Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 14, No. 1, (July 2017), pp. 99–120 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

© FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

Interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs

MUHAMMAD AKRAM AND MARYAM NASIR

Received 19 April 2017; Revised 2 May 2017; Accepted 10 May 2017

ABSTRACT. We first introduce the concept of interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs. We then discuss certain types, including kcompetition interval-valued neutrosophic graphs, p-competition intervalvalued neutrosophic graphs and m-step interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs. Moreover, we present the concept of m-step intervalvalued neutrosophic neighbourhood graphs.

2010 AMS Classification: 03E72, 05C72, 05C78, 05C99

Keywords: Interval-valued neutrosophic digraphs, Interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs.

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Akram (m.akram@pucit.edu.pk)

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1975, Zadeh [35] introduced the notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets as an extension of fuzzy sets [34] in which the values of the membership degrees are intervals of numbers instead of the numbers. Interval-valued fuzzy sets provide a more adequate description of uncertainty than traditional fuzzy sets. It is therefore important to use interval-valued fuzzy sets in applications, such as fuzzy control. One of the computationally most intensive part of fuzzy control is defuzzification [19]. Atanassov [12] proposed the extended form of fuzzy set theory by adding a new component, called, intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Smarandache [26, 27] introduced the concept of neutrosophic sets by combining the non-standard analysis. In neutrosophic set, the membership value is associated with three components: truth-membership (t), indeterminacy-membership (i) and falsity-membership (f), in which each membership value is a real standard or non-standard subset of the non-standard unit interval $]0^{-}, 1^{+}[$ and there is no restriction on their sum. Smarandache [28] and Wang et al. [29] presented the notion of single-valued neutrosophic sets to apply neutrosophic sets in real life problems more conveniently. In single-valued neutrosophic sets, three components are independent and their values are taken from the standard unit interval [0, 1]. Wang et al. [30] presented the concept of interval-valued neutrosophic sets, which is more precise and more flexible than the single-valued neutrosophic set. An interval-valued neutrosophic set is a generalization of the concept of single-valued neutrosophic set, in which three membership (t, i, f) functions are independent, and their values belong to the unit interval [0, 1].

Kauffman [18] gave the definition of a fuzzy graph. Fuzzy graphs were narrated by Rosenfeld [22]. After that, some remarks on fuzzy graphs were represented by Bhattacharya [13]. He showed that all the concepts on crisp graph theory do not have similarities in fuzzy graphs. Wu [32] discussed fuzzy digraphs. The concept of fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs was first developed by Samanta and Pal in [23], it was further studied in [11, 21, 25]. Samanta et al. [24] introduced the generalization of fuzzy competition graphs, called *m*-step fuzzy competition graphs. Samanta et al. [24] also introduced the concepts of fuzzy *m*-step neighbourhood graphs, fuzzy economic competition graphs, and *m*-step economic competition graphs. The concepts of bipolar fuzzy competition graphs and intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs are discussed in [21, 25]. Hongmei and Lianhua [16], gave definition of interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Akram et al. [1, 2, 3, 4] have introduced several concepts on interval-valued fuzzy graphs and interval-valued neutrosophic graphs. Akram and Shahzadi [6] introduced the notion of neutrosophic soft graphs with applications. Akram [7] introduced the notion of single-valued neutrosophic planar graphs. Akram and Shahzadi [8] studied properties of single-valued neutrosophic graphs by level graphs. Recently, Akram and Nasir [5] have discussed some concepts of interval-valued neutrosophic graphs. In this paper, we first introduce the concept of interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs. We then discuss certain types, including k-competition interval-valued neutrosophic graphs, p-competition interval-valued neutrosophic graphs and m-step interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs. Moreover, we present the concept of *m*-step intervalvalued neutrosophic neighbourhood graphs.

We have used standard definitions and terminologies in this paper. For other notations, terminologies and applications not mentioned in the paper, the readers are referred to [6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 26, 33, 36].

2. INTERVAL-VALUED NEUTROSOPHIC COMPETITION GRAPHS

Definition 2.1 ([35]). The interval-valued fuzzy set A in X is defined by

$$A = \{ (s, [t_{A}^{l}(s), t_{A}^{u}(s)]) : s \in X \},\$$

where, $t_A^l(s)$ and $t_A^u(s)$ are fuzzy subsets of X such that $t_A^l(s) \leq t_A^u(s)$ for all $x \in X$. An interval-valued fuzzy relation on X is an interval-valued fuzzy set B in $X \times X$.

Definition 2.2 ([30, 31]). The interval-valued neutrosophic set (IVN-set) A in X is defined by

 $A = \{(s, [t^l_A(s), t^u_A(s)], [i^l_A(s), i^u_A(s)], [f^l_A(s), f^u_A(s)]) : s \in X\},\$

where, $t_A^l(s)$, $t_A^u(s)$, $i_A^l(s)$, $i_A^u(s)$, $f_A^l(s)$, and $f_A^u(s)$ are neutrosophic subsets of X such that $t_A^l(s) \leq t_A^u(s)$, $i_A^l(s) \leq i_A^u(s)$ and $f_A^l(s) \leq f_A^u(s)$ for all $s \in X$. An intervalvalued neutrosophic relation (IVN-relation) on X is an interval-valued neutrosophic set B in $X \times X$. **Definition 2.3** ([5]). An interval-valued neutrosophic digraph (IVN-digraph) on a non-empty set X is a pair $G = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$, (in short, G), where $A = ([t_A^l, t_A^u], [i_A^l, i_A^u], [f_A^l, f_A^u])$ is an IVN-set on X and $B = ([t_B^l, t_B^u], [i_B^l, i_B^u], [f_B^l, f_B^u])$ is an IVN-relation on X, such that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) \ t_B^l \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq t_A^l(s) \wedge t_A^l(w), & t_B^u \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq t_A^u(s) \wedge t_A^u(w), \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ i_B^l \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq i_A^l(s) \wedge i_A^l(w), & i_B^u \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w), \\ (\mathrm{iii}) \ f_B^l \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq f_A^l(s) \wedge f_A^l(w), & f_B^u \overline{(\overline{s,w})} \leq f_A^u(s) \wedge f_A^u(w), \\ \end{array}$$

Example 2.4. We construct an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ on $X = \{a, b, c\}$ as shown in Fig. 1.



FIGURE 1. IVN-digraph

Definition 2.5. Let \vec{G} be an IVN-digraph then interval-valued neutrosophic outneighbourhoods (IVN-out-neighbourhoods) of a vertex s is an IVN-set

$$\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) = (X_{s}^{+}, [t_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, t_{s}^{(u)^{+}}], [i_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, i_{s}^{(u)^{+}}], [f_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, t_{s}^{(u)^{+}}])$$

where

$$X_s^+ = \{ w | [t_B^l(\overrightarrow{s,w}) > 0, t_B^u(\overrightarrow{s,w}) > 0], [i_B^l(\overrightarrow{s,w}) > 0, i_B^u(\overrightarrow{s,w}) > 0], [f_B^l(\overrightarrow{s,w}) > 0$$

such that $t_s^{(l)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $t_s^{(l)^+}(w) = t_B^l(\overline{s,w}), t_s^{(u)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $t_s^{(u)^+}(w) = t_B^u(\overline{s,w}), i_s^{(l)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $i_s^{(l)^+}(w) = i_B^l(\overline{s,w}), i_s^{(u)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $i_s^{(l)^+}(w) = i_B^l(\overline{s,w}), f_s^{(l)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $f_s^{(l)^+}(w) = f_B^l(\overline{s,w}), f_s^{(u)^+} : X_s^+ \to [0,1]$, defined by $f_s^{(u)^+}(w) = f_B^u(\overline{s,w}).$

Definition 2.6. Let \overrightarrow{G} be an IVN-digraph then interval-valued neutrosophic inneighbourhoods (IVN-in-neighbourhoods) of a vertex s is an IVN-set

$$\mathbb{N}^{-}(s) = (X_{s}^{-}, [t_{s}^{(l)^{-}}, t_{s}^{(u)^{-}}], [i_{s}^{(l)^{-}}, i_{s}^{(u)^{-}}], [f_{s}^{(l)^{-}}, t_{s}^{(u)^{-}}]),$$

where

$$\begin{split} X_s^- &= \{ w | [t_B^l(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0, t_B^u(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0], [i_B^l(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0, i_B^u(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0], [f_B^l(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0], \\ f_B^u(\overrightarrow{w,s}) > 0] \}, \\ 101 \end{split}$$

such that $t_s^{(l)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $t_s^{(l)^-}(w) = t_B^l(\overline{w,s}), t_s^{(u)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $t_s^{(u)^-}(w) = t_B^u(\overline{w,s}), i_s^{(l)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $i_s^{(l)^-}(w) = i_B^l(\overline{w,s}), i_s^{(u)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $i_s^{(u)^-}(w) = i_B^u(\overline{w,s}), f_s^{(l)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $f_s^{(l)^-}(w) = f_B^l(\overline{w,s}), f_s^{(u)^-}: X_s^- \to [0,1]$, defined by $f_s^{(u)^-}(w) = f_B^u(\overline{w,s}).$

Example 2.7. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ on $X = \{a, b, c\}$ as shown in Fig. 2.



FIGURE 2. IVN-digraph

We have Table 1 and Table 2 representing interval-valued neutrosophic out and in-neighbourhoods, respectively.

TABLE 1. IVN-out-neighbourhoods

s	$\mathbb{N}^+(s)$
а	$\{(b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.6]), (c, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.2, 0.6])\}$
b	Ø
с	$\{(b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.5])\}$

TABLE 2. IVN-in-neighbourhoods

s	$\mathbb{N}^{-}(s)$
а	Ø
b	$\{(a, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.6]), (c, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.5])\}$
с	$\{(a, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.2, 0.6])\}$

Definition 2.8. The height of IVN-set $A = (s, [t_A^l, t_A^u], [i_A^l, i_A^u], [f_A^l, f_A^u])$ in universe of discourse X is defined as: for all $s \in X$,

$$\begin{split} h(A) &= ([h_1^l(A), h_1^u(A)], [h_2^l(A), h_2^u(A)], [h_3^l(A), h_3^u(A)]), \\ &= ([\sup_{s \in X} t_A^l(s), \sup_{s \in X} t_A^u(s)], [\sup_{s \in X} i_A^l(s), \sup_{s \in X} i_A^u(s)], [\inf_{s \in X} f_A^l(s), \inf_{s \in X} f_A^u(s)]). \\ & 102 \end{split}$$

Definition 2.9. An interval-valued neutrosophic competition graph (IVNC-graph) of an interval-valued neutrosophic graph (IVN-graph) $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is an undirected IVN-graph $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G}) = (A, W)$ which has the same vertex set as in \overrightarrow{G} and there is an edge between two vertices s and w if and only if $\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w) \neq \emptyset$. The truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership values of the edge (s, w) are defined as: for all $s, w \in X$,

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{i}) \ t^{l}_{W}(s,w) = (t^{l}_{A}(s) \wedge t^{l}_{A}(w))h^{1}_{1}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w), \\ t^{u}_{W}(s,w) = (t^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge t^{u}_{A}(w))h^{u}_{1}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w), \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ i^{l}_{W}(s,w) = (i^{l}_{A}(s) \wedge i^{l}_{A}(w))h^{l}_{2}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w), \\ i^{u}_{W}(s,w) = (i^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge i^{u}_{A}(w))h^{u}_{2}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w), \\ (\mathrm{iii}) \ f^{l}_{W}(s,w) = (f^{l}_{A}(s) \wedge f^{l}_{A}(w))h^{l}_{3}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w), \\ f^{u}_{W}(s,w) = (f^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge f^{u}_{A}(w))h^{u}_{3}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w). \end{array}$

Example 2.10. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ on $X = \{a, b, c\}$ as shown in Fig. 3.



FIGURE 3. IVN-digraph

We have Table 3 and Table 4 representing interval-valued neutrosophic out and in-neighbourhoods, respectively.

TABLE 5. TVT-Out-neighbourhoods		
s	$\mathbb{N}^+(s)$	
a	$\{(b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.6]), (c, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.2, 0.6])\}$	
b	Ø	
с	$\{(b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.5])\}$	

TABLE 3. IVN-out-neighbourhoods

TABLE 4. IVN-in-neighbourhoods

s	$\mathbb{N}^{-}(s)$
a	Ø
b	$\{(a, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.1, 0.6]), (c, [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3], [0.2, 0.5])\}$
с	$\{(a, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.2, 0.6])\}$

Then IVNC-graph of Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4.



FIGURE 4. IVNC-graph

Definition 2.11. Consider an IVN-graph G = (A, B), where $A = ([A_1^l, A_1^u], [A_2^l, A_2^u], [A_3^l, A_3^u)]$ and $B = ([B_1^l, B_1^u], [B_2^l, B_2^u], [B_3^l, B_3^u)]$. then, an edge $(s, w), s, w \in X$ is called independent strong, if

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}[A_1^l(s) \wedge A_1^l(w)] < B_1^l(s,w), \quad \frac{1}{2}[A_1^u(s) \wedge A_1^u(w)] < B_1^u(s,w), \\ &\frac{1}{2}[A_2^l(s) \wedge A_2^l(w)] < B_2^l(s,w), \quad \frac{1}{2}[A_2^u(s) \wedge A_2^u(w)] < B_2^u(s,w), \\ &\frac{1}{2}[A_3^l(s) \wedge A_3^l(w)] > B_3^l(s,w), \quad \frac{1}{2}[A_3^u(s) \wedge A_3^u(w)] > B_3^u(s,w). \end{split}$$

Otherwise, it is called weak.

We state the following theorems without their proofs.

Theorem 2.12. Suppose \overrightarrow{G} is an IVN-digraph. If $\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)$ contains only one element of \overrightarrow{G} , then the edge (s, w) of $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G})$ is independent strong if and only if

$$\begin{split} &|[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{t^l} > 0.5, \quad |[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{t^u} > 0.5, \\ &|[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{i^l} > 0.5, \quad |[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{i^u} > 0.5, \\ &|[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{f^l} < 0.5, \quad |[\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)]|_{f^u} < 0.5. \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.13. If all the edges of an IVN-digraph \overrightarrow{G} are independent strong, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_1^l(s,w)}{(A_1^l(s) \wedge A_1^l(w))^2} &> 0.5, \quad \frac{B_1^u(s,w)}{(A_1^u(s) \wedge A_1^u(w))^2} > 0.5, \\ \frac{B_2^l(s,w)}{(A_2^l(s) \wedge A_2^l(w))^2} &> 0.5, \quad \frac{B_2^u(s,w)}{(A_2^u(s) \wedge A_2^u(w))^2} > 0.5, \\ \frac{B_3^l(s,w)}{(A_3^l(s) \wedge A_3^l(w))^2} &< 0.5, \quad \frac{B_3^u(s,w)}{(A_3^u(s) \wedge A_3^u(w))^2} < 0.5, \end{aligned}$$

for all edges (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G})$.

Definition 2.14. The interval-valued neutrosophic open-neighbourhood (IVN-openneighbourhood) of a vertex s of an IVN-graph G = (A, B) is IVN-set $\mathbb{N}(s) = (X_s, [t_s^l, t_s^u], [i_s^l, i_s^u], [f_s^l, f_s^u])$, where $X_{s} = \{w | [B_{1}^{l}(s,w) > 0, B_{1}^{u}(s,w) > 0], [B_{2}^{l}(s,w) > 0, B_{2}^{u}(s,w) > 0], [B_{3}^{l}(s,w) > 0, B_{3}^{u}(s,w) > 0]\},\$

and $t_s^l : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $t_s^l(w) = B_1^l(s, w), t_s^u : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $t_s^u(w) = B_1^u(s, w), i_s^l : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $i_s^l(w) = B_2^l(s, w), i_s^u : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $i_s^u(w) = B_2^u(s, w), f_s^l : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $f_s^l(w) = B_3^l(s, w), f_s^u : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $f_s^l(w) = B_2^u(s, w), f_s^l : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $f_s^l(w) = B_3^l(s, w), f_s^u : X_s \to [0,1]$ defined by $f_s^u(w) = B_3^u(s, w)$. For every vertex $s \in X$, the intervalvalued neutrosophic singleton set, $\check{A}_s = (s, [A_1^{l'}, A_1^{u'}], [A_2^{l'}, A_2^{u'}], [A_3^{l'}, A_3^{u'})$ such that: $A_1^{l'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], A_1^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], A_2^{l'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], A_2^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], A_3^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], d_3^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], d_3^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], d_3^{u'} : \{s\} \to [0,1], d_3^{u'}(s) = A_1^l(s), A_1^{u'}(s) = A_1^u(s), A_2^{l'}(s) = A_2^l(s), A_3^{u'}(s) = A_3^l(s)$ and $A_3^{u'}(s) = A_3^u(s)$, respectively. The intervalvalued neutrosophic closed-neighbourhood (IVN-closed-neighbourhood) of a vertex s is $\mathbb{N}[s] = \mathbb{N}(s) \cup A_s$.

Definition 2.15. Suppose G = (A, B) is an IVN-graph. Interval-valued neutrosophic open-neighbourhood graph (IVN-open-neighbourhood-graph) of G is an IVN-graph $\mathbb{N}(G) = (A, B')$ which has the same IVN-set of vertices in G and has an interval-valued neutrosophic edge between two vertices $s, w \in X$ in $\mathbb{N}(G)$ if and only if $\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)$ is a non-empty IVN-set in G. The truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership, falsity-membership values of the edge (s, w) are given by:

.

.

$$\begin{split} B_1''(s,w) &= [A_1^l(s) \wedge A_1^l(w)]h_1^l(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \\ B_2^{l\prime}(s,w) &= [A_2^l(s) \wedge A_2^l(w)]h_2^l(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \\ B_3^{l\prime}(s,w) &= [A_3^l(s) \wedge A_3^l(w)]h_3^l(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \\ B_1^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_1^u(s) \wedge A_1^u(w)]h_1^u(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \\ B_2^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_2^u(s) \wedge A_2^u(w)]h_2^u(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \\ B_3^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_3^u(s) \wedge A_3^u(w)]h_3^u(\mathbb{N}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}(w)), \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.16. Suppose G = (A, B) is an IVN-graph. Interval-valued neutrosophic closed-neighbourhood graph (IVN-closed-neighbourhood-graph) of G is an IVN-graph $\mathbb{N}(G) = (A, B')$ which has the same IVN-set of vertices in G and has an interval-valued neutrosophic edge between two vertices $s, w \in X$ in $\mathbb{N}[G]$ if and only if $\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]$ is a non-empty IVN-set in G. The truth-membership, indeterminacymembership, falsity-membership values of the edge (s, w) are given by:

$$\begin{split} B_1^{l\prime}(s,w) &= [A_1^l(s) \wedge A_1^l(w)]h_1^l(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \\ B_2^{l\prime}(s,w) &= [A_2^l(s) \wedge A_2^l(w)]h_2^l(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \\ B_3^{l\prime}(s,w) &= [A_3^l(s) \wedge A_3^l(w)]h_3^l(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \\ B_1^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_1^u(s) \wedge A_1^u(w)]h_1^u(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \\ B_2^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_2^u(s) \wedge A_2^u(w)]h_2^u(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \\ B_3^{u\prime}(s,w) &= [A_3^u(s) \wedge A_3^u(w)]h_3^u(\mathbb{N}[s] \cap \mathbb{N}[w]), \end{split}$$

We now discuss the method of construction of interval-valued neutrospohic competition graph of the Cartesian product of IVN-digraph in following theorem which can be proof using similar method as used in [21], hence we omit its proof. **Theorem 2.17.** Let $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1}) = (A_1, B_1)$ and $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_2}) = (A_2, B_2)$ be two IVNC-graphs of IVN-digraphs $\overrightarrow{G_1} = (A_1, \overrightarrow{L_1})$ and $\overrightarrow{G_2} = (A_2, \overrightarrow{L_2})$, respectively. Then $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1} \square \overrightarrow{G_2}) = G_{\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1})^* \square \mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_2})^*} \cup G^{\square}$, where $G_{\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1})^* \square \mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_2})^*}$ is an IVN-graph on the crisp graph $(X_1 \times X_2, E_{\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1})^*} \square E_{\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_2})^*})$, $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_1})^*$ and $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G_2})^*$ are the crisp competition graphs of $\overrightarrow{G_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{G_2}$, respectively. G^{\square} is an IVN-graph on $(X_1 \times X_2, E^{\square})$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \ E^{\Box} = \{(s_{1}, s_{2})(w_{1}, w_{2}) : w_{1} \in \mathbb{N}^{-}(s_{1})^{*}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}^{+}(s_{2})^{*}\} \\ E_{\complement(\overrightarrow{G}_{1})^{*}} \Box E_{\complement(\overrightarrow{G}_{2})^{*}} = \{(s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2}) : s_{1} \in X_{1}, s_{2}w_{2} \in E_{\boxdot(\overrightarrow{G}_{2})^{*}}\} \\ \cup \{(s_{1}, s_{2})(w_{1}, s_{2}) : s_{2} \in X_{2}, s_{1}w_{1} \in E_{\sub(\overrightarrow{G}_{1})^{*}}\} \\ (2) \ t_{A_{1}\Box A_{2}}^{I} = t_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge t_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad i_{A_{1}\Box A_{2}}^{I} = i_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge i_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad f_{A_{1}\Box A_{2}}^{I} = i_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge i_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad f_{A_{1}\Box A_{2}}^{I} = f_{A_{1}}^{A}(s_{1}) \wedge f_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad f_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{2}) \wedge f_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad f_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}), \quad f_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge f_{L_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}a_{2}) \wedge \\ t_{L_{2}}^{I}(w_{2}a_{2})\}, \quad (s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{\sub(\overrightarrow{G_{1}})^{*}} \Box E_{\sub(\overrightarrow{G_{2}})^{*}}, \quad a_{2} \in (\mathbb{N}^{+}(s_{2}) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w_{2}))^{*}. \\ (5) \ f_{B}^{I}((s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2})) = [f_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge f_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}) \wedge f_{A_{2}}^{I}(w_{2})] \times \bigvee_{a_{2}}\{t_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge t_{L_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}a_{2}) \wedge \\ t_{L_{2}}^{I}(w_{2}a_{2})\}, \quad (s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2}) \in E_{\sub(\overrightarrow{G_{1}})^{*}} \Box E_{\sub(\overrightarrow{G_{2})^{*}}, \quad a_{2} \in (\mathbb{N}^{+}(s_{2}) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w_{2}))^{*}. \\ (6) \ t_{B}^{I}((s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2})) = [t_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge t_{A_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}) \wedge t_{A_{2}}^{I}(w_{2})] \times \bigvee_{a_{2}}\{t_{A_{1}}^{I}(s_{1}) \wedge t_{L_{2}}^{I}(s_{2}a_{2}) \wedge \\ t_{L_{2}}^{I}(w_{2}a_{2})\}, \quad (s_{1}, s_{2})(s_{1}, w_{2})) = [t_{A_{1}}^$$

Muhammad Akram et al./Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 14 (2017), No. 1, 99–120

$$\begin{array}{l} (11) \ f_B^l((s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2)) = [f_{A_1}^l(s_1) \wedge f_{A_1}^l(w_1) \wedge f_{A_2}^l(s_2)] \times \vee_{a_1} \{t_{A_2}^l(s_2) \wedge f_{L_1}^l(s_1a_1) \wedge f_{A_1}^l(w_1a_1)\}, \\ (s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2) \in E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_1^+)^*} \Box E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_2^+)^*}, \ a_1 \in (\mathbb{N}^+(s_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w_1))^*. \\ (12) \ t_B^n((s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2)) = [t_{A_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2)] \times \vee_{a_1} \{t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(s_1a_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(w_1a_1)\}, \\ (s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2) \in E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_1^+)^*} \Box E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_2^+)^*}, \ a_1 \in (\mathbb{N}^+(s_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w_1))^*. \\ (13) \ t_B^n((s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2)) = [t_{A_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2)] \times \vee_{a_1} \{t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(s_1a_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(w_1a_1)\}, \\ (s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2) \in E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_1^+)^*} \Box E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_2^+)^*}, \ a_1 \in (\mathbb{N}^+(s_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w_1))^*. \\ (14) \ f_B^n((s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2)) = [t_{A_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2)] \times \vee_{a_1} \{t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(s_1a_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(w_1a_1)\}, \\ (s_1,s_2)(w_1,s_2) \in E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_1^+)^*} \Box E_{\mathbb{C}(\overline{G}_2^+)^*}, \ a_1 \in (\mathbb{N}^+(s_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w_1))^*. \\ (15) \ t_B^l((s_1,s_2)(w_1,w_2)) = [t_{A_1}^l(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^l(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^l(s_2) \wedge t_{A_2}^l(w_2)] \times [t_{A_1}^l(s_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(w_2)] \times [t_{A_1}^l(s_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(w_2)] \times [t_{A_1}^l(s_1) \wedge t_{L_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(w_2)] \times [t_{A_1}^n(s_1) \wedge t_{A_1}^n(w_1) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(s_2) \wedge t_{A_2}^n(w_2)] \times [t_{A_1$$

$$(s_1, w_1)(s_2, w_2) \in E^{\Box}.$$

A. k-competition interval-valued neutrosophic graphs

We now discuss an extension of IVNC-graphs, called k-competition IVN-graphs.

Definition 2.18. The cardinality of an IVN-set A is denoted by

$$|A| = \left(\left\lfloor |A|_{t^{l}}, |A|_{t^{u}} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor |A|_{i^{l}}, |A|_{i^{u}} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor |A|_{f^{l}}, |A|_{f^{u}} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Where $[|A|_{t^l}, |A|_{t^u}]$, $[|A|_{i^l}, |A|_{i^u}]$ and $[|A|_{f^l}, |A|_{f^u}]$ represent the sum of truthmembership values, indeterminacy-membership values and falsity-membership values, respectively, of all the elements of A. **Example 2.19.** The cardinality of an IVN-set $A = \{(a, [0.5, 0.7], [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.3]), (b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.5], [0.7, 0.9]), (c, [0.3, 0.5], [0.3, 0.8], [0.6, 0.9])\}$ in $X = \{a, b, c\}$ is

 $|A| = \left(\left[|A|_{t^{l}}, |A|_{t^{u}} \right], \left[|A|_{i^{l}}, |A|_{i^{u}} \right], \left[|A|_{f^{l}}, |A|_{f^{u}} \right] \right) \\= \left(\left[0.9, 1.4 \right], \left[0.6, 2.1 \right], \left[1.4, 2.1 \right] \right).$

We now discuss k-competition IVN-graphs.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Definition 2.20. Let } k \text{ be a non-negative number. Then } k\text{-competition IVN-graph} \\ \mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G}) \text{ of an IVN-digraph } \overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B}) \text{ is an undirected IVN-graph } G = (A, B) \\ \text{which has same IVN-set of vertices as in } \overrightarrow{G} \text{ and has an interval-valued neutrosophic edge between two vertices } s, w \in X \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G}) \text{ if and only if } |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{l^1} > k, \ |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{l^1} > k. \ \text{ The interval-valued truth-membership value of edge } (s, w) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G}) \text{ is } t_B^l(s, w) = \frac{k_1^l - k}{k_1^l} [t_A^l(s) \wedge t_A^l(w)] h_1^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_1^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{l^u} \text{ and } t_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^l - k}{k_1^u} [t_A^l(s) \wedge t_A^l(w)] h_1^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_1^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{l^u}, \text{ the interval-valued indeterminacy-membership value of edge } (s, w) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G}) \text{ is } i_B^l(s, w) = \frac{k_2^l - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^l(s) \wedge i_A^l(w)] h_2^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^l}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^w} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^w} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)), \text{ where } k_2^u = |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}, \text{ and } i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_3^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)),$

Example 2.21. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \vec{B})$ on $X = \{s, w, a, b, c\}$, such that $A = \{(s, [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9]), (w, [0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (a, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6])\}$, and $B = \{((s, a), [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), ((s, b), [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((w, b), [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((w, b), [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), ((w, b), [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), ((w, c), [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$, as shown in Fig. 5.

We calculate $\mathbb{N}^+(s) = \{(a, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6])\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^+(w) = \{(a, [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w) = \{(a, [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (b, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.3]), (b, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$. So, $k_1^l = 0.5, k_1^u = 1.3, k_2^l = 0.6, k_2^u = 1.5, k_3^l = 0.6$ and $k_3^u = 0.9$. Let k = 0.4, then, $t_B^l(s, w) = 0.02, t_B^u(s, w) = 0.56, i_B^l(s, w) = 0.06, i_B^u(s, w) = 0.82, f_B^l(s, w) = 0.02$ and $f_B^u(s, w) = 0.11$. This graph is depicted in Fig. 6.



Muhammad Akram et al./Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 14 (2017), No. 1, 99–120





FIGURE 6. 0.4-Competition IVN-graph

Theorem 2.22. Let $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ be an IVN-digraph. If

$$h_1^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1, \quad h_2^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1, \quad h_3^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1, \\ h_1^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1, \quad h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1, \quad h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{t^l} &> 2k, \quad |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^l} > 2k, \quad |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{f^l} < 2k, \\ |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{t^u} &> 2k, \quad |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u} > 2k, \quad |(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{f^u} < 2k, \end{aligned}$$

Then the edge (s, w) is independent strong in $\mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G})$.

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ be an IVN-digraph. Let $\mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G})$ be the corresponding k-competition IVN-graph.

If $h_1^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))=1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{t^l}>2k$, then $k_1^l>2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} t^l_B(s,w) &= \frac{k^l_1 - k}{k^l_1} [t^l_A(s) \wedge t^l_A(w)] h^l_1(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad t^l_B(s,w) &= \frac{k^l_1 - k}{k^l_1} [t^l_A(s) \wedge t^l_A(w)] \\ \frac{t^l_B(s,w)}{[t^l_A(s) \wedge t^l_A(w)]} &= \frac{k^l_1 - k}{k^l_1} > 0.5. \end{split}$$

If $h_1^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{t^u} > 2k$, then $k_1^u > 2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} t^{u}_{B}(s,w) &= \frac{k^{u}_{1} - k}{k^{u}_{1}} [t^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge t^{u}_{A}(w)] h^{u}_{1}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad t^{u}_{B}(s,w) &= \frac{k^{u}_{1} - k}{k^{u}_{1}} [t^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge t^{u}_{A}(w)] \\ \frac{t^{u}_{B}(s,w)}{[t^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge t^{u}_{A}(w)]} &= \frac{k^{u}_{1} - k}{k^{u}_{1}} > 0.5. \end{split}$$

If $h_2^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))=1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^l}>2k$, then $k_2^l>2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} i_B^l(s,w) &= \frac{k_2^l - k}{k_2^l} [i_A^l(s) \wedge i_A^l(w)] h_2^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad i_B^l(s,w) &= \frac{k_2^l - k}{k_2^l} [i_A^l(s) \wedge i_A^l(w)] \\ \frac{i_B^l(s,w)}{[i_A^l(s) \wedge i_A^l(w)]} &= \frac{k_2^l - k}{k_2^l} > 0.5. \end{split}$$

If $h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))=1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s)\cap\mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{i^u}>2k$, then $k_2^u>2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} i_B^u(s,w) &= \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad i_B^u(s,w) &= \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)] \\ \frac{i_B^u(s,w)}{[i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)]} &= \frac{k_2^u - k}{k_2^u} > 0.5. \end{split}$$

If $h_3^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{f^l} < 2k$, then $k_3^l < 2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} f_B^l(s,w) &= \frac{k_3^l - k}{k_3^l} [f_A^l(s) \wedge f_A^l(w)] h_3^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad f_B^l(s,w) &= \frac{k_3^l - k}{k_3^l} [f_A^l(s) \wedge f_A^l(w)] \\ \frac{f_B^l(s,w)}{[f_A^l(s) \wedge f_A^l(w)]} &= \frac{k_3^l - k}{k_3^l} < 0.5. \end{split}$$

If $h_3^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$ and $|(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|_{f^u} < 2k$, then $k_3^u < 2k$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} f^{u}_{B}(s,w) &= \frac{k_{3}^{u}-k}{k_{3}^{u}} [f^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge f^{u}_{A}(w)] h^{u}_{3}(\mathbb{N}^{+}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^{+}(w)) \\ \text{or,} \quad f^{u}_{B}(s,w) &= \frac{k_{3}^{u}-k}{k_{3}^{u}} [f^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge f^{u}_{A}(w)] \\ \frac{f^{u}_{B}(s,w)}{[f^{u}_{A}(s) \wedge f^{u}_{A}(w)]} &= \frac{k_{3}^{u}-k}{k_{3}^{u}} < 0.5. \end{split}$$

So, the edge (s, w) is independent strong in $\mathbb{C}_k(\overrightarrow{G})$.

B. p-competition interval-valued neutrosophic graphs

We now define another extension of IVNC-graphs, called *p*-competition IVN-graphs. **Definition 2.23.** The support of an IVN-set $A = (s, [t_A^l, t_A^u], [i_A^l, i_A^u], [f_A^l, f_A^u])$ in X is the subset of X defined by

$$supp(A) = \{s \in X : [t_A^l(s) \neq 0, t_A^u(s) \neq 0], [i_A^l(s) \neq 0, i_A^u(s) \neq 0], [f_A^l(s) \neq 1, f_A^u(s) \neq 1]\}$$

and |supp(A)| is the number of elements in the set.

Example 2.24. The support of an IVN-set $A = \{(a, [0.5, 0.7], [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.3]), (b, [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.5], [0.7, 0.9]), (c, [0.3, 0.5], [0.3, 0.8], [0.6, 0.9]), (d, [0, 0], [0, 0], [1, 1])\}$ in $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ is $supp(A) = \{a, b, c\}$ and |supp(A)| = 3.

We now define *p*-competition IVN-graphs.

Definition 2.25. Let p be a positive integer. Then p-competition IVN-graph $\mathbb{C}^p(\overrightarrow{G})$ of the IVN-digraph $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is an undirected IVN-graph G = (A, B) which has same IVN-set of vertices as in \overrightarrow{G} and has an interval-valued neutrosophic edge between two vertices $s, w \in X$ in $\mathbb{C}^p(\overrightarrow{G})$ if and only if $|supp(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))| \ge p$. The interval-valued truth-membership value of edge (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}^p(\overrightarrow{G})$ is $t_B^l(s, w) = \frac{(i-p)+1}{i}[t_A^l(s) \wedge t_A^l(w)]h_1^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))$, and $t_B^u(s, w) = \frac{(i-p)+1}{i}[t_A^u(s) \wedge t_A^u(w)]h_1^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))$, the interval-valued indeterminacy-membership value of edge (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}^p(\overrightarrow{G})$ is $i_B^l(s, w) = \frac{(i-p)+1}{i}[i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)]h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))$, and $i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{(i-p)+1}{i}[i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)]h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))$, and $i_B^u(s, w) = \frac{(i-p)+1}{i}[f_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^l(w)]h_3^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))$, where $i = |supp(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|$.

Example 2.26. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \vec{B})$ on $X = \{s, w, a, b, c\}$, such that $A = \{(s, [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9]), (w, [0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (a, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6])\}$, and $B = \{((s, a), [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), ((s, b), [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((w, b), [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((w, a), [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$, $((w, b), [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), ((w, c), [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$, as shown in Fig. 7.



FIGURE 7. IVN-digraph

We calculate $\mathbb{N}^+(s) = \{(a, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6])\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^+(w) = \{(a, [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w) = \{(a, [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (b, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]), (c, [0.2, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3])\}$. Now, $i = |supp(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))| = 3$. For p = 3, we have, $t_B^l(s, w) = 0.02$, $t_B^u(s, w) = 0.08$, $i_B^l(s, w) = 0.04$, $i_B^u(s, w) = 0.1$, $f_B^l(s, w) = 0.01$ and $f_B^u(s, w) = 0.03$. This graph is depicted in Fig. 8.



FIGURE 8. 3-Competition IVN-graph

We state the following theorem without its proof.

Theorem 2.27. Let $\vec{G} = (A, \vec{B})$ be an IVN-digraph. If $h_1^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$, $h_2^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$, $h_3^l(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 0$, $h_1^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$, $h_2^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 1$, $h_3^u(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w)) = 0$, in $\mathbb{C}^{\left[\frac{i}{2}\right]}(\overrightarrow{G})$, then the edge (s, w) is strong, where $i = |supp(\mathbb{N}^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}^+(w))|$. (Note that for any real number s, [s] = greatest integer not esceeding s.)

C. *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic competition graphs

We now define another extension of IVNC-graph known as m-step IVNC-graph. We will use the following notations:

 $P_{s,w}^m$: An interval-valued neutrosophic path of length m from s to w. $\overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m$: A directed interval-valued neutrosophic path of length m from s to w.

 $\mathbb{N}_m^+(s)$: *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic out-neighbourhood of vertex *s*.

 $\mathbb{N}_m^-(s)$: *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic in-neighbourhood of vertex *s*.

 $\mathbb{N}_m(s)$: *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic neighbourhood of vertex *s*.

 $\mathbb{N}_m(G)$: *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic neighbourhood graph of the IVN-graph G.

 $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$: *m*-step IVNC-graph of the IVN-digraph \overrightarrow{G} .

Definition 2.28. Suppose $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is an IVN-digraph. The *m*-step IVNdigraph of \vec{G} is denoted by $\vec{G}_m = (A, B)$, where IVN-set of vertices of \vec{G} is same with IVN-set of vertices of \overrightarrow{G}_m and has an edge between s and w in \overrightarrow{G}_m if and only if there exists an interval-valued neutrosophic directed path $\overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m$ in \overrightarrow{G} .

Definition 2.29. The *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic out-neighbourhood (IVNout-neighbourhood) of vertex s of an IVN-digraph $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is IVN-set

$$\mathbb{N}_{m}^{+}(s) = (X_{s}^{+}, [t_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, t_{s}^{(u)^{+}}], [i_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, i_{s}^{(u)^{+}}], [f_{s}^{(l)^{+}}, f_{s}^{(u)^{+}}]), \quad \text{where}$$

 $X_s^+ = \{w \mid \text{there exists a directed interval-valued neutrosophic path of length } m$ from s to w, $\overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^{m}$ }, $t_{s}^{(l)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1], t_{s}^{(u)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1], i_{s}^{(l)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1], i_{s}^{(l)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1], f_{s}^{(l)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1]$ 1], $i_{s}^{(u)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1], f_{s}^{(l)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1] f_{s}^{(u)^{+}} : X_{s}^{+} \to [0, 1]$ are defined by $t_{s}^{(l)^{+}} = \min\{t^{l}(\overline{s_{1}, s_{2}}), (s_{1}, s_{2}) \text{ is an edge of } \overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^{m}\}, t_{s}^{(u)^{+}} = \min\{t^{u}(\overline{s_{1}, s_{2}}), (s_{1}, s_{2}), (s_{1}, s_{$ $(s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m\}, \ i_s^{(l)^+} = \min\{i^l(\overline{s_1, s_2}), \ (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m\}, \ i_s^{(u)^+} = \min\{i^l(\overline{s_1, s_2}), \ (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m\}, \ s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m\}, \ f_s^{(l)^+} = \min\{f^l(\overline{s_1, s_2}), \ (s_1, s_2), \ (s_1$ respectively.

Example 2.30. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \vec{B})$ on $X = \{s, w, a, b, c, d\}$, such [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5]), (c, [0.2, 0.7]), (c, [0.2, 0.7] $\begin{array}{l} [0.2, 0.6]), \ d([0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])\}, \ \text{and} \ B = \{(\overrightarrow{(s,a)}, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (\overrightarrow{(a,c)}, (\overrightarrow{(a,c)}, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (\overrightarrow{(a,d)}, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4]), \end{array}$ $(\overline{(w,b)}, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (\overline{(b,c)}, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), (\overline{(b,d)}, \overline{(b,d)})$ [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.4]), as shown in Fig. 9.



FIGURE 9. IVN-digraph

We calculate 2-step IVN-out-neighbourhoods as, $\mathbb{N}_2^+(s) = \{(c, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (d, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4])\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_2^+(w) = \{(c, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), (d, [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3])\}$.

Definition 2.31. The *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic in-neighbourhood (IVNin-neighbourhood) of vertex *s* of an IVN-digraph $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is IVN-set

$$\mathbb{N}_m^-(s) = (X_s^-, [t_s^{(l)^-}, t_s^{(u)^-}], [i_s^{(l)^-}, i_s^{(u)^-}], [f_s^{(l)^-}, f_s^{(u)^-}]), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{split} X_s^- &= \{w | \text{ there exists a directed interval-valued neutrosophic path of length } m \\ \text{from } w \text{ to } s, \ \overrightarrow{P}_{w,s}^m \}, \ t_s^{(l)^-} : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ t_s^{(u)^-} : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ i_s^{(l)^-} : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ t_s^{(u)^-} : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ i_s^{(l)^-} : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ t_s^{(u)^-} : X_s^- : X_s^- \to [0, 1], \ t_s^{(u)^-} : X_s^- :$$

Example 2.32. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \vec{B})$ on $X = \{s, w, a, b, c, d\}$, such that $A = \{(s, [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9]), (w, [0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (a, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), d([0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])\}$, and $B = \{((s, a), [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), ((a, c), [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((a, d), [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4])\}$, $((w, b), [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), ((b, c), [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), ((b, d), [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.4])\}$, as shown in Fig. 10.



FIGURE 10. IVN-digraph

We calculate 2-step IVN-in-neighbourhoods as, $\mathbb{N}_2^-(s) = \{(c, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (d, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4])\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_2^-(w) = \{(c, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), (d, [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.3])\}.$

Definition 2.33. Suppose $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is an IVN-digraph. The *m*-step IVNCgraph of IVN-digraph \overrightarrow{G} is denoted by $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G}) = (A, B)$ which has same IVN-set of vertices as in \overrightarrow{G} and has an edge between two vertices $s, w \in X$ in $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$ if and only if $(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$ is a non-empty IVN-set in \overrightarrow{G} . The interval-valued truthmembership value of edge (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$ is $t_B^l(s, w) = [t_A^l(s) \wedge t_A^l(w)]h_1^l(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap$ $\mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$, and $t_B^u(s, w) = [t_A^u(s) \wedge t_A^u(w)]h_1^u(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$, the interval-valued indeterminacy-membership value of edge (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$ is $i_B^l(s, w) = [i_A^l(s) \wedge$ $i_A^l(w)]h_2^l(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$, and $i_B^u(s, w) = [i_A^u(s) \wedge i_A^u(w)]h_2^u(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$, the interval-valued falsity-membership value of edge (s, w) in $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$ is $f_B^l(s, w) =$ $[f_A^l(s) \wedge f_A^l(w)]h_3^l(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$, and $f_B^u(s, w) = [f_A^u(s) \wedge f_A^u(w)]h_3^u(\mathbb{N}_m^+(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_m^+(w))$.

The 2-step IVNC-graph is illustrated by the following example.

Example 2.34. Consider an IVN-digraph $G = (A, \vec{B})$ on $X = \{s, w, a, b, c, d\}$, such that $A = \{(s, [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9]), (w, [0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), (a, [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (b, [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), (c, [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), d([0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])\}$, and $B = \{((s, a), [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6]), ((a, c), [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), ((a, d), [0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4])\}$, $(w, b), [0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3]), ((b, c), [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), ((b, d), [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.4])\}$, as shown in Fig. 11.



FIGURE 11. IVN-digraph

We calculate $\mathbb{N}_2^+(s) = \{(c, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6]), (d, [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.4])\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_2^+(w) = \{(c, [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3]), (d, [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.$ [0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.4])}. Thus, $t_B^l(s, w) = 0.04, t_B^u(s, w) = 0.20, i_B^l(s, w) = 0.04, i_B^u(s, w) = 0.12, f_B^l(s, w) = 0.04$ and $f_B^u(s, w) = 0.12$. This graph is depicted in Fig. 12.

([0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9])	w([0.6, 0.7], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.3])			
([0.04, 0.20], [0.04, 0.12], [0.04, 0.12])				
a([0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])	b([0.2, 0.6], [0.1, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])			
c([0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.5], [0.2, 0.6])	• d([0.2, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6])			

FIGURE 12. 2-Step IVNC-graph

If a predator s attacks one prey w, then the linkage is shown by an edge (s, w)in an IVN-digraph. But, if predator needs help of many other mediators s_1, s_2, \ldots , s_{m-1} , then linkage among them is shown by interval-valued neutrosophic directed path $\overrightarrow{P}_{s,w}^m$ in an IVN-digraph. So, *m*-step prey in an IVN-digraph is represented by a vertex which is the *m*-step out-neighbourhood of some vertices. Now, the strength of an IVNC-graphs is defined below.

Definition 2.35. Let $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ be an IVN-digraph. Let w be a common vertex of *m*-step out-neighbourhoods of vertices s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_l . Also, let $\overrightarrow{B_1^l}(u_1, v_1)$, $\overrightarrow{B_1^l}(u_2, v_2), \ldots, \overrightarrow{B_1^l}(u_r, v_r)$ and $\overrightarrow{B_1^u}(u_1, v_1), \overrightarrow{B_1^u}(u_2, v_2), \ldots, \overrightarrow{B_1^u}(u_r, v_r)$ be the minimum interval-valued truth-membership values, $\overrightarrow{B_2^l}(u_1, v_1)$, $\overrightarrow{B_2^l}(u_2, v_2)$,..., $\overrightarrow{B_2^l}(u_r, v_r)$ and $\overrightarrow{B_2^u}(u_1, v_1)$, $\overrightarrow{B_2^u}(u_2, v_2)$,..., $\overrightarrow{B_2^u}(u_r, v_r)$ be the minimum indeterminacy-membership 116

values, $\overrightarrow{B_3^l}(u_1, v_1)$, $\overrightarrow{B_3^l}(u_2, v_2)$, ..., $\overrightarrow{B_3^l}(u_r, v_r)$ and $\overrightarrow{B_3^u}(u_1, v_1)$, $\overrightarrow{B_3^u}(u_2, v_2)$, ..., $\overrightarrow{B_3^u}(u_r, v_r)$ be the maximum false-membership values, of edges of the paths $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_1,w}^m$, $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_2,w}^m$, ..., $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_r,w}^m$, respectively. The *m*-step prey $w \in X$ is strong prey if

$$\overrightarrow{B_1^i}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_2^i}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_3^i}(u_i, v_i) < 0.5, \\ \overrightarrow{B_1^u}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_2^u}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_3^u}(u_i, v_i) < 0.5, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

The strength of the prey w can be measured by the mapping $S:X\to[0,1],$ such that:

$$S(w) = \frac{1}{r} \Biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{u}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{u}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{u}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{u}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] \Biggr\}.$$

Example 2.36. Consider an IVN-digraph $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ as shown in Fig. 11, the strength of the prey c is equal to

$$\frac{(0.2+0.2) + (0.6+0.4) + (0.1+0.1) + (0.6+0.2) - (0.2+0.1) - (0.3+0.3)}{2} = 1.5 > 0.5.$$

Hence, c is strong 2-step prey.

We state the following theorem without its proof.

Theorem 2.37. If a prey w of $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ is strong, then the strength of w, S(w) > 0.5.

Remark 2.38. The converse of the above theorem is not true, i.e. if S(w) > 0.5, then all preys may not be strong. This can be explained as: Let S(w) > 0.5 for a prey w in \overrightarrow{G} . So,

$$S(w) = \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{d}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{d}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{d}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{d}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] \right\}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{1}^{i}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{2}^{i}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{l}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] - \sum_{i=1}^{r} [\overrightarrow{B_{3}^{i}}(u_{i}, v_{i})] \\ \end{cases} \\ > \frac{117}{117}$$

This result does not necessarily imply that

$$\overrightarrow{B_1^i}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_2^i}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_3^i}(u_i, v_i) < 0.5, \\ \overrightarrow{B_1^u}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_2^u}(u_i, v_i) > 0.5, \quad \overrightarrow{B_3^u}(u_i, v_i) < 0.5, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Since, all edges of the directed paths $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_1,w}^m$, $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_2,w}^m$,..., $\overrightarrow{P}_{s_r,w}^m$, are not strong. So, the converse of the above statement is not true i.e., if S(w) > 0.5, the prey w of \overrightarrow{G} may not be strong. Now, *m*-step interval-valued neutrosophic neighbouhood graphs are defines below.

Definition 2.39. The *m*-step IVN-out-neighbourhood of vertex *s* of an IVN-digraph $\vec{G} = (A, \vec{B})$ is IVN-set

 $\mathbb{N}_m(s) = (X_s, [t_s^l, t_s^u], [i_s^l, i_s^u], [f_s^l, f_s^u]), \text{ where }$

$$\begin{split} X_s &= \{w | \text{ there exists a directed interval-valued neutrosophic path of length } m \text{ from } s \text{ to } w, \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, t_s^l : X_s \to [0, 1], t_s^u : X_s \to [0, 1], i_s^l : X_s \to [0, 1], i_s^u : X_s \to [0, 1], \\ f_s^l : X_s \to [0, 1], f_s^u : X_s \to [0, 1], \text{ are defined by } t_s^l &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, t_s^u &= \min\{t^u(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, t_s^u &= \min\{t^u(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, i_s^u &= \min\{t^u(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^l &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2) \text{ is an edge of } \mathbb{P}^m_{s,w} \}, f_s^u &= \min\{t^l(s_1, s_$$

Definition 2.40. Suppose G = (A, B) is an IVN-graph. Then *m*-step intervalvalued neutrosophic neighbouhood graph $\mathbb{N}_m(G)$ is defined by $\mathbb{N}_m(G) = (A, \dot{B})$ where $A = ([A_1^l, A_1^u], [A_2^l, A_2^u], [A_3^l, A_3^u]), \dot{B} = ([\dot{B}_1^l, \dot{B}_1^u], [\dot{B}_2^l, \dot{B}_2^u], [\dot{B}_3^l, \dot{B}_3^u]),$ $\dot{B}_1^l : X \times X \to [0, 1], \dot{B}_1^u : X \times X \to [0, 1], \dot{B}_2^l : X \times X \to [0, 1], \dot{B}_2^u : X \times X \to [0, 1],$ $(1), \dot{B}_3^l : X \times X \to [0, 1],$ and $\dot{B}_3^u : X \times X \to [0, -1]$ are such that:

$$\begin{split} \dot{B}_{1}^{l}(s,w) &= A_{1}^{l}(s) \wedge A_{1}^{l}(w)h_{1}^{l}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \\ \dot{B}_{2}^{l}(s,w) &= A_{2}^{l}(s) \wedge A_{2}^{l}(w)h_{2}^{l}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \\ \dot{B}_{3}^{l}(s,w) &= A_{3}^{l}(s) \wedge A_{3}^{l}(w)h_{3}^{l}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \\ \dot{B}_{1}^{u}(s,w) &= A_{1}^{u}(s) \wedge A_{1}^{u}(w)h_{1}^{u}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \\ \dot{B}_{2}^{u}(s,w) &= A_{2}^{u}(s) \wedge A_{2}^{u}(w)h_{2}^{u}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \\ \dot{B}_{3}^{u}(s,w) &= A_{3}^{u}(s) \wedge A_{3}^{u}(w)h_{3}^{u}(\mathbb{N}_{m}(s) \cap \mathbb{N}_{m}(w)), \end{split}$$

We state the following theorems without thier proofs.

Theorem 2.41. If all preys of $\vec{G} = (A, \vec{B})$ are strong, then all edges of $\mathbb{C}_m(\vec{G}) = (A, B)$ are strong.

A relation is established between m-step IVNC-graph of an IVN-digraph and IVNC-graph of m-step IVN-digraph.

Theorem 2.42. If \overrightarrow{G} is an IVN-digraph and $\overrightarrow{G_m}$ is the m-step IVN-digraph of \overrightarrow{G} , then $\mathbb{C}(\overrightarrow{G}_m) = \mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G})$.

Theorem 2.43. Let $\overrightarrow{G} = (A, \overrightarrow{B})$ be an IVN-digraph. If m > |X| then $\mathbb{C}_m(\overrightarrow{G}) = (A, B)$ has no edge.

Theorem 2.44. If all the edges of IVN-digraph $\vec{G} = (A, \vec{B})$ are independent strong, then all the edges of $\mathbb{C}_m(\vec{G})$ are independent strong.

3. Conclusions

Graph theory is an enjoyable playground for the research of proof techniques in discrete mathematics. There are many applications of graph theory in different fields. We have introduced IVNC-graphs and k-competition IVN-graphs, p-competition IVN-graphs and m-step IVNC-graphs as the generalized structures of IVNC-graphs. We have described interval-valued neutrosophic open and closed-neighbourhood. Also we have established some results related to them. We aim to extend our research work to (1) Interval-valued fuzzy rough graphs; (2) Interval-valued fuzzy rough hypergraphs, (3) Interval-valued fuzzy rough neutrosophic graphs, and (4) Decision support systems based on IVN-graphs.

Acknowledgment: The authors are thankful to Editor-in-Chief and the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- M. Akram and W. A. Dudek, Interval-valued fuzzy graphs, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 61 (2011) 289–299.
- [2] M. Akram, Interval-valued fuzzy line graphs, Neural Computing & Applications 21 (2012) 145–150.
- [3] M. Akram, N. O. Al-Shehrie and W. A. Dudek, Certain types of interval-valued fuzzy graphs, Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2013 Article ID 857070 (2013) 11 pages.
- [4] M. Akram, W. A. Dudek and M. Murtaza Yousaf, Self centered interval-valued fuzzy graphs, Afrika Matematika 26 (5-6) (2015) 887–898.
- [5] M. Akram and M. Nasir, Concepts of interval-valued neutrosophic graphs, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics 6(1-2) (2017) DOI :10.20454/ijas.2017.1235 22–41.
- [6] M. Akram and S. Shahzadi, Neutrosophic soft graphs with application, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems 32 (1) (2017) 841–858.
- [7] M. Akram, Single-valued neutrosophic planar graphs, International Journal of Algebra and Statistics 5 (2) (2016) 157–167.
- [8] M. Akram and G. Shahzadi, Operations on single-valued neutrosophic graphs, Journal of Uncertain System 11 (3) (2017) 1–26.
- M. Akram and M. Sitara, Novel applications of single-valued neutrosophic graph structures in decision making, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing (2016) DOI 10.1007/s12190-017-1084-5 1–32.
- [10] M. Akram and A. Adeel, Representation of labeling tree based on m- polar fuzzy sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 13 (2) (2017) 189–197.
- [11] N. O. Al-Shehrie and M. Akram, Bipolar fuzzy competition graphs, Ars Combinatoria 121 (2015) 385–402.
- [12] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [13] P. Bhattacharya, Some remark on fuzzy graphs, Pattern Recognition Letters 6 (1987) 297–302.
- [14] S. Broumi, M. Talea, A. Bakali and F. Smarandache, Single-valued neutrosophic graphs, Journal of New Theory 10 (2016) 86–101.
- [15] T. Dinesh, A study on graph structures, incidence algebras and their fuzzy analogues [Ph.D.thesis], Kannur University Kannur India 2011.
- [16] J. Hongmei and W. Lianhua, Interval-valued fuzzy subsemigroups and subgroups sssociated by interval-valued fuzzy graphs, 2009 WRI Global Congress on Intelligent Systems (2009) 484–487.

- [17] M. G. Karunambigai and R. Buvaneswari, Degrees in intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 13 (3) (2017) 345–357.
- [18] A. Kauffman, Introduction a la theorie des sousemsembles flous, Masson et cie Paris 1973.
- [19] J. M. Mendel, Uncertain rule-based fuzzy logic systems: Introduction and new directions, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 2001.
- [20] J. N. Mordeson and P. Chang-Shyh, Operations on fuzzy graphs, Inform. Sci. 79 (1994) 159– 170.
- [21] M. Nasir, S. Siddique and M. Akram, Novel properties of intuitionistic fuzzy competition graphs, Journal of Uncertain Systems 2 (1) (2017) 49–67.
- [22] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs, Fuzzy Sets and their Application, Academic press New York (1975) 77–95.
- [23] S. Samanta and M. Pal, Fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs, Fuzzy Information and Engineering 5 (2013) 191–204.
- [24] S. Samanta, M. Akram and M. Pal, m-step fuzzy competition graphs, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing 47 (2015) 461–472.
- [25] M. Sarwar and M. Akram, Novel concepts of bipolar fuzzy competition graphs, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing (2016) DOI 10.1007/s12190-016-1021-z.
- [26] F. Smarandache, A unifying field in logics. neutrosophy: neutrosophic probability, set and logic. Rehoboth:, American Research Press 1999.
- [27] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set- a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Granular Computing. 2006 IEEE International Conference (2006) DOI: 10.1109/GRC.2006.1635754 38– 42.
- [28] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA 105 p. (1998).
- [29] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y. Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman, Single-valued neutrosophic sets, Multisspace and Multistruct 4 (2010) 410–413.
- [30] H. Wang, Y. Zhang and R. Sunderraman, Truth-value based interval neutrosophic sets, Granular Computing, 2005 IEEE International Conference 1 (2005) DOI: 10.1109/GRC.2005.1547284 274-277.
- [31] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y. Q. Zhang and R. Sunderram, An Interval neutrosophic sets and logic: theory and applications in computing, Hexis Arizona (2005).
- [32] S. Y. Wu, The compositions of fuzzy digraphs, Journal of Research in Education Science 31 (1986) 603–628.
- [33] J. Ye, Single-valued neutrosophic minimum spanning tree and its clustering method, Journal of Intelligent Systems 23 (3) (2014) 311–324.
- [34] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [35] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic and its application to approximate reasoning I, Inform. Sci. 8 (1975) 199–249.
- [36] L. A. Zadeh, Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings, Inform. Sci. 3 (1971) 177–200.

<u>MUHAMMAD AKRAM</u> (makrammath@yahoo.com)

Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

<u>MARYAM NASIR</u> (maryamnasir9120gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab, New Campus, Lahore, Pakistan