily:

(i) Destabilize local-national governments by supporting the two opposite sides simultaneously [5];
(ii) Replace the national leaders which apparently sound too strong or too ‘vocal’ against globalization;
(iii) Destruction of national economies and therefore create the necessity to break up into smaller regions;
(iv) Totally dominate the smaller regions by means of contracts with MNCs (see Noreena Hertz, The Silent Takeover);
(v) Deprivation of public quality of life, and therefore create national economies dependence towards international bank resources (the so called ‘bail out’ game);
(vi) Create more pressures to the public thereafter.

(g) Entangle, by any mean, countries of same language or culture to unite (for example Arabic countries, or Hispanic countries, or all Islamic countries), so they do not become powers.

(h) Create international organizations that pretend serving the whole globe but, in reality, they only serve the interests of a few powers against independent non-obedient states. What kinds of democracy promoted by these international organizations when some countries are allowed to have sophisticated arms and others are not? Clearly, they are biased. In our opinion, all countries should disarm - but this is a utopia today. Also, why some countries have the “right of veto”? That’s not fair. These international organizations look for pretexts (saying that they bring “international aid”) to intervene in the affairs of underdeveloped countries.

(i) Create an International Court of Justice where these powerful circles of elites punish those who do not obey to them, by biased and set up trials. The whole world is judged upon the laws and interests imposed by these power country elites.

(j) Erase the collective memory of other nations by defaming, slandering, ridiculing, detracting nations’ history, language, personalities, traditions, culture – in order to destroy them and to impose a cultural dominance over the whole world. This is a cynic strategy for abolishing other nations. Instigate the young generations from these power elites countries - through their infiltrated secret service agents - to ignore, boycott, insult, invent lies, discredit underdeveloped countries’ scientists, writers, artists and their creations. Teach and instigate the young generations from these power elites countries to hate other cultures, other traditions, and third-world countries’ scientists, writers, artists and their creations. Launch an international campaign of denigration and lies against those who succeed to promote their research without approvals from these power countries’ elites, and against those who dare to defend the researchers insulted by these power countries’ elites.
(k) Humiliate a whole underdeveloped nation through a propaganda that throws the particular
to the general, i.e. blameworthy facts of a few individuals from an underdeveloped
country are generalized to the whole nation they belong to; that’s the intentional way of
how mass-media of these powerful circles of elites transmit lies to the whole world.

These powerful circles of elites mutually promote at an international level the racial idea
of “superior nations” [which is a kind of neo-Aryanism] by humiliating other nations
(using lies, speculations, slandering, boycotting, ridiculing realizations and people of
third world countries). It is indeed a Global Aryanism.
This means an attempt to culturally, spiritually, intellectually, etc. exterminating other
nations.
These powerful circles of elites try to intimidate by inspiring international fear and
slavishness.
They publish and promote all kinds of reports, various encyclopedias, handbooks,
movies, documentaries, propagandistic news, web sites, etc. in order to indoctrinate the
whole world that they detain the hegemony in every field.

(m) Indoctrination of third world countries with these few powerful circles of elites’
ideology, culture, religion, politics, propaganda, while suppressing local values.

(n) Calumniation of underdeveloped nations’ traditions, customs. Powerful countries’ secret
agents pay dishonest local journalists to write and speak against their own countries’
culture, history, traditions, but of course praising the dominants.

(o) Ignore, ridicule, detract and boycott underdeveloped countries’ realizations, personalities,
men and women of arts and letters, scientific research. Falsify the local history.
This is part of denationalization and brain washing!
From the national poet Eminescu, to high historical leaders as Ștefan cel Mare (Stefan the
Great), Mihai Viteazu (Michael the Brave), and to the Romanian folklore characters Făt-
Frumos and Ileana Cosânzeana, everything is under a flood of organized denigrations [9],
while those who dare to defend them are blacklisted and constantly insulted. It is a way to
erase the collective memory of third world country nations.

(p) Weaken the national education system in underdeveloped countries and intoxicate it with
these power countries’ propaganda, ideology, identity.

(q) International Banks lend money to underdeveloped countries with the pretext of
“helping” them, but under cover these banks interfere with underdeveloped countries’
political, ideological, economical affairs undermining them, imposing regulations in the
interest of a few power countries these banks belong to, and transforming the
underdeveloped countries in semi-colonies.

(r) An international swindle done by these few powerful circles of elites is the so-called
“convertibility” of only their currencies (or only their currencies to be considered “hard
money”) in foreign exchange, and not of other countries' currencies. This international
financial cunning gave these powerful circles of elites a huge advantage over the world, since it was extremely cheap for them (i.e. only the cost of ink and paper) to print *colored papers* [= their currencies] and pay in the whole world for all kind of goods and services with their ‘colored papers’: from oil and agriculture products to secret agents acting in third world countries to destabilizing them.

Third world countries should not recognize these colored papers, and ask in the international trade to get in exchange: gold, silver, diamond, or other concrete goods and services, but not colored papers.

Those who dare to think otherwise, or countries that do not obey these powers are labeled “undemocratic”, “politically incorrect”, and accused of not respecting the “human rights”. These powerful circles of elites pretend to promote democracy, but actually they only adhere to a *phony democracy*, i.e. “democracy of men with money”, since democracy in the classical Greek sense means “power of the people” [in Greek \textit{demokratia} = \textit{demos} (people) + \textit{kratos} (strength), therefore: strength/power of the people], not power of a governmental junta. When, according to pool investigation, majority of people are against a war, and millions demonstrate against the war, but the governmental junta still goes to war, is that a manifestation of the power of the people? Of course not!

There is no much difference between the Stalinist dictatorship and today’s so-called “democracy”: in the Stalinist dictatorship the citizen were not allowed to say anything; in today’s self-called “democracy” you are allowed to speak up, but the effect is the same as in the dictatorship (I mean: there is no effect!... because today’s totalitarian governmental junta do whatever it pleases). People can say whatever they want, but it has no consequence! Allowing people to say everything is a psychological tactic from the part of the governmental junta, since people release their anger, and doing that many times without any consequence they would eventually stop… There is a total ignorance from the part of the powerful Klan with respect to the people. Those who dare to criticize these phony democracies are called “unpatriotic” … .

Today’s world meaning of “democracy” is subordination to these powerful circles of elites, so unfortunately “democracy” became a propaganda and a pretext of the powerful circles of elites to interfere in the third world countries’ affairs!

In addition, countries having a bi-partite political system are less democratic that those having a pluri-partite political system since the last ones offer more alternatives of policies and governance.

Another example of lack of democracy and dominance of some elites over the normal citizen is the \textit{lobby} in the American Congress; this lobby is unfortunately on \textit{official corruption} where firms with money bribe senators to vote for firms’ interest laws which are in citizens’ disadvantage (a such example is the law that obliges each driver to have car insurance, money which in most cases the citizens pay for nothing… they pay like a tax for wind and for illusions!).

Further, this “politically incorrect” syntagme is a contemporary form of censorship and denial of freedom of speech (you’re not allowed to criticize the dominance... the dominance pretends detaining the global “absolute” truth in any field.).

While by respecting the “human rights” they maybe mean: these powers’ “human rights” of dominating other nations! The secret services of these powers and their
paid influence agents provoke disarray, disorder, and systematic psychological harassment against the governments of disobedient countries.

(t) Countries that oppose the dominancy of those powerful circles of elites are destroyed with bombs, while those countries that yield to the dominants are destroyed with the pen, as Prof. Michel Chossudovsky plastically wrote [6-8], in the sense that local deregulations took place and external regulations from dominant powers were implemented.

In the last category, Eastern Europe countries, such as Romania, Bulgaria for example, had their industries destroyed, their citizen required to pay high taxes to the government, and each whole country required to pay millions of euros for various European Union projects in Western countries, while Eastern European countries receive very little in exchange and their own projects are systematically rejected. As a result, a small percentage of Eastern Europeans became very rich and the majority very poor, while the degree of population’s dissatisfaction – most people were plunged into misery - is very high. The majority’s disgust and discomfort is reflected today by young generation’s movement in poetry and writing called “grievism” [coming from ‘grieve’] that it is often seeing on its Internet creations.

Alas, the majority of people in USA feel the same too, that they are merely ‘boiled frog’ in their own country, because of these practices by powerful circles of elites.

European Union (EU), as part of the global totalitarianism (i.e. globalization), exercises – besides an internal neocolonialism of Western European countries against Eastern European countries which transformed eastern countries into the wasted garbage of the west - also an external neocolonialism of European firms against African, parts of Asian, and Latin American countries, forcing these underdeveloped countries to open their markets to EU firms whose products surpass the local products, bringing to ruins the local economies.

These few powerful circles of elites use bombs, tortures (defying Geneva convention), invasions, genocides, deceptions, lies against third world countries - pretending they “fight for democracy”… (actually, it is the democracy of the most powerful elites that suck the natural and human resources of the neo-colonies).

Eastern European analysts consider that their countries are today under double occupation… .

(u) There are national and international deceptive agencies of so-called “human rights” movement created by these powerful circles of elites, such as Division of Human Rights, Amnesty International, Equal Employment Opportunity Committee (EEOC), etc. that pretend defending the human rights of citizens in the world, but in reality they go after so-called by them “rogue countries” [i.e. countries that do not subordinate to them] and they look for pretexts to interfere in these countries’ affairs. These deceptive agencies don’t even protect the ordinary citizens in these power countries from the abuse of the elites, not even do much for their discriminated minorities. These so-called agencies of human rights have a propagandistic role. [5]

(v) Encourage local population NOT to learn its country’s history, culture, traditions, etc. transforming them in just “speaking servants” (we adjust the Latin “speaking tools” at
today’s reality), or worse “global working animals” for these powers. This is part of the robotization of the people. This population is thus embezzled from its identity…

The more somebody knows, the more he or she demands from the society - which is inconvenient for these powers. That’s why the dominance tries to turn the thinking populace into an amorphous ignorant and less educated crowd, and in consequence this populace will simply be pushed into obsequiousness.

(w) Encourage the local creators to imitate and follow these power countries’ ideas in arts, letters, science, etc. while discouraging them from having original ideas and creations; these power countries’ elites pretend they detain the monopoly of creation;

(x) These power countries try to control and manipulate the information at the global level, as part of globalization, by controlling the national and transnational mass-media, the Internet, and by defaming people who are independent and thus not obeying to them.

(y) Award pompous international awards [with exaggerated epithets such as: “the best in the world”, “the genial creator”, “the genial theory” (for useless theories that many people contest), etc.] in science, arts, and letters to these powerful circles of elites’ servants, since these powerful circles of elites manipulate the awards as well…

Transform the third world countries in cheap leisure places for the vacation of the powerful circles of elites.
The middle class is thinning in all countries, and so is the real democracy.

Even in U. S. there is no universal medical system as in other developed and even underdeveloped countries, the medical assistance cost is sky rocketing, the medical insurance agencies are simply business companies not medical helpers; the social system is bankrupting, and the retirement system in bad shape menacing contemporary working class to remaining without pensions… .The rich become richer and the middle class poorer.

Despite the initial good features of globalization (amongst them the free circulation of people and ideas across borders), it has drastic negative impacts. The uniformization imposed by global totalitarianists reduces or even annihilates the countries’ national specific differences, which are the flavor of foreigners’ attraction.

It is obvious to say that those powerful circles of elites who planned and set up the globalization did it in their own advantage/profit.

A global totalitarianism of a few elites is installing today against the whole world.

Equilibrium of powers at the planetary level is needed for a healthy global atmosphere. Today’s unipolarity is abusive, aggressive, corrupted, yoking. Therefore, it is hoped that maybe China, India, Brazil and other modest countries will develop in order to counterbalance the arrogance of today’s totalitarian power.

History teaches us that no empire lasts forever, consequently sooner or later this glob-colonialism/totalitarianism will fail.
At Mathematical Level

Besides the aforementioned phenomenological-social observation, we can also mention that at theoretical-mathematical level part of the problem comes from basic economics belief started by Adam Smith’s ‘invisible hand’ [4][2]. It can be shown [4] that this belief than subsequently leads to an illusion of ‘utility function’ as an integrable function. Prices, dynamics, market equilibriums, are supposed to be ‘derived’ from utility. However, this assertion cannot be proved empirically, from Walras (who assert this function from ‘auction’ model) to Samuelson (his market-demand equilibrium is only a myth). [4]

In particular, economists assume that price is the gradient of utility in equilibrium, but it can be shown instead that price as the gradient of utility is an integrability condition for the dynamics of an optimization problem in economic control theory [4]. One consequence of this new proposition is that, in a nonintegrable dynamical system, price cannot be expressed as a function of demand and supply variables [4]. This can be observed most vividly in the very-high oil price last year (mid of 2008) which some analysts believed this effect was not supported by the reality of market demand-supply.

Therefore for evidence of stability of prices in free markets simply has not been found.[4] This new finding apparently can affect so much in the design of national economics policies, i.e. instead of pursuing equilibrium at all costs, efforts can be directed toward more ‘active’ measures to make the best out of the market dynamics of non-equilibrium itself. New types of economics theories can be expected therefore, with the most essential part shall be studying non-equilibrium theories, which are well-known in chemistry studies.

Concluding remarks

We have discussed a number of phenomenology-sociology observations which indicated that the global destabilization processes have taken place.

To point out the basic scheme here; this can be observed quite easily:

(a) Destabilize local-national governments by supporting the two opposite sides simultaneously [5];
(b) Replace the national leaders which apparently sound too strong or too ‘vocal’ against globalization;
(c) Destruction of national economies and therefore create the necessity to break up into smaller regions;
(d) Totally dominate the smaller regions by means of contracts with MNCs (see Noreena Hertz, The Silent Takeover);
(e) Deprivation of public quality of life, and therefore create national economics dependence towards international bank resources (the so called ‘bail out’ game);
(f) Create more pressures to the public thereafter.
In other words, it is obvious to say that a global totalitarianism of a few elites is installing today against the whole world. Those powerful circles of elites who planned and set up the globalization did it in their own advantage/profits, and nothing they have in common with the public interests, both at developed countries and also at underdeveloping countries. Even in developed countries like USA, the majority of people feel that they are only ‘boiled frog’ whose life quality experiencing deprivation at massive scale.

Equilibrium of powers at the planetary level is needed for a healthy global atmosphere. Today’s unipolarity is abusive, aggressive, corrupted, yoking. Therefore, it is hoped that maybe China, India, Brazil and other modest countries will develop in order to counterbalance the arrogance of today’s totalitarian power.
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