

University of New Mexico

Neutrosophic quadruple ideals in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras

G. Muhiuddin¹, Florentin Smarandache², Young Bae Jun^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: chishtygm@gmail.com

²Mathematics & Science Department, University of New Mexico, 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA.

E-mail: fsmarandache@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.

E-mail: skywine@gmail.com

*Correspondence: Young Bae Jun (skywine@gmail.com)

Abstract: In the present paper, we discuss the Neutrosophic quadruple q-ideals and (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideals and investigate their related properties. Also, for any two nonempty subsets U and V of a BCI-algebra S, conditions for the set NQ(U, V) to be a (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideal and a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S) are discussed. Furthermore, we prove that let U, V, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$ and $J \subseteq V$. If I and J are q-ideals of S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Keywords: neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number, neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra, (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideal, neutrosophic quadruple *q*-ideal.

1 Introduction

To deal with incomplete, inconsistent and indeterminate information, Smarandache introduced the notion of neutrosophic sets (see ([1], [2] and [3]). In fact, neutrosophic set is a useful mathematical tool which extends the notions of classic set, (intuitionistic) fuzzy set and interval valued (intuitionistic) fuzzy set. Neutrosophic set theory has useful applications in several branches (see for e.g., [4], [5], [6] and [7]).

In [8], Smarandache considered an entry (i.e., a number, an idea, an object etc.) which is represented by a known part (a) and an unknown part (bT, cI, dF) where T, I, F have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings and a, b, c, d are real or complex numbers, and then he introduced the concept of neutrosophic quadruple numbers. Neutrosophic quadruple algebraic structures and hyperstructures are discussed in [9] and [10]. Recently, neutrosophic set theory has been applied to the BCK/BCI-algebras on various aspects (see for e.g., [11], [12] [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19] and [20].) Using the notion of neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set, Jun et al. [21] constructed neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebras. They investigated several properties, and considered ideal and positive implicative ideal in neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebra, and closed

ideal in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra. Given subsets A and B of a neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCIalgebra, they considered sets NQ(U, V) which consists of neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-numbers with a condition. They provided conditions for the set NQ(U, V) to be a (positive implicative) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebra, and the set NQ(U, V) to be a (closed) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra. They gave an example to show that the set $\{0\}$ is not a positive implicative ideal in a neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebra, and then they considered conditions for the set $\{0\}$ to be a positive implicative ideal in a neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebra. Muhiuddin et al. [22] discussed several properties and (implicative) neutrosophic quadruple ideals in (implicative) neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notions of (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideal and neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras, and investigate related properties. Given nonempty subsets A and B of a BCI-algebra S, we consider conditions for the set NQ(U, V) to be a (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S) and a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

2 Preliminaries

We begin with the following definitions and properties that will be needed in the sequel.

A nonempty set S with a constant 0 and a binary operation * is called a BCI-algebra if for all $x, y, z \in S$ the following conditions hold ([23] and [24]):

(I)
$$(((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0)$$

(II)
$$((x * (x * y)) * y = 0),$$

(III) (x * x = 0),

(IV)
$$(x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$$
.

If a BCI-algebra S satisfies the following identity:

(V)
$$(\forall x \in S) (0 * x = 0),$$

then S is called a *BCK-algebra*. Define a binary relation \leq on X by letting x * y = 0 if and only if $x \leq y$. Then (S, \leq) is a partially ordered set.

Theorem 2.1. Let S be a BCK/BCI-algebra. Then following conditions are hold:

$$(\forall x \in S) (x * 0 = x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S) (x \le y \Rightarrow x * z \le y * z, z * y \le z * x),$$
(2.2)

- $(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y),$ (2.3)
- $(\forall x, y, z \in S) ((x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y)$ (2.4)

where $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0.

Any BCI-algebra S satisfies the following conditions (see [25]):

 $(\forall x, y \in S)(x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y),$ (2.5)

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)),$$
(2.6)

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(0 * (0 * (x * y)) = (0 * y) * (0 * x)).$$
(2.7)

A nonempty subset A of a BCK/BCI-algebra S is called a *subalgebra* of S if $x * y \in A$ for all $x, y \in A$. A subset I of a BCK/BCI-algebra S is called an *ideal* of S if it satisfies:

$$0 \in I, \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\forall x \in S) (\forall y \in I) (x * y \in I \implies x \in I).$$
(2.9)

An ideal I of a BCI-algebra S is said to be *regular* (see [26]) if it is also a subalgebra of S. It is clear that every ideal of a BCK-algebra is regular (see [26]).

A subset I of a BCI-algebra S is called a q-ideal of S (see [27]) if it satisfies (2.8) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x * (y * z) \in I, y \in I \implies x * z \in I).$$

$$(2.10)$$

We refer the reader to the books [25, 28] for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras, and to the site "http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/neutrosophy.htm" for further information regarding neutrosophic set theory.

We consider neutrosophic quadruple numbers based on a set instead of real or complex numbers.

Definition 2.2 ([21]). Let S be a set. A *neutrosophic quadruple* S-number is an ordered quadruple (a, xT, yI, zF) where $a, x, y, z \in S$ and T, I, F have their usual neutrosophic logic meanings.

The set of all neutrosophic quadruple S-numbers is denoted by NQ(S), that is,

$$NQ(S) := \{(a, xT, yI, zF) \mid a, x, y, z \in S\},\$$

and it is called the *neutrosophic quadruple set* based on S. If S is a BCK/BCI-algebra, a neutrosophic quadruple S-number is called a *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number* and we say that NQ(S) is the *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-set*.

Let S be a BCK/BCI-algebra. We define a binary operation \circledast on NQ(S) by

$$(a, xT, yI, zF) \circledast (b, uT, vI, wF) = (a * b, (x * u)T, (y * v)I, (z * w)F)$$

for all (a, xT, yI, zF), $(b, uT, vI, wF) \in NQ(S)$. Given $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in S$, the neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number (a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F) is denoted by \tilde{a} , that is,

$$\tilde{a} = (a_1, a_2T, a_3I, a_4F),$$

and the zero neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-number (0, 0T, 0I, 0F) is denoted by $\tilde{0}$, that is,

$$\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F)$$

We define an order relation " \ll " and the equality "=" on NQ(S) as follows:

$$\tilde{x} \ll \tilde{y} \Leftrightarrow x_i \le y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

 $\tilde{x} = \tilde{y} \Leftrightarrow x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

for all $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(S)$. It is easy to verify that " \ll " is an equivalence relation on NQ(S).

Theorem 2.3 ([21]). If S is a BCK/BCI-algebra, then $(NQ(S); \circledast, \tilde{0})$ is a BCK/BCI-algebra.

We say that $(NQ(S); \circledast, \tilde{0})$ is a *neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebra*, and it is simply denoted by NQ(S).

Let S be a BCK/BCI-algebra. Given nonempty subsets A and B of S, consider the set

$$NQ(U,V) := \{ (a, xT, yI, zF) \in NQ(S) \mid a, x \in U \& y, z \in V \},\$$

which is called the *neutrosophic quadruple* (U, V)-set.

The set NQ(U, U) is denoted by NQ(U), and it is called the *neutrosophic quadruple U-set*.

3 (Regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideals

Definition 3.1. Given nonempty subsets U and V of a BCI-algebra S, if the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a (regular) ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S), we say NQ(U, V) is a (regular) neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Question 1. If U and V are subalgebras of a BCI-algebra S, then is the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S)?

The answer to Question 1 is negative as seen in the following example.

Example 3.2. Consider a BCI-algebra $S = \{0, 1, a, b, c\}$ with the binary operation *, which is given in Table 1. Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S) has 625 elements. Note that $U = \{0, a\}$ and $V = \{0, b\}$

*	0	1	a	b	С
0	0	0	a	b	c
1	1	0	a	b	c
a	a	a	0	C	b
b	b	b	c	0	a
С	c	c	b	a	0

Table 1: Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

are subalgebras of S. The neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) consists of the following elements:

$$NQ(U,V) = \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}, \tilde{4}, \tilde{5}, \tilde{6}, \tilde{7}, \tilde{8}, \tilde{9}, \tilde{10}, \tilde{11}, \tilde{12}, \tilde{13}, \tilde{14}, \tilde{15}\}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \tilde{0} &= (0,0T,0I,0F), \, \tilde{1} = (0,0T,0I,bF), \, \tilde{2} = (0,0T,bI,0F), \\ \tilde{3} &= (0,0T,bI,bF), \, \tilde{4} = (0,aT,0I,0F), \, \tilde{5} = (0,aT,0I,bF), \\ \tilde{6} &= (0,aT,bI,0F), \, \tilde{7} = (0,aT,bI,bF), \, \tilde{8} = (a,0T,0I,0F), \\ \tilde{9} &= (a,0T,0I,bF), \, \tilde{10} = (a,0T,bI,0F), \, \tilde{11} = (a,0T,bI,bF), \\ \tilde{12} &= (a,aT,0I,0F), \, \tilde{13} = (a,aT,0I,bF), \\ \tilde{14} &= (a,aT,bI,0F), \, \tilde{15} = (a,aT,bI,bF). \end{split}$$

If we take $(1, aT, bI, 0F) \in NQ(S)$, then $(1, aT, bI, 0F) \notin NQ(U, V)$ and

$$(1, aT, bI, 0F) \circledast \tilde{9} = \tilde{15} \in NQ(U, V).$$

Hence the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is not a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

We consider conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) to be a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Lemma 3.3 ([21]). If U and V are subalgebras (resp., ideals) of a BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra (resp., ideal) of NQ(S).

Theorem 3.4. Let U and V be subalgebras of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in U \text{ (resp., } V), y \notin U \text{ (resp., } V) \Rightarrow y * x \notin U \text{ (resp., } V)).$$
(3.1)

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra of NQ(S). Hence it is clear that $\tilde{0} \in NQ(U, V)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F) \in NQ(S)$ and $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F) \in NQ(S)$ be such that $\tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{x} \in NQ(U, V)$ and $\tilde{x} \in NQ(U, V)$. Then $x_i \in U$ and $x_j \in V$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. Also,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{x} &= (y_1, y_2 T, y_3 I, y_4 F) \circledast (x_1, x_2 T, x_3 I, x_4 F) \\ &= (y_1 \ast x_1, (y_2 \ast x_2) T, (y_3 \ast x_3) I, (y_4 \ast x_4) F) \in NQ(U, V), \end{split}$$

and so $y_1 * x_1 \in U$, $y_2 * x_2 \in U$, $y_3 * x_3 \in V$ and $y_4 * x_4 \in V$. If $\tilde{y} \notin NQ(U, V)$, then $y_i \notin A$ or $y_j \notin B$ for some i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. It follows from (3.1) that $y_i * x_i \notin U$ or $y_j * x_j \notin V$ for some i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. This is a contradiction, and so $\tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V)$. Thus NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S), and therefore NQ(U, V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 3.5. Let U be a subalgebra of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in U, y \notin U \Rightarrow y * x \notin U).$$
(3.2)

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 3.6. Let U and V be subsets of a BCI-algebra S. If any neutrosophic quadruple ideal NQ(U,V) of NQ(S) satisfies $\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x} \in NQ(U,V)$ for all $\tilde{x} \in NQ(U,V)$, then NQ(U,V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. For any $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V)$, we have

$$(\tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{y}) \circledast \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast \tilde{y} = \tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V).$$

Since NQ(U, V) is an ideal of NQ(S), it follows that $\tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V)$. Hence NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra of NQ(S), and therefore NQ(U, V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 3.7. Let U be a subset of a BCI-algebra S. If any neutrosophic quadruple ideal NQ(U) of NQ(S) satisfies $\tilde{0} \otimes \tilde{x} \in NQ(U)$ for all $\tilde{x} \in NQ(U)$, then NQ(U) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 3.8. If U and V are ideals of a finite BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S). Since S is finite, NQ(S) is also finite. Assume that |NQ(S)| = n. For any element $\tilde{x} \in NQ(U, V)$, consider the following n+1 elements:

$$\tilde{0}, \tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}, (\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast \tilde{x}, \cdots, (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast \cdots}_{n\text{-times}}) \circledast \tilde{x}.$$

Then there exist natural numbers p and q with p > q such that

$$(\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast \cdots}_{p\text{-times}}) \circledast \tilde{x} = (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast \cdots}_{q\text{-times}}) \circledast \tilde{x}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{0} &= ((\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x}) \circledast ((\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x}) \\ &= ((\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}_{q \text{ times}} \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}_{p-q \text{ times}} \otimes ((\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x}) \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{\circledast \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{w \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{w \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{w \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{w \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x} \\ &= (\cdots ((\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{x}) \underbrace{w \tilde{x}}) \circledast \cdots) \circledast \tilde{x}$$

Since NQ(U, V) is an ideal of NQ(S), it follows that $\tilde{0} \otimes \tilde{x} \in NQ(U, V)$. Therefore NQ(U, V) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S) by Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.9. If U is an ideal of a finite BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a regular neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

4 Neutrosophic quadruple *q*-ideals

Definition 4.1. Given nonempty subsets U and V of S, if the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a *q*-ideal of a neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S), we say NQ(U, V) is a *neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal* of NQ(S).

Example 4.2. Consider a BCI-algebra $S = \{0, 1, a\}$ with the binary operation *, which is given in Table 2. Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S) has 81 elements. If we take $U = \{0, 1\}$ and $V = \{0, 1\}$, then

$$NQ(U,V) = \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{2}, \tilde{3}, \tilde{4}, \tilde{5}, \tilde{6}, \tilde{7}, \tilde{8}, \tilde{9}, \tilde{10}, \tilde{11}, \tilde{12}, \tilde{13}, \tilde{14}, \tilde{15}\}$$

is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) where

- $\tilde{0} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F), \ \tilde{1} = (0, 0T, 0I, 1F), \ \tilde{2} = (0, 0T, 1I, 0F),$ $\tilde{3} = (0, 0T, 1I, 1F), \ \tilde{4} = (0, 1T, 0I, 0F), \ \tilde{5} = (0, 1T, 0I, 1F),$ $\tilde{6} = (0, 1T, 1I, 0F), \ \tilde{7} = (0, 1T, 1I, 1F), \ \tilde{8} = (1, 0T, 0I, 0F),$ $\tilde{6} = (0, 0T, 0I, 0F), \ \tilde{7} = (0, 0T, 0F), \ \tilde$
- $\tilde{9} = (1, 0T, 0I, 1F), \ \tilde{10} = (1, 0T, 1I, 0F), \ \tilde{11} = (1, 0T, 1I, 1F),$

*	0	1	a
0	0	0	a
1	1	0	a
a	a	a	0

Table 2: Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

 $\tilde{12} = (1, 1T, 0I, 0F), \tilde{13} = (1, 1T, 0I, 1F),$ $\tilde{14} = (1, 1T, 1I, 0F), \tilde{15} = (1, 1T, 1I, 1F).$

Theorem 4.3. For any nonempty subsets U and V of a BCI-algebra S, if the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S), then it is both a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra and a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Assume that NQ(U,V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S). Since $\tilde{0} \in NQ(U,V)$, we have $0 \in U$ and $0 \in V$. Let $x, y, z \in S$ be such that $x * (y * z) \in U \cap V$ and $y \in U \cap V$. Then $(y, yT, yI, yF) \in NQ(U,V)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(x, xT, xI, xF) \circledast ((y, yT, yI, yF) \circledast (z, zT, zI, zF)) \\ &= (x, xT, xI, xF) \circledast (y * z, (y * z)T, (y * z)I, (y * z)F) \\ &= (x * (y * z), (x * (y * z))T, (x * (y * z))I, (x * (y * z))F) \in NQ(U, V). \end{aligned}$$

Since NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S), it follows that

$$(x*z,(x*z)T,(x*z)I,(x*z)F)=(x,xT,xI,xF)\circledast(z,zT,zI,zF)\in NQ(U,V).$$

Hence $x * z \in U \cap V$, and therefore U and V are q-ideals of S. Since every q-ideal is both a subalgebra and an ideal, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that NQ(U, V) is both a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra and a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S).

The converse of Theorem 4.3 is not true as seen in the following example.

Example 4.4. Consider a BCI-algebra $S = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with the binary operation *, which is given in Table 3.

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	c	b	a
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	a	0	c
c	С	b	a	0

Table 3: Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

Then the neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebra NQ(S) has 256 elements. If we take $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{0\}$, then $NQ(U, V) = \{\tilde{0}\}$ is both a neutrosophic quadruple subalgebra and a neutrosophic quadruple ideal of NQ(S). If we take $\tilde{x} := (c, bT, 0I, aF), \tilde{z} := (a, bT, 0I, cF) \in NQ(S)$, then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{x} \circledast (\tilde{0} \circledast \tilde{z}) &= (c, bT, 0I, aF) \circledast (\tilde{0} \circledast (a, bT, 0I, cF)) \\ &= (c, bT, 0I, aF) \circledast (c, bT, 0I, aF) = \tilde{0} \in NQ(U, V). \end{split}$$

But

$$\begin{split} \tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{z} &= (c, bT, 0I, aF) \circledast (a, bT, 0I, cF) \\ &= (c * a, (b * b)T, (0 * 0)I, (a * c)F) \\ &= (b, 0T, 0I, bF) \notin NQ(U, V). \end{split}$$

Therefore NQ(U, V) is not a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

We provide conditions for the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) to be a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal.

Theorem 4.5. If U and V are q-ideals of a BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Suppose that U and V are q-ideals of a BCI-algebra S. Obviously, $0 \in NQ(U, V)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$, $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$ and $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$ be elements of NQ(S) be such that $\tilde{x} \circledast (\tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{z}) \in NQ(U, V)$ and $\tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V)$. Then $y_i \in A$, $y_j \in B$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4, and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{x} \circledast (\tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{z}) &= (x_1, x_2 T, x_3 I, x_4 F) \circledast ((y_1, y_2 T, y_3 I, y_4 F) \circledast (z_1, z_2 T, z_3 I, z_4 F)) \\ &= (x_1, x_2 T, x_3 I, x_4 F) \circledast (y_1 * z_1, (y_2 * z_2) T, (y_3 * z_3) I, (y_4 * z_4) F) \\ &= (x_1 * (y_1 * z_1), (x_2 * (y_2 * z_2)) T, (x_3 * (y_3 * z_3)) I, (x_4 * (y_4 * z_4)) F) \\ &\in NQ(U, V), \end{split}$$

that is, $x_i * (y_i * z_i) \in U$ and $x_j * (y_j * z_j) \in B$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. It follows from (2.10) that $x_i * z_i \in U$ and $x_j * z_j \in V$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. Thus

$$\tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{z} = (x_1 * z_1, (x_2 * z_2)T, (x_3 * z_3)I, (x_4 * z_4)F) \in NQ(U, V),$$
(4.1)

and therefore NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 4.6. If A is a q-ideal of a BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 4.7. If $\{0\}$ is a q-ideal of a BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) for any ideals U and V of S.

Corollary 4.8. If $\{0\}$ is a q-ideal of a BCI-algebra S, then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) for any ideal U of S.

Theorem 4.9. Let U and V be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x * (y * z) \in U \cap V \implies (x * y) * z \in U \cap V).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. It is clear that $\tilde{0} \in NQ(U, V)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2T, x_3I, x_4F)$, $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2T, y_3I, y_4F)$ and $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2T, z_3I, z_4F)$ be elements of NQ(S) be such that $\tilde{x} \circledast (\tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{z}) \in NQ(U, V)$ and $\tilde{y} \in NQ(U, V)$. Then $y_1, y_2 \in U, y_3, y_4 \in V$ and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{x} \circledast (\tilde{y} \circledast \tilde{z}) &= (x_1, x_2 T, x_3 I, x_4 F) \circledast ((y_1, y_2 T, y_3 I, y_4 F) \circledast (z_1, z_2 T, z_3 I, z_4 F)) \\ &= (x_1, x_2 T, x_3 I, x_4 F) \circledast (y_1 \ast z_1, (y_2 \ast z_2) T, (y_3 \ast z_3) I, (y_4 \ast z_4) F) \\ &= (x_1 \ast (y_1 \ast z_1), (x_2 \ast (y_2 \ast z_2)) T, (x_3 \ast (y_3 \ast z_3)) I, (x_4 \ast (y_4 \ast z_4)) F) \\ &\in NQ(U, V), \end{split}$$

that is, $x_i * (y_i * z_i) \in U$ and $x_j * (y_j * z_j) \in V$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. It follows from (2.3) and (4.2) that $(x_i * z_i) * y_i = (x_i * y_i) * z_i \in U$ and $(x_j * z_j) * y_j = (x_j * y_j) * z_j \in V$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. Since U and V are ideals of S, we have $x_i * z_i \in U$ and $x_j * z_j \in V$ for i = 1, 2 and j = 3, 4. Thus

$$\tilde{x} \circledast \tilde{z} = (x_1 * z_1, (x_2 * z_2)T, (x_3 * z_3)I, (x_4 * z_4)F) \in NQ(U, V),$$
(4.3)

and therefore NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 4.10. Let U be an ideal of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x * (y * z) \in U \implies (x * y) * z \in U).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 4.11. Let U and V be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x * (0 * y) \in U \cap V \implies x * y \in U \cap V).$$

$$(4.5)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S). *Proof.* Assume that $x * (y * z) \in U \cap V$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ((x*y))*(0*z))*(x*(y*z)) &= ((x*y)*(x*(y*z)))*(0*z) \\ &\leq ((y*z)*y)*(0*z) \\ &= (0*z)*(0*z) = 0 \in U \cap V \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(x * y) * (0 * z) \in U \cap V$ since U and V are ideals of S. It follows from (4.9) that $(x * y) * z \in U \cap V$. Using Theorem 4.9, NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 4.12. Let U be an ideal of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x * (0 * y) \in U \implies x * y \in U).$$

$$(4.6)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

G. Muhiuddin, F. Smarandache, Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic quadruple ideals in neutrosophic quadruple BCI-algebras.

Theorem 4.13. Let U and V be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in U \cap U \implies x * y \in U \cap V).$$

$$(4.7)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Assume that $x * (y * z) \in U \cap V$ and $y \in U \cap V$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Using (2.3) and (4.7), we get $(x * z) * (y * z) = (x * (y * z)) * z \in U \cap V$ and $y * z \in U \cap V$. Since U and V are ideals of S, it follows that $x * z \in U \cap V$. Hence U and V are q-ideals of S, and therefore NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) by Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.14. Let U be an ideal of a BCI-algebra S such that

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in U \implies x * y \in U).$$
(4.8)

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 4.15. Let U, V, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$ and $J \subseteq V$. If I and J are q-ideals of S, then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$ be such that $x * (0 * y) \in U \cap V$. Then

$$(x*(x*(0*y)))*(0*y) = (x*(0*y))*(x*(0*y)) = 0 \in I \cap J$$

by (2.3) and (III). Since I and J are q-ideals of S, it follows from (2.3) and (2.10) that

$$(x * y) * (x * (0 * y)) = (x * (x * (0 * y))) * y \in I \cap J \subseteq U \cap V$$

Since U and V are ideals of S, we have $x * y \in U \cap V$. Therefore NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) by Theorem 4.11.

Corollary 4.16. Let U and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$. If I is a q-ideal of S, then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 4.17. Let U, V, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U, J \subseteq V$ and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x * (y * z) \in I \cap J \implies (x * y) * z \in I \cap J).$$

$$(4.9)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$ be such that $x * (y * z) \in I \cap J$ and $y \in I \cap J$. Then

$$(x * z) * y = (x * y) * z \in I \cap J$$

by (2.3) and (4.9). Since I and J are ideals of S, it follows that $x * z \in I \cap J$. This shows that I and J are q-ideals of S. Therefore NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) by Theorem 4.15.

Corollary 4.18. Let U and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$ and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x * (y * z) \in I \implies (x * y) * z \in I).$$

$$(4.10)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 4.19. Let U, V, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U, J \subseteq V$ and

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in I \cap J \implies x * y \in I \cap J).$$

$$(4.11)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.13, we know that I and J are q-ideals of S. Hence NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S) by Theorem 4.15.

Corollary 4.20. Let U and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$ and

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x \in I \implies x * y \in I).$$

$$(4.12)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple A-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Theorem 4.21. Let U, V, I and J be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U, J \subseteq V$ and

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x * (0 * y) \in I \cap J \implies x * y \in I \cap J).$$

$$(4.13)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Proof. Assume that $x * (y * z) \in I \cap J$ For all $x, y, z \in S$. Then $(x * y) * z \in I \cap J$ by the proof of Theorem 4.11. It follows from Theorem 4.17 that neutrosophic quadruple (U, V)-set NQ(U, V) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Corollary 4.22. Let U and I be ideals of a BCI-algebra S such that $I \subseteq U$ and

$$(\forall x, y \in S)(x * (0 * y) \in I \implies x * y \in I).$$

$$(4.14)$$

Then the neutrosophic quadruple U-set NQ(U) is a neutrosophic quadruple q-ideal of NQ(S).

Future Work: Using the results of this paper, we will apply it to another algebraic structures, for example, MV-algebras, BL-algebras, MTL-algebras, R_0 -algebras, hoops, (ordered) semigroups and (semi, near) rings etc.

Acknowledgements: We are very thankful to the reviewer(s) for careful detailed reading and helpful comments/suggestions that improve the overall presentation of this paper.

References

- F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, ProQuest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 105 p., 1998. http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBook-neutrosophics6.pdf (last edition online).
- [2] F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability, American Reserch Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.

- [3] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 24 (2005), no.3, 287–297.
- [4] Mohamed Abdel Basset, Victor Chang, Abduallah Gamal, Florentin Smarandache, An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field, Computers in Industry 106, 94-110 (2019).
- [5] Mohamed Abdel-Basset, M. Saleh, Abduallah Gamal, Florentin Smarandache, An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number, Applied Soft Computing 77, 438-452 (2019).
- [6] Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Gunasekaran Manogaran, Abduallah Gamal, Florentin Smarandache, A Group Decision Making Framework Based on Neutrosophic TOPSIS Approach for Smart Medical Device Selection, J. Medical Systems 43(2), 38:1-38:13 (2019).
- [7] Mohamed Abdel-Basset, Gunasekaran Manogaran, Abduallah Gamal, Florentin Smarandache, A hybrid approach of neutrosophic sets and DEMATEL method for developing supplier selection criteria, Design Autom. for Emb. Sys. 22(3), 257-278 (2018).
- [8] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic quadruple numbers, refined neutrosophic quadruple numbers, absorbance law, and the multiplication of neutrosophic quadruple numbers, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, **10** (2015), 96–98.
- [9] A.A.A. Agboola, B. Davvaz and F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic quadruple algebraic hyperstructures, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **14** (2017), no. 1, 29–42.
- [10] S.A. Akinleye, F. Smarandache and A.A.A. Agboola, On neutrosophic quadruple algebraic structures, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 12 (2016), 122–126.
- [11] G. Muhiuddin, S. J. Kim and Y. B. Jun, Implicative N-ideals of BCK-algebras based on neutrosophic N-structures, Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications, Vol. 11, No. 01 (2019), 1950011.
- [12] G. Muhiuddin, H. Bordbar, F. Smarandache, Y. B. Jun, Further results on (∈, ∈)-neutrosophic subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 20 (2018), 36-43.
- [13] A. Borumand Saeid and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 14 (2017), no. 1, 87–97.
- [14] Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in BCK/BCI-algebras, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 14 (2017), no. 1, 75–86.
- [15] Y.B. Jun, S.J. Kim and F. Smarandache, Interval neutrosophic sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebra, Axioms 2018, 7, 23.
- [16] Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar, Neutrosophic N-structures applied to BCK/BCI-algebras, Information 2017, 8, 128.
- [17] Y.B. Jun, F. Smarandache, S.Z. Song and M. Khan, Neutrosophic positive implicative N-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Axioms 2018, 7, 3.
- [18] M. Khan, S. Anis, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic N-structures and their applications in semigroups, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 14 (2017), no. 6, 583–598.
- [19] M.A. Öztürk and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic points, J. Inter. Math. Virtual Inst. 8 (2018), 1–17.
- [20] S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and Y.B. Jun, Neutrosophic commutative N-ideals in BCK-algebras, Information 2017, 8, 130.
- [21] Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, F. Smarandache and H. Bordbar, Neutrosophic quadruple BCK/BCI-algebras, Axioms 2018, 7, 41, doi:10.3390/axioms7020041

- [22] G. Muhiuddin, A.N. Al-Kenani, E.H. Roh and Y.B. Jun, Implicative neutrosophic quadruple BCK-algebras and ideals, Symmetry 2019, 11, 277, doi:10.3390/sym11020277.
- [23] K. Iséki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125–130.
- [24] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1–26.
- [25] Y. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [26] Z.M. Chen and H.X. Wang, On ideals in BCI-algebras, Math. Japon. 36 (1991), no. 3, 497-501.
- [27] Y.L. Liu, J. Meng, X.H. Zhang and Z.C. Yue, q-ideals and a-ideals in BCI-algebras, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 24 (2000), 243–253.
- [28] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras, Kyungmoonsa Co. Seoul, Korea 1994.

Received: December 16, 2018.

Accepted: March 31, 2019.