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Abstract: The main objective of this paper is to make known to a new concept of generalised neutrosophic bipolar vague sets and also defined neutrosophic bipolar vague topology in topological spaces. Also, we introduce generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed sets and conferred its properties.
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1. Introduction

Levine [24] studied the Generalized closed sets in general topology. Several investigations were conducted on the generalizations of the notion of the fuzzy set, after the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [34]. In the traditional fuzzy sets, the membership degree of component ranges over the interval [0, 1]. Few types of fuzzy set extensions in the fuzzy set theory are present, for example, intuitionistic fuzzy sets[12], interval-valued fuzzy sets[32], vague sets[30] etc. As a generalization of Zadeh’s fuzzy set, the notion of vague set theory was first introduced by Gau W.L and Buehrer D.J [22]. In 1996, H.Bustince & P.Burillo indicated that vague sets are intuitionistic fuzzy sets [15].

Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets can handle only unfinished information but not the indeterminate and unreliable information which happens normally in actual circumstances. Hence, the conception of a neutrosophic set is very common, and then it can overcome the aforesaid issues on the intuitionistic fuzzy set and the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set. In 1995, the definition of Smarandache’s neutrosophic set, neutrosophic sets and neutrosophic logic have been useful in many real applications to handle improbability. Neutrosophy is a branch of philosophy which studies the source, nature and scope of neutralities, as well as their interactions with different ideational scales [31]. The neutrosophic set uses one single value to indicate the truth-membership grade, indeterminacy-membership degree and falsity membership grade of an element in the universe X. The theory has been brought into extensive application in varieties of field [1-6, 8, 10, 11, 14, 17, 23, 27, 33, 35] for dealing with indeterminate and unreliable information in actual domain. The conception of Neutrosophic Topological space was introduced by A.A.Salama and S.A.Alblowi [29].

Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets, which was introduced by Lee [25, 26] is an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is extended from the interval [0, 1] to [-1, 1]. The membership degrees of the Bipolar valued fuzzy sets signify the degree of satisfaction to the property analogous to a fuzzy set and its counter-property in a bipolar valued fuzzy set, if the membership degree is 0 it means that the elements are unrelated to the corresponding property. Furthermore if the membership degree is on (0, 1] it indicates that the elements somewhat fulfil the property, and if the membership degree is on
Under 3. Bipolar Neutrosophic Vague Set:

There is no restriction to a bipolar neutrosophic vague set as a combination of neutrosophic set and vague set and we also define the concept of generalised Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague set.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[16]: Let X be the universe. Then a bipolar valued fuzzy sets, A on X is defined by positive membership function $\mu_A^+: X \rightarrow [0\,1]$ and a negative membership function $\mu_A^-: X \rightarrow [-\,0\,0]$. For sake of easiness, we shall practice the symbol $A=\{<x,\mu_A^+(x)>, \mu_A^-(x): x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2[18]: Let A and B be two bipolar valued fuzzy sets then their union, intersection and complement are well-defined as follows:

(i) $\mu_{A \cup B}(x) = \max\{\mu_A^+(x), \mu_B^+(x)\}$.

(ii) $\mu_{A \cap B}(x) = \min\{\mu_A^+(x), \mu_B^+(x)\}$.

(iii) $\mu_{A^cB}(x) = \min\{\mu_A^-(x), \mu_B^-(x)\}$.

(iv) $\mu_{A^cB}(x) = \max\{\mu_A^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)\}$.

(v) $\mu_{A^cB}(x) = -\mu_A^+(x)$ and $\mu_{A^cB}(x) = -\mu_A^-(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3[15]: A vague set A in the universe of discourse U is a pair $(t_A, f_A)$ where $t_A: U \rightarrow [0\,1], f_A: U \rightarrow [0\,1]$ denote the mapping such that $t_A + f_A \leq 1$ for all $u \in U$ .The function $t_A$ and $f_A$ are called true membership function and false membership function respectively. The interval $[t_A, 1-f_A]$ is called the vague value of u in A, and denoted by $v_A(u)$, i.e $v_A(u)=[t_A, 1-f_A]$.

Definition 2.4[15]: Let A be a non-empty set and the vague set A and B in the form $A=\{<x, t_A, 1-f_A : x \in X\}$, $B=\{<x, t_B, 1-f_B : x \in X\}$.

Then

(i) $A \cap B$ if and only if $t_{A \cap B}(x) \leq t_A(x)$ and $1-f_{A \cap B}(x) \leq 1-f_A(x)$.

(ii) $A \cup B=\{\max(t_{A \cup B}(x)), \max(1-f_{A \cup B}(x), 1-f_A(x)): x \in X\}$.

(iii) $A \cap B=\{\min(t_{A \cap B}(x)), \min(1-f_{A \cap B}(x), 1-f_A(x)): x \in X\}$.

(iv) $\tilde{A}=\{<x, t_A(x), 1-t_A(x)> : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.5[14]: Let X be a universe of discourse. Then a neutrosophic set is well-defined as: $A=\{(x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)): x \in X\}$, which is categorized by a truth-membership function $T_A: X \rightarrow [0\,1]$, an indeterminacy membership function $I_A: X \rightarrow [0\,1]+$ and a falsity-membership function $F_A: X \rightarrow [0\,1]+$. There is no restriction to the sum of $T_A(x), I_A(x)$ and $F_A(x)$, so $0 \leq \sup T_A(x) \leq \sup I_A(x) \leq \sup F_A(x) \leq 3+$.

Definition 2.6[30]: A neutrosophic vague set $A_{NVV}$ (NVS in short) on the universe of discourse X written as;

$A_{NVV} = \{<\tilde{T}_{NVV}(x), \tilde{I}_{NVV}(x), \tilde{F}_{NVV}(x)> : x \in X\}$ whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership functions is defined as;

$\tilde{T}_{NVV}(x) = [T^-, T^+]$, $\tilde{I}_{NVV}(x) = [I^-, I^+]$, $\tilde{F}_{NVV}(x) = [F^-, F^+]$ where $T^+ = 1 - F^-$, $F^+ = 1 - T^-$ and $T^-, F^- \geq 0 \leq T^- + I^- + F^- \leq 2$.

3. Bipolar Neutrosophic Vague Set:

Under this division, we present and well-defined the notion of neutrosophic bipolar vague set and its operations.
Definition 3.1: If \( A=\{x, [T_A^+, T_A^-], [I_A^+, I_A^-], [F_A^+, F_A^-] \} \) and \( B=\{x, [T_B^+, T_B^-], [I_B^+, I_B^-], [F_B^+, F_B^-] \} \) and \( T^*(F^*) = 1 - (F^*)^* \) and \( (T^*)^* = 1 - (F^*) \) and \( (F^*) = 1 - (F)^* \) and \( (T)^* = 1 - (F) \) \( X\rightarrow\{0,1\} \) and \( T^*, I^*, F^*: X\rightarrow[-1,0] \) are two neutrosophic bipolar vague sets then their union, intersection and complement are well-defined as follows:

1. \( A\cup B = \max\{T_A^+, T_B^+\}, \min\{I_A^+, I_B^+\}, \min\{F_A^+, F_B^+\} \)

2. \( A\cap B = \min\{T_A^+, T_B^+\}, \max\{I_A^+, I_B^+\}, \max\{F_A^+, F_B^+\} \)

3. \( \tilde{A} = \{\overline{[F_A^+, F_A^-]}, 1 - I_A^+, 1 - I_A^- \}, [T_A^+, T_A^-], [I_A^+, I_A^-], [F_A^+, F_A^-] \} \)

Definition 3.2: Suppose \( A \) and \( B \) be two neutrosophic bipolar vague sets defined over a universe of disclosure \( X \). We say that \( A \subseteq B \) if and only if \( [T_A \leq T_B^+]^+, [T_A \leq T_B^-]^- \), \( [I_A \geq I_B^+]^+, [I_A \geq I_B^-]^- \), \( [F_A \geq F_B^+]^+, [F_A \geq F_B^-]^- \) \( X\rightarrow\{0,1\} \) and \( T^*, I^*, F^*: X\rightarrow[-1,0] \) are two neutrosophic bipolar vague sets then their union, intersection and complement are well-defined as follows:

Definition 3.3: A bipolar vague topology NBVT on a nonempty set \( X \) is a family NVB of Neutrosophic bipolar vague set in \( X \) satisfying the following axioms:

1. \( 0, 1 \in NBV \)
2. Given \( G \subseteq NBV \), for any \( G, G \in NBV \)
3. Given \( NBV \) for any arbitrary family \( \{ G \in NBV, i \in I \} \)

Under such case the pair \( (X, NBV) \) is known as the neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space and any NVB in \( NBV \) is known as a bipolar vague open set in \( X \). The complement \( \tilde{A} \) of a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set (NBVOS) \( A \) in a neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space \( (X, NBV) \) is referred as a neutrosophic bipolar vague closed (NBVCS) in \( X \).

Example 3.4: Assume \( X=\{u,v\} \)

\[
A_{NBV} = \{[0.5,0.7][0.5,0.5][0.3,0.9][0.4,0.7][0.2,0.2][0.6,0.8][0.8,0.8] \}
\]

\[
B_{NBV} = \{[0.5,0.5][0.3,0.3][0.4,0.4][0.4,0.9][0.2,0.2][0.4,0.4][0.5,0.5][0.5,0.5][0.7,0.7] \}
\]

Then the family \( NBV = \{0,1,A,B\} \) of neutrosophic bipolar vague sets in \( X \) is a NBVT on \( X \).

Definition 3.5: Suppose \( (X, NBV) \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space and \( A=\{x, [T_A^+, T_A^-]^+, [I_A^+, I_A^-]^+, [F_A^+, F_A^-]^+ \} \) be a NBVS in \( X \). Then the neutrosophic bipolar vague interior and neutrosophic bipolar vague closure of \( A \) are well-defined by,

\( NBVcl(A) = \{K \in NBV, K \subseteq A \} \)

\( NBVin(A) = \{G \in NBV, G \subseteq A \} \)

Note that \( NBVcl(A) \) is a NBVCS and \( NBVin(A) \) is a NBVOS in \( X \). Further,

1. \( A \) is a NBVCS in \( X \) iff \( NBVcl(A) = A \)
2. \( A \) is a NBVOS in \( X \) iff \( NBVin(A) = A \).

Example 3.6: Assume that \( X=\{a,b\} \)

\[
A = \{[0.5,0.7][0.5,0.5][0.3,0.9][0.4,0.7][0.2,0.2][0.6,0.8][0.8,0.8] \}
\]

\[
B = \{[0.5,0.5][0.3,0.3][0.4,0.4][0.4,0.9][0.2,0.2][0.4,0.4][0.5,0.5][0.5,0.5][0.7,0.7] \}
\]

Then the family \( NBV = \{0,1,A,B\} \) of neutrosophic bipolar vague sets in \( X \) is a NBVT on \( X \). If,

\[
F = \{[0.5,0.4][0.5,0.5][0.6,0.6][0.7,0.7][0.8,0.8] \}
\]
Then, NBVint(A) = $\bigcup \{G : G$ is a NBVS in X and $G \subseteq F \}$ and NBVcl(A) = $\bigcap \{K : K$ is a NBVCS in X and $F \subseteq K \}$.

**Proposition 3.7:** For any NBVS A in $(X, NBV')$, we have,

1. $\text{NBVcl}(A) = \text{NBVint}(A)$
2. $\text{NBVint}(A) = \text{NBVcl}(A)$

**Proof:** Let $A = \langle x, [T_A^+, T_A^-], [F_A^+, F_A^-], [T_A^+, T_A^-], [I_A, I_A^-], [F_A^+, F_A^-] \rangle$ and suppose that

$\langle x, \{[G_i^+, G_i^-], [F_i^+, F_i^-], [T_i^+, T_i^-], [G_i, G_i^-], [F_i^+, F_i^-] \} \rangle : i \in J \rangle$. Then

$\text{NBVint}(A) = \langle x, [\bigcap [T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap G_{G_i}, G_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-] \rangle \rangle$.

Since,

$\tilde{A} = \langle [T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [I_{G_i}, I_{G_i}^-], [F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-], [T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [G_{G_i}, G_{G_i}^-], [F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-] \rangle$.

Thus, we have,

$\text{NBVcl}(\tilde{A}) = \langle x, [\bigcap [T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap I_{G_i}, I_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap T_{G_i}^+, T_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap G_{G_i}, G_{G_i}^-], [\bigcap F_{G_i}^+, F_{G_i}^-] \rangle \rangle$.

Hence from (1) and (2) we get $\text{NBVcl}(A) = \text{NBVint}(A)$

(2) follows from (1).

**Proposition 3.8:** If $(X, NBV')$ is a NBVTS and A,B be are NBVS's in X. Then the following properties hold:

1. $\text{NBVint}(A) \subseteq A$
2. $A \subseteq \text{NBVcl}(A)$
3. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{NBVint}(A) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(B)$
4. $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{NBVcl}(A) \subseteq \text{NBVcl}(B)$
5. $\text{NBVint}(\text{NBVint}(A)) = \text{NBVint}(A)$
6. $\text{NBVcl}(\text{NBVcl}(A)) = \text{NBVcl}(A)$
7. $\text{NBVint}(A \cap B) = \text{NBVint}(A) \cap \text{NBVint}(B)$
8. $\text{NBVcl}(A \cup B) = \text{NBVcl}(A) \cup \text{NBVcl}(B)$
9. $\text{NBVint}(0) = 1$
10. $\text{NBVcl}(0) = 0$

**Definition 3.9:** Suppose $(X, NBV')$ and $(Y, NBV')$ be two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then $\psi$ is referred to be a neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous iff the preimage of each neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in Y is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in X.

**Proposition 3.10:** Suppose A, $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be a neutrosophic bipolar vague set in X, and B, $\{B_j : j \in K\}$ be a neutrosophic bipolar vague set in Y, and let $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then,

(a) $A_i \subseteq A \Rightarrow \psi(A_i) \subseteq \psi(A)$
(b) $B_j \subseteq B \Rightarrow \psi^{-1}(B_j) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(B)$
(c) $\psi^{-1}(U)B \supseteq \psi^{-1}(U)B$ and $\psi^{-1}(\cap B) \supseteq \psi^{-1}(\cap B)$

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Proposition 3.11:** The subsequent are equivalent to each other.

1. $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous.
2. $\psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(\psi^{-1}(B))$ for each NBVS B in Y.
3. $\text{NBVcl}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(B))$ for each NBVS B in Y.

**Proof:** (1)$\Rightarrow$(2) Given $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous.
Then we have to show that \( \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \) for each NBVOS \( B \) in \( Y \).

Let \( B=\langle y, \{T_B, T_B^+\}, \{I_B, I_B^+\}, \{F_B, F_B^+\}, \{T_B, T_B^-\}, \{I_B, I_B^-\}, \{F_B, F_B^-\} \rangle \) be NBVOS in \( Y \).

\[
\text{NBVint}(B) = \{ \langle y, \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \rangle: \forall i \in I \}
\]

Where,
\[
\mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+) = \{ \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \}
\]

\( \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-) \) is neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \( NBV \).

\( \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \( NBV \). Now,

\[
\psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) = \{ \langle y, \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \rangle: \forall i \in I \}
\]

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1). Given \( \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \) for each NBVOS \( B \) in \( Y \). Let

\( B=\langle y, \{T_B, T_B^+\}, \{I_B, I_B^+\}, \{F_B, F_B^+\}, \{T_B, T_B^-\}, \{I_B, I_B^-\}, \{F_B, F_B^-\} \rangle \) be NBVOS in \( Y \). We know that \( B \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open in \( Y \) if and only if NBVint\( (B) = B \) and hence \( \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) = \psi^{-1}(B) \).

But according to our supposition \( \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVint}(B)) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \), therefore we get \( \psi^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{NBVint}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \), i.e., \( \psi^{-1}(B) \) is a NBV in \( X \) and thus \( \psi \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous.

(1) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) Given \( \psi: X \to Y \) is neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous.

Suppose \( B=\langle y, \{T_B, T_B^+\}, \{I_B, I_B^+\}, \{F_B, F_B^+\}, \{T_B, T_B^-\}, \{I_B, I_B^-\}, \{F_B, F_B^-\} \rangle \) be NBVOS in \( Y \). Also suppose NBVcl\( (B) = \{ \langle y, \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \rangle: \forall i \in I \} \), where

\[
\mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+) = \{ \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \}
\]

\( \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-) \) is neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \( NBV \). Now,

\[
\psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(B)) = \{ \psi^{-1}(\langle y, \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^+), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^+), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^+), \mathcal{U}(T_B, T_B^-), \mathcal{U}(I_B, I_B^-), \mathcal{U}(F_B, F_B^-) \rangle) \}
\]

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1)

Given NBVcl\( (\psi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(B)) \), for each NBVOS \( B \) in \( Y \). Let

\( B=\langle y, \{T_B, T_B^+\}, \{I_B, I_B^+\}, \{F_B, F_B^+\}, \{T_B, T_B^-\}, \{I_B, I_B^-\}, \{F_B, F_B^-\} \rangle \) be NBVOS in \( Y \). Since NBVcl\( (B) = B \). But it is given that NBVcl\( (\psi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(B)) \), hence NBVcl\( (\psi^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(B) \). Hence \( \psi^{-1}(B) = \text{NBVcl}(\psi^{-1}(B)) \), i.e., \( \psi^{-1}(B) \) is a NBVCS in \( X \) and this proves that \( \psi \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous.

4. Generalized Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Closed Sets:

**Definition 4.1:** Suppose if \( (X, NBV) \) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space. A neutrosophic bipolar vague set \( A \) in \( (X, NBV) \) is referred to be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set if NBVcl\( (A) \subseteq G \) whenever \( A \subseteq G \) and \( G \) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open. The complement of a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set is generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set.
Definition 4.2: Suppose let \((X, NB\psi)\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space and let \(A\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague set in \(X\). The generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closure (GNBVcl for short) and the generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague interior (GNBVint for short) of \(A\) are well-defined by,

1) \(\text{GNBVcl}(A) = \bigcap \{G : G \text{ is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed sets in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq G\}\),
2) \(\text{GNBVint}(A) = \bigcup \{G : G \text{ is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open sets in } X \text{ and } A \supseteq G\}\).

Remark 4.3: Every NBVCS is generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed but not conversely.

Example 4.4: Assume that \(X = \{u, v\}\) and \(NB\psi = [0,1, F]\) is a NBVT on \(X\) where,

\[\begin{align*}
F_{u,v} & = [0.5, 0.7][0.3, 0.5][0.4, 0.6][0.5, 0.8][0.6, 0.9][0.7, 0.9]
\end{align*}\]

Then the neutrosophic bipolar vague set,

\[\begin{align*}
\{x : [0.5, 0.7][0.3, 0.5][0.4, 0.6][0.5, 0.8][0.6, 0.9][0.7, 0.9] \supseteq x u,v \}
\end{align*}\]

is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \(X\).

Proposition 4.5: Suppose that \((X, NB\psi)\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague topological space. If \(A\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set and \(A \subseteq B \subseteq \text{GNBVcl}(A)\), then \(B\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set.

Proof: Suppose let \(G\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, NB\psi)\), such that \(B \subseteq G\). Since \(A \subseteq B\), \(A \subseteq G\). Now \(A\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set and \(\text{GNBVcl}(A) \subseteq G\). But \(\text{GNBVcl}(B) \subseteq \text{GNBVcl}(A) \subseteq G\), \(\text{GNBVcl}(B) \subseteq G\). Hence \(B\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set.

Proposition 4.6: Suppose if \(A\) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set and generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \((X, NB\psi)\), then \(A\) is said to be a neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \(X\).

Proof: Assume that \(A\) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \(X\). Since \(A \subseteq A\), by hypothesis \(\text{GNBVcl}(A) \subseteq A\). Then from definition \(A \subseteq \text{GNBVcl}(A)\). Therefore \(\text{GNBVcl}(A) = A\). Hence \(A\) is neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set in \(X\).

Proposition 4.7: Suppose that \(\text{GNBVint}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A\) and assume \(A\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set then \(B\) is also a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set.

Proof: Now, \(\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B} \subseteq \text{GNBVint}(A) = \text{GNBVcl}(A)\). As \(A\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open, \(\tilde{A}\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set. By proposition 4.5, \(\tilde{B}\) generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set. That is, \(B\) is also a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set.

Definition 4.8: Suppose \((X, NB\psi)\) and \((Y, NB\sigma)\) be any two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces.

1. A map \(\psi : (X, NB\psi) \rightarrow (Y, NB\sigma)\) is referred to be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous if the inverse image of every neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, NB\sigma)\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, NB\psi)\).
2. A map \(\psi : (X, NB\psi) \rightarrow (Y, NB\sigma)\) is called as a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague irresolute if the inverse image of every generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, NB\sigma)\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, NB\psi)\).

Proposition 4.9: Suppose \((X, NB\psi)\) and \((Y, NB\sigma)\) be any two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces. A mapping \(\psi : (X, NB\psi) \rightarrow (Y, NB\sigma)\) is referred to be generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous function mapping. Then for every neutrosophic bipolar vague set \(A\) in \(X\), \(\psi(\text{GNBVcl}(A)) \subseteq \text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A))\).

Proof: Assume \(A\) to be a neutrosophic bipolar vague set in \((X, NB\psi)\). Since \(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A))\) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set and since \(\psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping, the set \(\psi^{-1}(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A)))\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague closed set and thus \(\psi^{-1}(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A))) \supseteq A\).

Now, \(\text{GNBVcl}(A) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A)))\). Therefore \(\psi(\text{GNBVcl}(A)) \subseteq \text{GNBVcl}(\psi(A))\).
**Proposition 4.10:** If \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\) and \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) are two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces. Let the mapping \(\psi: (X, \text{NBV}_A) \rightarrow (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping. Then for every neutrosophic bipolar vague set \(A\) in \(Y\), \(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(A))\).

**Proof:** Assume \(A\) to be a neutrosophic bipolar vague set in \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\). Let \(B = \psi^{-1}(A)\). Then, \(\psi(B) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq A\). By proposition 4.10, \(\psi(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq \text{NBV cl}(\psi(\psi^{-1}(A)))\). Thus, \(\text{GNBVcl}(\psi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq \psi^{-1}(\text{NBVcl}(A))\).

**Proposition 4.11:** Suppose let \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\) and \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) be any two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces. Let \(\psi: (X, \text{NBV}_A) \rightarrow (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) be referred to be a neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping, then it is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping.

**Proof:** Suppose let \(A\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\). Since the mapping \(\psi\) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping, \(\psi^{-1}(A)\) is a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\). Every neutrosophic bipolar vague open set is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set. Now, \(\psi^{-1}(A)\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\). Hence \(\psi\) is thus a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping.

The converse of the proposition need not be true as shown in example.

**Example 4.12:** Assume that \(X=\{a, b\}\), \(Y = \{u, v\}\) and, 
\[
A = \langle x, [0.5, 0.4] \cup [0.6, 0.5] \cup [0.7, 0.6] \cup [0.8, 0.7]) > , \\
B = \langle y, [0.5, 0.4] \cup [0.6, 0.5] \cup [0.7, 0.6] \cup [0.8, 0.7] > .
\]
Then \(\text{NBV}_A = [0, 1, A]\) and \(\text{NBV}_B = [0, 1, B]\) are NBVT on \(X\) and \(Y\) respectively. Define a mapping \(\psi: (X, \text{NBV}_A) \rightarrow (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) by \(\psi(a) = u\) and \(\psi(b) = v\). Then \(\psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping but not bipolar vague continuous mapping.

**Proposition 4.13:** Suppose let \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\) and \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) be any two neutrosophic bipolar vague topological spaces. A mapping \(\psi: (X, \text{NBV}_A) \rightarrow (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) is said to be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague irresolute mapping, then it is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping.

**Proof:** Let \(A\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\). Since every neutrosophic bipolar vague open set is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\), but \(\psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague irresolute mapping, \(\psi^{-1}(A)\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\). Thus \(\psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping.

**Proposition 4.14:** Suppose let \((X, \text{NBV}_A), (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) and \((Z, \text{NBV}_C)\) be any three bipolar vague topological spaces. Let \(\psi_1: (X, \text{NBV}_A) \rightarrow (Y, \text{NBV}_B)\) be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague irresolute mapping and \(\psi_2: (Y, \text{NBV}_B) \rightarrow (Z, \text{NBV}_C)\) be a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping. Then \(\psi_2 \circ \psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping.

**Proof:** Let \(A\) be a neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Z, \text{NBV}_C)\). Since \(\psi_1\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague continuous mapping, \(\psi_1^{-1}(A)\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((Y, \text{NBV}_B)\). Since \(\psi\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague irresolute mapping, \(\psi^{-1}(\psi_1^{-1}(A))\) is a generalized neutrosophic bipolar vague open set in \((X, \text{NBV}_A)\).

**Conclusion:**

This paper presented the new concept of Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague sets and studied some basic operational relation of Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague set. Then a generalization of NBVSs in closed set is done. As a future work, we shall continue to work in the application of NBVS to other domains, such as medical diagnosis, pattern recognition and decision making.
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