

# Algebraic Structures of Semigroup by Rough Neutrosophic Ideals

V.S.Subha<sup>a</sup>, G. Rajasekar<sup>b</sup>, S.Soundaravalli<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Assistant professor (Deputed), PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, C. Mutlur, Chidambaram.

<sup>b</sup>Associate professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jawahar Science College, Neyveli.

<sup>c</sup>Assistant professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jawahar Science College, Neyveli.

**Abstract:** In this article, we will look at the definition of rough neutrosophic set in semigroups and investigate their properties. We also introduce the concept of rough Neutrosophic (right,left,two-sided,bi-) ideals in a semigroup and study some properties of the ideals of semigroup.

**Keywords:** Rough set, Neutrosophic set, Rough neutrosophic set, Rough neutrosophic left(right) ideal, Rough neutrosophic bi-ideal.

## 1. Introduction

The idea of fuzzy sets was first proposed by (Zadeh.1965). As an extension of it, (Atanassov.1986)introduced intuitionistic fuzzy set, where a degree of non-membership was considered besides the degree of membership of each element with (membership value + non-membership value)  $\leq 1$ . After that several generalizations such as, rough sets, vague sets, interval-valued sets etc. are considered as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties. (Smarandache.2005) introduced Neutrosophic set in which he introduced the indeterminacy to intuitionistic fuzzy sets. There are also several authors who have enriched the theory of neutrosophic sets.

(Elavarasan, Balasubramanian; FlorentinSmarandache; and Young Bae Jun.1990) introduced the Neutrosophic ideals in semigroups and investigated several properties. Based on an equivalence relation, (Dubois and Prade.1990) introduced the lower and upper approximations of fuzzy sets in a Pawlak approximation space to obtain an extended notion called rough fuzzy sets. The notions of rough prime ideals and rough fuzzy prime ideals in semigroup are introduced by (Xiao.Q. M, Zhang.Z.L,(2006) ).(Jayanta Ghosh, Samanta.2012) introduces the concept of Rough intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in semigroups. It motivates us to define the notion of rough neutrosophic in semigroup.

In this paper, the notion of rough neutrosophic ideals in semigroups is introduced and several properties are investigated.

## 2. Preliminaries

This paper recalls the concepts of neutrosophic ideals in a semigroup and in previous versions of this paper (Elavarasan, Balasubramanian; FlorentinSmarandache; and Young Bae Jun. (2019),JayantaGhosh, T. K. Samanta. (2012Kuroki.N(1997)) some simple definitions are presented.

## 3. Rough neutrosophic subsets in a semigroup

In this section we establish some results dealing with rough neutrosophic subsets in a semigroup.It should be noted that throughout this section  $\zeta$  denote the congruence relation on  $S_G$ .

### Definition 3.1:

Let  $\zeta$  be a congruence relation on  $S_G$ , such that  $(m, n) \in \zeta \Rightarrow (mx, nx) \in \zeta, (xm, xn) \in \zeta, \forall x \in S_G$ .

Consider  $[m]_{\zeta}$  as a  $\zeta$  congruence class containing the element  $m \in S_G$ . For  $\zeta$  on  $S_G$ , we have  $[m]_{\zeta}[n]_{\zeta} \subseteq [mn]_{\zeta} \forall m, n \in S_G$ .  $\zeta$  on  $S_G$  is complete if  $[m]_{\zeta}[n]_{\zeta} = [mn]_{\zeta} \forall m, n \in S_G$ .

Let  $\kappa$  be a NSof  $S_G$ . Then the NS  $\underline{\zeta}(\kappa) = \{\underline{\zeta}(t), \underline{\zeta}(i), \underline{\zeta}(f)\}$  and  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa) = \{\overline{\zeta}(t), \overline{\zeta}(i), \overline{\zeta}(f)\}$  are respectively called  $\underline{\zeta}$  lower and  $\overline{\zeta}$  upper approximations of NS.

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\zeta}(\kappa)(t)(\alpha) &= \bigwedge_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (t(p)) \\ \underline{\zeta}(\kappa)(i)(\alpha) &= \bigvee_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (i(p)) \\ \underline{\zeta}(\kappa)(f)(\alpha) &= \bigvee_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (f(p)) \\ \overline{\zeta}(\kappa)(t)(\alpha) &= \bigvee_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (t(p)) \\ \overline{\zeta}(\kappa)(i)(\alpha) &= \bigwedge_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (i(p)) \\ \overline{\zeta}(\kappa)(f)(\alpha) &= \bigwedge_{p \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (f(p)) \\ \forall \alpha \in S_G \end{aligned}$$

For a NSof  $S_G$ ,  $\zeta(\kappa) = (\underline{\zeta}(\kappa), \overline{\zeta}(\kappa))$  is called RNS with respect to  $\zeta$  if  $\underline{\zeta}(\kappa) \neq \overline{\zeta}(\kappa)$ .

**Theorem 3.2 :**

Let  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  be any two congruence relations on  $S_G$ . If M and N are any two NS of  $S_G$ , then the following holds:

- (i)  $\underline{\zeta}(M) \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{\zeta}(M)$
- (ii)  $\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\zeta}(M)) = \underline{\zeta}(M)$
- (iii)  $\overline{\zeta}(\overline{\zeta}(M)) = \overline{\zeta}(M)$
- (iv)  $\overline{\zeta}(\underline{\zeta}(M)) = \underline{\zeta}(M)$
- (v)  $\underline{\zeta}(\overline{\zeta}(M)) = \overline{\zeta}(M)$
- (vi)  $(\overline{\zeta}(M^c))^c = \underline{\zeta}(M)$
- (vii)  $(\underline{\zeta}(M^c))^c = \overline{\zeta}(M)$
- (viii)  $\underline{\zeta}(M \cap N) = \underline{\zeta}(M) \cap \underline{\zeta}(N)$
- (ix)  $\overline{\zeta}(M \cap N) \subseteq \overline{\zeta}(M) \cap \overline{\zeta}(N)$
- (x)  $\overline{\zeta}(M \cup N) = \overline{\zeta}(M) \cup \overline{\zeta}(N)$
- (xi)  $\underline{\zeta}(M \cup N) \supseteq \underline{\zeta}(M) \cup \underline{\zeta}(N)$
- (xii)  $M \subseteq N \Rightarrow \overline{\zeta}(M) \subseteq \overline{\zeta}(N)$
- (xiii)  $M \subseteq N \Rightarrow \underline{\zeta}(M) \subseteq \underline{\zeta}(N)$
- (xiv)  $\zeta \subseteq \zeta' \Rightarrow \underline{\zeta}(M) \supseteq \underline{\zeta}'(M)$
- (xv)  $\zeta \subseteq \zeta' \Rightarrow \overline{\zeta}(M) \subseteq \overline{\zeta}'(M)$

**Proof:**

The Proof is obvious.

**Theorem 3.3:**

If  $M$  and  $N$  be any two NS of  $S_G$ , then  $\bar{\zeta}(M) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N) \subseteq \bar{\zeta}(M \circ N)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be any two NS of  $S_G$ . Then,

$$\bar{\zeta}(M) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N) = \{[\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(t))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(i)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(i))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(f)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(f))]\}$$

and

$$\bar{\zeta}(M \circ N) = \{[\bar{\zeta}(M(t) \circ N(t))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(i) \circ N(i))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(f) \circ N(f))]\}$$

To prove,

$$\bar{\zeta}(M) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N) \subseteq \bar{\zeta}(M \circ N)$$

For this we need to prove,

$$\forall \alpha \in S_G$$

$$(\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(t)))(\alpha) \leq \bar{\zeta}(M(t) \circ N(t))(\alpha)$$

$$(\bar{\zeta}(M(i)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(i)))(\alpha) \geq \bar{\zeta}(M(i) \circ N(i))(\alpha)$$

$$(\bar{\zeta}(M(f)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(f)))(\alpha) \geq \bar{\zeta}(M(f) \circ N(f))(\alpha)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(t)))(\alpha) &= \bigvee_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [\bar{\zeta}(M(t))(\beta) \wedge \bar{\zeta}(N(t))(\gamma)] \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigvee_{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} (M(t)(x)) \wedge (\bigvee_{y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}} (N(t)(y)))] \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigvee_{\substack{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta} \\ y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}}} (M(t)(x) \wedge N(t)(y)))] \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigvee_{x+y \in [\beta\gamma]_{\zeta}} (M(t)(x) \wedge N(t)(y)))] \\ &= \bigvee_{xy \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (M(t)(x) \wedge N(t)(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\substack{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta} \\ z=xy}} (M(t)(x) \wedge N(t)(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \bigvee_{z=x+y} (M(t)(x) \wedge N(t)(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [M(t) \circ N(t)](z) \\ &= \bar{\zeta}(M(t) \circ N(t))(z) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$(\bar{\zeta}(M(i)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(i)))(\alpha) = \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [\bar{\zeta}(M(i))(\beta) \wedge \bar{\zeta}(N(i))(\gamma)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x)) \vee (\bigwedge_{y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{N}(i)(y)))] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{\substack{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta} \\ y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}}} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(i)(y)))] \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{x+y \in [\beta\gamma]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(i)(y)))] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{xy \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(i)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{\substack{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta} \\ z=xy}} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(i)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \bigwedge_{z=x+y} (\mathbf{M}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(i)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [\mathbf{M}(i) \circ \mathbf{N}(i)](z) \\
 &= \overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M}(i) \circ \mathbf{N}(i))(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M}(f)) \circ (\mathbf{N}(f)))(\alpha) &= \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [\overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M}(f))(\beta) \wedge \overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{N}(f))(\gamma)] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x)) \vee (\bigwedge_{y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{N}(f)(y)))] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{\substack{x \in [\beta]_{\zeta} \\ y \in [\gamma]_{\zeta}}} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(f)(y)))] \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{\alpha=\beta\gamma} [(\bigwedge_{x+y \in [\beta\gamma]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(f)(y)))] \\
 &= \bigwedge_{xy \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(f)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{\substack{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta} \\ z=xy}} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(f)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \bigwedge_{z=x+y} (\mathbf{M}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{N}(f)(y)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{z \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [\mathbf{M}(f) \circ \mathbf{N}(f)](z) \\
 &= \overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M}(f) \circ \mathbf{N}(f))(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence Proved.

By the same arguments we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4:**

If  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be any two NS of  $S_G$ , then  $\underline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M}) \circ \underline{\zeta}(\mathbf{N}) \subseteq \underline{\zeta}(\mathbf{M} \circ \mathbf{N})$ .

**4. Rough Neutrosophic ideals in a Semigroup**

In this section rough neutrosophic subsemigroup (RNSSG) and rough neutrosophic ideals (RNI) in  $S_G$  are introduced and related theorems are proved.

**Definition 4.1:**

A NS  $\kappa$  of  $S_G$  is said to be an upper (lower) (RNSSG) of  $S_G$ , if  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa) (\underline{\zeta}(\kappa))$  is a (NSSG) of  $S_G$ . A NS  $\kappa$  is called a RNSSG of  $S_G$  if it is both upper and lower RNSSG of  $S_G$ .

**Theorem 4.2:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NSSG of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is an upper RNSSG of  $S_G$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\kappa$  be a NSSG of  $S_G$ ,  $a, b \in S_G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(ab) &= \bigvee_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(c) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(t)(c) = \bigvee_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(t)(xy) \geq \bigvee_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} [\mathbf{K}(t)(x) \wedge \mathbf{K}(t)(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(t)(x) \wedge \bigvee_{c \in [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(t)(y) \\ \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(ab) &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(a) \wedge \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(b) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(ab) &= \bigwedge_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(c) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(i)(c) = \bigwedge_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(i)(xy) \leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} [\mathbf{K}(i)(x) \vee \mathbf{K}(i)(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(i)(x) \vee \bigwedge_{c \in [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(i)(y) \\ \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(ab) &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(a) \vee \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(b) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(ab) &= \bigwedge_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(c) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(f)(c) = \bigwedge_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(f)(xy) \leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta} [y]_{\zeta}} [\mathbf{K}(f)(x) \vee \mathbf{K}(f)(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(f)(x) \vee \bigwedge_{c \in [y]_{\zeta}} \mathbf{K}(f)(y) \\ \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(ab) &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(a) \vee \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(b) \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa)$  is an NSSG of  $S_G$  and hence,  $\kappa$  is an upper RNSSG of  $S_G$ .

By the same arguments we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NSSG of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is a lower RNSSG of  $S_G$ .

**Definition 4.4:**

A NS  $\kappa$  of  $S_G$  is said to be an upper (lower) rough Neutrosophic left (right, two sided) ideal RNLI(RNRI, RNTI) of  $S_G$ , if  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa)(\underline{\zeta}(\kappa))$  is a Neutrosophic left(right,two sided) ideal NLI(NRI,NTI) of  $S_G$  respectively.

**Theorem 4.5:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NLI(NRI,NTI) of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is an upper RNLI(RNRI,RNTI) of  $S_G$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\kappa$  be a neutrosophic left ideal of  $S_G$  and  $a, b \in S_G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(ab) &= \bigvee_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(c) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(c) = \bigvee_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(xy) \geq \bigvee_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(y) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in [y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(y) \\ &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(b) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(ab) &= \bigwedge_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(c) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(c) = \bigwedge_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(xy) \leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in [y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(i)(y) \\ &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(i)(b) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(ab) &= \bigwedge_{c \in [xy]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(c) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(c) = \bigwedge_{xy \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(xy) \leq \bigwedge_{c \in [x]_{\zeta}[y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in [y]_{\zeta}} \kappa(f)(y) \\ &= \overline{\zeta}\kappa(f)(b) \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa)$  is an NLI(NRI,NTI) of  $S_G$  and hence,  $\kappa$  is an upper RNLI(RNRI,RNTI) of  $S_G$ .

By the same arguments we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NLI(NRI,NTI) of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is an RNLI(RNRI,RNTI) of  $S_G$ .

**Definition 4.7:**

A NSSG  $\kappa$  of  $S_G$  is said to be an upper(lower) rough neutrosophic bi-ideal (RNBI) of  $S_G$ , if  $\overline{\zeta}(\kappa)(\underline{\zeta}(\kappa))$  is a neutrosophic bi-ideal (NBI) of  $S_G$ .

**Theorem 4.8:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NBI of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is an upper RNBI of  $S_G$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $\kappa$  be the NBI of  $S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha, \beta, x \in S_G \\ \overline{\zeta}\kappa(t)(\alpha x \beta) &= \bigvee_{\gamma \in [\alpha x \beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(\gamma) \geq \bigvee_{\gamma \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}[x]_{\zeta}[\beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \bigvee_{asb \in [\alpha]_{\zeta} [x]_{\zeta} [\beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(asb) \geq \bigvee_{a \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}, s \in [x]_{\zeta}, b \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(a) \wedge \kappa(t)(b) \\
 &= \bigvee_{a \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}, b \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(a) \wedge \kappa(t)(b) = \bigvee_{a \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(a) \wedge \bigvee_{b \in [\beta]_{\zeta}} \kappa(t)(b) \\
 &= \bar{\zeta} \kappa(t)(\alpha) \wedge \bar{\zeta} \kappa(t)(\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\forall \alpha, \beta, x \in S_G \\
 &\bar{\zeta} \kappa(i)(\alpha x \beta) \leq \bar{\zeta} \kappa(i)(\alpha) \vee \bar{\zeta} \kappa(i)(\beta) \\
 &\bar{\zeta} \kappa(f)(\alpha x \beta) \leq \bar{\zeta} \kappa(f)(\alpha) \vee \bar{\zeta} \kappa(f)(\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\kappa$  is an upper RNBI of  $S_G$ .

By the same arguments we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.9:**

If  $\kappa$  is a NBI of  $S_G$ , then  $\kappa$  is a lower RNBI of  $S_G$ .

**Theorem 4.10:**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are any two NRI and NLI of  $S_G$  respectively, then  $\bar{\zeta}(M \circ N) \subseteq \bar{\zeta}(M) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N)$

**Proof:**

Let  $M$  and  $N$  are any two NRI and NLI of  $S_G$  respectively

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\zeta}(M \circ N) &= \{[\bar{\zeta}(M(t) \circ N(t))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(i) \circ N(i))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(f) \circ N(f))]\} \\
 \bar{\zeta}(M) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N) &= \{[\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N(t))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(i)) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N(i))], [\bar{\zeta}(M(f)) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N(f))]\}
 \end{aligned}$$

To Prove,  $\bar{\zeta}(M \circ N) \subseteq \bar{\zeta}(M) \cap \bar{\zeta}(N)$ .

For this we need to prove,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\forall \alpha \in S_G \\
 &(\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(t)))(\alpha) \leq \bar{\zeta}(M(t) \cap N(t))(\alpha) \\
 &(\bar{\zeta}(M(i)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(i)))(\alpha) \geq \bar{\zeta}(M(i) \cap N(i))(\alpha) \\
 &(\bar{\zeta}(M(f)) \circ \bar{\zeta}(N(f)))(\alpha) \geq \bar{\zeta}(M(f) \cap N(f))(\alpha) \\
 &(\bar{\zeta}(M(t)) \circ (N(t)))(\alpha) = \bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [(M(t) \circ N(t))(x)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \bigvee_{x=\beta\gamma} [(M(t)(\beta) \wedge (N(t)))(\gamma)] \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} \bigvee_{x=\beta\gamma} [(M(t)(\beta\gamma) \wedge (N(t)))(\beta\gamma)] \\
 &= \bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [(M(t)(x) \wedge (N(t)(x)))] \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}, y \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} [(M(t)(x) \wedge (N(t)(y)))] \\
 &= (\bigvee_{x \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (M(t)(x)) \wedge (\bigvee_{y \in [\alpha]_{\zeta}} (N(t)(y)))) \\
 &= \overline{\zeta}M(t)(x) \wedge \overline{\zeta}N(t)(x) \\
 &= [\overline{\zeta}M(t) \cap \overline{\zeta}N(t)](x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\overline{\zeta}(M(i)) \circ \overline{\zeta}(N(i)))(\alpha) \geq \overline{\zeta}(M(i) \cap N(i))(\alpha) \\
 &(\overline{\zeta}(M(f)) \circ \overline{\zeta}(N(f)))(\alpha) \geq \overline{\zeta}(M(f) \cap N(f))(\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved.

By the same arguments we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.11:**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are any two NRI and NLI of  $S_G$  respectively, then  $\underline{\zeta}(M \circ N) \subseteq \underline{\zeta}(M) \cap \underline{\zeta}(N)$ .

**5. Conclusion**

In this paper, we have introduced rough Neutrosophic left(right, two sided, bi-)ideals and some properties of these ideals. This concept can be extended in other algebraic structures such as Gamma-semigroups, Ordered semigroups, This study can be used in some real life applications namely decision making, medical diagnosis, multi attribute decision making etc.,

**References (APA)**

1. Atanassov.K.T.(1986) “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, 87 – 96.
2. Broumi.S, F. Smarandache and P. K. Maji. (2014), Intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set over Rings , Mathematics and Statistics, 2(3), 120 - 126.
3. Broumi.S, I. Deli and F. Smarandache. (2014), Relations on interval valued neutrosophic soft sets, Journal of New Results in Science, 5, 01 - 20.
4. Dubios, D., Prade, H.,(1990) Rough fuzzy sets and fuzzy rough sets, Int. J.Gen. Syst., 17, 191-208.
5. Elavarasan, Balasubramanian; FlorentinSmarandache; and Young Bae Jun. (2019). Neutrosophic ideals in semigroups. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 28, 1.
6. Jayanta Ghosh, T. K. Samanta. (2012), Rough intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in semigroups, 4(1) 155- 168,ISSN 2093–9310
7. Kuroki.N(1997), Rough ideals in semigroups, Inform. Sci. 100, 139–163
8. Pawlak, Z.(1982),Rough Sets, Int. J. Comput. Inform. Sci., 11, 341-356.
9. Smarandache, F.(2005). Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. ,24,pp.287-297.
10. Xiao.Q. M, Zhang.Z.L,(2006) Rough prime ideals and rough fuzzy prime ideals in semigroups,Inform. Sci. 176, 725–733.
11. Zadeh, L. A. (1965) Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8, 338 - 353.