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A new refinement of the inequality
\[ \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4R+r}{2r}} \]

Marius Dragan† and Mihály Bencze‡

E-mail: benczemihaly@gmail.com

Abstract The purpose of this paper is to give a new prove to inequality \( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4R+r}{2r}} \), who are given in [1], to prove that this is the better inequality of type: \( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\alpha R + \beta r} \), when
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{R}} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \] (1)

and to refine this inequality with an equality of type: “better of the type” :
\[ \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{R}, \]
when
\[ \frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{R} \leq \frac{3}{2} \] (2)
or in an equivalent from:
\[ \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{R}. \] (3)

We denote
\[ \frac{R}{r} = x, \quad d = \sqrt{R^2 - 2Rr}, \quad dx = \sqrt{x^2 - 2x}. \]

Keywords Geometrical inequalities.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15, 26D15, 51M16.

§1. Main results

Lemma 1.1. In all triangle \( ABC \) holds:
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{R}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4R + r}{2r}}. \] (4)

Proof. The inequality (4) will be written as:
\[ \sqrt{2} + \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{x} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2x}} \]
and after squaring we shall obtain:

$$2 + \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{x^2} + \frac{6\sqrt{2} - 8}{x} \leq \frac{4x + 1}{2x}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$4x^2 + 34 - 24\sqrt{2} + \left(12\sqrt{2} - 16\right)x \leq 4x^2 + x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(17 - 12\sqrt{2}\right)(x - 2) \geq 0.$$ 

**Theorem 1.1.** In all triangle $ABC$ holds:

$$\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \frac{r}{R + d} + \sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}}. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** The inequality (5) will be given in [2].

We shall give a new prove of this inequality. In [3] it was proved the following inequality:

$$\sum \sqrt{\frac{p - a}{a}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{R - r + d}{2r}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{R - d}{2R}}.$$

We have:

$$\left(\sum \sin \frac{A}{2}\right)^2 = \sum \left(\sin \frac{A}{2}\right)^2 + 2\sum \sin \frac{B}{2}\sin \frac{C}{2}$$

$$= \sum \frac{(p - b)(p - c)}{bc} + 2\sqrt{\frac{(p - a)(p - b)(p - c)}{abc}} \sum \sqrt{\frac{p - a}{a}},$$

or in an equivalent form:

$$\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2R - r}{2R}} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{R}} \sum \sqrt{\frac{p - a}{a}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{2R - r}{2R}} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{R}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R - r + d}{2r}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{R - d}{2R}}\right)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2R - r}{2R}} + \sqrt{\frac{R - r + d}{2R}} + \frac{2}{R}\sqrt{\frac{r(R - d)}{2}}$$

and because

$$(R + d)^2 = 2R(R - r + d)(R - d)(R + d) = 2Rr$$

and

$$(R - r - d)(R - r + d) = r^2,$$

it shall result

$$\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}} + \frac{2R - r}{2R} - \frac{R + d}{2R} + 2r \sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}}$$

$$= \frac{r}{R + d} + \sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}}.$$ 

In the following we shall prove (1).
From inequality (5):
\[
\sin \frac{A}{2} + \sin \frac{B}{2} + \sin \frac{C}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}} + \frac{r}{R + d} \leq \frac{3}{2},
\]
because the inequality (5) is the better of the type: \( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq f(R, r) \). It follows that:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}} + \frac{1}{R + d} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{x}} \leq \frac{3}{2}
\]
or
\[
\frac{1}{1 + d_x} + \sqrt{x + d_x} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha x + \beta}{x}} \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \forall x \geq 2. \tag{6}
\]
In the case of equilateral triangle we have \( x = 2 \). We shall obtain
\[
4\alpha + \frac{2}{3} = 9.
\]
The inequality (6) may be written in the case of the isosceles triangle with sides: \( b - c = 1, a = 0 \) \( (R = \frac{1}{2}, r = 0) \) or putting \( x \to \infty \) in an equivalent from as: \( a \geq 2 \).
Because: \( (2\alpha - 4)x + 4x + 9 - 4\alpha \geq 2(2\alpha - 4) + 4x + 9 - 4\alpha = 4x + 1 \) it shall result:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{\alpha x + \beta}{x}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2x}}.
\]
In the following will be sufficient to prove that:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} + \frac{1}{x + d_x} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2x}}. \tag{7}
\]
**Theorem 1.2.** In all triangle \( ABC \) holds
\[
\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4R + r}{2r}}.
\]
**Proof.** The inequality (7) may be written in an equivalent form as:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} + \frac{1}{x + d_x} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2\lambda}} \iff \frac{1}{x + d_x} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2x} - \frac{x + d_x}{x}} \iff \sqrt{\frac{4x + 1}{2x}} + \sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} \leq \sqrt{(x + d_x) \left( \frac{4x + 1 - 2x - 2d_x}{2x} \right)} = \frac{d_x + 5x}{2x} \iff d_x + 5x \geq \sqrt{2x(4x + 1)} + \sqrt{4x(x + d_x)}.
After squaring we shall obtain:
\[
x^2 - 2x + 25x^2 + 10xd_x \geq 8x^2 + 2x + 4x^2 + 4xd_x + 4x\sqrt{2(4x + 1)}(x + d_x) \\
\Leftrightarrow 14x^2 - 4x + 6xd_x \geq 4x\sqrt{2(4x + 1)}(x + d_x) \\
\Leftrightarrow 7x - 2 + 3d_x \geq 2\sqrt{(8x + 2)}(x + d_x) \\
\Leftrightarrow 49x^2 + 4 + 9x^2 - 18x - 28x - 12d_x + 42xd_x \\
\geq 32x^2 + 32xd_x + 8x + 8d_x \\
\Leftrightarrow 26x^2 - 54x + 4 + 10xd_x - 20d_x \geq 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow (13x - 1 + 5d_x)(x - 2) \geq 0.
\]

In the following we shall determine $\alpha$, $\beta$ with the property (2).
According with the inequality (6) it follows that
\[
\sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}} + \frac{r}{R + d} \leq \frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{R} \leq \frac{3}{2}
\]
or in an equivalent form
\[
\sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} + \frac{1}{x + dx} \leq \alpha + \frac{\beta}{x} \leq \frac{3}{2}
\]
(8)

In the case of equilateral triangle we shall obtain $2\alpha + \beta = 3$.
In the inequality (8) we shall consider $x \to \infty$. It follows that $a \geq \sqrt{2}$.
Because
\[
\left(\alpha - \sqrt{2}\right) R + (3 - 2\alpha)r \geq \left(2\alpha - 2\sqrt{2} + 3 - 2\alpha\right)r = \left(3 - 2\sqrt{2}\right)r,
\]
it follows that
\[
\frac{\alpha R + \beta r}{R} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{R}.
\]
In the following will be sufficient to prove the inequality (3).

**Theorem 1.3.** In all triangle $ABC$ holds
\[
\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{R}.
\]

**Proof.** From the inequality (5) it follows that in order to prove the inequality (3) it will be sufficient to prove:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{R + d}{R}} + \frac{r}{R + d} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}R = (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{R}
\]
or in an equivalent form:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} + \frac{1}{x + dx} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}x + 3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{x}
\]
\[
\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{x + d_x}{x}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}x^2 + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})x + \sqrt{2}xd_x + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})d_x - x}{x(x + d_x)}
\]
A new refinement of the inequality \( \sum \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{45r + r}{x}} \). 

We denote 

\[ u_x = \frac{d_x}{x} . \]

The inequality (9) may be written in an equivalent form as:

\[
\frac{x + d_x}{x} \leq \frac{x^2 [\sqrt{2}x^2 + 2 - 2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}d_x + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})u_x]^2}{2x^3(x + d_x - 1)}
\]

\[
\leq (2x + 2d_x)(x + d_x - 1)
\]

\[
\leq \left[ \sqrt{2}x^2 + 2 - 2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}d_x + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})u_x \right]
\]

\[
2x^2 + 2xd_x - 2x + 2xd_x + x^2 - 4x - 2x
\]

\[
2x^2 + 12 - 8\sqrt{2} + 2x^2 - 4x + (17 - 12\sqrt{2})u_x^2
\]

\[
+ (4\sqrt{2} - 8)x + 4xd_x + (6\sqrt{2} - 8)ux + (4\sqrt{2} - 8)d_x
\]

\[
(28 - 20\sqrt{2})u_x + (4\sqrt{2} - 8)d_x + (6\sqrt{2} - 8)uxd_x
\]

\[
4xd_x - 6x - 2d_x
\]

\[
12 - 8\sqrt{2} + \frac{(x - 2)}{x} (17 - 12\sqrt{2}) - 4x + (4\sqrt{2} - 8)x
\]

\[
(6\sqrt{2} - 8)d_x + 4xd_x + (28 - 20\sqrt{2})\frac{d_x}{x}
\]

\[
(4\sqrt{2} - 8)d_x + (x - 2)(6\sqrt{2} - 8)
\]

\[
4x^2d_x - 6x^2 - 2xd_x
\]

\[
\leq \left[ 12 - 8\sqrt{2} \right] x + (17 - 12\sqrt{2})x\sqrt{-34 + 24\sqrt{2} - 4x^2}
\]

\[
+ (4\sqrt{2} - 8)x^2 + (6\sqrt{2} - 8)x^2 - 12\sqrt{2} - 16 \right] x
\]

\[
4x^2 - 2x - (6\sqrt{2} - 8)x - 4x^2 - 28 + 20\sqrt{2} - (4\sqrt{2} - 8)x
\]

\[
\leq (6 - 4 + 4\sqrt{2} - 8 + 6\sqrt{2} - 8)x^2
\]

\[
(12 - 8\sqrt{2} + 17 - 12\sqrt{2} - 12\sqrt{2} + 16)x - 34 + 24\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
d_x \left[ -2 - 6\sqrt{2} + 8 - 4\sqrt{2} + 8 \right] x - 28 + 20\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
\leq \left( 10\sqrt{2} - 14 \right) x^2 + (45 - 32\sqrt{2}) x - 34 + 24\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
d_x \left[ (14 - 10\sqrt{2}) x + 20\sqrt{2} - 28 \right]
\]

\[
\leq \left( 10\sqrt{2} - 14 \right) x^2 + (45 - 32\sqrt{2}) x - 34 + 24\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
d_x \left[ (14 - 10\sqrt{2}) x + 20\sqrt{2} - 28 \right]
\]

\[
\leq \left( 10\sqrt{2} - 14 \right) x^2 + (45 - 32\sqrt{2}) x - 34 + 24\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
d_x \left[ (14 - 10\sqrt{2}) (x - 2) \right] \leq (x - 2) \left[ (10\sqrt{2} - 14) x + 17 - 12\sqrt{2} \right]
\]
\[
\Leftrightarrow (x - 2) \left[ (10\sqrt{2} - 14) x + (10\sqrt{2} - 14) \right] + 17 - 12\sqrt{2} \geq 0.
\]

**Corollary 1.1.** In all triangle \(ABC\) holds
\[
\sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{3S}{2(\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r)}.
\]

**Proof.** Using the Chebyshev’s inequality to \(\sin \frac{A}{2}, \sin \frac{B}{2}, \sin \frac{C}{2}\) and \(\cos \frac{A}{2}, \cos \frac{B}{2}, \cos \frac{C}{2}\) we get
\[
\left(\frac{1}{3} \sum \sin \frac{A}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum \cos \frac{A}{2}\right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \cos \frac{A}{2}\right)
\]
or
\[
\sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2} \sum \sin A
\]
or
\[
\sum \cos + \geq \frac{3}{2} \sum \sin A \geq \frac{3}{2} \sum \sin AR \geq \frac{3S}{2(\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r)}.
\]

**Corollary 1.2.** If \(\lambda \in (0, 1]\), then in all triangle \(ABC\) holds:
\[
\sum \left(\sin \frac{A}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{3}{3R} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r}{3R}\right)^{\lambda}.
\]

**Proof.** Using the Jensen’s inequality we get:
\[
\sum \left(\sin \frac{A}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \leq \frac{3}{3R} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r}{3R}\right)^{\lambda}.
\]

**Corollary 1.3.** If \(\lambda \geq 1\) then in all triangle \(ABC\) holds
\[
\sum \left(\cos \frac{A}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \geq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r}\right)^{\lambda}.
\]

**Proof.** From Jensen’s inequality we get:
\[
\sum \left(\cos \frac{A}{2}\right)^{\lambda} \geq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})/r}\right)^{\lambda}.
\]

**Corollary 1.4.** In all triangle \(ABC\) holds
\[
\sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{2S + 3\sqrt{2}R}{4R}.
\]

**Proof.** From Popoviciu’s inequality
\[
\sum f(x) + 3f\left(\frac{x + y + z}{3}\right) \geq 2 \sum f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right).
\]

applied to function \(f : (0, \pi) \to R, f(x) = \sin x\) we get:
\[
\sum \sin A + 3 \sin \frac{\pi}{3} \leq 2 \sum \sin \frac{A + B}{2}
\]
A new refinement of the inequality \( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4R+r}{2r}} \)

or

\[
\frac{S}{R} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \leq 2 \sum \cos \frac{A}{2}
\]

or

\[
\sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{2S + 3\sqrt{3}R}{4R}
\]

**Corollary 1.5.** In all triangle \( ABC \) are true the following equality:

\[
2R \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \left( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} - 1 \right) = S.
\]  

(10)

**Proof.** We shall consider the triangle \( ABC \) obtained with the exterior bisectors of the triangle \( ABC \).

We denote with \( S_{A_1B_1C_1} \) the semiperimeter of \( A_1B_1C_1 \) triangle.

We have: \( 2S_{A_1B_1C_1} = A_1B_1 + B_1C_1 + A_1C_1 \).

We shall calculate:

\[
B_1C_1 = AB_1 + AC_1
\]

\[
= 4R \sin \frac{C}{2} \cos \frac{B}{2} + 4R \sin \frac{B}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2}
\]

\[
= 4R \cos \frac{A}{2}
\]

and the others.

We shall obtain: \( S_{A_1B_1C_1} = 2R \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \).

We have:

\[
S_{ABC_1} + S_{BCA_1} + S_{ACB_1} = S_{ABC} + S_{A_1B_1C_1}
\]

and

\[
2S_{ABC_1} = 4R \sin \frac{C}{2} \cos \frac{B}{2} + 4R \sin \frac{C}{2} \cos \frac{C}{2}
\]

\[
= 4R \sin \frac{C}{2} \sum \cos \frac{A}{2}.
\]
It follows that
\[ 2R \left( \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \right) \sin \frac{C}{2} + 2R \left( \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \right) \sin \frac{B}{2} + 2R \left( \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \right) \sin \frac{A}{2} = S + 2R \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \]
or
\[ 2R \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \left( \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} - 1 \right) = S. \]

**Corollary 1.6.** In all triangle \( ABC \) holds:
\[ \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{S}{2 \left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right) R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}. \]  \hfill (11)

**Proof.** Using the equality (10) and inequality (3) we shall obtain:
\[
\sum \cos \frac{A}{2} = \frac{S}{2R} \sum \sin \frac{A}{2} - 1 \geq \frac{S}{2R} \frac{R}{\left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right) R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r} \]
\[ = \frac{2 \left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right) R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r}{S}. \]

**Corollary 1.7.** If \( \lambda \geq 1 \) then in all triangle \( ABC \) holds:
\[ \sum \left( \cos \frac{A}{2} \right)^\lambda \geq 3 \left( \frac{S}{6 \left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right) R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r} \right)^\lambda. \]

**Proof.** From Jensen’s inequality and inequality (11) we get:
\[
\sum \left( \cos \frac{A}{2} \right)^\lambda \geq 3 \left( \frac{1}{3} \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \right)^\lambda \geq 3 \left( \frac{S}{6 \left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right) R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r} \right)^\lambda. \]

**Corollary 1.8.** In all triangle \( ABC \) holds:
\[ \sum \cos \frac{A}{2} \geq \frac{2S + 3\sqrt{3}R}{4R} \geq \frac{3S}{2 \left( \sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r \right)}. \]  \hfill (12)

**Proof.** The first side of the inequality (12) i just Corollary 3.4. The right side
\[ \frac{2S + 3\sqrt{3}R}{4R} \geq \frac{3S}{2 \left( \sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2})r \right)} \]
will may be written in an equivalent form as:
\[ \left[ \left( 6 - 2\sqrt{2} \right) R - \left( 6 - 4\sqrt{2} \right) r \right] S \leq 3\sqrt{6}R^2 + \left( 9\sqrt{3} - 6\sqrt{6} \right) Rr. \]  \hfill (13)

From Blundon’s inequality \( S \leq 2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r \) it follows that to demonstrate the inequality (13) it will be sufficient to prove that:
\[ \left[ \left( 6 - 2\sqrt{2} \right) R - \left( 6 - 4\sqrt{2} \right) r \right] \left[ 2R + \left( 3\sqrt{3} - 4 \right) r \right] \leq 3\sqrt{6}R^2 + \left( 9\sqrt{3} - 6\sqrt{6} \right) Rr \]
or
\[ (3\sqrt{6} + 4\sqrt{2} - 12)x^2 + \left( 36 - 9\sqrt{3} - 16\sqrt{2} \right)x + 18\sqrt{3} - 12\sqrt{6} + 16\sqrt{2} - 24 \geq -24x \]
or
\[(x - 2) \left[ (3\sqrt{6} + 4\sqrt{2} - 12) x + 6\sqrt{6} - 8\sqrt{2} - 9\sqrt{3} + 12 \right] \geq 0,\]

But
\[\begin{align*}
(3\sqrt{6} + 4\sqrt{2} - 24 + 6\sqrt{6} - 8\sqrt{2} - 9\sqrt{3} + 12) \\
\geq \sqrt{6} + 8\sqrt{2} - 24 + 6\sqrt{6} - 8\sqrt{2} - 9\sqrt{3} + 12 \\
= 12\sqrt{6} - 9\sqrt{3} - 12 > 0,
\end{align*}\]

who are true.

**Corollary 1.9.** In all triangle $ABC$ holds:

\[
S^2 \leq 2 \left( 4R + r \right) \left( \sqrt{2}R + (3 - 2\sqrt{2}) r \right)^2 / 3R.
\]

**Proof.** From the identity:

\[
\sum \cos^2 A / 2 = 4R + r / 2R,
\]

Corollary 1.3 and

\[
\sum \cos^2 A / 2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum \cos A / 2 \right)^2,
\]

it shall result inequality of the statement.

**Corollary 1.10.** In all triangle $ABC$ holds:

\[
S \leq \sqrt{6R(4R + r) - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}} R.
\]

**Proof.** Result from the identity (14), Jensen’s inequality

\[
\sum \cos^2 A / 2 \geq 3 \left( \frac{1}{3} \sum \cos A / 2 \right)^2
\]

and Corollary 1.4 we get:

\[
\frac{4R + r}{2R} \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{(2S + 3\sqrt{3}R)^2}{16R^2}.
\]

After performing some calculation we shall obtain the inequality of the statement.

**Corollary 1.11.** In all triangle $ABC$ holds:

\[
S^2 \leq 6 \left( 3 - 2\sqrt{2} \right) \left( 4R + r \right) \left( R + (\sqrt{2} - 1) r \right)^2 / R.
\]

**Proof.** Result from inequality

\[
\sum \cos^2 A / 2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum \cos A / 2 \right)^2,
\]

equality (14) and (12).
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $H$ be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{ z : |z| < 1 \}$. Let $A$ be the class of all functions $f$ which are analytic in $E$ and normalized by the conditions that $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Thus, $f \in A$, has the Taylor series expansion

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$ 

Let $S$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f \in A$ which are univalent in $E$.

For two analytic functions $f$ and $g$ in the unit disk $E$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $E$ and write as $f \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ analytic in $E$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, $z \in E$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in E$. In case the function $g$ is univalent, the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h$ be univalent in $E$. If $p$ is analytic in $E$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\phi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \prec h(z), \quad \phi(p(0), 0; 0) = h(0),$$

then $p$ is called a solution of the first order differential subordination (1). The univalent function $q$ is called a dominant of the differential subordination (1) if $p(0) = q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1). A dominant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants $q$ of (1), is said to be the best dominant of (1).

In 2005, Kyohei Ochiai [2] studied the classes $M(\alpha)$ and $N(\alpha)$ defined below: Let

$$M(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - \frac{1}{zf'(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha}, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \ z \in E \right\},$$

and they defined the class $N(\alpha)$ as $f(z) \in N(\alpha)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in M(\alpha)$. They proved the following results.
Theorem 1.1. If \( f \in A \) satisfies
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f'(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right| < 1 - 2\alpha
\]
for some \( \alpha (\frac{1}{4} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}) \), then
\[
\left| \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha} - 1, \; z \in \mathbb{E},
\]
therefore, \( f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha) \).

Theorem 1.2. If \( f \in A \) satisfies
\[
\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf''(z) + zf''(z)}{f'(z) + zf'(z)} \right| < 1 - 2\alpha
\]
for some \( \alpha (\frac{1}{4} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}) \), then
\[
\left| \frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha} - 1, \; z \in \mathbb{E},
\]
therefore, \( f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \).

To prove our main result, we shall use the following lemma of Miller and Mocanu [1].

Lemma 1.1. Let \( q, q(z) \neq 0 \) be univalent in \( \mathbb{E} \) such that \( \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{E} \). If an analytic function \( p, p(z) \neq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{E} \), satisfies the differential subordination
\[
\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} < \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = h(z),
\]
then
\[
p(z) < q(z) = \exp \left[ \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} \; dt \right]
\]
and \( q \) is the best dominant.

§2. Main results and applications

Theorem 2.1. Let \( q, q(z) \neq 0 \) be univalent in \( \mathbb{E} \) such that \( \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} (= h(z)) \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{E} \).
If \( f \in A, \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \neq 0 \) for all \( z \) in \( \mathbb{E} \), satisfies
\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec h(z), \; z \in \mathbb{E},
\]
then
\[
\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q(z) = \exp \left[ \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} \; dt \right].
\]

Proof. By setting \( p(z) = \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \) in Lemma 1.1, proof follows.
Theorem 2.2. Let \( q, q(z) \neq 0 \) be univalent in \( \mathbb{E} \) such that \( \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} (= h(z)) \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{E} \).

If \( f \in A \),
\[
\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} \neq 0
\]
for all \( z \) in \( \mathbb{E} \), satisfies
\[
\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z(2f''(z) + zf'''(z))}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < h(z), z \in \mathbb{E},
\]
then
\[
\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} \times q(z) = \exp \left[ \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} \, dt \right].
\]

Proof. By setting \( p(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} \) in Lemma 1.1, proof follows.

Remark 2.1. Consider the dominant
\[
q(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \mathbb{E}
\]
in above theorem, we have
\[
\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) = \Re \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 - z} - \frac{(1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}
\]
for all \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \). Therefore, \( \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{E} \) and we immediately get the following result.

Theorem 2.3. If \( f \in A \), \( \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \neq 0 \) for all \( z \) in \( \mathbb{E} \), satisfies
\[
\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \frac{2(1 - \alpha)z}{(1 - z)(1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)},
\]
then
\[
\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \times \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \mathbb{E}.
\]

Theorem 2.4. Let \( f \in A \), \( \frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} \neq 0 \) for all \( z \) in \( \mathbb{E} \), satisfy
\[
\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{z(2f''(z) + zf'''(z))}{f'(z) +zf''(z)} < \frac{2(1 - \alpha)z}{(1 - z)(1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)},
\]
then
\[
\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \mathbb{E}.
\]

Note that Theorem 2.3 is more general than the result of Kyohei Ochiai \(^2\) stated in Theorem 1.1 and similarly Theorem 2.4 is the general form of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 2.2. Selecting the dominant \( q(z) = \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^\delta \), \( 0 < \delta \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} \), we have
\[
\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right) = \Re \left( \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2} \right) > 0, z \in \mathbb{E}.
\]
Therefore, $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike in $E$ and from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following results, respectively.

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose that $f \in A$, $\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \neq 0$ for all $z \in E$, satisfies

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \frac{2\delta z}{1 - z^2},$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} < \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^\delta, \ 0 < \delta \leq 1, \ z \in E.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $f \in A$, $\frac{f'(z)}{zf'(z) + zf''(z)} \neq 0$ for all $z \in E$, satisfy

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z(2f''(z) + zf'''(z))}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \frac{2\delta z}{1 - z^2},$$

then

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^\delta, \ 0 < \delta \leq 1, \ z \in E.$$

**Remark 2.3.** When we select the dominant $q(z) = \frac{\alpha(1 - z)}{\alpha - z}$, $\alpha > 1$, $z \in E$ in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. A little calculation yields

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right) = \Re\left(\frac{1}{1 - z} + \frac{z}{\alpha - z}\right) > 0, \ z \in E.$$

Therefore, $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike in $E$ and we get the following results.

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose that $\alpha > 1$ is a real number and if $f \in A$, $\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \neq 0$ for all $z \in E$, satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \frac{(1 - \alpha)z}{(1 - z)(\alpha - z)},$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} < \frac{\alpha(1 - z)}{\alpha - z}, \ z \in E.$$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let $\alpha > 1$ be a real number and let $f \in A$, $\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} \neq 0$ for all $z \in E$, satisfy

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z(2f''(z) + zf'''(z))}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \frac{(1 - \alpha)z}{(1 - z)(\alpha - z)},$$

then

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \frac{\alpha(1 - z)}{\alpha - z}, \ z \in E.$$

**Remark 2.4.** Consider the dominant $q(z) = 1 + \lambda z$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, $z \in E$ in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right) = \Re\left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda z}\right) > 0, \ z \in E.$$
for all $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Therefore, $zq'(z)/q(z)$ is starlike in $\mathbb{E}$ and we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.9.** Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z)/(zf'(z)) \neq 0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \frac{\lambda z}{1 + \lambda z},$$

then

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1\right| < \lambda, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

**Theorem 2.10.** Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z)/(zf'(z)) \neq 0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{z(2zf''(z) + zf'''(z))}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} < \frac{\lambda z}{1 + \lambda z},$$

then

$$\left|\frac{f'(z)}{zf'(z) + zf''(z)} - 1\right| < \lambda, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

**Remark 2.5.** We, now claim that Theorem 2.9 extends Theorem 1.1 in the sense that the operator $zf'(z)/(1 + zf''(z)/(f')^\frac{1}{2})$, now, takes values in an extended and Theorem 2.10 gives the same extension for Theorem 1.2. We, now, compare the results by taking the following particular cases. Setting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.9, we obtain:

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z)/(zf'(z)) \neq 0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$, satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \frac{z}{1 + z}, \quad (2)$$

then

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1\right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

For $\alpha = 1/4$, Theorem 1.1 reduces to the following result:

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f'(z)} - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1\right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E},$$

According to the result stated in (3), the operator $zf'(z)/(f(z)) - \left(1 + zf''(z)/(f')^\frac{1}{2}\right)$ takes values within the disk of radius 1/2 and centered at origin (as shown by the dark shaded portion in Figure 2.1) to give the conclusion that $\left|\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1\right| < 1$, whereas in view of the result stated above in (2), the same operator can take values in the entire shaded region (dark + light) in Figure 2.1 to get the same conclusion. Thus the result stated in (2) extends the result stated above in (3) in the sense that the region in which the operator $zf'(z)/(f(z)) - \left(1 + zf''(z)/(f')^\frac{1}{2}\right)$ takes values is extended. The claimed extension is given by the light shaded portion of Figure 1. In the same fashion, the above explained extension also holds in comparison of results in Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 1.2.
Figure 1
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Definition 1.1. Floor and ceiling functions. In mathematics and computer science, the floor and ceiling functions map a real number to the largest previous or the smallest following integer, respectively. More precisely, floor\( (x) = \lfloor x \rfloor \) is the largest integer not greater than \( x \) and ceiling\( (x) = \lceil x \rceil \) is the smallest integer not less than \( x \). The floor function is also called the greatest integer or entier (French for integer) function, and its value at \( x \) is called the integral part or integer part of \( x \). In the following formulas, \( x \) and \( y \) are real numbers, \( k, m, \) and \( n \) are integers, and \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the set of integers (positive, negative, and zero). Floor and ceiling may be defined by the set equations \( \lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | m \leq x\} \), \( \lceil x \rceil = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq x\} \).

Since there is exactly one integer in a half-open interval of length one, for any real \( x \) there are unique integers \( m \) and \( n \) satisfying \( x - 1 < m \leq x \leq n < x + 1 \).

Then \( \lfloor x \rfloor = m \) and \( \lceil x \rceil = n \) may also be taken as the definition of floor and ceiling. These formulas can be used to simplify expressions involving floors and ceilings.

\[ \lfloor x \rfloor = m, \text{ if and only if, } m \leq x < m + 1. \]
\[ \lceil x \rceil = n, \text{ if and only if, } n - 1 < x \leq n. \]
\[ \lfloor x \rfloor = m, \text{ if and only if, } x - 1 < m \leq x. \]
\[ \lceil x \rceil = n, \text{ if and only if, } x \leq n < x + 1. \]

In the language of order theory, the floor function is a residuated mapping, that is, part of a Galois connection: it is the upper adjoint of the function that embeds the integers into the reals.

\[ x < n, \text{ if and only if, } \lfloor x \rfloor < n. \]
\[ n < x, \text{ if and only if, } n < \lceil x \rceil. \]
\[ x \leq n, \text{ if and only if, } \lfloor x \rfloor \leq n. \]
\[ n \leq x, \text{ if and only if, } n \leq \lceil x \rceil. \]
These formulas show how adding integers to the arguments affect the functions:
\[
\lfloor x + n \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor + n,
\]
\[
\lceil x + n \rceil = \lceil x \rceil + n.
\]

The above are not necessarily true if \( n \) is not an integer, however:
\[
\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \leq \lfloor x + y \rfloor \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1,
\]
\[
\lceil x \rceil + \lceil y \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil x + y \rceil \leq \lceil x \rceil + \lceil y \rceil.
\]

**Definition 1.2.** Elliptic integral. In integral calculus, elliptic integrals originally arose in connection with the problem of giving the arc length of an ellipse. They were first studied by Giulio Fagnano and Leonhard Euler. Modern mathematics defines an “elliptic integral” as any function \( f(x) = \int_c^x R(t, \sqrt{P(t)}) \, dt \), where \( R \) is a rational function of its two arguments, \( P \) a polynomial of degree 3 or 4 with no repeated roots, and \( c \) is a constant.

In general, elliptic integrals cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions. Exceptions to this general rule are when \( P \) has repeated roots, or when \( R(x, y) \) contains no odd powers of \( y \). However, with the appropriate reduction formula, every elliptic integral can be brought into a form that involves integrals over rational functions and the three Legendre canonical forms (i.e. the elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kind).

Besides the Legendre form, the elliptic integrals may also be expressed in Carlson symmetric form. Additional insight into the theory of the elliptic integral may be gained through the study of the Schwarz-Christoffel mapping. Historically, elliptic functions were discovered as inverse functions of elliptic integrals. Incomplete elliptic integrals are functions of two arguments, complete elliptic integrals are functions of a single argument.

**Definition 1.3.** The incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind \( F \) is defined as
\[
F(\psi, k) = F(\psi \mid k^2) = F(\sin \psi; k) = \int_0^\psi \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}}.
\]

This is the trigonometric form of the integral, substituting \( t = \sin \theta \), \( x = \sin \psi \), one obtains Jacobi’s form
\[
F(x; k) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^2)}}.
\]

Equivalently, in terms of the amplitude and modular angle one has:
\[
F(\psi \\backslash \alpha) = F(\psi, \sin \alpha) = \int_0^\psi \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \alpha)^2}}.
\]

In this notation, the use of a vertical bar as delimiter indicates that the argument following it is the “parameter” (as defined above), while the backslash indicates that it is the modular angle. The use of a semicolon implies that the argument preceding it is the sine of the amplitude:
\[
F(\psi, \sin \alpha) = F(\psi \mid \sin^2 \alpha) = F(\psi \\backslash \alpha) = F(\sin \psi; \sin \alpha).
\]

**Definition 1.4.** Incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind \( E \) is defined as
\[
E(\psi, k) = E(\psi \mid k^2) = E(\sin \psi; k) = \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta} \, d\theta.
\]
Substituting \( t = \sin \theta \) and \( x = \sin \psi \), one obtains Jacobi’s form:

\[
E(x; k) = \int_0^x \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2 t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \, dt.
\]

Equivalently, in terms of the amplitude and modular angle:

\[
E(\psi; \alpha) = E(\psi, \sin \alpha) = \int_0^\psi \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \alpha)^2}} \, d\theta.
\]

**Definition 1.5.** Incomplete elliptic integral of the third kind \( \Pi \) is defined as

\[
\Pi(n; \psi; \alpha) = \int_0^\psi \frac{1}{1 - n \sin^2 \theta} \frac{d\theta}{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \alpha)^2}
\]

or

\[
\Pi(n; \psi \mid m) = \int_0^{\sin \psi} \frac{1}{1 - m t^2} \frac{dt}{(1 - m t^2)(1 - t^2)}.
\]

The number \( n \) is called the characteristic and can take on any value, independently of the other arguments.

**Definition 1.6.** Incomplete elliptic integral of the third kind \( \Pi \) is defined as

\[
\Pi(n; \psi; \alpha) = \int_0^\psi \frac{1}{1 - n \sin^2 \theta} \frac{d\theta}{1 - (\sin \theta \sin \alpha)^2}
\]

or

\[
\Pi(n; \psi \mid m) = \int_0^{\sin \psi} \frac{1}{1 - m t^2} \frac{dt}{(1 - m t^2)(1 - t^2)}.
\]

The number \( n \) is called the characteristic and can take on any value, independently of the other arguments.

**Definition 1.7.** Complete elliptic integral of the first kind is defined as Elliptic Integrals are said to be complete when the amplitude

\[
\psi = \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

and therefore \( x=1 \). The complete elliptic integral of the first kind \( K \) may thus be defined as

\[
K(k) = \int_0^\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \, d\theta = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^2)}}
\]

or more compactly in terms of the incomplete integral of the first kind as

\[
K(k) = F\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, k\right) = F(1; k).
\]

It can be expressed as a power series

\[
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \right] k^{2n} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ P_{2n}(0) \right]^2 k^{2n},
\]

where \( P_n \) is the Legendre polynomial, which is equivalent to

\[
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 k^2 + \left( \frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4} \right)^2 k^4 + \ldots + \left( \frac{(2n - 1)!}{(2n)!} \right)^2 k^{2n} + \ldots \right],
\]
where \( n!! \) denotes the double factorial. In terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function, the complete elliptic integral of the first kind can be expressed as

\[
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \, _2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right).
\]

The complete elliptic integral of the first kind is sometimes called the quarter period. It can most efficiently be computed in terms of the arithmetic-geometric mean

\[
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{\text{agm}(1 - k, 1 + k)}.
\]

**Definition 1.8.** Complete elliptic integral of the second kind is defined as The complete elliptic integral of the second kind \( E \) is proportional to the circumference of the ellipse \( C \)

\[
C = 4aE(e),
\]

where \( a \) is the semi-major axis, and \( e \) is the eccentricity. \( E \) may be defined as

\[
E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta} \, d\theta = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2t^2}}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \, dt
\]

or more compactly in terms of the incomplete integral of the second kind as

\[
E(k) = E\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, k\right) = E(1; k).
\]

It can be expressed as a power series

\[
E(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \right)^2 \frac{k^{2n}}{1 - 2n},
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
E(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \frac{k^2}{1} - \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4 \right)^2 \frac{k^4}{3} - \ldots - \left( \frac{(2n)!!}{(2n)!} \right)^2 \frac{k^{2n}}{2n - 1} - \ldots \right].
\]

In terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function, the complete elliptic integral of the second kind can be expressed as

\[
E(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \, _2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; 1; k^2\right).
\]

**Definition 1.9.** Complete elliptic integral of the third kind is defined as The complete elliptic integral of the third kind \( \Pi \) can be defined as

\[
\Pi(n, k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{(1 - n \sin^2 \theta) \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}}.
\]

**Argument.** In mathematics, \( \arg \) is a function operating on complex numbers (visualised as a flat plane). It gives the angle between the line joining the point to the origin and the positive real axis, shown as \( \psi \) in figure 1, known as an argument of the point (that is, the angle between the half-lines of the position vector representing the number and the positive real axis).
In figure 1, the Argand diagram represents the complex numbers lying on a plane. For each point on the plane, arg is the function which returns the angle $\psi$. A complex number may be represented as $z = x + iy = |z|e^{i\theta}$ where $|z|$ is a positive real number called the complex modulus of $z$, and $\psi$ is a real number called the argument. The argument is sometimes also known as the phase or, more rarely and more confusingly, the amplitude [7]. The complex argument can be computed as

$$\text{arg}(x + iy) \equiv \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right).$$

§2. Main integrals

$$\int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1 - x \cosh 2y}} = \left. -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{x \cosh 2y - 1}{x - 1}} F\left(iy, \frac{2x}{x - 1}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - x \cosh 2y}} \right| \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{2} \left[\frac{\text{arg}(x - 1)}{2\pi} - \frac{\text{arg}(x \cosh 2y - 1)}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\right]\right) + C. \quad (1)$$
\[
\int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(1 - x \sinh 2y)}} = \frac{t \sqrt{x \sinh 2y - 1} F \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (\pi - 4y) \left| \frac{2\pi}{z_x} \right| \right)}{\sqrt{-tx - 1} \sqrt{1 - x \sinh 2y}} + C. (2)
\]

\[
\int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(1 - x \tanh 2y)}} = \frac{\tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1 - x \coth 2y - 1)}}{\sqrt{x - 1}} \right) - \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1 - x \coth 2y + 1)}}{\sqrt{x - 1}} \right)}{2\sqrt{1 - x \coth 2y}} \sqrt{x \tanh 2y - 1} + C. (3)
\]

\[
\int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(1 - x \coth 2y)}} = \frac{\tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1 - x \coth 2y - 1)}}{\sqrt{x - 1}} \right) - \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(1 - x \coth 2y + 1)}}{\sqrt{x - 1}} \right)}{2\sqrt{1 - x \coth 2y}} \sqrt{x \coth 2y - 1} + C. (4)
\]

\[
\int \sqrt{1 - x \sinh 2y} dy = -\frac{(x - t) \sqrt{x \sinh 2y - 1} E \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (\pi - 4y) \left| \frac{2\pi}{z_x} \right| \right)}{\sqrt{1 - x \sinh 2y}} + \text{Constant}
\]

\[
= -\frac{t \sqrt{x \sinh 2y - 1} E \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (\pi - 4y) \left| \frac{2\pi}{z_x} \right| \right)}{\sqrt{-tx - 1} \sqrt{1 - x \sinh 2y}} + \frac{\arg(z^{-1} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}}{-2\pi} + \text{Constant}
\]

\[
= -\frac{x \sqrt{x \sinh 2y - 1} E \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (\pi - 4y) \left| \frac{2\pi}{z_x} \right| \right)}{\sqrt{-tx - 1} \sqrt{1 - x \sinh 2y}} + \frac{\arg(z^{-1} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}}{-2\pi} + \text{Constant}
\]

\[
+ C. (5)
\]
\[ \int \sqrt{1 - x \cosh 2y} \, dy = \frac{i(x-1)}{\sqrt{1 - x \cosh 2y}} \sqrt{\frac{x \cosh 2y - 1}{x - 1}} \, E \left( \frac{\sqrt{\frac{x \cosh 2y - 1}{x - 1}}}{\frac{\pi}{2}} \right) + \text{Constant} \]

\[ \int \sqrt{1 - x \tanh 2y} \, dy = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x \tanh 2y - 1} \left\{ \sqrt{x - 1} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x \tanh 2y - 1}}{\sqrt{x - 1}} \right) - \sqrt{-x - 1} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x \tanh 2y - 1}}{\sqrt{-x - 1}} \right) \right\} \sqrt{1 - x \tanh 2y} + C. \] (6)

\[ \int \sqrt{1 - x \cosech 2y} \, dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - i \cosech y} \sqrt{2 - x \cosech y \csech y}} \times 2 \sech 2y \sqrt{\frac{i(x \cosech 2y - 1)}{x - i}} \left\{ x \sqrt{1 + i \cosech 2y (1 + 2i \sinh y \cosh y)} \times F \left( \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2 - i \cosech y \csech y} \right), \frac{2x}{x - i} \right) + \sinh 2y \sqrt{\coth^2 2y} \sqrt{1 - i \cosech 2y} \right\} \Pi \left( 2; \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2 - i \cosech y \csech y} \right), \frac{2x}{x - i} \right) \right\} + C. \] (7)

\[ \int \sqrt{1 - x \coth 2y} \, dy = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\sqrt{i(x \coth 2y - 1)}}} \left\{ \sqrt{i(x - 1)} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{i(x \coth 2y - 1)}}{\sqrt{i(x - 1)}} \right) - \sqrt{-i(x + 1)} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{i(x \coth 2y - 1)}}{\sqrt{-i(x + 1)}} \right) \right\} \sqrt{1 - x \coth 2y} + C. \] (8)

where \( C \) denotes constant.

**Derivations.** By involving the method of [18] one can derived the integrals.
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Graham [1] shown that the polynomials of the form \( p_k(x) = x^k + \frac{k}{k+1} \) has the property that \( \int_0^1 p_k(x) \, dx = p_k(1) - p_k(0) \). The said polynomial is self-integrating in the closed interval \([0,1]\).

Definition 1.1. A function \( f \) is said to be self-integrating on an interval \([a,b]\) if and only if

\[
\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = f(b) - f(a).
\]

The following are the types of self-integrability:

Definition 1.2. Absolute self-integrability is the self-integrability everywhere in \( \mathbb{R} \).

Definition 1.3. Conditional self-integrability is the self-integrability in some interval \([a,b]\).

Definition 1.4. A function \( f \) is said to be absolutely self-integrating if and only if

\[
\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = f(b) - f(a)
\]

for every \([a,b]\).

Definition 1.5. A function \( f \) is said to be conditionally self-integrating if and only if

\[
\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = f(b) - f(a)
\]

for some \([a,b]\).

The natural exponential function and the zero function are absolutely self-integrating while any polynomial of the form \( p_k(x) = x^k + \frac{k}{k+1} \) is conditionally self-integrating.


§2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** The sum of absolutely self-integrating functions is also absolutely (conditionally) self-integrating.

**Proof.** Suppose $f_0(x)$, $f_1(x)$, ..., $f_n(x)$ are absolutely self-integrating functions. Taking their sum and the definite integral for any closed interval $[a,b]$ yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(x)$$

and

$$\int_a^b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(x) \, dx.$$

Since each function is absolutely self-integrating,

$$\int_a^b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(x) \, dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(b) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k(a).$$
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§1. Introduction

Generalized Gaussian hypergeometric function of one variable is defined by

\[ A_{\alpha} F_{\beta} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_A; \\ b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_B; \\ z \end{array} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k(a_2)_k \cdots (a_A)_k z^k}{(b_1)_k(b_2)_k \cdots (b_B)_k k!} \]

or

\[ A_{\alpha} F_{\beta} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a_A); \\ (b_B); \\ z \end{array} \right] = A_{\alpha} F_{\beta} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a_j)_{j=1}^A; \\ (b_j)_{j=1}^B; \\ z \end{array} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_A)_k z^k}{(b_B)_k k!}, \quad (1) \]

where the parameters \( b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_B \) are neither zero nor negative integers and \( A, B \) are non-negative integers.

Definition 1.1. Contiguous relation \[1\] is defined as follows

\[ (a-b)_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a, b; \\ c; \\ z \end{array} \right] = a_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a+1, b; \\ c; \\ z \end{array} \right] - b_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a, b+1; \\ c; \\ z \end{array} \right]. \quad (2) \]

Definition 1.2. Recurrence relation of gamma function is defined as follows

\[ \Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z). \quad (3) \]

Definition 1.3. Legendre duplication formula \[3\] is defined as follows

\[ \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z) = 2(2z-1)! \Gamma(z) \Gamma \left( z + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (4) \]
\[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} = \frac{2^{(b-1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(b)}.\]  

(5)

\[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{(a-1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a)}.\]  

(6)

**Definition 1.4.** Bailey summation theorem \([4]\) is defined as follows

\[\binom{a, 1 - a; \frac{1}{2}}{c} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1-a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1-a}{2}\right)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(c)}{2^{a-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}.\]  

(7)

§2. Main results of summation formula

\[\binom{a, -a - 47; \frac{1}{2}}{c} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(c)}{2^{a+47}}\left[425701577976730224859568406528000000\right.\]

\[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1-a}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 785376788259613713414926483128320000a
\]

\[+ \frac{4693098125458142353232974941143040000a^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 123774601029572534832795487522406400a^3
\]

\[+ \frac{14625119240878165591790447648000a^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 438374895838936251635306886860a^5
\]

\[+ \frac{49630454268675246863361693942144a^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 276630904929230660420251943680a^7
\]

\[+ \frac{10438858393462191402927088640a^8}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 5303825306925740956779208a^9
\]

\[+ \frac{192895817207563664676708864a^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 36685384936657379087760a^{11}
\]

\[+ \frac{211050196952959990276560a^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 837905143142210615472a^{13}
\]

\[+ \frac{84641464185357484944a^{14}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)} - 1502296773587316960a^{15}
\]

\[+ 183035906280480a^{16}
\]

\[+ \frac{255280002728112a^{17}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)} + 2687135353056a^{18}
\]

\[+ \frac{5174011920a^{19}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 82882800a^{20}
\]

\[+ \frac{570768a^{21}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)} + 108533835595516646319582085120000c
\]

\[+ \frac{-1491482929032957477432407696326656000a}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]

\[+ 70125408172588544267735815207321600a^2c
\]

\[+ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+47}{2}\right)}
\]
\[ + \frac{-145548369650716414551891626509025280a^3c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{1258137789805840973574700147341312a^4c - 498583711569316289535126352509440a^5c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-49366796209373717025296170822656a^6c + 1012110067293433426471405461760a^7c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{102659865613334704642920181768c - 1263722647268647716236766144a^9c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-135058260191522509026204864a^{10}c - 468112046434930359817680a^{11}c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{84003652554123452158320a^{12}c + 1408150171351690301392a^{13}c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-10650452865122096752a^{14}c - 498647901368205600a^{15}c - 4011014871347616a^{16}c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{18224511511008a^{17}c + 472341402720a^{18}c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{2500418800a^{19}c + 1237808a^{20}c - 24816a^{21}c}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{-48a^{22}c + 112328366596826331483540350631936000c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-1217237979186914577980813832683520000ac^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{459637802733991276684591641631457280a^2c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-75109343077380125960104624446357504a^3c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{4495948758102126856436240850358272a^4c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{1108208776210145392305020830720a^5c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{-18505209015771704206625420019200a^6c^2 - 56121369521356923972695204352a^7c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{3391871637919457044328202528a^8c^2 + 263734954878940846239781440a^9c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-30231055096849118604799200a^{10}c^2 - 553453527465638005212864a^{11}c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} + \frac{8832091759377462745312a^{12}c^2 + 327680417126938212480a^{13}c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{169863664169060160a^{14}c^2 - 4251189386751360a^{15}c^2 - 651264806677056a^{16}c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{-2173016514240a^{17}c^2 + 16949664160a^{18}c^2 + 148399680a^{19}c^2 + 315744a^{20}c^2}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \\
+ \frac{66145564729816251950295067262976000c^3}{\Gamma(\frac{-a}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{c+a+21}{2})} \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{-5809636097997969401418991196472606720ac^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{1773830117927444467347786407018496a^2c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-2268703276696805838549604229365760a^3c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{83467912693558255242880015208448a^4c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{55134888152931246143156341806080a^5c^3 - 35326278015843279282130728422240a^6c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-879304739143319561778719232a^7c^3 + 5077558759340074997396295168a^8c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{131456649073116015976737600a^9c^3 - 266810436405738084491616a^{10}c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-106997590007173753515968a^{11}c^3 - 210790350662779993248a^{12}c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{2874596964485366400a^{13}c^3 + 364055841588845120a^{14}c^3 - 262125361829760a^{15}c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-3394547543430a^{16}c^3 - 222631780800a^{17}c^3 - 206217440a^{18}c^3 + 2150720a^{19}c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{4576a^{20}c^3 + 25557689019640461936680251057766400a^4c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-18457288589621695797353471799774128ac^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{459194456071321661317298248854768a^2e^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-449211929220039243518443540762880a^3c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{7142090573339757956811848294400a^4c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{1276485939918736072264398755840a^5c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-3565128936131831291737369702a^6c^4 - 20331314237692974164274662400a^7c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{350066261008398378442602240a^8c^4 + 20583161809106285436727680a^9c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-5833658059341976992128a^{10}c^4 - 929102446783000583680a^{11}c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-103860734789790218240a^{12}c^4 + 897998110208559360a^{13}c^4 + 23816930790132480a^{14}c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{117051731596800a^{15}c^4 - 518691613440a^{16}c^4 - 6232786560a^{17}c^4 - 14734720a^{18}c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{70089163175122628551837217856622528c^5}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
\]
\[+
+ 41922184541690479012551814150619136ac5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 8546755898874383206059612606635520a^2c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 615129901082531819911363834675200a^3c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 2647376356551940301758915661824a^4c^5 + 1794521532945591781937363011584ac^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1199356103945241138517864448a^6c^5 - 245882973810943668407050720a^7c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1995348997366689088121088a^8c^5 + 168759926775970318919808a^9c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 18264351429909752911744a^{10}c^5 - 383300198083775132160a^{11}c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 8239334206574062592a^{12}c^5 - 18231457591995648a^{13}c^5 + 66695757500224a^{14}c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 5605172052480a^{15}c^5 + 7689986304a^{16}c^5 - 54198144a^{17}c^5 - 128128a^{18}c^5
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 14329159303517648781976272142024704c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 7118091568289703849052075256709120ac^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1156582375156654482639067835956800a^2c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 59332304115662378340202427154432a^3c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1556665917774611161587824971776a^4c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 16618513127876475491303014400a^5c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1582847367566272466617620480a^6c^6 - 180153547682729260869605376a^7c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 242688451333370974211328a^8c^6 + 7661904041847599144960a^9c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 1657160946405167723520a^{10}c^6 - 3148455651530821632a^{11}c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 307775278536101376a^{12}c^6 - 214685186600960a^{13}c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 5207957314560a^{14}c^6 + 99724584960a^{15}c^6 + 265224960a^{16}c^6
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)
+ 225723297758086408334490493898496c^7 - 93084906880543735987990112501760ac^7
+ \Gamma(c-a/2)\Gamma(c+a/2)\]
\[
\begin{align*}
+ & 12049389788993003287902213963776a^5c^7 - 3945114094429580694004373248a^3c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -22056896878633185561994275840a^5c^7 + 102615709647249210150887808a^5c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 2624133763877863158077952a^6c^7 - 7981672614987604919113728a^6c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -217594114258827238403328a^9c^7 + 139631906415560232960a^9c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 7361431941325071672a^{10}c^7 + 388640981790437376a^{11}c^7 - 5447002767478272a^{12}c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -6223922150400a^3c^7 - 116380492800a^{14}c^7 + 619407360a^{15}c^7 + 1647360a^{16}c^7 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 280395069486670398312888939056608a^8c^8 - 957024827228936782565660757888ae^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 97106673880753190722988933112a^2c^8 - 15936717145105681737221799360a^2c^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -1904811305398423346782986240a^4c^8 + 395273917109409163556290560a^4c^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 1971523473514177274544128a^6c^8 - 169285362915433176023040a^7c^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -10451997267584838451200a^8c^8 - 35530873173195079680a^9c^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 180623415502935056a^{10}c^8 + 18134716325806080a^{11}c^8 - 16934790512640a^{12}c^8 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -797796679680a^{13}c^8 - 24249139200a^{14}c^8 + 27923956875698715565669071454208c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -7846289665973203726608570730496ac^9 + 609792647333324865919533449216ac^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -101799764013481491170585600a^9c^9 - 114917579520224502976348160a^9c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 599614800568051513163776a^5c^9 + 93060969847889534799872d^6c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 2396785715316114636800a^7c^9 - 30509285389260800000a^8c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -2902564333668679680a^9c^9 + 20997021486465024a^{10}c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & 364885993472000a^{11}c^9 + 901447106560a^{12}c^9 \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -3854090240a^{13}c^9 - 11714560a^{14}c^9 + 2255758986028596303474850791424c^{10} \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right) \\
+ & -51785350273617644920284119040ac^{10} + 29808928568425890184314224640a^2c^{10} \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{365766072198804433374806016a^3c^{10} - 49352990779393995689295872a^4c^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-290716417841497401262080a^2c^{10} + 29235622482670395883520a^9c^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{2996175359115365580a^7c^{10} - 5189724758938976256a^8c^{10} - 81538011850014720a^9c^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-35333151293440a^{10}c^{10} + 3616678281216a^{11}c^{10} + 12825100288a^{12}c^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{14900692834128943507696076896c^{11} - 27667772070975693007324446720ac^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{11207720235359463245925580a^2c^{11} + 29902413961051889784324096a^3c^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-1538470042742644433616896a^4c^{11} - 2355584647665928739200a^5c^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{595931860955318091776a^6c^{11} + 10435762086842007552a^7c^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-352689053982729000a^8c^{11} - 129742091468800a^9c^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{4001177829376a^{10}c^{11} + 14295171072a^{11}c^{11} + 5069206a^{12}c^{11}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{8088577548291526838735339520a^{12} - 1199210790065955697147772928ac^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{3136942920474426307984128a^2c^{12} + 1393485007686412735610880a^3c^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-3332399687891146997760a^4c^{12} - 852515260346324090880a^5c^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{6701161737746251776a^6c^{12} + 206328208054026240a^7c^{12} + 402101871575040a^8c^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-9863668039680a^{12} - 41973055488a^{11}c^{12} + 36161681126882993609524608c^{13}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-42115063183514318757953536ac^{13} + 597573966605696908656640a^2c^{13}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{45025844167228194816000a^3c^{13} - 439220239580485386240a^4c^{13}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-1944922351517954560a^5c^{13} + 1661681962450944a^6c^{13} + 2458439535820800a^7c^{13}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{10751091671040a^8c^{13} - 32988865320a^9c^{13} - 140378112a^{10}c^{13}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{13308691344190246228066304c^{14} - 1192579250017188194549760ac^{14}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+41}{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\frac{5164576925934330839040a^2c^{14} + 1056168947710033920000a^3c^{14}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-12804654279372880a^4c^{14} - 29408905632153600a^5c^{14} - 1182327161487360a^6c^{14}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+12}{2}\right)} +
\frac{16475577384960a^7c^{14} + 87636049920a^8c^{14} + 40189105219723253979536c^{15}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-2698048373746349904800ac^{15} - 983420154043086692992a^2c^{15}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{1804953014345152512a^4c^{15} + 76581137914527744a^4c^{15} - 2873436206530560a^5c^{15}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-1776039802048a^6c^{15} + 47755296768a^7c^{15} + 25017536a^8c^{15}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{98933109067436833089280a^9c^{16}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-4772191699420053504a^2c^{16} + 219800677892751360a^3c^{16}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{169301163387840a^4c^{16} - 16475577384960a^5c^{16}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-116848066560a^6c^{16} + 19645162164002786048c^{17} - 6580790922886520496c^{17}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-9674288842725088a^2c^{17} + 181041734615400a^3c^{17} + 176093921280000a^4c^{17}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-42137026560a^5c^{17} - 298844160a^6c^{17} + 3096726243643490304c^{18}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-66854662522798080ac^{18} - 1209872374824960a^2c^{18} + 9045415034880a^3c^{18}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{96227819520a^4c^{18} + 37830514710675456c^{19} - 474177077248000ac^{19}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-960245006360a^2c^{19} + 20698890240a^3c^{19} + 220200960a^4c^{19} + 345110101360640c^{20}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-2094727692288ac^{20} - 4456867430a^2c^{20} + 2211455172608c^{21} - 4336910336ac^{21}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-92274688a^2c^{21} + 8875147264c^{22} + 16777216c^{23}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+14}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-181917395237525861650666176479232000a}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+15}{2}\right)} +
\frac{20756797525314561389912500217216000a^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+15}{2}\right)} +
\frac{-8416782943528346438776694622310400a^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+15}{2}\right)} +
\frac{14961207606774596148630854890388480a^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+15}{2}\right)}
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&+ (-977008910985614349353288391245568a^5 - 293840026022695023557463681921600a^6) \\
&+ \frac{52509083125778100216332421637824a^7 + 2143818481840003097097200360176a^8}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-12655651685830779609402698128a^9 - 1178392756544407322825050460a^{10}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{160156731849898080887168964a^{11} + 3497556641962091429913841a^{12}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-7017982112429539770008a^{13} - 3187258922190359349170a^{14}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-20364611714397769236a^{15} + 704510224912815871a^{16} + 14467181376528672a^{17}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{67712632610920a^{18} - 835985767716a^{19} - 12326738369a^{20} - 53614968a^{21} - 2090a^{22}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{564a^{23} + a^{24} + 18191739523752486552340064976896000c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-547234267630390527810632547378265600ac}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{403076701831978614645464624406118400a^2c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-1208979193142154632401115900630138880a^3c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{157943190504630697055314002831310848a^4c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-563487733226497765893021615624192a^5c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-523567150386864001386596900898816a^6c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{33309414786492759036114866273280a^7c + 11210978251548800632339032721152a^8c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-6458692787259461586620109824a^9c - 2193887426465282761967105664a^{10}c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{46184302897011515262744000a^{11}c + 2496697286569916589312576a^{12}c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{8749206695983105315392a^{13}c - 1022353842570156430272a^{14}c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-17856859986353646720a^{15}c + 4798580730768000a^{16}c + 3072017195837568a^{17}c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{32177743065600a^{18}c + 61494544320a^{19}c - 995856576a^{20}c - 6849216a^{21}c - 13248a^{22}c}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{33966629277266052568306534023168000c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-5995763135033825977344288324845568000ac^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{3251691801393182118127020038915358720a^2c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}
\end{align*}\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{-745535614406919945006848447171395584a^3 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{70456290412494835285980093251665920a^4 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-605469622715762568411047240466432a^5 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-275184927500939293112075947222016a^6 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{656631553416010843606486937088a^7 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} + 58547944354589574371011539968a^8 c^2 \\
&+ \frac{-83475372716287120847987456a^9 c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 794089788512302762711784256a^{10} c^2 \\
&+ \frac{-2172243856327756565557344a^{11} c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} + 505171644875879520194656a^{12} c^2 \\
&+ \frac{8276175446578024937952a^{13} c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 66077705909171191328a^{14} c^2 \\
&+ \frac{-2990236222032776640a^{15} c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 23862278817807936a^{16} c^2 + 110682100976832a^{17} c^2 \\
&+ \frac{2835283927168a^{18} c^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} + 14989608480a^{19} c^2 + 7399392a^{20} c^2 - 148896a^{21} c^2 - 288a^{22} c^2 \\
&+ \frac{280665472755484154889879486192230400c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-3576617725095301676076645072418897920a^3 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{150201924519077052871716138596696064a^4 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-26613484440379640712579660711526400a^5 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{17371915895554169866161169643470848a^6 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{345750175970640380357257123614720a^7 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-71127628355693579436763980527616a^8 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 6685082328605698322922602752a^7 c^3 \\
&+ \frac{13070072384345910835319039488a^8 c^3 + 892408608741546117803162880a^9 c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-1208314493001263147443956468a^{10} c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 2139577063639436314553088a^{11} c^3 \\
&+ \frac{36150638027459979484032a^{12} c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} + 1303477848343243921920a^{13} c^3 \\
&+ \frac{660426543407089920a^{14} c^3 - 17098119511409960a^{15} c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} - 260902267501824a^{16} c^3 \\
&+ \frac{-8642203764480a^{17} c^3 + 67916534400a^{18} c^3 + 593598720a^{19} c^3 + 1262976a^{20} c^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{13842930477686070100396020754022400c^4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a+48}{2}\right)} 
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{-1366474980994844804281508917137113088ac^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{454629360004200636118878734436925440a^2c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-621027303596650455592977336736768a^3c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{252012162107232792566787791552512a^4c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{147959946925083169832600568760a^5c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-1046735322829115650277864894976a^6c^4 - 23959507278259340572128128000a^7c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{1524208100145555911503626784a^8c^4 + 3775634421879903238360290a^9c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-81835733247159247398944a^{10}c^4 - 317057367310254287416128a^{11}c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-5502449814078855136a^{12}c^4 + 86146354680912255360a^{13}c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{10854921687232022240a^{14}c^4 - 84297455053440a^{15}c^4 - 101928245445312a^{16}c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-667335360960a^{17}c^4 - 617371040a^{18}c^4 + 6452160a^{19}c^4 + 13728a^{20}c^4}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{46181824061745079021436428724731904a^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-364687461566971433236521958785417216ac^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{9706713397594662115946852629041600a^2c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-1008185134734249971678430140104704a^3c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{1886331763948901025428215567216a^4c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{2867343764831910804372023204340a^5c^5 - 8731011223600220630898947109888a^6c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-4664680407881810404838620160a^7c^5 + 868466069177799368437604352a^8c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{4848720433644233164794368a^9c^5 - 3374507607561047871296a^{10}c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-222592581840223487692280a^{11}c^5 - 245573797382514112512a^{12}c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{2180833139480067072a^{13}c^5 + 570979482959291904a^{14}c^5 + 279727460812800a^{15}c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{-1248042571776a^{16}c^5 - 1495867774a^{17}c^5 - 35363328a^{18}c^5}{\Gamma(\frac{c-a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{c+a+15}{2})} 
\end{align*}
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&\frac{111665372948991354759264774496714752e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{716936750652488373650548542406656a^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{1529679317713728249823697952833536a^2e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{1175187735370761282597381999624192a^3e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{2338977917082968405664683685008a^4e^6 + 34461154070020521312191805344a^5e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{2755228254926713726038683648a^6e^6 - 48056483784335437519065216a^7e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{980210671702040354774016a^8e^6 + 335531172173740728835024a^9e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{3550577092308075075328a^{10}e^6 - 771998478818397422592a^{11}e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{16402304433367116864a^{12}e^6 - 35592003514987920a^{13}e^6 + 133555900667392a^{14}e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{11201672401920a^{15}e^6 + 15356909568a^{16}e^6 - 108396288a^{17}e^6 - 256256a^{18}e^6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{204385754850718941988567727574976e^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-107554970208216242575514851962880a^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{183227674515427383836373683408000a^2c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{9910441046259696864798024747008a^3c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{-237201377492544171826604520960a^4c^7 + 278403351525037406847381995520a^5c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{2402904738298522124328689664a^6c^7 - 306076309018133014771458048a^7c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{-3994014305316102353814528a^8c^7 + 13086904048059312783360a^9c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{2811941752341682262016a^{10}c^7 - 5686900404734061504a^{11}c^7 - 52734165193694592a^{12}c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{-3658695573012480a^{13}c^7 + 8966725447680a^{14}c^7 + 170956431360a^{15}c^7}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{454671360a^{16}c^7 + 2916297206121527964486662711410688a^8}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&- \frac{-1261274316614632241410836119420928ac^8}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)} \\
&+ \frac{1700211990893093131296928712996a^{2}e^{8} - 5892609340858899006045414309888a^{3}e^{8}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}
\end{align*}\]
\[
\begin{align*}
-310652648197788857677246211172a^4c^8 + 152793280532636244822157762560a^5c^8 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+5}{4}\right) \\
+ 3770067430307274749916456966a^6c^8 - 1201324974178084010763264a^7c^8 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+9}{4}\right) \\
+ 32097734198698317390720a^8c^8 + 2146440809164539064320a^9c^8 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+13}{4}\right) \\
+ 110042608994437836288a^{10}c^8 + 576396826987677696a^{11}c^8 - 818671848926132a^{12}c^8 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+15}{4}\right) \\
+ 93281509601280a^{13}c^8 - 17435987720a^{14}c^8 + 929111040a^{15}c^8 + 2471040a^{16}c^8 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+17}{4}\right) \\
+ 331589550255906334578358452224c^9 - 117608620780385459670696912224256a^9c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+19}{4}\right) \\
+ 1236100845055966136867142762496a^{10}c^9 - 21938190076540111574134521280a^{11}c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+21}{4}\right) \\
+ 2442068203274251867620a^{12}c^9 + 53258537900453404233302160a^{13}c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+23}{4}\right) \\
+ 257043381255154581688762a^{14}c^9 - 23163370855856064435200a^{15}c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+25}{4}\right) \\
+ 138320785322120390241640a^{16}c^9 - 4576775337016033280a^{17}c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+27}{4}\right) \\
+ 24990095946030587904a^{18}c^9 + 24107288202117120a^{19}c^9 - 22836222689280a^{20}c^9 \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+29}{4}\right) \\
+ 10637290906240a^{21}c^9 - 3233218560a^{22}c^9 + 30371872225953669402996840998912c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+31}{4}\right) \\
+ 882348189725499712757701482496a^{10}c^{10} + 707334308986630959026919424a^{2}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+33}{4}\right) \\
+ 1861581507459558085229543424a^{12}c^{10} - 1336631292888781215756451840a^{13}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+35}{4}\right) \\
+ 770584422565652897923072a^{14}c^{10} + 11036219559960993884995584a^{6}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+37}{4}\right) \\
+ 264754002862049401248a^{7}c^{10} - 365328124063365365760a^{8}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+39}{4}\right) \\
+ 3456036083128172544a^{9}c^{10} + 25284395488608256a^{10}c^{10} + 437546898402816a^{11}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+41}{4}\right) \\
+ 1080621301760a^{12}c^{10} - 46249082888a^{13}c^{10} - 14057472a^{14}c^{10} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+43}{4}\right) \\
+ 227335789477980180172316973056a^{11} - 5367514379435724497429008600a^{12}c^{11} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+45}{4}\right) \\
+ 317685778693434359085416448a^{12}c^{11} + 366109285925362035789398016a^{13}c^{11} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+47}{4}\right) \\
+ -5304052470365334342949376a^{14}c^{11} - 296834472165559066951680a^{15}c^{11} \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+49}{4}\right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
&+ 31731977455976603320320a^6c^{11} + 321420686514924552192a^7c^{11} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 5671123704429281280a^8c^{11} - 88713830348881920a^9c^{11} - 37537902624768a^{10}c^{11} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 3945467215872a^{11}c^{11} + 13991018496a^{12}c^{11} + 139857331256058485737131081728a^{12} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 26589847073002795908326227968ac^{12} + 105174217826109051762638848a^2c^{12} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 2874320650164216464830464a^6c^{11} - 1527001855899642171359232a^7c^{11} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 23051996274313351587200a^5c^{12} + 595877349310399676416a^6c^{12} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 1037187307934707968ac^{12} - 35548271972548608a^8c^{11} - 1228884381204480a^9c^{12} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 3997527998464a^{10}c^{12} + 14295171072a^{11}c^{12} + 50692096a^{12}c^{12} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 710141427802463441380450304a^{13} - 1073946580422210336929611776ac^{13} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 28808077197829753232424960a^6c^{11} + 125689321763176416314880a^5c^{11} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 30723444083563059609060a^4c^{13} - 778157586672273653760a^5c^{13} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 621870139557080064a^6c^{13} + 189995471267706880a^7c^{13} + 368717148979200a^8c^{13} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 9104824344320a^9c^{13} - 387443589124a^{10}c^{13} + 298086247618612932695299352c^{14} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 352954597144687100779560696ac^{14} + 515064747841530925940736a^2c^{14} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 38053817612051388825600a^6c^{14} - 37855168624679321600a^7c^{14} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 1658460041245900800a^5c^{14} + 1956826267779072a^6c^{14} + 2105801228943360a^7c^{14} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 920760906240a^8c^{14} - 28276162560a^9c^{14} - 120324096a^{10}c^{14} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 1033388904868720188440576c^{15} - 938764891019090307809280ac^{15} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 4282991557001670033480a^7c^{15} + 83791186995759493932a^3c^{15} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 1078438784098369536a^3c^{15} + 234744026580910080a^5c^{15} - 942122591059968a^6c^{15} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad + 13180461970968a^7c^{15} + 70108839936a^8c^{15} + 294790474412171605311488c^{16} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{} \\
&\quad - 20012258919924737507328a^{16} - 70480274486783115264a^2c^{16} \\
&\quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{c-a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{c+a+48}{2}\right)}{}
\end{align*} \]
Derivation of result (8):

Putting

\[ b = -a - 47, \quad z = \frac{1}{2} \]

in established result (2), we get

\[
(2a + 47)_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a, -a - 47; \frac{1}{2} \\ c \end{array} \right] = a_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a + 1, -a - 47; \frac{1}{2} \\ c \end{array} \right] 
\]

\[ + (a + 47)_2 F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a, -a - 46; \frac{1}{2} \\ c \end{array} \right]. \]

Now proceeding same parallel method which is applied in [6], we can prove the main formula.
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Abstract In this paper, we decompose the symmetric crown, \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) into distars, some sufficient conditions are also given. Furthermore, we consider the problem of decomposing the \((P_m \circ K_n)^*\) into distar \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition.

§1. Introduction

A distar \(S_{k,l}\) is the digraph obtained from the star \(S_{k+1}\) by directing \(k\) of the edges out of the center and \(l\) of the edges into the center. A multiple star is a star with multiple edges allowed. A directed multiple star is a digraph whose underlying graph is a multiple star recall that for a digraph \(H\) and \(x \in V(H)\), \(d(x) = d^+(x) + d^-(x)\).

The problem of isomorphic \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition of symmetric complete digraph \(K_n^*\) was posed by Caetano and Heinrich \cite{1}, and was solved by Colbourn in \cite{3}. The problem of decomposing the symmetric complete bipartite digraph \(K_{m,n}^*\) into \(S_{k,l}\) was solved by Hung-Chih Lee in \cite{5}. For positive integers \(k \leq n\), the crown \(C_{n,k}^*\) is the graph with vertex set \(\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n\}\) and the edge set \(\{a_i b_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, j \equiv i+1, i+2, \ldots, i+k (\text{mod } n)\}\). For a graph \(G\), \(G^*\) denotes the symmetric digraph of \(G\), that is, the digraph obtained from \(G\) by replacing each of its edge by a symmetric pair of arcs. Definitions which are not seen here can be found in \cite{2}. Throughout this paper, we assume that \(k, l \geq 1\) and \(n \geq 1\) are integers, and let \((X,Y)\) be the bipartition of \(C_{n,n-1}^*\) where \(X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}\) and \(Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}\). The weak product of the graphs (resp. digraphs) \(G\) and \(H\), denoted by \(G \circ H\), has vertex set \(V(G) \times V(H)\) in which \((g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2)\) is an edge (resp. arc) whenever \((g_1, g_2)\) is an edge (resp. arc) in \(G\) and \((h_1, h_2)\) is an edge (resp. arc) in \(H\). We use the following results in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 1.1. Let \(k, l, t\) be positive integers. Suppose \(H\) is a directed multiple star with center \(w\) of in degree \(lt\) and out degree \(kt\). Then \(H\) has an \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition if and only if \(e(w,x) \leq t\) for every end vertex \(x\) of \(H\) where \(e(w,x)\) denotes the number of arcs joining \(w\) and \(x\).
Lemma 1.2. \{((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2), \ldots, (p_m, q_m)), ((s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \ldots, (s_n, t_n))\} is bipartite digraphical and only if \{((p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m), (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n))\} and \{(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m), (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)\} are both digraphical.

Theorem 1.1. The pair \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)\} of the non-negative integral sequences with \(a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots \geq a_m\) is digraphical if and only if \(\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \text{min}\{b_j, r\}\) for \(1 \leq r \leq m\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j\).

Definition 1.1. Let \((a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)\) be two sequences of non-negative integers. The pair \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)\} is called digraphical if there exists a bipartite graph \(G\) with bipartition \((M, N)\), where \(M = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}\) and \(N = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}\) such that for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\) and \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), \(d_G(x_i) = a_i\) and \(d_G(y_j) = b_j\). A simple digraph is a digraph in which each ordered pair of vertices occurs as an arc at most once. Let \(((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2), \ldots, (p_m, q_m)), ((s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \ldots, (s_n, t_n))\) be two sequences of non-negative integral ordered pair. The pair \{\((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2), \ldots, (p_m, q_m)), ((s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \ldots, (s_n, t_n))\}\) is bipartite digraphical if there exists a simple bipartite digraph \(H\) with bipartition \((M, N)\), where \(M = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}\) and \(N = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}\) such that for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\) and \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), \(d_H(x_i) = p_i\), \(d_H(y_j) = q_j\), \(d_H^s(y_j) = s_j\), \(d_H^t(y_j) = t_j\).

§2. Distar decompositions

Theorem 2.1. Suppose \(C^*_{n,n-1}\) has an \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition. Then
(i) If \(k + l \leq n - 1\), then \(k = l = k\). 
(ii) If \(k + l \leq n - 1\), \(k = l\), then \(k|n - 1\).
(iii) If \(k + l \leq n - 1\), \(k \neq l\), then \((k + l)|n(n - 1)\) and \([\frac{n}{k+l}] \leq \min\{[\frac{n+1}{k}], [\frac{n+1}{l}]\}\).
(iv) If \(k + l = n - 1\), \(k \neq l\), then \((k + l)|n(n - 1)\).

Proof. Let \(S\) be an \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition of \(C^*_{n,n-1}\). For \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), let \(p_i\) and \(q_j\) be the number of \(S_{k,l}\) in \(S\) with centers at \(x_i\) and \(y_j\), respectively.

First prove (i). Suppose \(k + l \leq n - 1\), then any distar \(S_{k,l}\) in \(S\) may have its center in \(M\) or in \(N\). Hence \(n - 1 = d^-(x_i) = l p_i\), \(n - 1 = d^+(x_i) = k q_i\). Thus \(k = l = k\). This completes (i).

Consider (ii). Suppose \(k + l \leq n - 1\). Let \(G\) be a sub digraph of \(C^*_{n,n-1}\) such that the arcs of \(G\) are those of \(S_{k,l}\) in \(S\) which are oriented to the centers. Then \(d_G^s(x_i) = l p_i\), \(d_G^r(x_i) = n - 1 - k p_i\), \(d_G^t(y_j) = q_j\), \(d_G^s(y_j) = n - 1 - q_j\). Thus
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} l p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_G^r(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_G^s(y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (n - 1 - k q_j),
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_G^s(y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_G^r(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n - 1 - k p_i).
\]
Hence
\[
l \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = n(n - 1) - k \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j, \quad (1)
\]
\[
k \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = n(n - 1) - l \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j. \quad (2)
\]
Since $k = l$ (1) implies $k(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j}) = n(n - 1)$ Thus $k|n(n - 1)$. This completes (ii).

Consider (iii). By assumption, $k \neq 1$. Then (1) and (2) imply $(l - k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = (l - k) \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j}$; Thus by (1),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j} = n(n - 1)|k + l,$$

(3)

Thus $(k + l)|n(n - 1)$.

Note that the number of distars in $D$ with centers in $M$ is $n(n - 1)|(k + l)$ and so is the number of distars in $D$ with centers in $N$. Thus there is a vertex $x_{i}$ in $M$ which is the center of at least $\lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil$ distars.

Hence

$$\frac{n - 1}{k + l} \leq d_{c_{n,n}}^{x_{i}}(x_{i}) = n - 1, |\frac{n - 1}{k + l}|k \leq d_{c_{n,n}}^{x_{i}}(x_{i}) = n - 1.$$

This implies

$$|\frac{n - 1}{k + l}| \leq \min\{\frac{n - 1}{k}, \frac{n - 1}{l}\}.\tag{4}$$

Similarly, (4) follows if there is a vertex $y_{j}$ in $N$ which is also the center of at least $\lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil$ distars. This completes (iii). The proof of (iv) is obvious. Since $gcd(n, n - 1) = 1$ and $k + l = n - 1$.

**Theorem 2.2.** If $2k \leq n - 1$ and $k|(n - 1)$, then $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ has an $S_{k,k}$-decomposition.

**Proof.** By the assumption, $n - 1 = tk$ for some integer $t \geq 2$. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $H_{j}$ be the subdigraph of $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ induced by $M \cup \{y_{j}\}$. Then $H_{j}$ is a directed multiple star with center $y_{j}$ and $d_{H_{j}}^{y_{j}}(y_{j}) = d_{H_{j}}^{y_{j}}(y_{j}) = n - 1 = kt$ and $e_{H_{j}}(y_{j}, x_{i}) = 2 \leq t e_{H_{j}}(y_{j}, x_{j}) = 0 \leq t$ (for every $x_{i} \in M$) and $i \neq j$. Thus, by Lemma 1.1, $H_{j}$ has an $S_{k,k}$-decomposition. Since $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ can be decomposed into $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}$. $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ has an $S_{k,k}$-decomposition.

**Corollary 2.1.** Suppose $k + l \leq n - 1$. Then $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ has an $S_{k,l}$-decomposition if and only if $k = l$ and $k|(n - 1)$.

**Theorem 2.3.** If $k + l = n - 1$ and $k \neq l$, then $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ has an $S_{k,l}$-decomposition.

**Proof.** We consider $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ as the symmetric digraph with vertex set $\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\}$ and $\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\}$. Let the distar $S_{k,l}$ decomposition of $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ be as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $T_{i} = \{(y_{i+1}, x_{i}) : i \leq j \leq i + l - 1\} \cup \{(x_{i}, y_{j+i}) : i + 1 \leq j \leq i + k\}, T_{i+i} = \{(y_{i}, x_{j+i}) : i \leq j \leq i + k - 1\} \cup \{(x_{j+k}, y_{i}) : i + 1 \leq j \leq i + l\}$ where the subscripts of $x$ and $y$ are taken modulo $n$.

As an example, the distar $S_{1,2}$-decomposition of $C_{n,n-1}^{*}$ are given in Fig. 1.
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Corollary 2.2. Suppose \( k + l = n - 1 \) and \( k \neq l \), then \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) has an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition if and only if \( (k + l)|n(n - 1) \).

Lemma 2.1. \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) has an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition if and only if there exists a non-negative integral function \( f \) defined on \( V(C_{n,n-1}^*) \), and \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) contains a spanning sub digraph \( G \) such that

(i) for every \( u \in V(C_{n,n-1}^*) \), \( d^-_G(u) = l f(u) \) and \( d^+_G(u) = d^+_{C_{n,n-1}^*}(u) - k f(u) \).

(ii) if \( f(u) = 1 \) and \( (u, v) \in E(G) \) then \( (u, v) \in E(G) \).

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose \( \mathfrak{D} \) is an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition of \( C_{n,n-1}^* \). For a vertex \( u \), let \( f(u) \) be the number of distars in \( \mathfrak{D} \) with center at \( u \). Then \( f \) is a non-negative integral function defined on \( V(C_{n,n-1}^*) \). Let \( G \) be the spanning sub digraph of \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) of which the arcs are those of distars in \( \mathfrak{D} \) which are oriented to their centers. Then \( d^-_G(u) = l f(u) \) and \( d^+_G(u) = d^+_{C_{n,n-1}^*}(u) - k f(u) \).

Suppose \( f(u) = 1 \) and \( (u, v) \in E(G) \). Then \( (v, u) \) is an arc of a distar in \( \mathfrak{D} \) with center at \( v \). Thus \( (u, v) \in E(G) \).

(Sufficiency) For each \( u \in V(C_{n,n-1}^*) \), let \( H(u) \) be the directed multiple star with center at \( u \) and arc set \( \{(v, u) : (v, u) \in E(G) \} \cup \{(u, v) : (u, v) \not\in E(G) \} \).

The center of \( H(u) \) has degree \( l f(u) \) and out degree \( k f(u) \). If \( f(u) \geq 2 \), then \( e_{H(u)}(v, u) \leq e_{C_{n,n-1}^*}(v, u) = 2 \leq f(u) \). If \( f(u) = 1 \), then \( (u, v) \) and \( (v, u) \) cannot both in \( H(u) \). Hence \( e_{H(u)}(v, u) \leq 1 = f(u) \). Thus, by Lemma 1.1, \( H(u) \) has an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition. Since \( \{H(u) : u \in V(C_{n,n-1}^*) \} \) partitions \( E(C_{n,n-1}^*) \), \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) has an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose \( k \), \( l \), \( n \) are positive integers such that \( k + l \leq n - 1 \), \( k \neq l \), \( (k + l)|n(n - 1) \), \( 2 \leq \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \) and \( \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \leq \min\{\lfloor \frac{n - 1}{k} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{l} \rfloor \} \). Then \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) has an \( S_{k,l} \)-decomposition.

Proof. Let \( p = \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rfloor \) and \( n' = \lceil \frac{n(n - 1)}{k + l} \rceil - np \). Note that \( n' \geq 0 \). Define the numbers \( a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \) \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) as follows: If \( n' = 0 \), let \( a_i = c_i = pl \) if \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), \( b_i = d_i = n - 1 - pk \).
if \(1 \leq i \leq n\). If \(n' \geq 1\), let
\[
 a_i = c_i = \begin{cases} 
 (p+1)i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n', \\
 pl, & \text{if } n' + 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]
\[
 b_i = d_i = \begin{cases} 
 n - (p+1)k, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n', \\
 n - 1 - pk, & \text{if } n' + 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]
Since \(\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor \geq 2\), \(p \geq 2\). Now apply the sufficiency of Lemma 2.1. By suitably choosing the non-negative integer function \(f\), we can see that \(C_{n,n-1}^n\) has an \(S_{k,l}\)-decomposition if \(\{(a_1,b_1),(a_2,b_2),\ldots,(a_n,b_n)\},\{(c_1,d_1),(c_2,d_2),\ldots,(c_n,d_n)\}\) is bipartite digraphical. By Lemma 1.2, it suffices to show that \(\{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n),(d_1,d_2,\ldots,d_n)\}\) and \(\{(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_n),(c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_n)\}\) are both bigraphical.

We first prove that \(\{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n),(d_1,d_2,\ldots,d_n)\}\) is bigraphical.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = (p+1)ln' + pl(n-n')
= pln' + ln' + pln - pln'
= pln + ln'
= l(n'+np) = \frac{ln(n-1)}{k+l}
\]
and
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = (n-1-(p+1)k)n' + (n-1-pk)(n-n')
= (n-1)n'-(p+1)kn' + (n-1)n + pk'n - npk - (n-1)l'
= -kn' + n(n-1) - npk
= n(n-1) - (np + n')k
= n(n-1) - \frac{n(n-1)}{k+l}k
= \frac{n(n-1)}{k+l}l.
\]

Thus \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\).

Next we show that \(\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{d_i, r\}\) for \(1 \leq r \leq n\). We distinguish three cases depending on the relative position of \(r\) and the magnitudes of \(d_i\) \((i = 1,2,\ldots,n)\). We first evaluate \(\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i\).

For the case \((k+l)|(n-1)\), we have \(p = \frac{n-1}{k+l}\), which implies \(n' = \frac{n(n-1)}{k+l} - np = 0\) and \(a_i = pl\) for all \(1 \leq i \leq n\). Hence
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = \begin{cases} 
 plr, & \text{if } (k+l)|(n-1), \\
 (p+1)lr, & \text{if } (k+l) \nmid (n-1) \text{ and } r \leq n', \\
 (p+1)lr - (r-n')l, & \text{if } (k+l) \nmid (n-1) \text{ and } n' + 1 \leq r \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]
Case (1): $r \geq d_i$ for all $d_i$. Then for $1 \leq r \leq n$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{d_i, r\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i.$$ 

This completes Case (1).

In the following, we define, for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $\Delta(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{d_i, r\} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i$. It suffices to show each $\Delta(r) \geq 0$.

Case (2): $r \leq d_i$ for all $d_i$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{d_i, r\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r = nr$.

$$\Delta(r) = \begin{cases} (n - pl) r, & \text{if } (k + l) | (n - 1), \\ (n - (p + 1)l) r, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1) \text{ and } r \leq n', \\ (n - (p + 1)l) r + (r - n') l, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1) \text{ and } n' \leq r \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k+l} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } (k + l) | (n - 1), \\ p + 1, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1). \end{cases}$$

By the assumption that $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k+l} \right\rfloor \leq \min\{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{r} \right\rfloor\}$, we have

$$\frac{n-1}{l} \geq \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } (k + l) | (n - 1), \\ p + 1, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1). \end{cases}$$

Thus $n - 1 - pl \geq 0$ if $(k + l)|(n - 1)$, and $n - 1 - (p + 1)l \geq 0$ if $(k + l) \nmid (n - 1)$. This implies $\Delta(r) > 0$, which completes Case (2).

Case (3): Neither $r \geq d_i$ for all $d_i$ nor $r \leq d_i$ for all $d_i$. Then $n - 1 - (p + 1)k < r < n - 1 - pk$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{d_i, r\} = (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)n' + (n - n')r$. Let $\Delta(r)$ be defined as in Case (2).

Thus

$$\Delta(r) = \begin{cases} (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)n' + (n - n' - pl)r, & \text{if } (k + l) | (n - 1), \\ (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)n' + (n - (p + 1)k)n' + (n - (p + 1)l)r + (r - n')l, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1) \text{ and } n' \leq r \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Since $r > n - 1 - (p + 1)k$, we have

$$\Delta(r) > \begin{cases} (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)(n - pl), & \text{if } (k + l) | (n - 1), \\ (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)(n - (p + 1)l), & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1) \text{ and } r \leq n', \\ (n - 1 - (p + 1)k)(n - (p + 1)l) + (r - n')l, & \text{if } (k + l) \nmid (n - 1) \text{ and } n' \leq r \leq n. \end{cases}$$
Note that in this case \( n' \neq 0 \). Thus \((k + l) \mid (n - 1)\) and \( p + 1 = \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \). By the assumption that \( \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \leq \min\{\lceil \frac{n - 1}{p} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{p + 1} \rfloor \} \), we have \( n - 1 \mid k \geq \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \geq p + 1 \), which implies \((n - 1) - (p + 1)k \geq 0\). Note that (3) also holds in Case (3). Thus \( \Delta(r) > 0\). This completes Case (3). By Theorem 1.1, \( \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n), (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)\} \) is bigraphical. Similarly, \( \{(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n), (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)\} \) is bigraphical. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.5.** If \( k = l = n - 1 \), then \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition.

**Proof.** Let \( V(P_3) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \) and \( V(K_n) = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\} \). Then \( V((P_3 \circ K_n)^*) = \cup_{i=1}^{n} \{x_i \times V(K_n)\} = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \) and \( V_i = \cup_{j=1}^{n} \{x_j^i\} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \), where \( x_j^i \) stands for \((x_i, y_j)\). The distar \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition of \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\) is given as follows: For \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), \( T_k = \{(x_1^k, x_2^k, x_3^k) : 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( j \neq k\} \) and \( T_k' = \{(x_3^k, x_2^k, x_1^k) : 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( j \neq k\} \).

**Theorem 2.6.** Suppose \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\) has an \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition and if \( n \leq k + l \leq 2(n - 1) \), then \( k = l \) and \( k \mid 2(n - 1) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be an \( S_{k,l}\)- decomposition of \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\). Let \( V((P_3 \circ K_n)^*) = X \cup Z \cup Y \). For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), let \( r_i \) be the number of distars \( S_{k,l} \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) with centers \( z_i \). Since \( n \leq k + l \leq 2(n - 1) \), any distar \( S_{k,l} \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) must have its center in \( Z \). Hence \( 2(n - 1) = d^-(z_i) = lr_i \), \( 2(n - 1) = d^+(z_i) = kr_i \). Thus \( k = l \) and \( k \mid 2(n - 1) \).

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose \( n \leq k + l \leq 2(n - 1) \). Then \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition if and only if \( k = l = n - 1 \) and \( k \mid 2(n - 1) \).

**Theorem 2.7.** \((P_m \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition if any one of the following conditions hold

(i) If \( k + l \leq n - 1 \), \( k = l \) and \( k \mid n - 1 \).

(ii) If \( k + l = n - 1 \) and \( k \neq l \).

(iii) If \( k + l \leq n - 1 \), \( k \neq l \), \((k + l) \mid n(n - 1) \), \( 2 \leq \lceil \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rceil \) and \( \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{k + l} \rfloor \leq \min\{\lceil \frac{n - 1}{p} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n - 1}{p + 1} \rfloor \} \).

(iv) If \( k = l = n - 1 \) and \( m \) is odd.

**Proof.** For (i), (ii) and (iii), we have \( C_{n,n-1}^* \) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition. Also we know that

\[
(P_m \circ K_n)^* = C_{n,n-1}^* \otimes C_{n,n-1}^* \otimes \ldots \otimes C_{n,n-1}^*. \quad \text{m times}
\]

Thus \((P_m \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition. Similarly, if condition (iv) holds then \((P_3 \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition. Also

\[
(P_m \circ K_n)^* = (P_3 \circ K_n)^* \otimes \ldots \otimes (P_3 \circ K_n)^*. \quad \text{m times}
\]

Hence \((P_m \circ K_n)^*\) has \( S_{k,l}\)-decomposition for this case also. Thus the result follows.
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§1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced Zadeh [18] and subsequently several authors have discussed various aspects of the theory and applications of fuzzy sets such as fuzzy topological spaces, similarity relations and fuzzy orderings, fuzzy measures of fuzzy events, fuzzy mathematical programming.

In this paper we introduce and examine the concepts of Orlicz space of entire sequence of fuzzy numbers generated by infinite matrices.

Let $C(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n : A$ compact and convex$\}$. The space $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has linear structure induced by the operations $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}$ for $A, B \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The Hausdorff distance between $A$ and $B$ of $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$\delta_{\infty} (A, B) = \max \{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} \|a - b\|\}.$$

It is well known that $(C(\mathbb{R}^n), \delta_{\infty})$ is a complete metric space.

The fuzzy number is a function $X$ from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $[0,1]$ which is normal, fuzzy convex, upper semi-continuous and the closure of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : X(x) > 0\}$ is compact. These properties imply that for each $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the $\alpha$-level set $[X]_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : X(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is a nonempty compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, with support $X^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : X(x) > 0\}$. Let $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all fuzzy numbers. The linear structure of $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ induces the addition $X + Y$ and scalar multiplication $\lambda X$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, in terms of $\alpha$-level sets, by $|X + Y|_\alpha = |X|_\alpha + |Y|_\alpha$, $|\lambda X|_\alpha = \lambda |X|_\alpha$ for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Define, for each $1 \leq q < \infty,$
If convexity of Orlicz function \( W_q \leq d \) becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For a constant \( K > R_{[33]} \) and many others. The Orlicz sequence spaces are the special cases of Orlicz spaces studied into \( L_\ell \) all \( \delta \_52 \). [28] Choudhary the space \( \ell^g \_a \) sequences will be denoted by

\[ \Gamma \]

A sequence \( A \) is called modulus function, introduced by Nakano \[36\] is called gai sequence if \( \lim_{k \to \infty} A \). A sequence \( A \) is called analytic if \( \sup_{k} |x_k|^1/k < \infty \). The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by \( A \). A sequence \( x \) is called entire sequence if \( \lim_{k \to \infty} |x_k|^1/k = 0 \). The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by \( A \). A sequence \( x \) is called gai sequence if \( \lim_{k \to \infty} (k! |x_k|)^1/k = 0 \). The vector space of all gai sequences will be denoted by \( x \). Orlicz \[26\] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the space \( L^M \). Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri \[27\] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail, and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space \( \ell_M \) contains a subspace isomorphic to \( \ell_\rho \) (1 \( p < \infty \)). Subsequently different classes of sequence spaces defined by Parashar and Choudhary \[28\], Mursaleen \[29\], Bektas and Altin \[30\], Tripathy \[31\], Rao and subramanian \[32\] and many others. The Orlicz sequence spaces are the special cases of Orlicz spaces studied in Ref \[33\].

Recall \[26,33\] an Orlicz function is a function \( M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with \( M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 \), for \( x > 0 \) and \( M(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to \infty \). If convexity of Orlicz function \( M \) is replaced by \( M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y) \) then this function is called modulus function, introduced by Nakano \[34\] and further discussed by Ruckle \[35\] and Maddox \[36\] and many others.

An Orlicz function \( M \) is said to satisfy \( \Delta_2 \)-condition for all values of \( u \), if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \), such that \( M(2u) \leq KM(u) \) (\( u \geq 0 \)). The \( \Delta_2 \)-condition is equivalent to \( M(\ell u) \leq K\ell M(u) \), for all values of \( u \) and for \( \ell > 1 \). Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri \[27\] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

\[ \ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\} . \] (1)

The space \( \ell_M \) with the norm

\[ ||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\} , \] (2)

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For \( M(t) = t^p, 1 \leq p < \infty \), the space \( \ell_M \) coincide with the classical sequence space \( \ell_p \). Given a sequence \( x = \{x_k\} \) its \( n^{th} \)
section is the sequence \( x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, 0, 0, \ldots \} \), \( \delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, 0, \ldots) \), 1 in the \( n \)th place and zero’s else where.

§2. Remark

An Orlicz function \( M \) satisfies the inequality \( M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x) \) for all \( \lambda \) with \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \). Let \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) be fixed, then the generalized difference operation

\[
\Delta^m : W(F) \rightarrow W(F)
\]

is defined by

\[
\Delta X_k = X_k - X_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^m X_k = \Delta (\Delta^{m-1} X_k) \quad (m \geq 2)
\]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

§3. Definitions and preliminaries

Let \( P_s \) denotes the class of subsets of \( \mathbb{N} \), the natural numbers, those do not contain more than \( s \) elements. Throughout \( (\phi_n) \) represents a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers such that \( n\phi_{n+1} \leq (n + 1)\phi_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

The sequence \( \chi(\phi) \) for real numbers is defined as follows

\[
\chi(\phi) = \left\{ (X_k) : \frac{1}{\phi_s} (k! |X_k|)^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k, s \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s \right\}.
\]

The generalized sequence space \( \chi(\Delta_n, \phi) \) of the sequence space \( \chi(\phi) \) for real numbers is defined as follows

\[
\chi(\Delta_n, \phi) = \left\{ (X_k) : \frac{d((|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}), 0)}{\rho} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.
\]

where \( \Delta_n X_k = X_k - X_{k+n} \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

In this article we introduce the following classes of sequences of fuzzy numbers.

Let \( M \) be an Orlicz function, then

\[
A^F_M(\Delta^m) = \left\{ (X_k) \in W(F) : \sup_k M\left( \frac{d((|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}), 0)}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.
\]

\[
\chi^F_M(\Delta^m) = \left\{ (X_k) \in W(F) : \frac{d((|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}), 0)}{\rho} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.
\]

\[
\Gamma^F_M(\Delta^m) = \left\{ (X_k) \in W(F) : \frac{d((|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}), 0)}{\rho} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.
\]
\chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi)
= \left\{ (X_k) \in W (F) : \frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty, \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s \right\}.

\Gamma_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi)
= \left\{ (X_k) \in W (F) : \frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty, \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s \right\}.

§4. Main results

In this section we prove some results involving the classes of sequences of fuzzy numbers \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi), \chi_M^+ (\Delta^m) and \Lambda_M^F (\Delta^m).

Theorem 4.1. If \( d \) is a translation invariant metric, then \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi) are closed under the operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Proof. Since \( d \) is a translation invariant metric implies that
\[
d \left( (k! (\Delta^m X_k + \Delta^m Y_k))^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right) \leq d \left( (k! (\Delta^m X_k))^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right) + d \left( (k! (\Delta^m Y_k))^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)
\]
and
\[
d \left( (k! (\Delta^m \lambda X_k))^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right) \leq |\lambda|^{1/k} d \left( (k! (\Delta^m X_k))^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right),
\]
where \( \lambda \) is a scalar and \(|\lambda|^{1/k} > 1 \). Let \( X = (X_k) \) and \( Y = (Y_k) \in \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi) \). Then there exist positive numbers \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) such that
\[
\frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_1} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty, \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s.
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_2} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty, \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s.
\]

Let \( \rho_3 = \max (2\rho_1, 2\rho_2) \). By the equation (3) and since \( M \) is non-decreasing convex function, we have

\[
M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k + \Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_1} \right) 
\leq M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_3} \right) + M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_3} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_2} \right)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k + \Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_3} \right)
\leq \frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\varphi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k! |\Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} , \bar{0} \right)}{\rho_2} \right).
\]
for \( k \in \sigma \in P_s \). Hence \( X + Y \in \chi^F_M(\Delta^m, \phi) \). Now, let \( X = (X_k) \in \chi^F_M(\Delta^m, \phi) \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < |\lambda|^{1/k} < 1 \). By the condition (4) and Remark, we have

\[
M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m \lambda X_k|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right) 
\leq M \left( \frac{|\lambda|^{1/k} d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right) 
\leq |\lambda|^{1/k} M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right).
\]

Therefore \( \lambda X \in \chi^F_M(\Delta^m, \phi) \). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** The space \( \chi^F_M(\Delta^m, \phi) \) is a complete metric space with the metric by

\[
g(X, Y) = d \left( (k!|X_k - Y_k|)^{1/k} \right)
+ \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{k \in \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k - \Delta^m Y_k|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right) \right) \right\} \leq 1 \right\}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( (X^i) \) be a cauchy sequence in \( \chi^F_M(\Delta^m, \phi) \). Then for each \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( n_0 \) such that \( g(X^i, X^j) < \epsilon \) for \( i, j \geq n_0 \), then

\[
d \left( (k!|X_k^i - Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right) < \epsilon
\]
for all \( i, j \geq n_0 \) and \( \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{k \in \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k^i - \Delta^m Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right) \right) \right\} \leq 1 \}
\]

By (5), \( d \left( (k!|X_k^i - Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right) < \epsilon \) for all \( i, j \geq n_0 \) and \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m \). It follows that \( (X_k^i) \) is a cauchy sequence in \( L(R) \) for \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m \). Since \( L(R) \) is complete, then \( (X_k^i) \) is convergent in \( L(R) \). Let \( \lim_{i \to \infty} X_k^i = X_k \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Now (6) for a given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists some \( \rho_\epsilon \) such that

\[
\sup_{k \in \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k^i - \Delta^m Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho_\epsilon} \right) \right) \leq 1.
\]

Thus

\[
\sup_{k \in \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k^i - \Delta^m Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1
\]

\[
\sup_{k \in \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( (k!|\Delta^m X_k^i - \Delta^m Y_k^j|)^{1/k} \right.}{\rho_\epsilon} \right) \right) \leq 1.
\]
we have \( d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) \leq d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) \)

\[
d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) \leq d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right)
\]

\[
= m_0 d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) + m_1 d \left( \left\| X_k^{i+1} - X_k^{j+1} \right\| \right) + \cdots + m_{m-1} d \left( \left\| X_k^{i+m-1} - X_k^{j+m-1} \right\| \right).
\]

So, we have \( d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore \( (X^i) \) is a cauchy sequence in \( L(R) \). Since \( L(R) \) is complete, then it is convergent in \( L(R) \). Let \( \lim_{i \to \infty} X_k^i = X_k \) say, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( (X^i) \) is a cauchy sequence, for each \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n_0 = n_0(\epsilon) \) such that \( g \left( X^i, X^j \right) < \epsilon \) for all \( i, j \geq n_0 \). So we have

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) = d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) < \epsilon
\]

and

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) = d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right) < \epsilon
\]

for all \( i, j \geq n_0 \). This implies that \( g \left( X^i, X \right) < \epsilon \) for all \( i \geq n_0 \). That is \( X^i \to X \) as \( i \to \infty \), where \( X = (X_k) \). Since

\[
d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_0 \right\| \right) \leq d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_0 \right\| \right) + d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k \right\| \right),
\]

we obtain \( X = (X_k) \in \chi^F_M \). Therefore \( \chi^F_M (\Delta^m, \phi) \) is complete metric space. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.1.** The space \( \Lambda^F_M (\Delta^m) \) is a complete metric space with the metric by

\[
h(X, Y) = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_k \left( M \left( \frac{d \left( \left\| X_k^i - X_k^j \right\| \right)}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

**Theorem 4.3.** If \( \left( \frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) \to \alpha \) as \( s \to \infty \) then \( \chi^F_M (\Delta^m, \phi) \subset \chi^F_M (\Delta^m, \psi) \)

**Proof.** Let \( \left( \frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) \to 0 \) as \( s \to \infty \) and \( X = (X_k) \in \chi^F_M (\Delta^m, \phi) \). Then, for some \( \rho > 0 \),

\[
\frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( \left\| X_k^i \right\| \right)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty, \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in \Psi
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\psi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( \left\| X_k^i \right\| \right)}{\rho} \right) \leq \left( \frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d \left( \left\| X_k^i \right\| \right)}{\rho} \right) \right).
\]
Therefore $X = (X_k) \in \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \psi)$. Hence $\chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi) \subset \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \psi)$. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.2.** If

$$\left( \frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) \text{ and } \left( \frac{\psi_s}{\phi_s} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

then

$$\chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi) = \chi_M^F (\Delta^m, \psi).$$

**Theorem 4.4.** $\chi_M^F (\Delta^m) \subset \Gamma_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi)$.

**Proof.** Let $X = (X_k) \in \chi_M^F (\Delta^m)$. Then we have

$$M \left( \frac{d((k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Since $(\phi_n)$ is monotonic increasing, so we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d((k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\phi_1} M \left( \frac{d((k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d((k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d((k!|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty$$

for $k \in \sigma \in P_s$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\phi_s} M \left( \frac{d(|\Delta^m X_k|)^{1/k}, 0)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty$$

for $k \in \sigma \in P_s$ and $(k!)^{1/k} \to 1$. Thus

$$X = (X_k) \in \Gamma_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi).$$

Therefore

$$\chi_M^F (\Delta^m) \subset \Gamma_M^F (\Delta^m, \phi).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $M_1$ and $M_2$ be Orlicz functions satisfying $\Delta_2$-condition. Then

$$\chi_{M_2}^F (\Delta^m, \phi) \subset \chi_{M_1 \circ M_2}^F (\Delta^m, \phi).$$

**Proof.** Let $X = (X_k) \in \Gamma_{M_2}^F (\Delta^m, \phi)$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that
$$\frac{1}{\phi_s} M_2 \left( \frac{d((k|\Delta^n X_k|^{1/k},0)}{\rho} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } k, s \to \infty \text{ for } k \in \sigma \in P_s.$$ 

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\delta$ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M_1(t) < \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t < \delta$. Let

$$y_k = M_2 \left( \frac{d((k|\Delta^n X_k|^{1/k},0)}{\rho} \right) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Now, let

$$M_1(y_k) = M_1(y_k) + M_1(y_k), \quad (5)$$

where the equation (7) RHS of the first term is over $y_k \leq \delta$ and the equation of (7) RHS of the second term is over $y_k > \delta$. By the Remark, we have

$$M_1(y_k) \leq M_1(1)y_k + M_1(2)y_k. \quad (6)$$

For $y_k > \delta$,

$$y_k < \frac{y_k}{\delta} \leq 1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta}.$$ 

Since $M_1$ is non-decreasing and convex, so

$$M_1(y_k) < M_1 \left( 1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta} \right) < \frac{1}{2} M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2} M_1 \left( \frac{2y_k}{\delta} \right).$$

Since $M_1$ satisfies $\Delta_2$-condition, so

$$M_1(y_k) < \frac{1}{2} K M_1(2) \frac{y_k}{\delta} + \frac{1}{2} K M_1(2) \frac{y_k}{\delta}. \quad (7)$$

Hence the equation (7) in RHS of second terms is

$$M_1(y_k) \leq max \left( 1, K \delta^{-1} M_1(2) \right) y_k.$$ 

By equation (8) and (9), we have

$$X = (X_k) \in \chi_{\mathcal{F}_{M_1 \circ M_2}} (\Delta^m, \phi).$$

Thus,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}_{M_2}} (\Delta^m, \phi) \subset \chi_{\mathcal{F}_{M_1 \circ M_2}} (\Delta^m, \phi).$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $M$ be an Orlicz function which satisfies $\Delta_2$-condition. Then $\chi^F (\Delta^m, \phi) \subset \chi^F_M (\Delta^m, \phi)$
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $A$ be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1)$$

in the unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let $S$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in A$ and univalent in $E$.

Let $M(\alpha) \ (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in A$ which satisfy the condition

$$\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} \right\} > 0, \ z \in E. \quad (2)$$

This class was introduced by Singh \cite{13} and Fekete-Szegő inequality for functions of this class was established by him. Obviously $M(\alpha)$ is the subclass of the class of $\alpha$-convex functions introduced by Mocanu \cite{9}. In particular $M(0) \equiv S^*$, the class of starlike functions and $M(1) \equiv K$, the class of convex functions.

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas \cite{10} stated the $q^{th}$ Hankel determinant for $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This determinant has also been considered by several authors. For example, Noor \cite{11} determined the rate of growth of $H_q(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ for functions given by Eq. (1) with bounded...
boundary. Ehrenborg [1] studied the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials and the Hankel transform of an integer sequence is defined and some of its properties discussed by Layman [5]. Also Hankel determinant for various classes was studied by several authors including Hayman [3], Pommerenke [12] and recently by Mehrok and Singh [8].

Easily, one can observe that the Fekete-Szegö functional is $H_2(1)$. Fekete and Szegö [2] then further generalised the estimate of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ where $\mu$ is real and $f \in S$.

For our discussion in this paper, we consider the Hankel determinant in the case of $q = 2$ and $n = 2$,

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

In this paper, we seek upper bound of the functional $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ for functions belonging to the above defined class.

§2. Main result

Let $P$ be the family of all functions $p$ analytic in $E$ for which $Re(p(z)) > 0$ and

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1(z) + p_2z^2 + ... \quad (3)$$

for $z \in E$.

**Lemma 2.1.** If $p \in P$, then $|p_k| \leq 2(k = 1, 2, 3,...)$. This result is due to Pommerenke [12].

**Lemma 2.2.** If $p \in P$, then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x,$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1(4-p_1^2)x - p_1(4-p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4-p_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z$$

for some $x$ and $z$ satisfying $|x| \leq 1, |z| \leq 1$ and $p_1 \in [0, 2]$.

This result was proved by Libera and Zlotkiewiez [6,7].

**Theorem 2.1.** If $f \in M(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** As $f \in M(\alpha)$, so from (2)

$$\frac{zf'(z) + \alpha z^2f''(z)}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} = p(z). \quad (5)$$

On expanding and equating the coefficients of $z$, $z^2$ and $z^3$ in (5), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{p_1}{1+\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

$$a_3 = \frac{p_2}{2(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{p_1^2}{2(1+2\alpha)} \quad (7)$$
and
\[ a_4 = \frac{p_3}{3(1 + 3\alpha)} + \frac{p_1 p_2}{2(1 + 3\alpha)} + \frac{p_1^2}{6(1 + 3\alpha)}. \] (8)

Using (6), (7) and (8), it yields
\[ a_2a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{1}{C(\alpha)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 p_2 + 6((1 + 2\alpha)^2 - (1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) p_1^2 p_2^2 \\ + (2(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) p_1^4 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) p_1^2 p_2^2 \end{array} \right], \] (9)
where \( C(\alpha) = 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2. \)

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in (9), we obtain
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{1}{4C(\alpha)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -|4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 12\alpha^2 + 4(1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2) + 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^4 \\ + |8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 + 12\alpha^2 - 6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^2 (4 - p_1^2) x \\ + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)|4 - p_1^2| x^2 \\ + 8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 (4 - p_1^2) (1 - |x|^2) \end{array} \right] \]

Assume that \( p_1 = p \) and \( p \in [0, 2] \), using triangular inequality and \( |z| \leq 1 \), we have
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4C(\alpha)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -|4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 12\alpha^2 + 4(1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2) + 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^4 \\ + |8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 + 12\alpha^2 - 6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^2 (4 - p_1^2) |x| \\ + |(4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) p_1^2 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)|4 - p_1^2| |x|^2 \\ + 8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 (4 - p_1^2) (1 - |x|^2) \end{array} \right] \]

or
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4C(\alpha)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -|4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 12\alpha^2 + 4(1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2) + 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^4 \\ + 8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 (4 - p_1^2) + |8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 + 12\alpha^2 - 6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^2 (4 - p_1^2) \delta \\ + |(4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) p_1^2 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)|4 - p_1^2| \delta^2 \\ - 8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(4 - p_1^2) \delta^2 \end{array} \right] \]

Therefore
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{1}{4C(\alpha)} F(\delta), \]
where \( \delta = |x| \leq 1 \) and
\[
F(\delta) = \left[ -4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 12\alpha^2 + 4(1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2) + 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^4 + \\
8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 (4 - p_1^2) + |8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 + 12\alpha^2 - 6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)| p_1^2 (4 - p_1^2) \delta \\
+ |(4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) p_1^2 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)|4 - p_1^2| \delta^2 \\
- 8(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p_1 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(4 - p_1^2) \delta^2 \right] \]
is an increasing function. Therefore \( \text{Max} F(\delta) = F(1). \)

Consequently
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4C(\alpha)} G(p), \] (10)
where \( G(p) = F(1). \) So
\[
G(p) = -A(\alpha)p^4 + B(\alpha)p^2 + 48(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha),
\]
where
\[ A(\alpha) = 48\alpha^2 \]
and
\[ B(\alpha) = 96\alpha^2. \]

Now
\[ G'(p) = -4A(\alpha)p^3 + 2B(\alpha)p \]
and
\[ G''(p) = -12A(\alpha)p^2 + 2B(\alpha). \]

\[ G'(p) = 0 \] gives
\[ p[2A(\alpha)p^2 - B(\alpha)] = 0. \]
\[ G''(p) \] is negative at
\[ p = \sqrt{\frac{B(\alpha)}{2A(\alpha)}} = 1. \]

So \( \text{Max}G(p) = G(1). \)

Hence from (10), we obtain (4).

The result is sharp for \( p_1 = 1, p_2 = p_1^2 - 2 \) and \( p_3 = p_1(p_1^2 - 3). \)

For \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \alpha = 1 \) respectively, we obtain the following results due to Janteng \cite{4}.

**Corollary 2.1.** If \( f(z) \in S^* \), then
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1. \]

**Corollary 2.2.** If \( f(z) \in K \), then
\[ |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{8}. \]
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§1. Introduction

Suffice it to say that the many important results in the theory of the curves in $E^3$ were initiated by G. Monge and the moving frames idea was due to G. Darboux. In the classical differential geometry of curves in $E^3$, the unit speed curve which is obtained by using the norm of the curve, is a well known concept. In the light of this idea, there are many studies on the unit speed curve in Minkowski space. Frenet apparatus for non-unit speed curve $E^3$ has been studied by Sabuncuoglu in [3]. Also he has studied Darboux frame and its derivative formulas for non-unit speed curves and surface pairs in $E^3_1$.

In this work, by means of the method used to obtain Frenet apparatus and Darboux frame for non-unit speed curves in $E^3$ in [3], we present Frenet apparatus for non-unit speed curves and Darboux frame and its derivative formulas for non-unit speed curves and surface pairs in Minkowski 3-space $E^3_1$.

§2. Preliminaries

Let $E^3_1$ be the three-dimensional Minkowski space, that is, the three-dimensional real vector space $E^3$ with the metric

$$<dx, dx> = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 - dx_3^2,$$

where $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denotes the canonical coordinates in $E^3$. An arbitrary vector $x$ of $E^3_1$ is said to be spacelike if $<x, x> > 0$ or $x = 0$, timelike if $<x, x> < 0$ and lightlike or null if $<x, x> = 0$.
and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. A timelike or light-like vector in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$ is said to be causal. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}_1^3$, the norm is defined by $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$, then the vector $\mathbf{x}$ is called a spacelike unit vector if $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 1$ and a timelike unit vector if $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -1$. Similarly, a regular curve in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$ can locally be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), if all of its velocity vectors are spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), respectively [2]. For any two vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ of $\mathbb{E}_1^3$, the inner product is the real number $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3$ and the vector product is defined by $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = ((x_2y_3 - x_3y_2), (x_3y_1 - x_1y_3), -(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1))$.

The unit Lorentzian and hyperbolic spheres in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$ are defined by

$$H^2_+ = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}_1^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = -1, \ x_3 \geq 1 \},$$
$$S^2_1 = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}_1^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = 1 \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Let $\{T, n, b\}$ be the moving Frenet frame along the curve $\alpha$ with arc-length parameter $s$. For a spacelike curve $\alpha$, the Frenet Serret equations are

$$\begin{bmatrix} T' \\ N' \\ B' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\varepsilon \kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & \tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\langle T, T \rangle = 1$, $\langle N, N \rangle = \varepsilon = \pm 1$, $\langle B, B \rangle = -\varepsilon$, $\langle T, N \rangle = \langle T, B \rangle = \langle N, B \rangle = 0$. Furthermore, for a timelike non-unit speed curve $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$, the following Frenet formulae are given in as follows,

$$\begin{bmatrix} T' \\ N' \\ B' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ \kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\langle T, T \rangle = -1$, $\langle N, N \rangle = \langle B, B \rangle = 1$, $\langle T, N \rangle = \langle T, B \rangle = \langle N, B \rangle = 0$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Let $M$ be an oriented surface in three-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{E}_1^3$ and let consider a non-null curve $\alpha(t)$ lying on $M$ fully. Since the curve $\alpha(t)$ is also in space, there exists Frenet frame $\{T, N, B\}$ at each points of the curve where $T$ is unit tangent vector, $N$ is principal normal vector and $B$ is binormal vector, respectively. Since the curve $\alpha(t)$ lies on the surface $M$ there exists another frame of the curve $\alpha(t)$ which is called Darboux frame and denoted by $\{T, b, n\}$. In this frame $T$ is the unit tangent of the curve, $n$ is the unit normal of the surface $M$ and $b$ is a unit vector given by $b = n \times T$. Since the unit tangent $T$ is common in both Frenet frame and Darboux frame, the vectors $N$, $B$, $b$ and $n$ lie on the same plane. Then, if the surface $M$ is an oriented timelike surface, the relations between these frames can be given as follows.

(i) If the surface $M$ is a timelike surface, then the curve $\alpha(t)$ lying on $M$ can be a spacelike or a timelike curve. Thus, the derivative formulae of the Darboux frame of $\alpha(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} T' \\ b' \\ n' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_g & -\varepsilon \kappa_n \\ \kappa_g & 0 & \varepsilon \tau_g \\ \kappa_n & \tau_g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ b \\ n \end{bmatrix},$$

\hspace{1cm} (4)
where \((T, T) = \varepsilon = \pm1, \langle b, b \rangle = -\varepsilon, \langle n, n \rangle = 1\).

(ii) If the surface \(M\) is a spacelike surface, then the curve \(\alpha(t)\) lying on \(M\) is a spacelike curve. Thus, the derivative formulae of the Darboux frame of \(\alpha(t)\) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T' \\
b' \\
n'
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \kappa_g & \kappa_n \\
-\kappa_g & 0 & \tau_g \\
\kappa_n & \tau_g & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
T \\
b \\
n
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(5)

where \((T, T) = 1, \langle b, b \rangle = 1, \langle n, n \rangle = -1\). [1]

In these formulae at (4) and (5), the functions \(\kappa_n, \kappa_g\) and \(\tau_g\) are called the geodesic curvature, the normal curvature and the geodesic torsion, respectively. Here and in the following, we use “dot” to denote the derivative with respect to the arc length parameter of a curve. Here, we have the following properties of \(\alpha\) characterized by the conditions of \(\kappa_g, \kappa_n\) and \(\tau_g\):

\(\alpha\) is a geodesic curve if and only if \(\kappa_g = 0\).
\(\alpha\) is a asymptotic curve if and only if \(\kappa_n = 0\).
\(\alpha\) is line of curvature if and only if \(\tau_g = 0\).

(see [2]).

§3. Some properties of non-unit speed curves in \(\mathbb{E}_1^3\)

Let \(\alpha(t)\) be an arbitrary curve with speed

\[v = |\overrightarrow{\alpha'}| = \frac{ds}{dt}.
\]

Theoretically we may reparametrize \(\alpha\) to get a unit speed curve \(\overline{\alpha}(s(t))\), so, we define curvature and torsion of \(\alpha\) in terms of its arclength reparametrization \(\overline{\alpha}(s(t))\). Moreover, the tangent vector \(\alpha'(t)\) is in the direction of the unit tangent \(T(s)\) of the reparametrization, so \(T(s(t)) = \alpha'(t)/|\alpha'(t)|\). This says that we should define a non-unit speed curve’s invariants in terms of its unit speed reparametrization’s invariants.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \(\alpha\) be any non unit speed curve in Minkowski 3-space \(\mathbb{E}_1^3\), so

1. The unit tangent of \(\alpha(t)\) is defined to be \(T(t) \triangleq \overline{T}(s(t))\).
2. The curvature of \(\alpha(t)\) is defined to be \(\kappa(t) \triangleq \overline{\kappa}(s(t))\).
3. If \(\kappa > 0\), then the principal normal of \(\alpha(t)\) is defined to be \(N(t) \triangleq \overline{N}(s(t))\).
4. If \(\kappa > 0\), then the binormal of \(\alpha(t)\) is defined to be \(B(t) \triangleq \overline{B}(s(t))\).
5. If \(\kappa > 0\), then the torsion of \(\alpha(t)\) is defined to be \(\tau(t) \triangleq \overline{\tau}(s(t))\).

**Theorem 3.1.** If the curve \(\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_1^3\) is a regular non-unit speed curve in \(\mathbb{E}_1^3\), then there is a parameter map \(h : I \rightarrow J\) so that \(\|\alpha \circ h\| = 1\) for all \(s \in J\).

**Proof.** Let the arc-length function of the curve \(\alpha\) be \(f\). It is known that \(f' = \|\alpha\|\). The function \(f'\) has values differed from zero since \(\alpha\) is a regular curve. Hence \(f\) is a one to one function because it is an increasing function. Let’s say \(f(I) = J\). Consequently, \(f\) becomes
regular and bijective function. So has get the inverse, let \( h \) be the inverse of \( f \) and \( \alpha \circ h = \beta \). So if we show that \( \beta : J \to \mathbb{E}^3_1 \) is a unit speed curve, the proof is completed as follows:

\[
\|\beta'\| = \|(\alpha \circ h)'\| = \|h'(\alpha' \circ h)\| = |h'\|\|\alpha' \circ h\|,
\]
and

\[
h'(s) = (f^{-1})'(s) = \frac{1}{f'(h(s))} = \frac{1}{\|\alpha'(h(s))\|} = \frac{1}{\|\alpha' \circ h\|}(s).
\]

**Theorem 3.2.** (The Frenet formulas for non-unit speed curves in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \))

For a regular curve \( \alpha \) with speed

\[
v = \frac{ds}{dt},
\]
and curvature \( \kappa > 0 \),

(i) if \( \alpha \) is a spacelike non-unit speed curve, then the derivative formula of Frenet frame is as follows:

\[
T' = v\kappa N,
\]
\[
N' = v(-\varepsilon\kappa T + \tau B),
\]
\[
B' = v\tau N.
\]

(ii) if \( \alpha \) is a timelike non-unit speed curve, then the derivative formula of Frenet frame is as follows:

\[
T' = v\kappa N,
\]
\[
N' = v(\kappa T + \tau B),
\]
\[
B' = v\tau N.
\]

**Proof.** (i) For non-unit speed spacelike curve, the unit tangent \( T(t) \) is \( \overline{T}(s) \) by the definition 3.1. Now \( T'(t) \) denotes differentiation with respect of \( t \), so we must use the chain rule on the righthand side to determine \( \kappa \) and \( \tau \). From the definition 3.1,

\[
T(t) = \overline{T}(s(t)).
\]

If we differentiate (6), the expression is obtained as

\[
\frac{dT(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\overline{T}(s(t))}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \overline{\pi}(s)\overline{N}(s)v = \kappa(t)N(t)v.
\]

From (7), we get

\[
T' = \kappa(t)vN(t).
\]

So the first formula of (i) is proved. For the second and third,

\[
N'(t) = \frac{d\overline{N}(s)}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = (-\overline{\pi}(s)\overline{T}(s) + \tau(s)\overline{B}(s))v
\]
by the unit speed Frenet formulas, we have

\[
N'(t) = -\kappa(t)vT(t) + \tau(t)vB(t).
\]

And we get

\[
B'(t) = \frac{d\overline{B}(s)}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\overline{\pi}(s)\overline{N}(s)v = -\tau(t)vN(t).
\]
(ii) For non-unit speed timelike curve, if the computations are made as follows: by the definition 3.1,

\[ T(t) = \mathcal{T}(s(t)). \]  

If we differentiate (8), the expression is found as

\[ \frac{dT(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\mathcal{T}(s(t))}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{d\mathcal{T}(s(t))}{ds} v = v\kappa(t)N(t). \]  

From (9), we obtain

\[ T' = \kappa(t) vN(t). \]

So the first formula of (ii) for non-unit speed timelike curve is proved. For the second and third, we get

\[ N'(t) = \frac{d\mathcal{N}(s)}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = (\overline{\mathcal{N}}(s)\mathcal{T}(s) + \tau(s)\overline{\mathcal{B}}(s))v = (\kappa(t)T(t) + \tau(t)B(t))v \]

and finally

\[ B'(t) = \frac{d\overline{B}(s)}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \tau(s)\mathcal{N}(s)v = \tau(t)vN(t). \]

**Lemma 3.1.** For a non-unit speed curve \( \alpha \) in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \),

\[ \alpha' = vT \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'' = \frac{dv}{dt}T + \kappa v^2 N. \]

**Proof.** Since \( \alpha(t) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}(s(t)) \), the first calculation is

\[ \alpha'(t) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}'(s) \frac{ds}{dt} = v\tau'(s) = vT(s) = vT, \]

while the second is

\[ \alpha''(t) = \frac{dv}{dt} T(t) + v(t)T'(t) = \frac{dv}{dt} T(t) + \kappa(t)v^2(t)N(t). \]

**§4. Non-unit speed curve and surface pair in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \)**

In this part, we will define the curvatures of curve-surface pair \((\alpha, M)\) while \( \alpha \) is a non-unit speed curve in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \). Let’s consider the non-unit speed curve \( \alpha : I \to M \). Previously, we proved that there is a parameter map \( h : J \to I \) so that \( \alpha \circ h : J \to M \) is a unit speed curve. The function \( h \) is the inverse of \( f(t) = \int_0^t \|\alpha'(r)\| \, dr \). Let’s say \( \alpha \circ h = \beta \). \( \beta \) is a unit speed curve. Let’s the unit tangent vector field of \( \beta \) be denoted by \( \mathcal{T} \). The set \( \{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}, \nabla \circ \beta\} \) is the frame of curve-surface pair \((\beta, M)\), where \( \mathcal{B} = (n \circ \beta) \times \mathcal{T} \). Let \( s \in J, \ h(s) = t \), \( s = f(t) \) because of \( h(s) = f^{-1}(s) \). So \( \beta(s) = \alpha(h(s)) = \alpha(t) \).

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( \beta \) be the unit speed curve obtained from the non-unit speed curve \( \alpha : I \to M \) in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \). While the frame of curve-surface pair \((\beta, M)\) is \( \{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}, \nabla \circ \beta\} \), the set \( \{T, b, n \circ \alpha\} \) defined by

\[ T(t) = \mathcal{T}(f(t)), \quad b(t) = \mathcal{B}(f(t)), \quad n(\alpha(t)) = n(\beta(f(t))) \]

is called Darboux frame of curve-surface pair \((\alpha, M)\) in \( \mathbb{E}^3_1 \). Also, while the curvatures of curvesurface pair \((\beta, M)\) are \( \kappa_n, \kappa_g, \tau_g \), the curvatures of curve-surface pair \((\alpha, M)\) are as follows:

\[ \kappa_n(t) = \overline{\kappa}_n(f(t)), \quad \kappa_g(t) = \overline{\kappa}_g(f(t)), \quad \tau_g(t) = \overline{\tau}_g(f(t)). \]
**Theorem 4.1.** Given the non-unit speed curve \( \alpha : I \to M \), the curvatures \( \kappa_\alpha, \kappa_\beta \) and \( \tau_\alpha \) of curve-surface pair \((\alpha, M)\) in \( \mathbb{E}_1^3 \) are as follows:

\[
\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{v^2} \left\langle \alpha'', n \circ \alpha \right\rangle, \quad \kappa_\beta = \frac{1}{v^2} \left\langle \alpha'', b \right\rangle, \quad \tau_\alpha = \frac{1}{v^2} \left\langle (n \circ \alpha)', b \right\rangle.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f(t) = s \), by definition 4.1, we get

\[
\kappa_\alpha(t) = \mathcal{F}_n(s) = \left\langle \beta''(s), (n \circ \beta)(s) \right\rangle.
\]  

Let’s calculate the components of (10),

\[
\beta'(s) = T(s) = T(t) = \frac{1}{v(t)} \alpha'(t),
\]

we write (11) as follows:

\[
\alpha'(t) = v(t)\beta'(s) = v(t)\beta'(f(t)) = v(t)(\beta' \circ f)(t).
\]

If we differentiate (12), we get

\[
\alpha''(t) = v'(t)(\beta' \circ f)(t) + v(t)f'(t)\beta''(f(t)) = v'(t)\beta'(s) + (v(t))^2 \beta''(s).
\]

By differentiating (11) and using (13), we get

\[
\beta''(s) = \frac{1}{v^2(t)} (\alpha''(t) - v'(t)\beta'(s)).
\]

By using (14) in (10),

\[
\kappa_\alpha(t) = \left\langle \beta''(s), (n \circ \beta)(s) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \left\langle \frac{1}{v^2(t)} (\alpha''(t) - v'(t)\beta'(s)), (n \circ \beta)(s) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{v^2(t)} \left\{ \left\langle \alpha''(t), n \circ \beta(s) \right\rangle - \left\langle v'(t)\beta'(s), n \circ \beta(s) \right\rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{v^2(t)} \left\langle \alpha''(t), n \circ \beta \circ f(t) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{v^2(t)} \left\langle \alpha''(t), n \circ \alpha(t) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= (\frac{1}{v^2} \left\langle \alpha'', n \circ \alpha \right\rangle)(t).
\]

Let’s see the equation of \( \kappa_\beta(t) \). So

\[
\kappa_\beta(t) = \mathcal{F}_b(s) = \left\langle \beta''(s), \bar{b}(s) \right\rangle.
\]  

By using (14) in (15), we get

\[
\kappa_\beta(t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{v^2(t)} (\alpha''(t) - v'(t)\beta'(s)), \bar{b} \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{v^2(t)} \left\langle \alpha''(t), \bar{b}(f(t)) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{v^2(t)} \left\langle \alpha''(t), b(t) \right\rangle.
\]
Finally, let’s see the equation of $\tau_g(t)$. So
\[
\tau_g(t) = \overline{\tau}_g(s) = -\langle (n \circ \beta)'(s), \overline{b}(s) \rangle = -\langle (n \circ \beta)'(s), b(t) \rangle.
\] (16)

Also we get
\[
(n \circ \alpha)'(t) = (n \circ \beta \circ f)'(t) = f'(t)(n \circ \beta)'(f(t)) = v(t)(n \circ \beta)'(s)
\]
or
\[
(n \circ \beta)'(s) = \frac{1}{v(t)}(n \circ \alpha)'(t).
\] (17)

By using (17) in (16), we get
\[
\tau_g(t) = -\left\langle \frac{1}{v(t)}(n \circ \alpha)'(t), b(t) \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{v} \langle (n \circ \alpha)', b \rangle(t).
\]

**Theorem 4.2.** Given non-unit speed curve $\alpha : I \to M$,

(i) If $(\alpha, M)$ is timelike or spacelike curves on timelike surface pair, the derivative formula of Darboux frame $\{T, b, n \circ \alpha\}$ is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
T'(t) &= v(t)[\kappa_g(t)b(t) - \varepsilon \kappa_n(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)], \\
b'(t) &= v(t)[\kappa_g(t)T(t) + \varepsilon \tau_g(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)], \\
(n \circ \alpha)'(t) &= v(t)[\kappa_n(t)T(t) + \tau_g(t)b(t)],
\end{align*}
\]

for curve-surface pair $\alpha, M$ in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$.

(ii) If $(\alpha, M)$ is spacelike curve on spacelike surface pair, the derivative formula of Darboux frame $\{T, b, n \circ \alpha\}$ is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
T'(t) &= v(t)[\kappa_g(t)b(t) + \kappa_n(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)], \\
b'(t) &= v(t)[-\kappa_g(t)T(t) + \tau_g(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)], \\
(n \circ \alpha)'(t) &= v(t)[\kappa_n(t)T(t) + \tau_g(t)b(t)],
\end{align*}
\]

for curve-surface pair $\alpha, M$ in $\mathbb{E}_1^3$.

**Proof.** (i) For timelike surface:

\[
T(t) = T(f(t)) = (T \circ f)(t).
\] (18)

By differentiating (18), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
T'(t) &= (T \circ f)'(t) \\
&= f'(t)T'(f(t)) \\
&= v(t)[\overline{\tau}_g(s)\overline{b}(s) - \varepsilon \kappa_n(s)(n \circ \beta)(s)] \\
&= v(t)[\kappa_g(t)b(t) - \varepsilon \kappa_n(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)]
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
b'(t) = \overline{b}(f(t)) = (\overline{b} \circ f)(t).
\] (19)
By differentiating (19), we get

\[ b'(t) = (\bar{b} \circ f)'(t) \]
\[ = f'(t)\bar{b}'(f(t)) \]
\[ = v(t)[\pi_g(s)\bar{T}(s) + \varepsilon \tau_g(s)(n \circ \beta)(s)] \]
\[ = v(t)[\kappa_g(t)\bar{T}(t) + \varepsilon \tau_g(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)]. \]

For the third formula of (i),

\[ (n \circ \alpha)(t) = (n \circ \beta \circ f)(t). \] \hspace{1cm} (10)

By differentiating (10), we get

\[ (n \circ \alpha)'(t) = (n \circ \beta \circ f)'(t) \]
\[ = f'(t)(n \circ \beta)'(f(t)) \]
\[ = v(t)[\pi_n(s)\bar{T}(s) + \tau_g(s)\bar{b}(s)] \]
\[ = v(t)[\kappa_n(t)\bar{T}(t) + \tau_g(t)b(t)]. \]

(ii) For spacelike surface:

\[ T(t) = \bar{T}(f(t)) = (\bar{T} \circ f)(t). \] \hspace{1cm} (11)

By differentiating (11), we get

\[ T'(t) = (\bar{T} \circ f)'(t) \]
\[ = f'(t)\bar{T}'(f(t)) \]
\[ = v(t)[\pi_n(s)\bar{T}(s) + \tau_g(s)n \circ \alpha(s)] \]
\[ = v(t)[\kappa_n(t)b(t) + \kappa_n(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)] \]

and

\[ b(t) = \bar{b}(f(t)) = (\bar{b} \circ f)(t). \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

By differentiating (12), we get

\[ b'(t) = (\bar{b} \circ f)'(t) \]
\[ = f'(t)\bar{b}'(f(t)) \]
\[ = v(t)[-\pi_g(s)\bar{T}(s) + \tau_g(s)(n \circ \beta)(s)] \]
\[ = v(t)[-\kappa_g(t)\bar{T}(t) + \tau_g(t)(n \circ \alpha)(t)]. \]

For the third formula of (ii),

\[ (n \circ \alpha)(t) = (n \circ \beta \circ f)(t). \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

By differentiating (13), we get

\[ (n \circ \alpha)'(t) = (n \circ \beta \circ f)'(t) \]
\[ = f'(t)(n \circ \beta)'(f(t)) \]
\[ = v(t)[\pi_n(s)\bar{T}(s) + \tau_g(s)\bar{b}(s)] \]
\[ = v(t)[\kappa_n(t)\bar{T}(t) + \tau_g(t)b(t)]. \]
Corollary 4.1. Given non-unit speed curve $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$, the curvatures of curve-surface pair $(\alpha, M)$ in $\mathbb{E}^3_1$ as follows:

(i) If $(\alpha, M)$ is timelike or spacelike curves on timelike surface pair, then

$$
\kappa_n = -\frac{\epsilon}{v} \langle T', n \circ \alpha \rangle, \quad \kappa_g = -\frac{\epsilon}{v} \langle T', b \rangle, \quad \tau_g = \frac{\epsilon}{v} \langle b', n \circ \alpha \rangle.
$$

(ii) If $(\alpha, M)$ is spacelike curve on spacelike surface pair, then

$$
\kappa_n = -\frac{1}{v} \langle T', n \circ \alpha \rangle, \quad \kappa_g = \frac{1}{v} \langle T', b \rangle, \quad \tau_g = -\frac{1}{v} \langle b', n \circ \alpha \rangle.
$$

Proof. The direct result of Theorem 4.3.
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Graham [1] shown that the polynomials of the form $p_k(x) = x^k + \frac{k}{k+1}$ has the property that $\int_0^1 p_k(x) \, dx = p_k(1) - p_k(0)$. The said polynomial is self-integrating in the closed interval $[0,1]$.

Definition 1.1. A polynomial $P$ in $x$ and $y$ with degree $m, n$ is given by

$$P(x,y) = \sum_{i=0,j=0}^{m,n} a_{ij} x^i y^j,$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.2. A function $f$ is said to be self-integrable in the rectangular region $R$ bounded the lines $x = l_1, y = l_2, x = u_1$ and $y = u_2$ if and only if the double integral given by

$$\int_{l_2}^{u_2} \int_{l_1}^{u_1} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = f(x,y) |_{x=l_1}^{x=u_1} |_{y=u_2}^{y=l_2}.$$

Definition 1.3. We introduce the following terms for simplifying the double integrals and equations.

- Lower limit integration vector : $\vec{L} = (l_1, l_2)$,
- Upper limit integration vector : $\vec{U} = (l_1, l_2)$,
- Variable vector : $\vec{V} = (x, y)$,
- Integration vector : $d\vec{V} = dx \, dy$.

Definition 1.4.

$$\int_{l_2}^{u_2} \int_{l_1}^{u_1} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{\vec{L}}^{\vec{U}} f(\vec{V}) \, d\vec{V}.$$

Definition 1.5.

$$f(x,y) |_{x=l_1}^{x=u_1} |_{y=u_2}^{y=l_2} = f(\vec{V}) |_{\vec{L}}^{\vec{U}}.$$
§2. Preliminary results

Lemma 2.1.
\[ \int_{\vec{U}} f(\vec{V}) d\vec{V} = \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} \left( (u_i^{i+1} - l_i^{i+1})(u_j^{j+1} - l_j^{j+1}) \right) \frac{(i+1)(j+1)}{(i+1)(j+1)}, \]
where \( f(\vec{V}) = P(x, y) = \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} x^i y^j. \)

Lemma 2.2.
\[ f(\vec{V}) \mid_{\vec{U}} = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{m+n} a_{ij} (u_i^1 - l_i^1)(u_j^2 - l_j^2), \]
where \( f(\vec{V}) = P(x, y) = \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} x^i y^j. \)

§3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. The function
\[ f(\vec{V}) = P(x, y) = \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} x^i y^j \]
is self-integrable in the rectangular region \( R \) bounded the lines \( x = l_1, y = l_2, x = u_1 \) and \( y = u_2 \) if and only if the coefficients satisfy the homogeneous equation given by
\[ a_{00}(u_1 - l_1)(u_2 - l_2) + \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} [a_{ij}(u_i^{i+1} - l_i^{i+1})(u_j^{j+1} - l_j^{j+1}) - (i+1)(j+1)(u_i^1 - l_i^1)(u_j^2 - l_j^2)] = 0. \]

Corollary 3.1. The function
\[ f(\vec{V}) = P(x, y) = \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} x^i y^j \]
is self-integrable in the rectangular region \( R \) bounded the lines \( x = 0, y = 0, x = 1 \) and \( y = 1 \) if and only if the coefficients satisfy the homogeneous equation given by
\[ a_{00} + \sum_{i=0, j=0}^{m+n} a_{ij} [1 - (i+1)(j+1)] = 0. \]

Theorem 3.2. The sum of self-integrable polynomials in the rectangular region \( R \) is also self-integrable in the region \( R \) where \( R \) is the region bounded by the lines \( x = l_1, y = l_2, x = u_1 \) and \( y = u_2 \).
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Abstract In this paper we discuss signed product cordial labeling in the context of arbitrary supersubdivision of some special graphs. We prove that the graph obtained by arbitrary supersubdivision of \((P_n \times P_m) \odot P_s\) is signed product cordial. We also prove that the tadpole \(T_{n,l}\) is signed product cordial except \(m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)\) are odd, \(m_i (n+1 \leq i \leq n+l)\) are even and \(n\) is odd and \(C_n \odot P_m\) is signed product cordial except \(m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)\) are odd, \(m_i (n+1 \leq i \leq nm)\) are even and \(n\) is odd.
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

We begin with simple, finite, connected and undirected graph \(G = (V(G), E(G))\) with \(p\) vertices and \(q\) edges. For all other terminology and notations we follow Harary [3]. Given below are some definitions useful for the present investigations.


Definition 1.1. Let \(G\) be a graph with \(q\) edges. A graph \(H\) is called a supersubdivision of \(G\) if \(H\) is obtained from \(G\) by replacing every edge \(e_i\) of \(G\) by a complete bipartite graph \(K_{2,m_i}\) for some \(m_i\), \(1 \leq i \leq q\) in such a way that the end vertices of each \(e_i\) are identified with the two vertices of 2-vertices part of \(K_{2,m_i}\) after removing the edge \(e_i\) from graph \(G\). If \(m_i\) is varying arbitrarily for each edge \(e_i\) then supersubdivision is called arbitrary supersubdivision of \(G\).

In the same paper Sethuraman proved that arbitrary supersubdivision of any path and cycle \(C_n\) are graceful. Kathiresan [4] proved that arbitrary supersubdivision of any star are graceful.

Definition 1.2. The assignment of values subject to certain conditions to the vertices of a graph is known as graph labeling.

Definition 1.3. Let \(G = (V,E)\) be a graph. A mapping \(f: V(G) \rightarrow \{0,1\}\) is called binary vertex labeling of \(G\) and \(f(v)\) is called the label of the vertex \(v\) of \(G\) under \(f\). For an edge \(e = uv\), the induced edge labeling \(f^*: E(G) \rightarrow \{0,1\}\) is given by \(f^*(e) = |f(u) - f(v)|\).
Let \( v_f(0), v_f(1) \) be the number of vertices of \( G \) having labels 0 and 1 respectively under \( f \) and let \( e_f(0), e_f(1) \) be the number of edges having labels 0 and 1 respectively under \( f^* \).

**Definition 1.4.** A binary vertex labeling of a graph \( G \) is called a cordial labeling of \( G \) if \( |v_f(0) - v_f(1)| \leq 1 \) and \( |e_f(0) - e_f(1)| \leq 1 \). A graph \( G \) is cordial if it admits cordial labeling.

The concept of cordial labeling was introduced by Cahit [2]. Vaidya [8] proved that arbitrary supersubdivision of any path, star and cycle \( C_n \) except when \( n \) and all \( m_i \) are simultaneously odd numbers are cordial. Vaidya [7] proved that arbitrary supersubdivision of any tree, grid graph, complete bipartite graph star and \( C_n \odot P_m \) except when \( n \) and all \( m_i \) are simultaneously odd numbers are cordial.

The concept of signed product cordial labeling was introduced by Baskar Babujee [1].

**Definition 1.5.** A vertex labeling of graph \( G, f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \) with induced edge labeling \( f^*: E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \) defined by \( f^*(uv) = f(u)f(v) \) is called a signed product cordial labeling if \( |v_f(-1) - v_f(1)| \leq 1 \) and \( |e_f(-1) - e_f(1)| \leq 1 \), where \( v_f(-1) \) is the number of vertices labeled with \(-1\), \( v_f(1) \) is the number of vertices labeled with \(1\), \( e_f(-1) \) is the number of edges labeled with \(-1\) and \( e_f(1) \) is the number of edges labeled with \(1\). A graph \( G \) is signed product cordial if it admits signed product cordial labeling.

In the same paper Baskar Babujee proved that the path graph, cycle graph, star, and bistar are signed product cordial and some general results on signed product cordial labeling are also studied.

Lawrence Rozario [5] proved that arbitrary supersubdivision of tree, complete bipartite graph, grid graph and cycle \( C_n \) except when \( n \) and all \( m_i \) are simultaneously odd numbers are signed product cordial.

Vaidya [9] proved that arbitrary supersubdivision path, cycle, star and tadpole graph are strongly multiplicative.

**Definition 1.6.** Tadpole \( T_{n,l} \) is a graph in which path \( P_l \) is attached by an edge to any one vertex of cycle \( C_n \). \( T_{n,l} \) has \( n + l \) vertices and edges.

Here we prove that the graphs obtained by arbitrary supersubdivision of \((P_n \times P_m) \odot P_s\) is signed product cordial. We also prove that the tadpole \( T_{n,l} \) is signed product cordial except \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are odd, \( m_i \ (n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l) \) are even and \( n \) is odd and \( C_n \odot P_m \) is signed product cordial except \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are odd, \( m_i \ (n + 1 \leq i \leq nm) \) are even and \( n \) is odd.

**§2. Signed product cordial labeling of arbitrary supersubdivision of graphs**

**Theorem 2.1.** Arbitrary supersubdivision of grid graph \((P_n \times P_m) \odot P_s\) is signed product cordial.

**Proof.** Let \( v_{ij} \) be the vertices of \((P_n \times P_m)\), where \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \). Let \( v^*_{ij} \ (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \) and \( 2 \leq k \leq s \) be the vertices of paths. Arbitrary supersubdivision of \((P_n \times P_m) \odot P_s\) is obtained by replacing every edge of \((P_n \times P_m) \odot P_s\) with \( K_{2,m} \), and we denote the resultant graph by \( G \).
Let
\[ \alpha = \sum_{i} m \cdot i \cdot (s+1) - m - n. \]

Let \( u_j \) be the vertices which are used for arbitrary supersubdivision, where \( 1 \leq j \leq \alpha \). Here
\[ |V(G)| = \alpha + smn, \quad |E(G)| = 2\alpha. \]
We define vertex labeling \( f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \) as follows.

\[ f(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd or } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even}, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd or } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even}. \end{cases} \]

\( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \).

\[ f(v^k_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd or } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even}, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd or } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even}. \end{cases} \]

\( 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \).

\[ f(u_j) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \\ 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \]

\( 1 \leq i \leq \alpha \).

In view of the above defined function \( f \), the graph \( G \) satisfies the following conditions.

When

(i) \( \alpha \) and \( mn \) are even and \( s \) is odd/even,
(ii) \( \alpha \) and \( s \) are even and \( mn \) is odd,
(iii) \( \alpha \) and \( mn \) are odd and \( s \) is odd, then

\[ v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + smn}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha. \]

When

(i) \( \alpha \) and \( mn \) are odd and \( s \) is even,
(ii) \( \alpha \) is odd, \( mn \) is even and \( s \) is odd/even, then

\[ v_f(-1) + 1 = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + smn + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha. \]

(iii) When \( \alpha \) is even and \( mn \) and \( s \) are odd, then

\[ v_f(-1) = v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{\alpha + smn + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha. \]

That is, \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \). Hence the result.

**Illustration 2.1** Consider the arbitrary supersubdivision of graph \((P_2 \times P_3) \odot P_3\). Here
\( n = 2, \quad m = 3, \quad m_1 = 3, \quad m_2 = 2, \quad m_3 = 2, \quad m_4 = 2, \quad m_5 = 2, \quad m_6 = 2, \quad m_7 = 2, \quad m_8 = 2, \quad m_9 = 3, \quad m_{10} = 1, \quad m_{11} = 1, \quad m_{12} = 2, \quad m_{13} = 2, \quad m_{14} = 2, \quad m_{15} = 2, \quad m_{16} = 1, \quad m_{17} = 1, \quad m_{18} = 2, \quad m_{19} = 2 \) and \( \alpha = 36 \). The signed product cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of graph \((P_2 \times P_3) \odot P_3\) as shown in Figure 1.
Theorem 2.2. Arbitrary supersubdivision of any tadpole $T_{n,l}$ is a signed cordial product graph except $m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ are odd, $m_i (n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l)$ are even and $n$ is odd.

Proof. Let $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$, ..., $v_n$ be the vertices of $C_n$ and $v_{n+1}$, $v_{n+2}$, $v_{n+3}$, ..., $v_{n+l}$ be the vertices of path $P_l$. Arbitrary supersubdivision of $T_{n,l}$ is obtained by replacing every edge of $T_{n,l}$ with $K_{2,m_i}$ and we denote this graph by $G$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n+l} m_i$ and $u_j$ be the vertices which are used for arbitrary supersubdivision, where $1 \leq j \leq \alpha$. Here $|V(G)| = \alpha + n + l$, $|E(G)| = 2\alpha$. To define binary vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

We consider following cases.

Case 1: For $n$ even.

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  f(v_i) = -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \\
  f(v_i) = 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even}.
\end{cases} & 1 \leq i \leq n + l.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  f(u_j) = -1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \\
  f(u_j) = 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases} & 1 \leq j \leq \alpha.
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the case, the graph $G$ satisfies the following conditions. When

(i) $n$, $l$ and $\alpha$ are even,

(ii) $n$ is even, $l$ and $\alpha$ are odd, then

$$
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
$$

When $n$ and $l$ are even, $\alpha$ is odd, then

$$
v_f(-1) + 1 = v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
$$

When $n$ and $\alpha$ are even, $l$ is odd, then

$$
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
$$

That is, $f$ is a signed product cordial labeling for $G$ and consequently $G$ is a signed product cordial graph.
Case 2: For \( n \) odd.
Subcase 2.1: \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are even.
\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \\
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd.}
\end{align*}
\]
\( 1 \leq i \leq n + l. \)
\( 1 \leq j \leq \alpha. \)

In view of the above case, the graph \( G \) satisfies the following conditions. When
(i) \( n \) and \( l \) are odd, \( \alpha \) is even,
(ii) \( n \) and \( \alpha \) are odd, \( l \) is odd, then
\[
\begin{align*}
v_f(-1) &= v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha}{2}, \\
e_f(-1) &= e_f(1) = \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

When \( n \) is odd, \( l \) and \( \alpha \) are even, then
\[
\begin{align*}
v_f(-1) &= v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \\
e_f(-1) &= e_f(1) = \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

When \( n, l \) and \( \alpha \) are odd, then
\[
\begin{align*}
v_f(-1) + 1 &= v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \\
e_f(-1) &= e_f(1) = \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

That is, \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \) and consequently \( G \) is a signed product cordial graph.

Subcase 2.2: At least one \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) is even. \( m_i \) is even for some \( i \), where \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).
In this case at least one \( m_i \) must be even so label all the vertices \( v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, ..., v_n, v_1, ..., v_i \) alternately by using \(-1\) and \(1\) starting with \(-1\).

Subcase 2.2 (a): \( m_i \) is even for some \( i \), where \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( i \) is even or \( i = n \).
\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \\
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd.}
\end{align*}
\]
\( n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l. \)
\( 1 \leq j \leq \alpha. \)

In view of the above case, the graph \( G \) satisfies the following conditions. When
(i) \( n \) and \( l \) are odd, \( \alpha \) is even,
(ii) \( n \) and \( \alpha \) are odd, \( l \) is even, then
\[
\begin{align*}
v_f(-1) &= v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha}{2}, \\
e_f(-1) &= e_f(1) = \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

When \( n, l \) and \( \alpha \) are odd, then
\[
\begin{align*}
v_f(-1) &= v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \\
e_f(-1) &= e_f(1) = \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

That is, \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \) and consequently \( G \) is a signed product cordial graph.

Subcase 2.2 (b): \( m_i \) is even for some \( i \), where \( 1 \leq i < n \), \( i \) is odd and \( l \) is even.
\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \\
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd.}
\end{align*}
\]
\( n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l. \)
\( 1 \leq j \leq \alpha. \)
In view of the above case, the graph $G$ satisfies the following conditions. When $n$ is odd, $l$ and $\alpha$ is even, then

$$v_f(-1) = v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.$$ 

When $n$ and $\alpha$ are odd, $l$ is even, then

$$v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.$$ 

That is, $f$ is a signed product cordial labeling for $G$ and consequently $G$ is a signed product cordial graph.

Subcase 2.2 (c): $m_i$ is even for some $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i$ and $l$ are odd.

$$f(v_i) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + l.$$ 

$$f(u_j) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq \alpha - 2.$$ 

$$f(u_j) = 1, \text{ for } j = \alpha - 1, \alpha.$$ 

In view of the above two cases graph $G$ satisfies the following conditions. When $n$ and $l$ are odd, $\alpha$ is even, then

$$v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.$$ 

When $n$, $l$ and $\alpha$ are odd, then

$$v_f(-1) + 1 = v_f(1) = \frac{n + l + \alpha + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.$$ 

That is, $f$ is a signed product cordial labeling for $G$ and consequently $G$ is a signed product cordial graph.

Case 3: If $n$ is odd and $m_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n + l$) are odd.

Subcase 3.1: For $n$ odd and $n + l$ is even.

$$f(v_i) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + l - 1.$$ 

$$f(v_{n+l}) = -1.$$ 

$$f(u_j) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq j \leq \alpha - m_{n+l} - 2.$$ 

$$f(u_j) = 1, \text{ for } j = \alpha - m_{n+l} - 1, \alpha - m_{n+l}.$$ 

$$f(u_j) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 1, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad \alpha - m_{n+l} + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha.$$ 

Subcase 3.2: For $n$ odd and $n + l$ is odd.

$$f(v_i) \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + l - 1.$$ 

$$f(v_{n+l}) = 1.$$
cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of $m$ then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values, i.e., if $v_i$ and $v_j$ are adjacent. If $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ have different values and assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values, i.e., if $m_i$ is either even or odd, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha$. If $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ have same value and assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have same value, i.e., if $m_i$ is even, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha$ and if $m_i$ is odd, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2$ or $e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1)$. \(\text{Here } n \text{ is odd and all } m_i \leq n \) are odd in cycle $C_n$. Then there exist atleast one pair of adjacent vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ of cycle $C_n$ have $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ are same. Therefore, assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have same value and $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2$ or $e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1)$. But $m_i \geq n$ are even. Consider any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values. Here $n$ is odd, $m_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are odd and $m_i$ $(n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l)$ are even. Consider $v_i$ and $v_j$ are adjacent. If $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ have different values and assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values, i.e., if $m_i$ is either even or odd, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha$. If $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ have same value and assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have same value, i.e., if $m_i$ is even, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha$ and if $m_i$ is odd, then $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2$ or $e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1)$. \(\text{Here } n \text{ is odd and all } m_i \leq n \) are odd in cycle $C_n$. Then there exist atleast one pair of adjacent vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ of cycle $C_n$ have $f(v_i)$ and $f(v_j)$ are same. Therefore, assign any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have same value and $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2$ or $e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1)$. But $m_i \geq n$ are even. Consider any value to $f(u_j)$, then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values. Here $n$ is odd, $m_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are odd and $m_i$ $(n + 1 \leq i \leq n + l)$ are even, then either $e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2$ or $e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1)$. Therefore, $G$ is not signed product cordial.

**Illustration 2.2** Consider the arbitrary supersubdivision of $T_{4,3}$. Here $n = 4$, $l = 3$, $m_1 = 2$, $m_2 = 2$, $m_3 = 2$, $m_4 = 2$, $m_5 = 1$, $m_6 = 2$, $m_7 = 3$ and $\alpha = 14$. The signed product cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of $T_{4,3}$ as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Signed product cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of $T_{4,3}$](image)

**Theorem 2.3**: Arbitrary supersubdivision of $C_n \odot P_m$ is signed product cordial except $m_i \leq n$ are odd, $m_i \geq n$ are odd and $n$ is odd.

**Proof.** Let $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$, ..., $v_n$ be the vertices of $C_n$ and $v_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq m)$ be the vertices of paths. Arbitrary supersubdivision of $C_n \odot P_m$ is obtained by replacing every edge of $C_n \odot P_m$ with $K_{2,m}$, and we denote this graph by $G$.

Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{mn} m_i$ and $u_j$ be the vertices which are used for arbitrary supersubdivision, where $1 \leq j \leq \alpha$. Here $|V(G)| = |\alpha + mn|$, $|E(G)| = 2\alpha$. To define binary vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. We consider following cases.
Case 1: For $n$ even.
\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even} , \\
f(v_{ij}) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd or } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd or } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even}.
\end{align*}
\]

1 $\leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq m$.

In view of the above case, the graph $G$ satisfies the following conditions. When $\alpha + mn$ is even, then
\[
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]

When $\alpha$ is odd and $mn$ is even, then
\[
v_f(-1) + 1 = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]

That is, $f$ is a signed product cordial labeling for $G$ and consequently $G$ is a signed product cordial graph.

Case 2: For $n$ odd and at least one $m_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is even. Without loss of generality we assume that $m_1$ is even.
\[
f(v_1) = -1.
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \\
f(v_{ij}) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even}.
\end{align*}
\]

2 $\leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq m$.

In view of the above case, the graph $G$ satisfies the following conditions. When $\alpha + mn$ is even, then
\[
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]

When $\alpha$ is odd and $mn$ is even, then
\[
v_f(-1) + 1 = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]

When $\alpha$ is even and $mn$ is odd, then
\[
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) + 1 = \frac{\alpha + mn + 1}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]
That is, \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \) and consequently \( G \) is a signed product cordial graph.

**Case 3:** If \( n \) is odd and \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq nm) \) are odd.

**Subcase 3.1:** For \( n \) odd and \( nm \) is even.

\[
\begin{align*}
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_1. \\
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } m_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1 + \frac{\alpha - nm - m_1}{2}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } m_1 + \frac{\alpha - nm - m_1}{2} + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha - m_{nm}, \\
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } \alpha - m_{nm} + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha - m_{nm} + \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{2} \right\rfloor, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } \alpha - m_{nm} + \left\lfloor \frac{mn}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
f(v_1) = -1, \\
f(v_i) = -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \\
f(v_{ij}) = -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \\
f(v_{ij}) = -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd or } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even and } i \neq n \text{ and } j \neq m, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd or } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even}, \\
f(v_{nm}) = 1.
\]

In view of above, the graph \( G \) satisfies the following condition. Here \( \alpha + mn \) is even, then

\[ v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha. \]

That is, \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \) and consequently \( G \) is a signed product cordial graph.

**Subcase 3.2:** For \( n \) odd and \( nm \) is odd. Here \( \beta = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_n + m_{n+1} + \ldots + m_{n+(m-2)} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
f(v_1) &= -1, \\
f(v_i) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \\
f(v_{ij}) &= -1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd and } j \neq m, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \\
f(v_{ij}) &= -1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd or } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even}, \\
&= 1, \quad \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd or } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even}, \\
f(v_{1m}) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m_1}{2} \right\rfloor, \\
        &= 1, \quad \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{m_1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq m_1, \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } m_1 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta - m_1}{2} \right\rfloor, \\
        &= 1, \quad \text{if } m_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta - m_1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \beta, \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } \beta + 1 \leq j \leq \beta + \left\lfloor \frac{m_{\text{max}}(m-1)}{2} \right\rfloor, \\
        &= 1, \quad \text{if } \beta + \left\lfloor \frac{m_{\text{max}}(m-1)}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq \beta + m_{n+(m-1)} + \frac{\alpha - \beta - m_{n+(m-1)}}{2}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f(u_j) &= -1, \quad \text{if } \beta + m_{n+(m-1)} + \frac{\alpha - \beta - m_{n+(m-1)}}{2} \leq j \leq \alpha. \\
\end{align*}
\]

In view of above, the graph \( G \) satisfies the following condition. Here \( \alpha + mn \) is even, then
\[
v_f(-1) = v_f(1) = \frac{\alpha + mn}{2}, \quad e_f(-1) = e_f(1) = \alpha.
\]

i.e., \( f \) is a signed product cordial labeling for \( G \) and consequently \( G \) is a signed product cordial graph.

Case 4: If \( n \) is odd and \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are odd, \( m_i \ (n + 1 \leq i \leq nm) \) are even. Here \( n \) is odd and all \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are odd in cycle \( C_n \). Then there exist at least one pair of adjacent vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) of cycle \( C_n \) have \( f(v_i) \) and \( f(v_j) \) are same. Therefore, assign any value to \( f(u_j) \), then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have same value and \( e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2 \) or \( e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1) \). But \( m_1 \ (n + 1 \leq i \leq nm) \) are even. Therefore, assign any value to \( f(u_j) \), then induce edge labeling of the adjacent edges have different values. Here \( n \) is odd, \( m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are odd and \( m_i \ (n + 1 \leq i \leq nm) \) are even, then either \( e_f(-1) = e_f(1) + 2 \) or \( e_f(-1) + 2 = e_f(1) \). Therefore, \( G \) is not signed product cordial.

Illustration 2.3: Consider the arbitrary supersubdivision of \( C_4 \circ P_3 \). Here \( n = 4, m = 3, m_1 = 2, m_2 = 2, m_3 = 2, m_4 = 2, m_5 = 1, m_6 = 1, m_7 = 2, m_8 = 2, m_9 = 2, m_{10} = 2, m_{11} = 1, m_{12} = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 20 \). The signed product cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of graph \( C_4 \circ P_3 \) as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3: Signed product cordial labeling of the arbitrary supersubdivision of \( C_4 \circ P_3 \)](image-url)
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Abstract In this paper, the concept of Smarandache cyclic geometric determinant sequence was introduced and a formula for its \( n^{th} \) term was obtained using the concept of right and left circulant matrices.
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

Majumdar \(^1\) gave the formula for \( n^{th} \) term of the following sequences: Smarandache cyclic natural determinant sequence, Smarandache cyclic arithmetic determinant sequence, Smarandache bisymmetric natural determinant sequence and Smarandache bisymmetric arithmetic determinant sequence.

Definition 1.1. A Smarandache cyclic geometric determinant sequence \( \{SCGDS(n)\} \) is a sequence of the form

\[
\{SCGDS(n)\} = \left\{ a, \begin{vmatrix} a & ar & ar^2 \\ ar & a & ar \\ ar^2 & a & ar \\ \end{vmatrix}, \ldots \right\}.
\]

Definition 1.2. A matrix \( RCIRC_n(\vec{c}) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \) is said to be a right circulant matrix if it is of the form

\[
RCIRC_n(\vec{c}) = \begin{pmatrix}
c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \ldots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} \\
c_{n-1} & c_0 & c_1 & \ldots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} \\
c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_0 & \ldots & c_{n-4} & c_{n-3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \ldots & c_0 & c_1 \\
c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \ldots & c_{n-1} & c_0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \vec{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}) \) is the circulant vector.
Definition 1.3. A matrix \( LCIRC_n(\vec{c}) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \) is said to be a left circulant matrix if it is of the form

\[
LCIRC_n(\vec{c}) = \begin{pmatrix}
c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} \\
c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_0 \\
c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \cdots & c_0 & c_1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_0 & \cdots & c_{n-4} & c_{n-3} \\
c_{n-1} & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-4} & c_{n-2}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \vec{c} = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}) \) is the circulant vector.

Definition 1.4. A right circulant matrix \( RCIRC_n(\vec{g}) \) with geometric sequence is a matrix of the form

\[
RCIRC_n(\vec{g}) = \begin{pmatrix}
a & ar & ar^2 & \cdots & ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1} \\
ar^{n-1} & a & ar & \cdots & ar^{n-3} & ar^{n-2} \\
ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1} & a & \cdots & ar^{n-4} & ar^{n-3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
ar^2 & ar^3 & ar^4 & \cdots & a & ar \\
ar & ar^2 & ar^3 & \cdots & ar^{n-1} & a
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Definition 1.5. A left circulant matrix \( LCIRC_n(\vec{g}) \) with geometric sequence is a matrix of the form

\[
LCIRC_n(\vec{g}) = \begin{pmatrix}
a & ar & ar^2 & \cdots & ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1} \\
ar & ar^2 & ar^3 & \cdots & ar^{n-1} & a \\
ar^2 & ar^3 & ar^4 & \cdots & a & ar \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1} & a & \cdots & ar^{n-4} & ar^{n-3} \\
ar^{n-1} & a & ar & \cdots & ar^{n-4} & ar^{n-2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The right and left circulant matrices has the following relationship:

\[
LCIRC_n(\vec{c}) = \Pi RCIRC_n(\vec{g}).
\]

where \( \Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ O_2 & \tilde{I}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \) with \( \tilde{I}_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \), \( O_1 = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots) \) and \( O_2 = O_1^T \).
Clearly, the terms of \{SCGDS(n)\} are just the determinants of LCIRCn(\vec{g}). Now, for the rest of this paper, let \(|A|\) be the notation for the determinant of a matrix \(A\). Hence

\[
\{SCGDS(n)\} = \{|LCIRC_1(\vec{g})|, |LCIRC_2(\vec{g})|, |LCIRC_3(\vec{g})|, \ldots \}.
\]

\section*{§2. Preliminary results}

Lemma 2.1. \(|RCIRC_n(\vec{g})| = a^n (1 - r^n)^{n-1}\).

Proof. \(RCIRC_n(\vec{g}) =\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a & ar & ar^2 & \ldots & ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1}
  \\
  ar^{n-1} & a & ar & \ldots & ar^{n-3} & ar^{n-2}
  \\
  ar^{n-2} & ar^{n-1} & a & \ldots & ar^{n-4} & ar^{n-3}
  \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  ar^2 & ar^3 & ar^4 & \ldots & a & ar
  \\
  ar & ar^2 & ar^3 & \ldots & ar^{n-1} & a
\end{pmatrix}
\]

By applying the row operations \(-r^{n-k}R_1 + R_{k+1} \rightarrow R_{k+1}\) where \(k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-1\),

\[
RCIRC_n(\vec{g}) \sim a
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 & r & r^2 & \ldots & r^{n-2} & r^{n-1}
  \\
  r^{n-1} & 1 & r & \ldots & r^{n-3} & r^{n-2}
  \\
  r^{n-2} & r^{n-1} & 1 & \ldots & r^{n-4} & r^{n-3}
  \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  r^2 & r^3 & r^4 & \ldots & 1 & r
  \\
  r & r^2 & r^3 & \ldots & r^{n-1} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since \(|cA| = c^n |A|\) and its row equivalent matrix is a lower triangular matrix it follows that \(|RCIRC_n(\vec{g})| = a^n (1 - r^n)^{n-1}\).

Lemma 2.2. \(|\Pi| = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}\),

where \(|x|\) is the floor function.

Proof. Case 1: \(n = 1, 2\),

\(|\Pi| = |I_n| = 1\).
Case 2: $n$ is even and $n > 2$ If $n$ is even then there will be $n - 2$ rows to be inverted because there are two 1’s in the main diagonal. Hence there will be $\frac{n-2}{2}$ inversions to bring back $\Pi$ to $I_n$ so it follows that

$$|\Pi| = (-1)^\frac{n-2}{2}.$$

Case 3: $n$ is odd and $n > 2$ If $n$ is odd then there will be $n - 1$ rows to be inverted because of the 1 in the main diagonal of the first row. Hence there will be $\frac{n-1}{2}$ inversions to bring back $\Pi$ to $I_n$ so it follows that

$$|\Pi| = (-1)^\frac{n-1}{2}.$$

But $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$, so the lemma follows.

§3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** The $n^{th}$ term of \{SCGDS\} is given by

$$SCGDS(n) = (-1)^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor} a^n (1 - r^n)^{n-1}$$

via the previous lemmas.
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§1. Introduction and preliminaries

We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $X$ into $2^{X^*}$ by

$$J(x) = \{ f \in X^*: \langle x, f \rangle = \| x \|^2 = \| f \|^2 \},$$

where $X^*$ denotes the dual space of real normed linear space $X$ and $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing between elements of $X$ and $X^*$. We first recall and define some concepts as follows (see, [7]).

Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of real normed linear space $X$.

**Definition 1.1.** A mapping $T : C \rightarrow X$ is called strongly pseudocontractive if for all $x, y \in C$, there exist $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ and a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq (1 - k)\| x - y \|^2.$$

A mapping $T$ is called strongly $\phi$-pseudocontractive if for all $x, y \in C$, there exist $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ and a strictly increasing function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \| x - y \|^2 - \phi(\| x - y \|)\| x - y \|$$

and is called generalized strongly $\Phi$-pseudocontractive if for all $x, y \in C$, there exist $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ and a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \| x - y \|^2 - \Phi(\| x - y \|).$$
Every strongly φ-pseudocontractive operator is a generalized strongly Φ-pseudo contractive operator with Φ : [0, ∞) → [0, ∞) defined by Φ(s) = φ(s)s, and every strongly pseudocontractive operator is strongly φ-pseudocontractive operator where φ is defined by φ(s) = ks for k ∈ (0, 1) while the converses need not be true. An example by Hirano and Huang [6] showed that a strongly φ-pseudocontractive operator T is not always a strongly pseudocontractive operator.

A mapping T is called generalized Φ-hemicontactive if F(T) = {x ∈ C : x = Tx} ≠ ∅ and for all x ∈ C and 𝑥 *= 𝑥 ∈ F(T), there exist 𝑗(𝑥 − 𝑥 *) ∈ 𝐽(𝑥 − 𝑥 *) and a strictly increasing function Φ : [0, ∞) → [0, ∞) with Φ(0) = 0 such that

\[ ⟨Tx − Tx^*, j(x − x^*)⟩ ≤ ∥x − x^*∥^2 − Φ(∥x − x^*∥). \]

**Definition 1.2.** A mapping T : C → X is called asymptotically generalized Φ-pseudocontractive with sequence \{k_n\} if for each n ∈ N and x, y ∈ C, there exist constant k_n ≥ 1 with \(\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1\), strictly increasing function \(\Phi : [0, \infty) → [0, \infty)\) with \(\Phi(0) = 0\) such that

\[ ⟨T^n x − T^n y, j(x − y)⟩ ≤ k_n ∥x − y∥^2 − Φ(∥x − y∥), \]

and is called asymptotically generalized Φ-hemicontactive with sequence \{k_n\} if F(T) ≠ ∅ and for each n ∈ N and x ∈ C, 𝑥 * ∈ F(T), there exist constant k_n ≥ 1 with \(\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1\), strictly increasing function \(\Phi : [0, \infty) → [0, \infty)\) with \(\Phi(0) = 0\) such that

\[ ⟨T^n x − T^n x^*, j(x − x^*)⟩ ≤ k_n ∥x − x^*∥^2 − Φ(∥x − x^*∥). \]

Clearly, the class of asymptotically generalized Φ-hemicontactive mappings is the most general among those defined above. (see, [2]).

**Definition 1.3.** A mapping T : C → X is called Lipschitzian if there exists a constant \(L > 0\) such that

\[ ∥Tx − Ty∥ ≤ L ∥x − y∥ \]

for all x, y ∈ C and is called generalized Lipschitzian if there exists a constant \(L > 0\) such that

\[ ∥Tx − Ty∥ ≤ L(∥x − y∥ + 1) \]

for all x, y ∈ C.

A mapping T : C → C is called uniformly L-Lipschitzian if for each n ∈ N, there exists a constant \(L > 0\) such that

\[ ∥T^n x − T^n y∥ ≤ L ∥x − y∥ \]

for all x, y ∈ C.

It is obvious that the class of generalized Lipschitzian map includes the class of Lipschitz map. Moreover, every mapping with a bounded range is a generalized Lipschitzian mapping.

Sahu [6] introduced the following new class of nonlinear mappings which is more general than the class of generalized Lipschitzian mappings and the class of uniformly L-Lipschitzian mappings.

Fix a sequence \{r_n\} in [0, ∞) with \(r_n → 0\).
1.1 above is equal to zero for all totally pseudocontractive mappings. In fact, if the iteration parameter Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping to two uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings instead of a single map used in [9]. In fact, they proved the following theorem.

In recent years, many authors have given much attention to iterative methods for approximating fixed points of Lipschitz type pseudocontractive type nonlinear mappings (see, [1-4, 7, 9-14]). Ofoedu [9] used the modified Mann iteration process introduced by Schu [12] to obtain a strong convergence theorem for uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in real Banach space setting. This result itself is a generalization of many of the previous results (see [9] and the references therein).

Recently, Chang [3] proved a strong convergence theorem for a pair of L-Lipschitzian mappings instead of a single map used in [9]. In fact, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [3] Let $E$ be a real Banach space, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, $T_i : K \to K$, $(i = 1, 2)$ be two uniformly $L_i$-Lipschitzian mappings with $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \phi$, where $F(T_i)$ is the set of fixed points of $T_i$ in $K$ and $\rho$ be a point in $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. Let $k_n \subset [1, \infty)$ be a sequence with $k_n \to 1$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$,
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$,
(iv) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(k_n - 1) < \infty$.

For any $x_1 \in K$, let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the iterative sequence defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_1^n x_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

(1)

to obtain a strong convergence theorem for uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in real Banach space setting. This result itself is a generalization of many of the previous results (see [9] and the references therein).

Definition 1.4. A mapping $T : C \to C$ is called nearly Lipschitzian with respect to $\{r_n\}$ if for each $n \in N$, there exists a constant $k_n > 0$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n(\|x - y\| + r_n)$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

A nearly Lipschitzian mapping $T$ with sequence $\{r_n\}$ is said to be nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian if $k_n = L$ for all $n \in N$.

Observe that the class of nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian mapping is more general than the class of uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian mappings.

In recent years, many authors have given much attention to iterative methods for approximating fixed points of Lipschitz type pseudocontractive type nonlinear mappings (see, [1-4, 7, 9-14]). Ofoedu [9] used the modified Mann iteration process introduced by Schu [12] to obtain a strong convergence theorem for uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping in real Banach space setting. This result itself is a generalization of many of the previous results (see [9] and the references therein).

Recently, Chang [3] proved a strong convergence theorem for a pair of $L$-Lipschitzian mappings instead of a single map used in [9]. In fact, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [3] Let $E$ be a real Banach space, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, $T_i : K \to K$, $(i = 1, 2)$ be two uniformly $L_i$-Lipschitzian mappings with $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \phi$, where $F(T_i)$ is the set of fixed points of $T_i$ in $K$ and $\rho$ be a point in $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$. Let $k_n \subset [1, \infty)$ be a sequence with $k_n \to 1$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$,
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$,
(iv) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(k_n - 1) < \infty$.

For any $x_1 \in K$, let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the iterative sequence defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T_1^n y_n,$$

$$y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T_2^n x_n.$$  

(2)

If there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$< T_1^n x_n - \rho, j(x_n - \rho) > \leq k_n(\|x_n - \rho\|^2 - \Phi(\|x_n - \rho\|))$$

for all $j(x - \rho) \in J(x - \rho)$ and $x \in K, (i = 1, 2)$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $\rho$.

The result above extends and improves the corresponding results of [9] from one uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping to two uniformly Lipschitzian asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings. In fact, if the iteration parameter $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in Theorem 1.1 above is equal to zero for all $n$ and $T_1 = T_2 = T$ then, we have the main result of Ofoedu [9].
Very recently, Kim, Sahu and Nam [7] used the notion of nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian to established a strong convergence result for asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mappings in a general Banach space. This result itself is a generalization of many of the previous results. (see, [7]). Indeed, they proved the following:

**Theorem 1.2.**[7] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $X$ and $T : C \to C$ a nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian mappings with sequence $(r_n)$ and asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping with sequence $(k_n)$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $(\alpha_n)$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the conditions:

(i) $(\frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_n})$ is bounded,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(k_n - 1) < \infty$.

Then the sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $C$ defined by (1) converges to a unique fixed point of $T$.

**Remark 1.1.** Although Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of many of the previous results but, we observed that, the proof process of Theorem 1.2 in [7] can be extend and improve upon.

In this paper, we employed a simple analytical approach to prove the convergence of the modified Mann iteration with errors for fixed points of uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping and improve the results of Kim, Sahu and Nam [7]. For this, we need the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.**[3] Let $X$ be a real Banach space and let $J : X \to 2^{X^*}$ be a normalized duality mapping. For all $x, y \in X$ and for all $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$. Then

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 < y, j(x + y) > .$$

**Lemma 1.2.**[8] Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be an increasing function with $\Phi(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ and let $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a positive real sequence satisfying

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0.$$

Suppose that $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a nonnegative real sequence. If there exists an integer $N_0 > 0$ satisfying

$$a_n^2 < a_{n+1}^2 + o(b_n) - b_n\Phi(a_{n+1}), \quad \forall n \geq N_0,$$

where

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{o(b_n)}{b_n} = 0,$$

then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

§2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $X$ and $T : C \to C$ a nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with sequence $(r_n)$. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying

(i) $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$,
(ii) $\frac{1}{b_n}$ is bounded,
(iii) $\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n = \infty$,
(iv) $c_n = o(b_n)$,
(v) $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$.

Let $T$ be asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping with sequence $k_n \in [1, \infty)$, $k_n \to 1$ such that $\rho \in F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence generated for $x_1 \in C$ by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n T^n x_n + c_n u_n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $C$ defined by (3) converges to a unique fixed point of $T$.

**Proof.** Since $T$ is a nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian, asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping, then there exists a strictly increasing continuous function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$||T^n x_n - T^n \rho|| \leq L(||x_n - \rho|| + r_n)$$

and

$$\langle T^n x_n - T^n \rho, j(x_n - \rho) \rangle \leq k_n ||x_n - \rho||^2 - \Phi(||x_n - \rho||) \quad (4)$$

for $x \in C$, $\rho \in F(T)$. Equation (3) reduces to

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - b_n)x_n + b_n T^n x_n + c_n (u_n - x_n), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Step 1. We first show that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence. For this, if $x_{n_0} = T x_{n_0}$, $n \geq 1$ then it clearly holds. So, let if possible, there exists a positive integer $x_{n_0} \in C$ such that $x_{n_0} \neq T x_{n_0}$, thus set $x_{n_0} = x_0$ and $a_0 = ||x_0 - T^n x_0|| ||x_0 - \rho|| + (k_n - 1)||x_0 - \rho||^2$. Thus by (4),

$$\langle T^n x_0 - T^n \rho, j(x - \rho) \rangle \leq k_n ||x_0 - \rho||^2 - \Phi(||x_0 - \rho||), \quad (6)$$

so that, on simplifying

$$||x_0 - \rho|| \leq \Phi^{-1}(a_0). \quad (7)$$

Now, we claim that $||x_n - \rho|| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)$, $n > 0$. Clearly, inview of (7), the claim holds for $n = 0$. We next assume that $||x_n - \rho|| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)$, for some $n$ and we shall prove that $||x_{n+1} - \rho|| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)$. Suppose this is not true, i.e. $||x_{n+1} - \rho|| > 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)$.

Since $\{r_n\} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ with $r_n \to 0$, $\frac{1}{r_n}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are bounded sequences, set $M_1 = \sup \{r_n : n \in N\}$, $M_3 = \sup \{\frac{1}{r_n} : n \in N\}$ and $M_2 = \sup \{u_n : n \in N\}$. Denote

$$\tau_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{\Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0))}{18(\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2}, \frac{\Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0))}{6(1 + L)(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + 2M_1 L + M_2 + \frac{M_1 M_2}{(1 + L)}} \right\}.$$ 

Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n, c_n = 0$, and $c_n = o(b_n)$, without loss of generality, let $0 \leq b_n, c_n, k_n - 1 \leq \tau_0, c_n < b_n \tau_0$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then we have the following estimates from (3).
\[
\|x_n - T^n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - \rho\| + \|T^n x_n - \rho\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\| + L(\|x_n - \rho\| + r_n)
\]
\[
\leq (1 + L)\|x_n - \rho\| + r_n L
\]
\[
\leq 2(1 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + M_1 L.
\]
\[
\|u_n - x_n\| = \|(u_n - \rho + \rho - x_n)\|
\]
\[
\leq \|u_n - \rho\| + \|x_n - \rho\|
\]
\[
\leq M_2 + 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0).
\]
\[
\|x_{n+1} - \rho\| \leq \|(1 - b_n)x_n + b_n T^n x_n + c_n (u_n - x_n) - \rho\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\| + b_n \|T^n x_n - x_n\| + c_n \|u_n - x_n\|
\]
\[
\leq 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + b_n [2(1 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + M_1 L]
\]
\[
+ c_n [M_2 + 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)]
\]
\[
\leq 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + \tau_0 [2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + M_1 L + M_2]
\]
\[
\leq 3\Phi^{-1}(a_0).
\]
\[
\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \tau_0 [2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) + 2M_1 L + M_2].
\]

Using Lemma 1.1 and the above estimates, we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n < x_n - T^n x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > + 2c_n < u_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) >
\]
\[
= \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n < x_{n+1} - \rho, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > - 2b_n < x_{n+1} - \rho, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > + 2b_n < T^n x_n - T^n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > + 2b_n < x_{n+1} - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > + 2c_n < u_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) >
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n (k_1 \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 - \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|)) - 2b_n \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 + 2b_n L(\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + r_n) \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|
\]
\[
+ 2b_n \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| + 2c_n \|u_n - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + 2b_n (k_1 - 1) \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|) + 2b_n \{(1 + L) \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + M_1 L\} \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|
\]
\[
+ 2c_n (M_2 + \|x_n - \rho\|) \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) + 18b_n (k_1 - 1)(\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2
\]
\[
+ 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0) (1 + L) \tau_0 (2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0)
\]
\[
+ M_1 L + M_2 + 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(M_2 + 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) \tau_0
\]
\[
= \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) + 18b_n \tau_0 (\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2
\]
This completes the proof.

\[ +6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(1 + L)\tau_0 \{2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) \]
\[ + M_1 L + M_2 + \frac{M_1 L}{(1 + L)\tau_0} \} + 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(M_2 + 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0))\tau_0, \]
\[ \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) + 18b_n \tau_0(\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2 \]
\[ + 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(1 + L)\tau_0 \{2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) \]
\[ + M_1 L + M_2 + \frac{M_1 L}{(1 + L)\tau_0} \} + 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(M_2 + 2\Phi^{-1}(a_0))\tau_0, \]
\[ = \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) + 18b_n \tau_0(\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2 \]
\[ + 6b_n \Phi^{-1}(a_0)(1 + L)\tau_0 \{2(2 + L)\Phi^{-1}(a_0) \]
\[ + M_1 \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - b_n \Phi(2\Phi^{-1}(a_0)) \]
\[ \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 \]
\[ \leq (2\Phi^{-1}(a_0))^2, \quad (10) \]

which is a contradiction. Hence \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a bounded sequence.

Step 2. We want to prove \( \|x_n - \rho\| \to \infty \). Since \( b_n, c_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \) and \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is bounded. From (9), we observed that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_n\| = 0 \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T^n x_n - T^n x_{n+1}\| = 0 \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (k_n - 1) = 0 \). So from (10), we have

\[ \|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n < x_n - T^n x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ + 2c_n < u_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ = \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + 2b_n < T^n x_{n+1} - \rho, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ - 2b_n < x_{n+1} - \rho, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ + 2b_n < T^n x_n - T^n x_{n+1}, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ + 2b_n < x_{n+1} - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ + 2c_n < u_n - x_n, j(x_{n+1} - \rho) > \]
\[ \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + 2b_n (k_n - 1)\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|) \]
\[ + 2b_n \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| \]
\[ + 2c_n\|u_n - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| \]
\[ = \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2b_n \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|) + o(b_n), \]

where

\[ 2b_n (k_n - 1)\|x_{n+1} - \rho\|^2 + 2b_n\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| \]
\[ + 2b_n \|T^n x_{n+1} - T^n x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| + 2c_n\|u_n - x_n\| \|x_{n+1} - \rho\| \]
\[ = o(b_n). \quad (11) \]

By Lemma 1.2, we obtain that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - \rho\| = 0. \]

This completes the proof.
Corollary 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $X$ and $T : C \to C$ a nearly uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with $\{r_n\}$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a real sequence in $[0, 1]$ satisfying:

(i) $\frac{1}{\alpha_n}$ is bounded,
(ii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$,
(iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

Let $T$ be asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping with sequence $k_n \subset [1, \infty)$, $k_n \to 1$ such that $\rho \in F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the sequence generated for $x_1 \in C$ by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nT^n x_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (12)$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C$ defined by (12) converges to a unique fixed point of $T$.

Remark 2.1. Our Corollary removes the conditions in Theorem 2.1 of [7], (i.e. $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^2 < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n(k_n-1) < \infty$) by replacing them with a weaker condition $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$. Therefore our result extends and improves the very recent results of Kim [7] which in turn is a correction, improvement and generalization of several results.

Application 2.1. Let $X = R, C = [0, 1]$ and $T : C \to C$ be a map defined by

$$Tx = \frac{x}{4}.$$  

Clearly, $T$ is nearly uniformly Lipschitzian ($r_n = \frac{1}{4^n}$) with $F(T) = 0$.

Define $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{t^2}{4},$$

then $\Phi$ is a strictly increasing function with $\Phi(0) = 0$. For all $x \in C$, $\rho \in F(T)$, we get

$$< T^n x - T^n \rho, j(x - \rho) > = < \frac{x^n}{4^n} - 0, j(x - 0) > = < \frac{x^n}{4^n} - 0, x > = \frac{x^{n+1}}{4^n} \leq x^2 - \frac{x^2}{4} \leq x^2 - \Phi(x).$$

Obviously, $T$ is asymptotically generalized $\Phi$-hemicontractive mapping with sequence $\{k_n\} = 1$. If we take $b_n = \frac{1}{n+1}, \ c_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For arbitrary $x_1 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C$ defined by (3) converges strongly to the unique fixed point $\rho \in T$. 
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§1. Introduction

Throughout \( w, \chi \) and \( \Lambda \) denote the classes of all, gai and analytic scalar valued single sequences, respectively. We write \( w^2 \) for the set of all complex sequences \( (x_{mn}) \), where \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), the set of positive integers. Then, \( w^2 \) is a linear space under the coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication.

Some initial work on double sequence spaces is found in Bromwich [4]. Later on, they were investigated by Hardy [5], Moricz [9], Moricz and Rhoades [10], Basarir and Solankan [2], Tripathy [17], Turkmenoglu [19], and many others.

Let us define the following sets of double sequences:

\[
\mathcal{M}_u (t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \sup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{mn}| t^{-mn} < \infty \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_p (t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{mn} - l| t^{-mn} = 1 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{0p} (t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{mn}| t^{-mn} = 1 \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_u (t) := \{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn}| t^{-mn} < \infty \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{0p} (t) := \mathcal{C}_p (t) \cap \mathcal{M}_u (t) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{0bp} (t) = \mathcal{C}_{0p} (t) \cap \mathcal{M}_u (t);
\]

where \( t = (t_{mn}) \) is the sequence of strictly positive reals \( t_{mn} \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \) denotes the limit in the Pringsheim’s sense. In the case \( t_{mn} = 1 \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \mathcal{M}_u (t), \mathcal{C}_p (t), \mathcal{C}_{0p} (t), \mathcal{L}_u (t), \mathcal{C}_{0bp} (t) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{0bp} (t) \) reduce to the sets \( \mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{0p}, \mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{C}_{0bp} \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{0bp} \), respectively. Now, we may summarize the knowledge given in some document related to the double sequence spaces. Gökhan and Colak [21,22] have proved that \( \mathcal{M}_u (t) \) and
\( C_p(t), C_{bp}(t) \) are complete paranormed spaces of double sequences and gave the \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \)-duals of the spaces \( M_u(t) \) and \( C_p(t) \). Quite recently, in her PhD thesis, Zelter [23] has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely [24] have recently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesàro summable double sequences. Nextly, Mursaleen [25] and Mursaleen and Edely [26] have defined the almost strong regularity of matrices for double sequences and applied these matrices to establish a core theorem and introduced the \( M \)-core for double sequences and determined those four dimensional matrices transforming every bounded double sequences \( x = (x_{ij}) \) into one whose core is a subset of the \( M \)-core of \( x \). More recently, Altay and Başar [27] have defined the spaces \( BS, BS(t), CS_p, CS_{bp}, CS_r \) and \( BV \) of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums are in the spaces \( M_u, M_u(t), C_p, C_{bp}, C_r \) and \( L_u \), respectively, and also have examined some properties of those sequence spaces and determined the \( \alpha \)-duals of the spaces \( BS, BV, CS_{bp} \) and the \( \beta(\vartheta) \)-duals of the spaces \( CS_{bp} \) and \( CS_r \) of double series. Quite recently Basar and Sever [28] have introduced the Banach space \( L_u \) of double sequences corresponding to the well-known space \( t_q \) of single sequences and have examined some properties of the space \( L_u \). Quite recently Subramanian and Misra [29] have studied the space \( \chi_{2d}(p,q,u) \) of double sequences and have given some inclusion relations.

Spaces are strongly summable sequences was discussed by Kuttner [31], Maddox [32], and others. The class of sequences which are strongly Cesàro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced by Maddox [8] as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesàro summable sequences. Connor [33] further extended this definition to a definition of strong \( A \)-summability with respect to a modulus where \( A = (a_{n,k}) \) is a nonnegative regular matrix and established some connections between strong \( A \)-summability, strong \( A \)-summability with respect to a modulus, and \( A \)-statistical convergence. In [34] the notion of convergence of double sequences was presented by A. Pringsheim. Also, in [35]-[38], and [39] the four dimensional matrix transformation \((Ax)_{k,t} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kn}^{m} x_{mn}\) was studied extensively by Robison and Hamilton. In their work and throughout this paper, the four dimensional matrices and double sequences have real-valued entries unless specified otherwise. In this paper we extend a few results known in the literature for ordinary (single) sequence spaces to multiply sequence spaces.

We need the following inequality in the sequel of the paper. For \( a, b \geq 0 \) and \( 0 < p < 1 \), we have
\[
(a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p. \tag{1}
\]

The double series \( \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn} \) is called convergent if and only if the double sequence \( (s_{mn}) \) is convergent, where \( s_{mn} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_{ij}(m, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) (see [1]).

A sequence \( x = (x_{mn}) \) is said to be double analytic if \( \sup_{m,n} |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty \). The vector space of all double analytic sequences will be denoted by \( \Lambda^2 \). A sequence \( x = (x_{mn}) \) is called double gai sequence if \( (m+n)! |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \). The double gai sequences will be denoted by \( \chi^2 \). Let \( \phi = \{ \text{all finite sequences} \} \).

Consider a double sequence \( x = (x_{ij}) \). The \((m,n)^{th} \) section \( x^{[m,n]} \) of the sequence is defined by \( x^{[m,n]} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} x_{ij} \delta_{ij} \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( \delta_{ij} \) denotes the double sequence whose only non zero term is \( 1 \) in the \((i,j)^{th} \) place for each \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \).
An FK-space (or a metric space) $X$ is said to have AK property if $(3_{mn})$ is a Schauder basis for $X$. Or equivalently $x^{[m,n]} \to x$.

An FDK-space is a double sequence space endowed with a complete metrizable, locally convex topology under which the coordinate mappings $x = (x_k) \to (x_{mn}) (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ are also continuous.

If $X$ is a sequence space, we give the following definitions:

(i) $X^*$ = the continuous dual of $X$,

(ii) $X^\alpha = \{a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}x_{mn}| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X\}$,

(iii) $X^\beta = \{a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}x_{mn} \text{ is convergent, for each } x \in X\}$,

(iv) $X^\gamma = \{a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{m,n=1} a_{mn}x_{mn} \leq 1 \sum_{m,n=1}^{M,N} |a_{mn}x_{mn}| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X\}$,

(v) Let $X$ be an FK-space, then $X^f = \{f(3_{mn}) : f \in X^*\}$.

(vi) $X^a$, $X^\beta$, $X^\gamma$ are called $\alpha$- (or Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of $X$, $\beta$- (or generalized-Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of $X$, $\gamma$-dual of $X$ respectively. $X^\alpha$ is defined by Gupta and Kamptan \cite{30}. It is clear that $x^\alpha \subset X^\beta$ and $X^\alpha \subset X^\gamma$, but $X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$ does not hold, since the sequence of partial sums of a double convergent series need not to be bounded.

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz \cite{30} as follows

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\},$$

for $Z = c$, $c_0$ and $\ell_\infty$, where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here $c$, $c_0$ and $\ell_\infty$ denote the classes of convergent, null and bounded scalar valued single sequences respectively. The difference space $b_{vp}$ of the classical space $\ell_p$ is introduced and studied in the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by Başar and Altay in \cite{42} and in the case $0 < p < 1$ by Altay and Başar in \cite{43}. The spaces $c(\Delta)$, $c_0(\Delta)$, $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$ and $b_{vp}$ are Banach spaces normed by

$$\|x\| = |x_1| + \sup_{k \geq 1} |\Delta x_k| \text{ and } \|x\|_{b_{vp}} = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p)^{1/p}, (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

Later on the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following double sequence spaces defined by

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\},$$

where $Z = A^2$, $\chi^2$ and $\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{mn+1}) - (x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{mn+1} - x_{m+1n} + x_{m+1n+1}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

§2. Definitions and preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A sequence $X$ is $\nu$-invariant if $X_\nu = X$ where $X_\nu = \{x = (x_{mn}) : (v_{mn}x_{mn}) \in X\}$, where $X = A^2$ and $\chi^2$.

**Definition 2.2.** We say that a sequence space $\Delta^2(X)$ is $\nu$-invariant if $\Delta^2_{\nu}(X) = \Delta^2(X)$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $A = \{a^{mn}_{k,\ell}\}$ denotes a four dimensional summability method that maps the complex double sequences $x$ into the double sequence $Ax$ where the $k$, $\ell$-th term to $Ax$ is as follows:
such transformation is said to be nonnegative if $a_{kl}^{mn}$ is nonnegative.

The notion of regularity for two dimensional matrix transformations was presented by Silverman [40] and Toeplitz [41]. Following Silverman and Toeplitz, Robison and Hamilton presented the following four dimensional analog of regularity for double sequences in which they both added an additional assumption of boundedness. This assumption was made because a double sequence which is $P$-convergent is not necessarily bounded.

§3. Main results

**Lemma 3.1.** \( \sup_{mn} |x_{mn} - x_{m+1n+1} + x_{m+1n} + x_{m+n+1}|^{1/m+n} < \infty \) if and only if

(i) \( \sup_{mn} (mn)^{-1} |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty \),

(ii) \( \sup_{mn} |x_{mn} - (mn)(mn+1)^{-1} x_{mn+1} - (mn)(m+1n)^{-1} x_{m+1n+1} + (mn)(m+1n+1)^{-1} x_{m+n+1}|^{1/m+n} < \infty \).

If we consider Lemma (3.1), then we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.**

\( \sup_{mn} \left| \frac{v_{mn}}{w_{mn}} - (mn+1)^{-1} \frac{v_{mn+1}}{w_{mn+1}} \right| \left| \frac{v_{m+1n+1}}{w_{m+1n+1}} + (mn)(m+1n+1)^{-1} \frac{v_{m+n+1}}{w_{m+n+1}} \right|^{1/m+n} < \infty \),

if and only if

(i) \( \sup_{mn} \left| \frac{v_{mn}}{w_{mn}} \right|^{1/m+n} < \infty \),

(ii) \( \sup_{mn} \left| \frac{v_{mn}}{w_{mn}} - \frac{v_{mn+1}}{w_{mn+1}} - \frac{v_{m+1n+1}}{w_{m+1n+1}} + \frac{v_{m+n+1}}{w_{m+n+1}} \right|^{1/m+n} < \infty \).

**Theorem 3.1.** \( \Delta^2_w (\Lambda^2) \subset \Delta^2_v (\Lambda^2) \) if and only if the matrix $A = (a_{kl}^{mn})$ maps $\Lambda^2$ into $\Lambda^2$ where

\[
a_{kl}^{mn} = \begin{cases} 
1 + \frac{v_{i+1j+1} + v_{i+1j} + v_{ij+1} - v_{ij}}{w_{i+1j+1}}, & \text{if } m, n = i+1, j+1, \\
\frac{v_{i+1j}}{w_{i+1j+1}}, & \text{if } m, n = i+1, j, \\
\frac{v_{ij+1}}{w_{ij+j+1}}, & \text{if } m, n = i+1, j, \\
0, & \text{if } m, n \geq i+2, j+2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Let $y \in \Lambda^2$. Define

\[
x_{ij} = -\sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{n=1}^{j} \frac{|y_{mn}|^{1/m+n}}{w_{pq}}.
\]
Then \( w_{ij}x_{ij} - w_{ij+1}x_{ij+1} - w_{i+jx_{i+j+1} + w_{i+j+1}x_{i+j+1}} \in \Lambda^2 \). Hence \( \Delta^2_w x \in \Lambda^2 \), so by assumption \( \Delta_w x \in \Lambda^2 \). It follows that \( Ay \in \Lambda^2 \). This shows that \( A = (a^{nm}_{k\ell}) \) maps \( \Lambda^2 \) into \( \Lambda^2 \).

Let \( x \in \Delta_w (\Lambda^2) \), hence \( (w_{ij}x_{ij} - w_{ij+1}x_{ij+1} - w_{i+jx_{i+j+1} + w_{i+j+1}x_{i+j+1}}) \in \Lambda^2 \). Then also \( y = (w_{i-j-1}x_{i-j-1} - w_{i-jx_{i-j} - w_{ij-i}x_{ij-1} + w_{ij}x_{ij}) \in \Lambda^2 \), where \( w_{-1} = x_{-1} = 0 \). By assumption we have \( Ay \in \Lambda^2 \). Hence \( x \in \Delta^2_w (\Lambda^2) \). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be sequence spaces and assume that \( X \) is such that a sequence

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} & 0 \\
  x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} & 0 \\
  \vdots &  &  & \ddots &  & \vdots \\
  x_{m1} & x_{m2} & x_{m3} & \cdots & x_{mn} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

belongs to \( X \) if and only if the sequence

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} & 0 \\
  x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{3n} & 0 \\
  \vdots &  &  & \ddots &  & \vdots \\
  x_{m1} & x_{m2} & x_{m3} & \cdots & x_{mn} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then we have \( \Delta^2_w (X) \subset \Delta^2 (Y) \) if and only if the matrix \( A = (a^{nm}_{k\ell}) \) maps \( X \) into \( Y \), where \( A = (a^{nm}_{k\ell}) \) is defined by equation (2).

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem (3.3).

**Corollary 3.2.** We have \( \Delta^2_w (\Lambda^2) \subset \Delta^2 (\Lambda^2) \) if and only if

\[
\sup_{mn} \left| \frac{v_{mn}}{w_{mn}} - mn + \frac{v_{mn+1}}{w_{mn+1}} - m + \frac{v_{m+1}}{w_{m+1}} + m + \frac{v_{m+1}}{w_{m+1}} \right|^{1/m+n} < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.3, the well-known characterization of matrices mapping \( \Lambda^2 \) into \( \Lambda^2 \) and corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** We have \( \Delta^2_w (\chi^2) \subset \Delta^2 (\chi^2) \) if and only if

\[
((m + n)!) \left| \frac{v_{mn}}{w_{mn}} - mn + \frac{v_{mn+1}}{w_{mn+1}} - m + \frac{v_{m+1}}{w_{m+1}} + m + \frac{v_{m+1}}{w_{m+1}} \right|^{1/m+n}
\]

\( \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \).

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.5, the well-known characterization of matrices mapping \( \chi^2 \) into \( \chi^2 \) and corollary 3.2.

If we consider corollary 3.5 and corollary 3.6, then we have necessary and sufficient conditions for the \( v \)-invariant of \( \Delta^2 (\Lambda^2) \) and \( \Delta^2 (\chi^2) \).
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§1. Introduction

Fuzzy set (FS) as proposed by Zadeh [13] in 1965, is a framework to encounter uncertainty, vagueness and partial truth and it represents a degree of membership for each member of the universe of discourse to a subset of it. After the introduction of fuzzy topology by Chang [3] in 1968, there have been several generalizations of notions of fuzzy sets and fuzzy topology. By adding the degree of non-membership to FS, Atanassov [1] proposed intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) in 1986 which looks more accurate to uncertainty quantification and provides the opportunity to precisely model the problem based on the existing knowledge and observations. In 1997, Coker [4] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. In this paper, we introduce a new class of intuitionistic fuzzy topological space called weakly generalized compact space, almost weakly generalized compact space using intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open sets and nearly weakly generalized compact space using intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed sets and study some of their properties.
§2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.**[1] Let $X$ be a non empty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS in short) $A$ in $X$ is an object having the form $A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$ where the functions $\mu_A(x) : X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A(x) : X \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set $A$, respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$.

**Definition 2.2.**[1] Let $A$ and $B$ be IFSs of the forms $A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$ and $B = \{ (x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x)) : x \in X \}$. Then

(i) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.

(iii) $A^c = \{ (x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$.

(iv) $A \cap B = \{ (x, \mu_A(x) \land \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \lor \nu_B(x)) : x \in X \}$.

(v) $A \cup B = \{ (x, \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \land \nu_B(x)) : x \in X \}$.

For the sake of simplicity, the notation $A = (x, \mu_A, \nu_A)$ shall be used instead of $A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$. Also for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = (x, (\mu_A, \mu_B), (\nu_A, \nu_B))$ instead of $A = (x, (\mu_A, \mu_B), (\nu_A, \nu_B))$.

The intuitionistic fuzzy sets $0_\infty = \{ (x, 0, 1) : x \in X \}$ and $1_\infty = \{ (x, 1, 0) : x \in X \}$ are the empty set and the whole set of $X$, respectively.

**Definition 2.3.**[4] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT in short) on a non empty set $X$ is a family $\tau$ of IFSs in $X$ satisfying the following axioms:

(i) $0_\infty, 1_\infty \in \tau$.

(ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$.

(iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{ G_i : i \in J \} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case, the pair $(X, \tau)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS in short) and any IFS in $\tau$ is known as an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS in short) in $X$.

The complement $A^c$ of an IFOSA in an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS in short) in $X$.

**Definition 2.4.**[4] Let $(X, \tau)$ be an IFTS and $A = (x, \mu_A, \nu_A)$ be an IFS in $X$. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy interior and an intuitionistic fuzzy closure are defined by

$$\text{int}(A) = \cup \{ G/G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},$$

$$\text{cl}(A) = \cap \{ K/K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}.$$  

Note that for any IFS $A$ in $(X, \tau)$, we have $\text{cl}(A^c) = (\text{int}(A))^c$ and $\text{int}(A^c) = (\text{cl}(A))^c$.

**Definition 2.5.**[5] An IFS $A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$ in an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed set (IFWGCS in short) if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is an IFOS in $X$.

The family of all IFWGCSs of an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is denoted by $\text{IFWGCS}(X)$.

**Definition 2.6.**[5] An IFS $A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open set (IFWGOS in short) in $(X, \tau)$ if the complement $A^c$ is an IFWGCS in $(X, \tau)$.

The family of all IFWGOSs of an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is denoted by $\text{IFWGOS}(X)$.
Result 2.1.\textsuperscript{[6]} Every IFCS, IFoCS, IFGCS, IFRCS, IFPCS, IFoGCS is an IFWGCS but the converses need not be true in general.

Definition 2.7.\textsuperscript{[6]} Let \((X, \tau)\) be an IFTS and \(A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle\) be an IF in \(X\). Then the intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized interior and an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closure are defined by

\[ wgint(A) = \bigcup \{G/G \text{ is an IFWGOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}, \]

\[ wgcd(A) = \cap \{K/K \text{ is an IFWGCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}. \]

Definition 2.8. Let \(f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)\) be a mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) into an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \(f\) is said to be

(i) Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized continuous \textsuperscript{[7]} (IFWG continuous in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFWGOS in \(X\) for every IFOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

(ii) Intuitionistic fuzzy quasi weakly generalized continuous \textsuperscript{[8]} (IF quasi WG continuous in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFOS in \(X\) for every IFWGOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

(iii) Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized irresolute \textsuperscript{[6]} (IFWG irresolute in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFWGOS in \(X\) for every IFWGOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

(iv) Intuitionistic fuzzy perfectly weakly generalized continuous \textsuperscript{[10]} (IF perfectly WG continuous in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy clopen set in \(X\) for every IFWGOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

(v) Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized * open mapping \textsuperscript{[9]} (IFWG*OM in short) if \(f(B)\) is an IFWGOS in \(Y\) for every IFWGOS \(B\) in \(X\).

(vi) Intuitionistic fuzzy contra weakly generalized continuous \textsuperscript{[11]} (IF contra WG continuous in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFWGCS in \(X\) for every IFOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

(vii) Intuitionistic fuzzy contra weakly generalized irresolute \textsuperscript{[12]} (IF contra WG irresolute in short) if \(f^{-1}(B)\) is an IFWGCS in \(X\) for every IFWGOS \(B\) in \(Y\).

Definition 2.9.\textsuperscript{[2]} Let \((X, \tau)\) be an IFTS. A family \(\{\langle x, \mu_{Gi}, \nu_{Gi} \rangle : i \in I \}\) of IFOSs in \(X\) satisfying the condition \(1_{\sim} = \bigcup \{\langle x, \mu_{Gi}, \nu_{Gi} \rangle : i \in I \}\) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \(X\).

Definition 2.10.\textsuperscript{[3]} A finite sub family of an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover \(\{\langle x, \mu_{Gi}, \nu_{Gi} \rangle : i \in I \}\) of \(X\) which is also an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \(X\) is called a finite sub cover of \(\{\langle x, \mu_{Gi}, \nu_{Gi} \rangle : i \in I \}\).

Definition 2.11.\textsuperscript{[2]} An IFTS \((X, \tau)\) is called intuitionistic fuzzy compact if every intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \(X\) has a finite sub cover.

Definition 2.12.\textsuperscript{[2]} An IFTS \((X, \tau)\) is called intuitionistic fuzzy Lindelöf if each intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \(X\) has a countable sub cover for \(X\).

Definition 2.13.\textsuperscript{[2]} An IFTS \((X, \tau)\) is called intuitionistic fuzzy countable compact if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \(X\) has a finite sub cover for \(X\).

Definition 2.14. An IFTS \((X, \tau)\) is said to be

(i) Intuitionistic fuzzy \(S\)-closed \textsuperscript{[2]} if each intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed cover of \(X\) has a finite sub cover for \(X\).

(ii) Intuitionistic fuzzy \(S\)-Lindelöf \textsuperscript{[2]} if each intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed cover of \(X\) has a countable sub cover for \(X\).
(iii) Intuitionistic fuzzy countable $S$-closed [2] if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy regular closed cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover for $X$.

**Definition 2.15.** An IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be
(i) Intuitionistic fuzzy strongly $S$-closed [2] if each intuitionistic fuzzy closed cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover for $X$.
(ii) Intuitionistic fuzzy strongly $S$-Lindelof [2] if each intuitionistic fuzzy closed cover of $X$ has a countable sub cover for $X$.
(iii) Intuitionistic fuzzy countable strongly $S$-closed [2] if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy closed cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover for $X$.

**Definition 2.16.** An IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be
(i) Intuitionistic fuzzy almost compact [2] if each intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover the closure of whose members cover $X$.
(ii) Intuitionistic fuzzy almost Lindelof [2] if each intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of $X$ has a countable sub cover the closure of whose members cover $X$.
(iii) Intuitionistic fuzzy countable almost compact [2] if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover the closure of whose members cover $X$.

§3. Intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact spaces

In this section, we introduce a new class of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces called weakly generalized compact spaces, almost weakly generalized compact spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open sets and nearly weakly generalized compact spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed sets and study some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an IFTS. A family $\{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$ of IFWGOs in $X$ satisfying the condition $1_{\sim} = \cup \{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of $X$.

**Definition 3.2.** A finite sub family of an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover $\{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$ of $X$ which is also an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of $X$ is called a finite sub cover of $\{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$.

**Definition 3.3.** An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A$ of an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to $X$ if every collection $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open subset of $X$ such that $A \subseteq \cup \{A_i : i \in I\}$, there exists a finite subset $I_0$ of $I$ such that $A \subseteq \cup \{A_i : i \in I_0\}$.

**Definition 3.4.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be an IFTS. A family $\{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$ of IFWGCSs in $X$ satisfying the condition $1_{\sim} = \cup \{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \nu_{G_i} \rangle : i \in I\}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of $X$.

**Definition 3.5.** An IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact if every intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of $X$ has a finite sub cover.

**Definition 3.6.** An IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof if each intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of $X$ has a countable sub cover for $X$. 
Definition 3.7. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy countably weakly generalized compact if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \( X \) has a finite sub cover for \( X \).

Definition 3.8. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact if each intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \( X \) has a finite sub cover.

Definition 3.9. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof if each intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \( X \) has a countable sub cover for \( X \).

Definition 3.10. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countably weakly generalized compact if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \( X \) has a finite sub cover for \( X \).

Definition 3.11. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy almost weakly generalized compact if each intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \( X \) has a finite sub cover the closure of whose members cover \( X \).

Definition 3.12. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy almost weakly generalized Lindelof if each intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \( X \) has a countable sub cover the closure of whose members cover \( X \).

Definition 3.13. An \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy almost countably weakly generalized compact if each countable intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \( X \) has a finite sub cover the closure of whose members cover \( X \).

Theorem 3.1. An intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed crisp subset of an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact space is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to \( X \).

Proof. Let \( A \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed crisp subset of an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact space \((X, \tau)\). Then \( A^c \) is an IFWGS in \( X \). Let \( S \) be a cover of \( A \) by IFWGS in \( X \). Then, the family \( \{S, A^c\} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \( X \). Since \( X \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact space, it has finite sub cover say \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\} \). If this sub cover contains \( A^c \), we discard it. Otherwise leave the sub cover as it is. Thus we obtained a finite intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open sub cover of \( A \). Therefore \( A \) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to \( X \).

Theorem 3.2. Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IFWG continuous mapping from an \( IFTS \) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \( IFTS \) \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy compact.

Proof. Let \( \{A_i : i \in I\} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \( L_\sim = \cup_{i \in I} A_i \). From the relation, \( L_\sim = f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \) follows that \( L_\sim = \cup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i) \), so \( \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots f^{-1}(A_n)\} \).
Therefore $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i)$ Hence

$$1_\sim = f \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f \left( f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i.$$ 

That is, $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ is a finite sub cover of $(Y, \sigma)$. Hence $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFWG continuous mapping from an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ onto an IFTS $(Y, \sigma)$. If $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof, then $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be an IF quasi WG continuous mapping from an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ onto an IFTS $(Y, \sigma)$. If $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy compact, then $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

**Proof.** Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of $(Y, \sigma)$. Then $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. From the relation, $1_\sim = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$ follows that $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$, so $\{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of $(X, \tau)$. Since $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, $\{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n)\}$. Therefore $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i)$. Hence

$$1_\sim = f \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f \left( f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i,$$

That is, $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ is a finite sub cover of $(Y, \sigma)$. Hence $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be an IF perfectly WG continuous mapping from an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ onto an IFTS $(Y, \sigma)$. If $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy compact, then $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.4.** If $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is an IFWG irresolute mapping and an intuitionistic fuzzy subset $B$ of an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to an IFTS $(X, \tau)$, then the image $f(B)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to $(Y, \sigma)$.

**Proof.** Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be any collection IFWGOSs of $(Y, \sigma)$ such that $f(B) \subseteq \bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\}$. Since $f$ is IFWG irresolute, $B \subseteq \bigcup \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ where $f^{-1}(A_i)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover in $(X, \tau)$ for each $i$. Since $B$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to $(X, \tau)$, there exists a finite subset $I_0$ of $I$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I_0\}$. Therefore $f(B) \subseteq \bigcup \{A_i : I \in I_0\}$. Hence $f(B)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact relative to $(Y, \sigma)$.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be an IFWG irresolute mapping from an IFTS $(X, \tau)$ onto an IFTS $(Y, \sigma)$. If $(X, \tau)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, then $(Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

**Proof.** Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of $(Y, \sigma)$. Then $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. From the relation, $1_\sim = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$ follows that $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i)$,
so \( \{ f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I \} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{ f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n) \} \). Therefore \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \). Hence
\[
1_\sim = f \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f(f^{-1}(A_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i.
\]
That is, \( \{ A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \} \) is a finite sub cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IFWG irresolute mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

(ii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

(iii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

(iv) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countable weakly generalized compact.

(v) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG continuous mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy compact.

**Proof.** Let \( \{ A_i : i \in I \} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \). From the relation, \( 1_\sim = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \) follows that \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i) \), so \( \{ f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I \} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{ f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n) \} \). Therefore \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \). Hence
\[
1_\sim = f \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f(f^{-1}(A_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i.
\]
That is, \( \{ A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \} \) is a finite sub cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy compact.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG continuous mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy compact.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG continuous mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy strongly S-closed.

**Proof.** Let \( \{ A_i : i \in I \} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy closed cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \). From the relation, \( 1_\sim = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \) follows that \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i) \), so \( \{ f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I \} \) is
an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover \(\{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n)\}\). Therefore \(1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i)\). Hence

\[
1_\sim = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i)\right) = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(A_i)\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i.
\]

That is, \(\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}\) is a finite sub cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy strongly \(S\)-closed.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be an IF contra \(WG\) continuous mapping from an \(IFTS\) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \(IFTS\) \((Y, \sigma)\). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized strongly \(S\)-Lindelof.

(ii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable strongly \(S\)-closed.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be an IF contra \(WG\) continuous mapping from an \(IFTS\) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \(IFTS\) \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact (respectively, intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof, intuitionistic fuzzy countable weakly generalized compact), then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy \(S\)-closed (respectively, intuitionistic fuzzy \(S\)-Lindelof, intuitionistic fuzzy countable \(S\)-closed).

**Proof.** It follows from the statement that each \(IFRCS\) is an \(IFCS\).

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be an IF contra \(WG\) continuous mapping from an \(IFTS\) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \(IFTS\) \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost compact.

**Proof.** Let \(\{A_i : i \in I\}\) be an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \(1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\). It follows that \(1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} cl(A_i)\). From the relation, \(1_\sim = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} cl(A_i)\right)\) follows that \(1_\sim = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(cl(A_i))\), so \(\{f^{-1}(cl(A_i)) : i \in I\}\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \(\{f^{-1}(cl(A_1)), f^{-1}(cl(A_2)), \ldots, f^{-1}(cl(A_n))\}\). Therefore \(1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(cl(A_i))\). Hence

\[
1_\sim = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}(cl(A_i))\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f\left(f^{-1}(cl(A_i))\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} cl(A_i).
\]

Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost compact.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be an IF contra \(WG\) continuous mapping from an \(IFTS\) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \(IFTS\) \((Y, \sigma)\). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost compact.

(ii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable almost compact.

**Proof.** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be an \(IFWG^*\) open bijective mapping from an \(IFTS\) \((X, \tau)\) onto an \(IFTS\) \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, then \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.
Proof. Let \( \{A_i : i \in I\} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((X, \tau)\). Then \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \). From the relation, \( 1^- = f(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \) follows that \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} f(A_i) \), so \( \{f(A_i) : i \in I\} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Since \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{f(A_1), f(A_2), \ldots, f(A_n)\} \). Therefore, \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i) \). Hence
\[
1^- = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f(A_i)\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(f(A_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.
\]
That is, \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\} \) is a finite sub cover of \((X, \tau)\). Hence \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

Theorem 3.11. Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG irresolute mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

Proof. Let \( \{A_i : i \in I\} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \). From the relation, \( 1^- = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right) \) follows that \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(A_i) \), so \( \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I\} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}(A_n)\} \). Therefore, \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i) \). Hence
\[
1^- = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(A_i)\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(A_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.
\]
That is, \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\} \) is a finite sub cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact.

Corollary 3.7. Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG irresolute mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof.

(ii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable weakly generalized compact.

(iii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

(iv) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized Lindelof.

(v) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.12. Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG irresolute mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost weakly generalized compact.

Proof. Let \( \{A_i : i \in I\} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized open cover of \((Y, \sigma)\). Then \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \). It follows that \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} cl(A_i) \). From the relation, \( 1^- = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} cl(A_i)\right) \) follows that \( 1^- = \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(cl(A_i)) \), so \( \{f^{-1}(cl(A_i)) : i \in I\} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy weakly generalized closed cover of \((X, \tau)\). Since \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized compact,
compact, there exists a finite sub cover say, \( \{ f^{-1}cl(A_1), f^{-1}cl(A_2), \ldots, f^{-1}cl(A_n) \} \). Therefore \( 1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}cl(A_i) \). Hence
\[
1_\sim = f \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f^{-1}cl(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f \left( f^{-1}cl(A_i) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} cl(A_i).
\]

Hence \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost weakly generalized compact.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) be an IF contra WG irresolute mapping from an IFTS \((X, \tau)\) onto an IFTS \((Y, \sigma)\). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly weakly generalized Lindelof, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost weakly generalized Lindelof.

(ii) If \((X, \tau)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy nearly countable weakly generalized compact, then \((Y, \sigma)\) is intuitionistic fuzzy almost countable weakly generalized compact.

**Proof.** Obvious.
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Abstract We further study the fantastic filter and normal filter of $BL$-algebras. By studying the equivalent condition of fantastic filter, we reveal the relation between fantastic filter and normal filter of $BL$-algebras and we solve two open problems that “Under what suitable condition a normal filter becomes a fantastic filter?” and “(Extension property for a normal filter) Under what suitable condition extension property for normal filter holds? ”.
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§1. Introduction

The origin of $BL$-algebras is in Mathematical Logic. Hájek introduced $BL$-algebras as algebraic structures for his Basic Logic in order to investigate many-valued logic by algebraic means [3,9]. They play the role of Lindenbaum algebras from classical propositional calculus. The main example of a $BL$-algebra is the interval $[0,1]$ endowed with the structure induced by a continuous $t$-norm. $MV$-algebras, Gödel algebras and Product algebras are the most known classes of $BL$-algebras [4].

In studying of algebras, filters theory plays an important role. From logical point of view, various filters correspond to various sets of provable formulae[11]. In [4], [5] and [8], the notions of prime filter, Boolean filter, implicative filters, normal filters, fantastic filters and positive implicative filters in $BL$-algebras were proposed, and the properties of the filters were investigated. In [2], several different filters of residuated lattices and triangle algebras were defined and their mutual dependencies and connections were examined. In [1], [6] and [12], filters of pseudo $MV$-algebras, pseudo $BL$-algebras, pseudo effect algebras and pseudo hoops were further studied. We found that filters are a useful tool to obtain results of $BL$-algebras.
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In Section 2, we present some basic definitions and results on filters and $BL$-algebras, then we introduce the some kinds of filters in $BL$-algebras.

In [8], Saeid and Motamed proposed two open problems that “Under what suitable condition a normal filter becomes a fantastic filter?” and “(Extension property for a normal filter) Under what suitable condition extension property for normal filter holds?” in $BL$-algebras. In Section 3, by studying the equivalent condition of fantastic filter, we reveal the relation between fantastic filter and normal filter of $BL$-algebras and we solve the open problems.

§2. Main results of summation formula

Here we recall some definitions and results which will be needed.

**Definition 2.1.** A $BL$-algebra is an algebra $(A, \lor, \land, \circ, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ such that $(A, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice, $(A, \circ, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in A$,

(A1) $x \circ y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$,

(A2) $x \land y = x \circ (x \rightarrow y)$,

(A3) $(x \rightarrow y) \lor (y \rightarrow x) = 1$.

**Proposition 2.1.** In a $BL$-algebra $A$, the following properties hold for all $x, y, z \in A$,

(1) $y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)$,

(2) $1 \rightarrow x = x$,

(3) $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y = 1$,

(4) $x \lor y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \land ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$,

(5) $x \leq y \Rightarrow y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$,

(6) $x \leq y \Rightarrow z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y$,

(7) $x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)$,

(8) $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,

(9) $x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$.

**Definition 2.2.** A filter of a $BL$-algebra $A$ is a nonempty subset $F$ of $A$ such that for all $x, y \in A$,

(F1) if $x, y \in F$, then $x \circ y \in F$,

(F2) if $x \in F$ and $x \leq y$, then $y \in F$.

It is easy to prove the following equivalent conditions of filter in a $BL$-algebra.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a $BL$-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is a filter of $A$ if and only if the following conditions hold

(1) $1 \in F$,

(2) $x, x \rightarrow y \in F$ implies $y \in F$.

A filter $F$ of a $BL$-algebra $A$ is proper if $F \neq A$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $A$ be a $BL$-algebra. A filter $F$ of $A$ is called normal if for any $x, y, z \in A$, $z \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \in F$ and $z \in F$ imply $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \in F$.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a $BL$-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is called a fantastic filter of $A$ if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following conditions hold

(1) $1 \in F$,
(2) $z \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \in F$, $z \in F$ implies $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \in F$.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a BL-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is called an implicative filter of $A$ if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following conditions hold

1. $1 \in F$,
2. $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \in F, x \rightarrow y \in F$ imply $x \rightarrow z \in F$.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $F$ be a nonempty subset of a BL-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is called a positive implicative filter of $A$ if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following conditions hold

1. $1 \in F$,
2. $x \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow y) \in F, x \in F$ imply $y \in F$.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $F$ be a filter of $A$. $F$ is called an ultra filter of $A$ if it satisfies $x \in F$ or $x^- \in F$ for all $x \in A$.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $F$ be a filter of $A$. $F$ is called an obstinate filter of $A$ if it satisfies $x \notin F$ and $y \notin F$ implies $x \rightarrow y \notin F$ for all $x, y \in A$.

**Definition 2.9.** A filter $F$ of $A$ is called Boolean if $x \lor x^- \in F$ for any $x \in A$.

**Definition 2.10.** A proper filter $F$ of $A$ is prime if for all $x, y \in A$, $x \lor y \in F$ implies $x \in F$ or $y \in F$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $F$ be a filter of $A$. Then the following conditions are equivalent

1. $F$ is an obstinate filter of $A$,
2. $F$ is an ultra filter of $A$,
3. $F$ is a Boolean and prime filter of $A$.

### §3. The relation among the filters of BL-algebras

On the relation between fantastic filter and normal filter of a BL-algebra, by far we have

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $F$ be a fantastic filter of $A$. Then $F$ is a normal filter of $A$.

It is easy to find that the converse of the theorem is not true. In [8], there are two open problems that “Under what suitable condition a normal filter becomes a fantastic filter?” and “(Extension property for a normal filter) Under what suitable condition extension property for normal filter holds?” In this section, we investigate the relation between fantastic filter and normal filter in a BL-algebras. After giving the equivalent conditions of fantastic filter, we present the relation between the two filters in a BL-algebra and solve the open problems.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $F$ be an implicative filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is a normal filter if and only if $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F$ implies $x \in F$ for any $x, y \in A$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is a normal filter if only if $(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \in F$ implies $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \in F$ for all $x, y \in A$.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$, if $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F$ implies $x \in F$ for any $x, y \in A$, then $F$ is a normal filter.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$, if $x^- \rightarrow x \in F$ implies $x \in F$ for any $x \in A$, then $F$ is a normal filter.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$, if $(x^- \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \in F$ for any $x \in A$, then $F$ is a normal filter.
Theorem 3.7. Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then $F$ is a normal filter if only if $x^{--} \in F$ implies $x \in F$ for all $x \in A$.

Theorem 3.8. Every normal and implicative filter of a BL-algebra is a positive implicative filter.

Corollary 3.1. Every implicative filter satisfying $(y \to x) \to x \in F$ implies $(x \to y) \to y \in F$ for all $x, y \in A$ of a BL-algebra $A$ is a positive implicative filter.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f$ be a fuzzy filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then the followings are equivalent

1. $F$ is a fantastic filter,
2. $y \to x \in F$ implies $((x \to y) \to y) \to x \in F$ for any $x, y \in A$,
3. $x^{--} \to x \in F$ for all $x \in A$,
4. $x \to z \in F$, $y \to z \in F$ implies $((x \to y) \to y) \to z \in F$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $F$ be a fantastic filter of $A$, and $y \to x \in F$. Then $1 \to (y \to x) = y \to x \in F$ and $1 \in F$, hence $((x \to y) \to y) \to x \in F$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let a filter $F$ satisfy the condition and let $z \to (y \to x) \in F$ and $z \in F$. Then $y \to x \in F$, therefore also $((x \to y) \to y) \to x \in F$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let $y = 0$ in (2).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Obvious when $z = x$.

Corollary 3.2. Each fantastic filter of $A$ is a normal filter.

By Theorem 3.9, we can give an answer to the first open problem presented in [8] in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. Every normal and implicative filter of a BL-algebra is a fantastic filter.

Proof. Suppose $y \to x \in F$. We have $x \leq ((x \to y) \to y) \to x$, thus $((x \to y) \to y) \to y \leq x \to y$. Further, $(((xy \to y) \to y) \to x) \to y = (((x \to y) \to y) \to ((x \to y) \to x) \geq y \to x$. Since $y \to x \in F$, hence also $(((x \to y) \to y) \to x) \to y = (((x \to y) \to y) \to x) \in F$. By Theorem 3.2, we get $((x \to y) \to y) \to x \in F$, and hence $F$ is a fantastic filter by Theorem 3.10.

Theorem 3.11. Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then the followings are equivalent

1. $F$ is an implicative filter,
2. $y \to (y \to x)$ implies $y \to x \in F$ for any $x, y \in A$,
3. $z \to (y \to x)$ implies $z \to (z \to x) \in F$ for any $x, y, z \in A$,
4. $z \to (y \to (y \to x)) \in F$ and $z \in F$ implies $y \to x \in F$ for any $x, y, z \in A$,
5. $x \to x \cap x \in F$ for all $x \in A$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $F$ be a filter of a BL-algebra $A$. Then the followings are equivalent

1. $F$ is a positive implicative filter,
2. $(x \to y) \to x \in F$ implies $x \in F$ for any $x, y \in A$,
3. $(x \to x) \to x \in F$ for any $x \in A$.

Theorem 3.13. Every positive implicative filter of $A$ is a fantastic filter.

Proof. Suppose $F$ is a positive implicative filter and for any $x, y \in A$, $y \to x \in F$. We have $x \leq ((x \to y) \to y) \to x$, thus $(((x \to y) \to y) \to x) \to y \leq x \to y$. Further, $(((x \to y) \to y) \to x) \to y = (((x \to y) \to y) \to ((x \to y) \to y) \to x) \geq (x \to y) \to (((x \to y) \to y) \to x) = ((x \to y) \to y) \to ((x \to y) \to x) \geq y \to x$. Since $y \to x \in F$, hence also $(((x \to y) \to y) \to$
\( x \rightarrow y \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F. \) Thus we get \(((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F\), and hence \( F \) is a fantastic filter.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( F \) be an implicative filter of a \( BL \)-algebra \( A \). Then \( F \) is a normal filter if only if \( F \) is a positive implicative filter of \( A \).

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( F \) be a positive implicative filter of \( A \). Then \( F \) is a fantastic filter.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let \( F \) be a normal and obstinate filter of a \( BL \)-algebra, then \( F \) is a fantastic filter.

**Proof.** Suppose \( F \) is a normal and obstinate filter of a \( BL \)-algebra \( A \), then for any \( x \in A \), if \( x^- \in F \), then \( x \in F \) since \( F \) is a normal filter, by \( x^- \rightarrow x \geq x \), thus \( x^- \rightarrow x \in F \). Further, if \( x \notin F \), then \( x^- \notin F \), since \( F \) is an obstinate filter, then \( x^- \rightarrow x \in F \), and hence \( F \) is a fantastic filter.

By Theorem 2.1, we have:

**Theorem 3.15.** Every normal and ultra filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter.

**Theorem 3.16.** Every normal, Boolean and prime filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter.

Combining all the above results, we can give answers to the first open problem presented in [8] respectively.

**Theorem 3.17.** (1) Every normal filter of a \( MV \)-algebra is equivalent to a fantastic filter.  
(2) Every normal and implicative filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter.  
(3) Every normal and obstinate filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter.  
(4) Every normal and ultra filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter,  
(5) Every normal, Boolean and prime filter of a \( BL \)-algebra is a fantastic filter.

For the second open problem presented in [8], since the extension property for a fantastic filter holds \([7]\), and further by the above results, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.18.** (Extension property for a normal filter) Under one of the following conditions extension property for normal filter holds

(1) If \( A \) is a \( MV \)-algebra.  
(2) \( F \) is a normal and implicative filter of \( A \).  
(3) \( F \) is a normal and obstinate filter of \( A \).  
(4) \( F \) is a normal and ultra filter of \( A \),  
(5) \( F \) is a normal, Boolean and prime filter of \( A \).

### §4. Conclusion

By studying the equivalent condition of fantastic filter, we reveal the relation between fantastic filter and normal filter of \( BL \)-algebras and we solve an open problem that “Under what suitable condition a normal filter becomes a fantastic filter?” and “(Extension property for a normal filter) Under what suitable condition extension property for normal filter holds?” . In the future, we will extend the corresponding filter theory and study the congruence relations induced by the filters.
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