# A Novel Extension of Neutrosophic Sets and Its Application in BCK/BCI-Algebras

Seok-Zun Song<sup>1</sup>, Madad Khan<sup>2</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>3</sup>, and Young Bae Jun<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jeju National University, Jeju 63243, Korea. E-mail: szsong@jejunu.ac.kr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, Pakistan. E-mail: madadmath@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup> Mathematics & Science Department, University of New Mexico, 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA.

E-mail: fsmarandache@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea. E-mail: skywine@gmail.com

Corresponding author's e-mail\*: skywine@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Generalized neutrosophic set is introduced, and applied it to BCK/BCI-algebras. The notions of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras and generalized neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras are introduced, and related properties are investigated. Characterizations of generalized neutrosophic subalgebra/ideal are considered. Relation between generalized neutrosophic subalgebra and generalized neutrosophic ideal is discussed. In a BCK-algebra, conditions for a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra to be a generalized neutrosophic ideal are provided. Conditions for a generalized neutrosophic set to be a generalized neutrosophic ideal are also provided. Homomorphic image and preimage of generalized neutrosophic ideal are considered.

**KEYWORDS:** Generalized neutrosophic set, generalized neutrosophic subalgebra, generalized neutrosophic ideal.

# 1 Introduction

Zadeh (1965) introduced the degree of membership/truth (t) in 1965 and defined the fuzzy set. As a generalization of fuzzy sets, Atanassov (1986) introduced the degree of nonmembership/falsehood (f) in 1986 and defined the intuitionistic fuzzy set. Smarandache introduced the degree of indeterminacy/neutrality (i) as independent component in 1995 (published in 1998) and defined the neutrosophic set on three components

(t, i, f) = (truth, indeterminacy, falsehood).

For more detail, refer to the site

http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/FlorentinSmarandache.htm.

The concept of neutrosophic set (NS) developed by Smarandache (1999) and Smarandache (2005) is a more general platform which extends the concepts of the classic set and fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set and interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy set. Neutrosophic set theory is applied to various part (refer to the site http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/neutrosophy.htm). Agboola and Davvaz (2015) introduced the concept of neutrosophic BCI/BCK-algebras, and presented elementary properties of neutrosophic BCI/BCK-algebras. Saeid and Jun (2017) gave relations between an  $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -neutrosophic subalgebra and a  $(q, \in \lor q)$ -neutrosophic subalgebra, and discussed characterization of an  $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -neutrosophic subalgebra by using neutrosophic  $\in$  subsets. They provided conditions for an  $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -neutrosophic subalgebra to be a  $(q, \in \lor q)$ -neutrosophic subalgebra, and neutrosophic  $\in \lor q$ -subsets. Jun (2017) considered neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in BCK/BCI-algebras.

In this paper, we consider a generalization of Smarandache's neutrosophic sets. We introduce the notion of generalized neutrosophic sets and apply it to BCK/BCI-algebras. We introduce the notions of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras and generalized neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, and investigate related properties. We consider characterizations of generalized neutrosophic subalgebra/ideal, and discussed relation between generalized neutrosophic subalgebra and generalized neutrosophic ideal. We provide conditions for a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra to be a generalized neutrosophic ideal in a BCK-algebra. We also provide conditions for a generalized neutrosophic set to be a generalized neutrosophic ideal, and consider homomorphic image and preimage of generalized neutrosophic ideal.

# 2 PRELIMINARIES

By a *BCI-algebra* we mean an algebra (X, \*, 0) of type (2, 0) satisfying the conditions:

(a1) 
$$((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0,$$

(a2) (x \* (x \* y)) \* y = 0,

(a3) 
$$x * x = 0$$
,

(a4)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If a *BCI*-algebra X satisfies the condition

(a5) 
$$0 * x = 0$$
 for all  $x \in X$ ,

then we say that X is a *BCK-algebra*. A partial ordering " $\leq$ " on X is defined by

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x \le y \iff x * y = 0).$$

In a BCK/BCI-algebra X, the following properties are satisfied:

$$(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y).$$
(2.2)

A nonempty subset S of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if  $x * y \in S$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . A nonempty subset I of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if

$$0 \in I, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I).$$

$$(2.4)$$

We refer the reader to the books (Meng & Jun, 1994) and (Huang, 2006) for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras.

For any family  $\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  of real numbers, we define

$$\bigvee \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \max\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \sup\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$\bigwedge \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} := \begin{cases} \min\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is finite,} \\ \inf\{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$ , we will also use  $a_1 \vee a_2$  and  $a_1 \wedge a_2$  instead of  $\bigvee \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\bigwedge \{a_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ , respectively.

By a fuzzy set in a nonempty set X we mean a function  $\mu : X \to [0, 1]$ , and the complement of  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\mu^c$ , is the fuzzy set in X given by  $\mu^c(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a fuzzy subalgebra of X if  $\mu(x * y) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a fuzzy ideal of X if

$$(\forall x \in X)(\mu(0) \ge \mu(x)), \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(\mu(x) \ge \mu(x * y) \land \mu(y)).$$
(2.6)

Let X be a non-empty set. A neutrosophic set (NS) in X (Smarandache, 1999) is a structure of the form:

$$A := \{ \langle x; A_T(x), A_I(x), A_F(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$$

where  $A_T : X \to [0,1]$  is a truth membership function,  $A_I : X \to [0,1]$  is an indeterminate membership function, and  $A_F : X \to [0,1]$  is a false membership function. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (A_T, A_I, A_F)$  for the neutrosophic set

$$A := \{ \langle x; A_T(x), A_I(x), A_F(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}.$$

### 3 GENERALIZED NEUTROSOPHIC SETS

**Definition 3.1.** A generalized neutrosophic set (GNS) in a non-empty set X is a structure of the form:

$$A := \{ \langle x; A_T(x), A_{IT}(x), A_{IF}(x), A_F(x) \rangle \mid x \in X, A_{IT}(x) + A_{IF}(x) \le 1 \}$$

where  $A_T : X \to [0, 1]$  is a truth membership function,  $A_F : X \to [0, 1]$  is a false membership function,  $A_{IT} : X \to [0, 1]$  is an indeterminate membership function which is familiar with truth membership function, and  $A_{IF} : X \to [0, 1]$  is an indeterminate membership function which is familiar with false membership function.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be a set. Then

$$A = \{ \langle a; 0.4, 0.6, 0.3, 0.7 \rangle, \langle b; 0.6, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle, \langle c; 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle \} \}$$

is a GNS in X. But

$$B = \{ \langle a; 0.4, 0.6, 0.3, 0.7 \rangle, \langle b; 0.6, 0.3, 0.9, 0.7 \rangle, \langle c; 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle \rangle \}$$

is not a GNS in X since  $B_{IT}(b) + B_{IF}(b) = 0.3 + 0.9 = 1.2 > 1$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  for the generalized neutrosophic set

$$A := \{ \langle x; A_T(x), A_{IT}(x), A_{IF}(x), A_F(x) \rangle \mid x \in X, A_{IT}(x) + A_{IF}(x) \le 1 \}.$$

Note that every GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X satisfies the condition:

$$(\forall x \in X) (0 \le A_T(x) + A_{IT}(x) + A_{IF}(x) + A_F(x) \le 3)$$

If  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a GNS in X, then  $\Box A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IT}^c, A_T^c)$  and  $\Diamond A = (A_F^c, A_{IF}^c, A_{IF}, A_F)$  are also GNSs in X.

**Example 3.3.** Given a set  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , we know that

$$A = \{ \langle 0; 0.4, 0.6, 0.3, 0.7 \rangle, \langle 1; 0.6, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle, \langle 2; 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle, \\ \langle 3; 0.9, 0.1, 0.8, 0.6 \rangle, \langle 4; 0.3, 0.6, 0.2, 0.9 \rangle \}$$

is a GNS in X. Then

$$\Box A = \{ \langle 0; 0.4, 0.6, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle, \langle 1; 0.6, 0.2, 0.8, 0.4 \rangle, \langle 2; 0.1, 0.3, 0.7, 0.9 \rangle, \\ \langle 3; 0.9, 0.1, 0.9, 0.1 \rangle, \langle 4; 0.3, 0.6, 0.4, 0.7 \rangle \}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Diamond A &= \{ \langle 0; 0.3, 0.7, 0.3, 0.7 \rangle, \langle 1; 0.3, 0.5, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle, \langle 2; 0.4, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle 3; 0.4, 0.2, 0.8, 0.6 \rangle, \langle 4; 0.1, 0.8, 0.2, 0.9 \rangle \} \end{split}$$

are GNSs in X.

# 4 APPLICATIONS IN BCK/BCI-ALGEBRAS

In what follows, let X denote a BCK/BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 4.1.** A GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X is called a *generalized neutrosophic* subalgebra of X if the following conditions are valid.

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \begin{pmatrix} A_T(x * y) \ge A_T(x) \land A_T(y) \\ A_{IT}(x * y) \ge A_{IT}(x) \land A_{IT}(y) \\ A_{IF}(x * y) \le A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y) \\ A_F(x * y) \le A_F(x) \lor A_F(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.1)

**Example 4.2.** Consider a *BCK*-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the Cayley table which is given in Table 1.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Table 1: Cayley table for the binary operation "\*"

Then the GNS

$$A = \{ \langle 0; 0.6, 0.7, 0.2, 0.3 \rangle, \langle 1; 0.6, 0.6, 0.3, 0.3 \rangle, \\ \langle 2; 0.4, 0.5, 0.4, 0.7 \rangle, \langle 3; 0.6, 0.3, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle \}$$

in X is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

Given a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X and  $\alpha_T, \alpha_{IT}, \beta_F, \beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ , consider the following sets.

$$U(T, \alpha_T) := \{ x \in X \mid A_T(x) \ge \alpha_T \},$$
  

$$U(IT, \alpha_{IT}) := \{ x \in X \mid A_{IT}(x) \ge \alpha_{IT} \},$$
  

$$L(F, \beta_F) := \{ x \in X \mid A_F(x) \le \beta_F \},$$
  

$$L(IF, \beta_{IF}) := \{ x \in X \mid A_{IF}(x) \le \beta_{IF} \}.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X, then the set  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are subalgebras of X for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$  whenever they are non-empty.

*Proof.* Assume that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are nonempty for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x, y \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ , then  $A_T(x) \ge \alpha_T$  and  $A_T(y) \ge \alpha_T$ . It follows that

$$A_T(x*y) \ge A_T(x) \land A_T(y) \ge \alpha_T$$

and so that  $x * y \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ . Hence  $U(T, \alpha_T)$  is a subalgebra of X. Similarly, if  $x, y \in U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ , then  $x * y \in U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ , that is,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$  is a subalgebra of X. Suppose that  $x, y \in L(F, \beta_F)$ . Then  $A_F(x) \leq \beta_F$  and  $A_F(y) \leq \beta_F$ , which imply that

$$A_F(x*y) \le A_F(x) \lor A_F(y) \le \beta_F,$$

that is,  $x * y \in L(F, \beta_F)$ . Hence  $L(F, \beta_F)$  is a subalgebra of X. Similarly we can verify that  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  is a subalgebra of X.

**Corollary 4.4.** If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X, then the set

$$A(\alpha_T, \alpha_{IT}, \beta_F, \beta_{IF}) := \{ x \in X \mid A_T(x) \ge \alpha_T, A_{IT}(x) \ge \alpha_{IT}, A_F(x) \le \beta_F, A_{IF}(x) \le \beta_{IF} \}$$

is a subalgebra of X for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in X such that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are subalgebras of X for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$  whenever they are non-empty. Then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

*Proof.* Assume that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are subalgebras for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ . If there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that

$$A_T(x * y) < A_T(x) \land A_T(y),$$

then  $x, y \in U(T, t_{\alpha})$  and  $x * y \notin U(T, t_{\alpha})$  for  $t_{\alpha} = A_T(x) \wedge A_T(y)$ . This is a contradiction, and so

$$A_T(x * y) \ge A_T(x) \land A_T(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Similarly, we can prove

$$A_{IT}(x * y) \ge A_{IT}(x) \land A_{IT}(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that

$$A_{IF}(x * y) > A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y)$$

for some  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists  $f_{\beta} \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$A_{IF}(x * y) > f_{\beta} \ge A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y),$$

which induces a contradiction since  $x, y \in L(IF, f_{\beta})$  and  $x * y \notin L(IF, f_{\beta})$ . Thus

$$A_{IF}(x * y) \le A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Similar way shows that

$$A_F(x * y) \le A_F(x) \lor A_F(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

Since [0, 1] is a completely distributive lattice under the usual ordering, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** The family of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras of X forms a complete distributive lattice under the inclusion.

**Proposition 4.7.** Every generalized neutrosophic subalgebra  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  of X satisfies the following assertions:

- (1)  $(\forall x \in X) (A_T(0) \ge A_T(x), A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(x)),$
- (2)  $(\forall x \in X) \ (A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(x), \ A_F(0) \le A_F(x)).$

*Proof.* Since x \* x = 0 for all  $x \in X$ , it is straightforward.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in X. If there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_T(a_n) = 1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} A_{IT}(a_n)$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_F(a_n) = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} A_{IF}(a_n)$ , then  $A_T(0) = 1 = A_{IT}(0)$  and  $A_F(0) = 0 = A_{IF}(0)$ .

*Proof.* Using Proposition 4.7, we know that  $A_T(0) \ge A_T(a_n)$ ,  $A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(a_n)$ ,  $A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(a_n)$  and  $A_F(0) \le A_F(a_n)$  for every positive integer n. It follows that

$$1 \ge A_T(0) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} A_T(a_n) = 1,$$
  

$$1 \ge A_{IT}(0) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} A_{IT}(a_n) = 1,$$
  

$$0 \le A_{IF}(0) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} A_{IF}(a_n) = 0,$$
  

$$0 \le A_F(0) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} A_F(a_n) = 0.$$

Thus  $A_T(0) = 1 = A_{IT}(0)$  and  $A_F(0) = 0 = A_{IF}(0)$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** If every GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \begin{array}{c} A_T(x * y) \ge A_T(y), \ A_{IT}(x * y) \ge A_{IT}(y) \\ A_{IF}(x * y) \le A_{IF}(y), \ A_F(x * y) \le A_F(y) \end{array} \right),$$
(4.2)

then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is constant on X.

*Proof.* Using (2.1) and (4.2), we have  $A_T(x) = A_T(x*0) \ge A_T(0), A_{IT}(x) = A_{IT}(x*0) \ge A_{IT}(0), A_{IF}(x) = A_{IF}(x*0) \le A_{IF}(0), and A_F(x) = A_F(x*0) \le A_F(0)$ . It follows from Proposition 4.7 that  $A_T(x) = A_T(0), A_{IT}(x) = A_{IT}(0), A_{IF}(x) = A_{IF}(0)$  and  $A_F(x) = A_F(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is constant on X. □

A mapping  $f: X \to Y$  of BCK/BCI-algebras is called a homomorphism (?) if f(x \* y) = f(x) \* f(y) for all  $x, y \in X$ . Note that if  $f: X \to Y$  is a homomorphism, then f(0) = 0. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras. For any GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in Y, we define a new GNS  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X, which is called the *induced* GNS, by

$$(\forall x \in X) \left( \begin{array}{c} A_T^f(x) = A_T(f(x)), \ A_{IT}^f(x) = A_{IT}(f(x)) \\ A_{IF}^f(x) = A_{IF}(f(x)), \ A_F^f(x) = A_F(f(x)) \end{array} \right).$$
(4.3)

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras. If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in Y is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of Y, then the induced GNS  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$A_T^J(x * y) = A_T(f(x * y)) = A_T(f(x) * f(y))$$
  

$$\geq A_T(f(x)) \wedge A_T(f(y)) = A_T^f(x) \wedge A_T^f(y),$$

$$A_{IT}^f(x * y) = A_{IT}(f(x * y)) = A_{IT}(f(x) * f(y))$$
  

$$\geq A_{IT}(f(x)) \wedge A_{IT}(f(y)) = A_{IT}^f(x) \wedge A_{IT}^f(y)$$

$$A_{IF}^{f}(x * y) = A_{IF}(f(x * y)) = A_{IF}(f(x) * f(y))$$
  
$$\leq A_{IF}(f(x)) \lor A_{IF}(f(y)) = A_{IF}^{f}(x) \lor A_{IF}^{f}(y),$$

and

$$A_F^f(x * y) = A_F(f(x * y)) = A_F(f(x) * f(y))$$
  
$$\leq A_F(f(x)) \lor A_F(f(y)) = A_F^f(x) \lor A_F^f(y)$$

Therefore  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be an onto homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras and let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in Y. If the induced GNS  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X, then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of Y.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in Y$ . Then f(a) = x and f(b) = y for some  $a, b \in X$ . Then

$$A_T(x * y) = A_T(f(a) * f(b)) = A_T(f(a * b)) = A_T^f(a * b)$$
  

$$\geq A_T^f(a) \wedge A_T^f(b) = A_T(f(a)) \wedge A_T(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_T(x) \wedge A_T(y),$$

$$A_{IT}(x * y) = A_{IT}(f(a) * f(b)) = A_{IT}(f(a * b)) = A_{IT}^{f}(a * b)$$
  

$$\geq A_{IT}^{f}(a) \wedge A_{IT}^{f}(b) = A_{IT}(f(a)) \wedge A_{IT}(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_{IT}(x) \wedge A_{IT}(y),$$

$$A_{IF}(x * y) = A_{IF}(f(a) * f(b)) = A_{IF}(f(a * b)) = A_{IF}^{f}(a * b)$$
  
$$\leq A_{IF}^{f}(a) \lor A_{IF}^{f}(b) = A_{IF}(f(a)) \lor A_{IF}(f(b))$$
  
$$= A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y),$$

and

$$A_F(x * y) = A_F(f(a) * f(b)) = A_F(f(a * b)) = A_F^f(a * b)$$
$$\leq A_F^f(a) \lor A_F^f(b) = A_F(f(a)) \lor A_F(f(b))$$
$$= A_F(x) \lor A_F(y).$$

Hence  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of Y.

**Definition 4.12.** A GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X is called a *generalized neutrosophic ideal* of X if the following conditions are valid.

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} A_T(0) \ge A_T(x), \ A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(x) \\ A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(x), A_F(0) \le A_F(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.4)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \begin{pmatrix} A_T(x) \ge A_T(x * y) \land A_T(y) \\ A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(x * y) \land A_{IT}(y) \\ A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y) \\ A_F(x) \le A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

**Example 4.13.** Consider a *BCK*-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  with the Cayley table which is given in Table 2.

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

Table 2: Cayley table for the binary operation "\*"

Let

$$A = \{ \langle 0; 0.8, 0.7, 0.2, 0.1 \rangle, \langle 1; 0.3, 0.6, 0.2, 0.6 \rangle, \langle 2; 0.8, 0.4, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \\ \langle 3; 0.3, 0.2, 0.7, 0.8 \rangle, \langle 4; 0.3, 0.2, 0.7, 0.8 \rangle \}.$$

be a GNS in X. By routine calculations, we know that A is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

**Lemma 4.14.** Every generalized neutrosophic ideal  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  of X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \begin{array}{c} x \le y \end{array} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_T(x) \ge A_T(y), \ A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(y) \\ A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(y), \ A_F(x) \le A_F(y) \end{array} \right).$$
(4.6)

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then x \* y = 0, and so

$$A_{T}(x) \ge A_{T}(x * y) \land A_{T}(y) = A_{T}(0) \land A_{T}(y) = A_{T}(y),$$
  

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(x * y) \land A_{IT}(y)A_{IT}(0) \land A_{IT}(y) = A_{IT}(y),$$
  

$$A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y)A_{IF}(0) \lor A_{IF}(y) = A_{IF}(y),$$
  

$$A_{F}(x) \le A_{F}(x * y) \lor A_{F}(y)A_{F}(0) \lor A_{F}(y) = A_{F}(y).$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.15.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X. If the inequality  $x * y \le z$  holds in X, then  $A_T(x) \ge A_T(y) \land A_T(z)$ ,  $A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(y) \land A_{IT}(z)$ ,  $A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(y) \lor A_{IF}(z)$  and  $A_F(x) \le A_F(y) \lor A_F(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x * y \le z$ , Then (x \* y) \* z = 0, and so

$$A_T(x) \ge \bigwedge \{A_T(x * y), A_T(y)\}$$
  
$$\ge \bigwedge \left\{ \bigwedge \{A_T((x * y) * z), A_T(z)\}, A_T(y) \right\}$$
  
$$= \bigwedge \left\{ \bigwedge \{A_T(0), A_T(z)\}, A_T(y) \right\}$$
  
$$= \bigwedge \{A_T(y), A_T(z)\},$$

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge \bigwedge \{A_{IT}(x * y), A_{IT}(y)\}$$
  
$$\ge \bigwedge \{\bigwedge \{A_{IT}((x * y) * z), A_{IT}(z)\}, A_{IT}(y)\}$$
  
$$= \bigwedge \{\bigwedge \{A_{IT}(0), A_{IT}(z)\}, A_{IT}(y)\}$$
  
$$= \bigwedge \{A_{IT}(y), A_{IT}(z)\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{IF}(x) &\leq \bigvee \{A_{IF}(x * y), A_{IF}(y)\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{A_{IF}((x * y) * z), A_{IF}(z)\}, A_{IF}(y) \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{A_{IF}(0), A_{IF}(z)\}, A_{IF}(y) \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \{A_{IF}(y), A_{IF}(z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_F(x) &\leq \bigvee \{A_F(x * y), A_F(y)\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{A_F((x * y) * z), A_F(z)\}, A_F(y) \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{A_F(0), A_F(z)\}, A_F(y) \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \{A_F(y), A_F(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.16.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X. If the inequality

$$(\cdots((x*a_1)*a_2)*\cdots)*a_n=0$$

holds in X, then

$$A_{T}(x) \ge \bigwedge \{A_{T}(a_{i}) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\},\$$
  

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge \bigwedge \{A_{IT}(a_{i}) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\},\$$
  

$$A_{IF}(x) \le \bigvee \{A_{IF}(a_{i}) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\},\$$
  

$$A_{F}(x) \le \bigvee \{A_{F}(a_{i}) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}.\$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward by using induction on n and Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15.

**Theorem 4.17.** In a BCK-algebra X, every generalized neutrosophic ideal is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra.

Proof. Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a generalized neutrosophic ideal of a *BCK*-algebra X. Since  $x * y \leq x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $A_T(x * y) \geq A_T(x)$ ,  $A_{IT}(x * y) \geq A_{IT}(x)$ ,  $A_{IF}(x * y) \leq A_{IF}(x)$  and  $A_F(x * y) \leq A_F(x)$  by Lemma 4.14. It follows from (4.5) that

$$A_T(x * y) \ge A_T(x) \ge A_T(x * y) \land A_T(y) \ge A_T(x) \land A_T(y),$$
$$A_{IT}(x * y) \ge A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(x * y) \land A_{IT}(y) \ge A_{IT}(x) \land A_{IT}(y),$$
$$A_{IF}(x * y) \le A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y) \le A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y),$$

and

$$A_F(x * y) \le A_F(x) \le A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y) \le A_F(x) \lor A_F(y).$$

Therefore  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X.

The converse of Theorem 4.17 is not true. For example, the generalized neutrosophic subalgebra A in Example 4.2 is not a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X since

$$A_T(2) = 0.4 \ge 0.6 = A_T(2*1) \land A_T(1)$$

and/or

$$A_F(2) = 0.7 \leq 0.3 = A_F(2*1) \lor A_F(1).$$

We give a condition for a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra to be a generalized neutrosophic ideal.

**Theorem 4.18.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a generalized neutrosophic subalgebra of X such that

$$A_T(x) \ge A_T(y) \land A_T(z),$$
  

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(y) \land A_{IT}(z),$$
  

$$A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(y) \lor A_{IF}(z),$$
  

$$A_F(x) \le A_F(y) \lor A_F(z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  satisfying the inequality  $x * y \leq z$ . Then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

*Proof.* Recall that  $A_T(0) \ge A_T(x)$ ,  $A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(x)$ ,  $A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(x)$  and  $A_F(0) \le A_F(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  by Proposition 4.7. Let  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $x * (x * y) \le y$ , it follows from the hypothesis that

$$A_T(x) \ge A_T(x * y) \land A_T(y),$$
  

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(x * y) \land A_{IT}(y),$$
  

$$A_{IF}(x) \le A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y),$$
  

$$A_F(x) \le A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y).$$

Hence  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

**Theorem 4.19.** A GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X if and only if the fuzzy sets  $A_T$ ,  $A_{IT}$ ,  $A_{IF}^c$  and  $A_F^c$  are fuzzy ideals of X.

*Proof.* Assume that  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X. Clearly,  $A_T$  and  $A_{IT}$  are fuzzy ideals of X. For every  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$A_{IF}^{c}(0) = 1 - A_{IF}(0) \ge 1 - A_{IF}(x) = A_{IF}^{c}(x),$$
$$A_{F}^{c}(0) = 1 - A_{F}(0) \ge 1 - A_{F}(x) = A_{F}^{c}(x),$$
$$A_{IF}^{c}(x) = 1 - A_{IF}(x) \ge 1 - A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y)$$
$$= \bigwedge \{1 - A_{IF}(x * y), 1 - A_{IF}(y)\}$$
$$= \bigwedge \{A_{IF}^{c}(x * y), A_{IF}^{c}(y)\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_F^c(x) &= 1 - A_F(x) \ge 1 - A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y) \\ &= \bigwedge \{ 1 - A_F(x * y), 1 - A_F(y) \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ A_F^c(x * y), A_F^c(y) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A_T$ ,  $A_{IT}$ ,  $A_{IF}^c$  and  $A_F^c$  are fuzzy ideals of X.

Conversely, let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in X for which  $A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}^c$  and  $A_F^c$  are fuzzy ideals of X. For every  $x \in X$ , we have  $A_T(0) \ge A_T(x), A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(x)$ ,

$$1 - A_{IF}(0) = A_{IF}^c(0) \ge A_{IF}^c(x) = 1 - A_{IF}(x)$$
, that is,  $A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(x)$ 

and

$$1 - A_F(0) = A_F^c(0) \ge A_F^c(x) = 1 - A_F(x)$$
, that is,  $A_F(0) \le A_F(x)$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$A_T(x) \ge A_T(x * y) \land A_T(y),$$

$$A_{IT}(x) \ge A_{IT}(x * y) \land A_{IT}(y),$$

$$1 - A_{IF}(x) = A_{IF}^{c}(x) \ge A_{IF}^{c}(x * y) \land A_{IF}^{c}(y)$$
$$= \bigwedge \{1 - A_{IF}(x * y), 1 - A_{IF}(y)\}$$
$$= 1 - \bigvee \{A_{IF}(x * y), A_{IF}(y)\},$$

and

$$1 - A_F(x) = A_F^c(x) \ge A_F^c(x * y) \land A_F^c(y)$$
  
=  $\bigwedge \{1 - A_F(x * y), 1 - A_F(y)\}$   
=  $1 - \bigvee \{A_F(x * y), A_F(y)\},$ 

that is,  $A_{IF}(x) \leq A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y)$  and  $A_F(x) \leq A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y)$ . Hence  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

**Theorem 4.20.** If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X, then  $\Box A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IT}^c, A_T^c)$  and  $\Diamond A = (A_{IF}^c, A_F^c, A_F, A_{IF})$  are generalized neutrosophic ideals of X.

*Proof.* Assume that  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X and let  $x, y \in X$ . Note that  $\Box A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IT}^c, A_T^c)$  and  $\Diamond A = (A_{IF}^c, A_F^c, A_F, A_{IF})$  are GNSs in X. Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{IT}^{c}(x*y) &= 1 - A_{IT}(x*y) \leq 1 - \bigwedge \{A_{IT}(x), A_{IT}(y)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{1 - A_{IT}(x), 1 - A_{IT}(y)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{A_{IT}^{c}(x), A_{IT}^{c}(y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_T^c(x * y) = 1 - A_T(x * y) \le 1 - \bigwedge \{A_T(x), A_T(y)\}$$
  
=  $\bigvee \{1 - A_T(x), 1 - A_T(y)\}$   
=  $\bigvee \{A_T^c(x), A_T^c(y)\},$ 

$$A_{IF}^{c}(x * y) = 1 - A_{IF}(x * y) \ge 1 - \bigvee \{A_{IF}(x), A_{IF}(y)\}$$
$$= \bigwedge \{1 - A_{IF}(x), 1 - A_{IF}(y)\}$$
$$= \bigwedge \{A_{IF}^{c}(x), A_{IF}^{c}(y)\}$$

and

$$A_F^c(x * y) = 1 - A_F(x * y) \ge 1 - \bigvee \{A_F(x), A_F(y)\}$$
  
=  $\bigwedge \{1 - A_F(x), 1 - A_F(y)\}$   
=  $\bigwedge \{A_F^c(x), A_F^c(y)\}.$ 

Therefore  $\Box A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IT}^c, A_T^c)$  and  $\Diamond A = (A_{IF}^c, A_F^c, A_F, A_{IF})$  are generalized neutrosophic ideals of X.

**Theorem 4.21.** If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X, then the set  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are ideals of X for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$  whenever they are non-empty.

*Proof.* Assume that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are nonempty for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $0 \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $0 \in U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $0 \in L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $0 \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x * y \in U(T, \alpha_T)$  and  $y \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ , then  $A_T(x * y) \geq \alpha_T$  and  $A_T(y) \geq \alpha_T$ . Hence

$$A_T(x) \ge A_T(x * y) \land A_T(y) \ge \alpha_T,$$

and so  $x \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ . Similarly, if  $x * y \in U(IT, \alpha_T)$  and  $y \in U(IT, \alpha_T)$ , then  $x \in U(IT, \alpha_T)$ . If  $x * y \in L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $y \in L(F, \beta_F)$ , then  $A_F(x * y) \leq \beta_F$  and  $A_F(y) \leq \beta_F$ . Hence

$$A_F(x) \le A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y) \le \beta_F,$$

and so  $x \in L(F, \beta_F)$ . Similarly, if  $x * y \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  and  $y \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ , then  $x \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.22.** Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in X such that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  are ideals of X for all  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

Proof. Let  $\alpha_T$ ,  $\alpha_{IT}$ ,  $\beta_F$ ,  $\beta_{IF} \in [0, 1]$  be such that  $U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ are ideals of X. For any  $x \in X$ , let  $A_T(x) = \alpha_T$ ,  $A_{IT}(x) = \alpha_{IT}$ ,  $A_{IF}(x) = \beta_{IF}$  and  $A_F(x) = \beta_F$ . Since  $0 \in U(T, \alpha_T)$ ,  $0 \in U(IT, \alpha_{IT})$ ,  $0 \in L(F, \beta_F)$  and  $0 \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ , we have  $A_T(0) \ge \alpha_T = A_T(x)$ ,  $A_{IT}(0) \ge \alpha_{IT} = A_{IT}(x)$ ,  $A_{IF}(0) \le \beta_{IF} = A_{IF}(x)$  and  $A_F(0) \le \beta_F = A_F(x)$ . If there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $A_T(a * b) < A_T(a) \land A_T(b)$ , then  $a, b \in U(T, \alpha_0)$  and  $a * b \notin U(T, \alpha_0)$ where  $\alpha_0 := A_T(a) \land A_T(b)$ . This is a contradiction, and hence  $A_T(x * y) \ge A_T(x) \land A_T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Similarly, we can verify  $A_{IT}(x * y) \ge A_{IT}(x) \land A_{IT}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $A_{IF}(a * b) > A_{IF}(a) \lor A_{IF}(b)$  for some  $a, b \in X$ . Taking  $\beta_0 := A_{IF}(a) \lor A_{IF}(b)$  induces  $a, b \in L(IF, \beta_{IF})$  and  $a * b \notin L(IF, \beta_{IF})$ , a contradiction. Thus  $A_{IF}(x * y) \le A_{IF}(x) \lor A_{IF}(y)$ for all  $x, y \in X$ . Similarly we have  $A_F(x * y) \le A_F(x) \lor A_F(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Consequently,  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty subset of [0, 1].

**Theorem 4.23.** Let  $\{I_t \mid t \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of ideals of X such that

(1) 
$$X = \bigcup_{t \in \Lambda} I_t$$
,  
(2)  $(\forall s, t \in \Lambda) (s > t \iff I_s \subset I_t)$ .

Let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in X given as follows:

$$(\forall x \in X) \begin{pmatrix} A_T(x) = \bigvee \{t \in \Lambda \mid x \in I_t\} = A_{IT}(x) \\ A_{IF}(x) = \bigwedge \{t \in \Lambda \mid x \in I_t\} = A_F(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.7)

Then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.22, it is sufficient to show that U(T,t), U(IT,t), L(F,s) and L(IF,s) are ideals of X for every  $t \in [0, A_T(0) = A_{IT}(0)]$  and  $s \in [A_{IF}(0) = A_F(0), 1]$ . In order to prove U(T,t) and U(IT,t) are ideals of X, we consider two cases:

- (i)  $t = \bigvee \{ q \in \Lambda \mid q < t \},$
- (ii)  $t \neq \bigvee \{q \in \Lambda \mid q < t\}.$

For the first case, we have

$$\begin{split} & x \in U(T,t) \Longleftrightarrow (\forall q < t) (x \in I_q) \Longleftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{q < t} I_q, \\ & x \in U(IT,t) \Longleftrightarrow (\forall q < t) (x \in I_q) \Longleftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{q < t} I_q. \end{split}$$

Hence  $U(T,t) = \bigcap_{q < t} I_q = U(IT,t)$ , and so U(T,t) and U(IT,t) are ideals of X. For the second case, we claim that  $U(T,t) = \bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q = U(IT,t)$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q$ , then  $x \in I_q$  for some  $q \ge t$ . It follows that  $A_{IT}(x) = A_T(x) \ge q \ge t$  and so that  $x \in U(T,t)$  and  $x \in U(IT,t)$ . This shows that  $\bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q \subseteq U(T,t) = U(IT,t)$ . Now, assume that  $x \notin \bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q$ . Then  $x \notin I_q$  for all  $q \ge t$ . Since  $t \ne \bigvee_{q \ge t} \{q \in \Lambda \mid q < t\}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(t - \varepsilon, t) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \notin I_q$  for all  $q > t - \varepsilon$ , which means that if  $x \in I_q$ , then  $q \le t - \varepsilon$ . Thus  $A_{IT}(x) = A_T(x) \le t - \varepsilon < t$ , and so  $x \notin U(T,t) = U(IT,t)$ . Therefore  $U(T,t) = U(IT,t) \subseteq \bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q$ . Consequently,  $U(T,t) = U(IT,t) = U(IT,t) = \bigcup_{q \ge t} I_q$  which is an ideal of X. Next we show that L(F,s) and L(IF,s) are ideals of X. We consider two cases as follows:

- (iii)  $s = \bigwedge \{ r \in \Lambda \mid s < r \},\$
- (iv)  $s \neq \bigwedge \{ r \in \Lambda \mid s < r \}.$

Case (iii) implies that

$$x \in L(IF, s) \iff (\forall s < r)(x \in I_r) \iff x \in \bigcap_{s < r} I_r,$$
$$x \in U(F, s) \iff (\forall s < r)(x \in I_r) \iff x \in \bigcap_{s < r} I_r.$$

It follows that  $L(IF, s) = L(F, s) = \bigcap_{s < r} I_r$ , which is an ideal of X. Case (iv) induces  $(s, s+\varepsilon) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{s \ge r} I_r$ , then  $x \in I_r$  for some  $r \le s$ , and so  $A_{IF}(x) = A_F(x) \le r \le s$ , that is,  $x \in L(IF, s)$  and  $x \in L(F, s)$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{s \ge r} I_r \subseteq L(IF, s) = L(F, s)$ . If  $x \notin \bigcup_{s \ge r} I_r$ , then  $x \notin I_r$  for all  $r \le s$  which implies that  $x \notin I_r$  for all  $r \le s + \varepsilon$ , that is, if  $x \in I_r$  then  $r \ge s + \varepsilon$ . Hence  $A_{IF}(x) = A_F(x) \ge s + \varepsilon > s$ , and so  $x \notin L(A_{IF}, s) = L(A_F, s)$ . Hence  $L(A_{IF}, s) = L(A_F, s) = \bigcup_{s \ge r} I_r$  which is an ideal of X. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.24.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras. If a GNS  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  in Y is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of Y, then the new GNS  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

*Proof.* We first have

$$A_T^f(0) = A_T(f(0)) = A_T(0) \ge A_T(f(x)) = A_T^f(x),$$
  

$$A_{IT}^f(0) = A_{IT}(f(0)) = A_{IT}(0) \ge A_{IT}(f(x)) = A_{IT}^f(x),$$
  

$$A_{IF}^f(0) = A_{IF}(f(0)) = A_{IF}(0) \le A_{IF}(f(x)) = A_{IF}^f(x),$$
  

$$A_F^f(0) = A_F(f(0)) = A_F(0) \le A_F(f(x)) = A_F^f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$A_T^f(x) = A_T(f(x)) \ge A_T(f(x) * f(y)) \land A_T(f(y))$$
$$= A_T(f(x * y)) \land A_T(f(y))$$
$$= A_T^f(x * y) \land A_T^f(y),$$

$$A_{IT}^f(x) = A_{IT}(f(x)) \ge A_{IT}(f(x) * f(y)) \land A_{IT}(f(y))$$
$$= A_{IT}(f(x * y)) \land A_{IT}(f(y))$$
$$= A_{IT}^f(x * y) \land A_{IT}^f(y),$$

$$A_{IF}^{f}(x) = A_{IF}(f(x)) \le A_{IF}(f(x) * f(y)) \lor A_{IF}(f(y))$$
$$= A_{IF}(f(x * y)) \lor A_{IF}(f(y))$$
$$= A_{IF}^{f}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}^{f}(y)$$

and

$$A_F^f(x) = A_F(f(x)) \le A_F(f(x) * f(y)) \lor A_F(f(y))$$
$$= A_F(f(x * y)) \lor A_F(f(y))$$
$$= A_F^f(x * y) \lor A_F^f(y).$$

Therefore  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X.

**Theorem 4.25.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be an onto homomorphism of BCK/BCI-algebras and let  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  be a GNS in Y. If the induced GNS  $A^f = (A_T^f, A_{IT}^f, A_{IF}^f, A_F^f)$  in X is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of X, then  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of Y.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in Y$ , there exists  $a \in X$  such that f(a) = x. Then

$$A_{T}(0) = A_{T}(f(0)) = A_{T}^{f}(0) \ge A_{T}^{f}(a) = A_{T}(f(a)) = A_{T}(x),$$
  

$$A_{IT}(0) = A_{IT}(f(0)) = A_{IT}^{f}(0) \ge A_{IT}^{f}(a) = A_{IT}(f(a)) = A_{IT}(x),$$
  

$$A_{IF}(0) = A_{IF}(f(0)) = A_{IF}^{f}(0) \le A_{IF}^{f}(a) = A_{IF}(f(a)) = A_{IF}(x),$$
  

$$A_{F}(0) = A_{F}(f(0)) = A_{F}^{f}(0) \le A_{F}^{f}(a) = A_{F}(f(a)) = A_{F}(x).$$

Let  $x, y \in Y$ . Then f(a) = x and f(b) = y for some  $a, b \in X$ . It follows that

$$A_T(x) = A_T(f(a)) = A_T^f(a)$$
  

$$\geq A_T^f(a * b) \wedge A_T^f(b)$$
  

$$= A_T(f(a * b)) \wedge A_T(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_T(f(a) * f(b)) \wedge A_T(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_T(x * y) \wedge A_T(y),$$

$$A_{IT}(x) = A_{IT}(f(a)) = A_{IT}^{f}(a)$$
  

$$\geq A_{IT}^{f}(a * b) \wedge A_{IT}^{f}(b)$$
  

$$= A_{IT}(f(a * b)) \wedge A_{IT}(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_{IT}(f(a) * f(b)) \wedge A_{IT}(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_{IT}(x * y) \wedge A_{IT}(y),$$

$$A_{IF}(x) = A_{IF}(f(a)) = A_{IF}^{f}(a)$$
  

$$\leq A_{IF}^{f}(a * b) \lor A_{IF}^{f}(b)$$
  

$$= A_{IF}(f(a * b)) \lor A_{IF}(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_{IF}(f(a) * f(b)) \lor A_{IF}(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_{IF}(x * y) \lor A_{IF}(y),$$

and

$$A_F(x) = A_F(f(a)) = A_F^f(a)$$
  

$$\leq A_F^f(a * b) \lor A_F^f(b)$$
  

$$= A_F(f(a * b)) \lor A_F(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_F(f(a) * f(b)) \lor A_F(f(b))$$
  

$$= A_F(x * y) \lor A_F(y).$$

Therefore  $A = (A_T, A_{IT}, A_{IF}, A_F)$  is a generalized neutrosophic ideal of Y.

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Smarandache, F., & Chang, V. (2018). Neutrosophic Association Rule Mining Algorithm for Big Data Analysis. *Symmetry*, *10*(4), 106.
- Abdel-Basset, M., & Mohamed, M. (2018). The Role of Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Rough Sets in Smart City: Imperfect and Incomplete Information Systems. Measurement. Volume 124, August 2018, Pages 47-55
- Abdel-Basset, M., Gunasekaran, M., Mohamed, M., & Smarandache, F. A novel method for solving the fully neutrosophic linear programming problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1-11.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2018). A hybrid approach of neutrosophic sets and DEMATEL method for developing supplier selection criteria. *Design Automation for Embedded Systems*, 1-22.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., & Chang, V. (2018). NMCDA: A framework for evaluating cloud computing services. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 86, 12-29.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Zhou, Y., & Hezam, I. (2017). Multi-criteria group decision making based on neutrosophic analytic hierarchy process. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 33(6), 4055-4066.
- Abdel-Basset, M.; Mohamed, M.; Smarandache, F. An Extension of Neutrosophic AHP–SWOT Analysis for Strategic Planning and Decision-Making. *Symmetry* 2018, *10*, 116.
- Agboola, A. A. A., & Davvaz, B. (2015). Introduction to neutrosophic BCI/BCKalgebras. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. doi: 10.1155/2015/370267
- Atanassov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1), 87–96.
- Huang, Y. S. (2006). BCI-algebra. Beijing: Science Press.
- Jun, Y. B. (2017). Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in BCK/BCI-algebras. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 14(1), 75–86.
- Meng, J., & Jun, Y. B. (1994). BCK-algebras. Seoul: Kyung Moon Sa Co.
- Saeid, A. B., & Y.B, J. (2017). Neutrosophic subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 14(1), 87–97.
- Smarandache, F. (1999). A unifying field in logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set and logic. Rehoboth: American Research Press.
- Smarandache, F. (2005). Neutrosophic set, a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24(5), 287–297.
- Zadeh, L. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8(3), 338–353.