



On Multi-Criteria Decision Making problem via Bipolar Single-Valued Neutrosophic Settings

Mohana K¹, Christy V² and Florentin Smarandache³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for women, Red Fields, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641 018, riyaraju1116@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for women, Red Fields, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641 018, ggma2392@gmail.com

³ Department of Mathematics University of New Mexico, Gurley Ave., Gallup, New Mexico 87301, USA, fsmarandache@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, the idea bipolar single-valued neutrosophic (BSVN) set was introduced. We also introduce bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topological space and some of its properties were characterized. Comparing Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets with score function, certainty function and accuracy function. Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic weighted average operator (A_{ω}) and bipolar single-valued neutrosophic weighted geometric operator (G_{ω}) were developed and based on Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set, a multiple decision making problem were evaluated through an example to select the desirable one.

Keywords: Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set, bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topological space, bipolar single-valued neutrosophic average operator, bipolar single-valued neutrosophic geometric operator, score, certainty and accuracy functions.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy Logic resembles the human decision making methodology. Zadeh [39] who was considered as the Father of Fuzzy Logic introduced the fuzzy sets in 1965 and it is a tool in learning logical subject. He put forth the concept of fuzzy sets to deal with contrasting types of uncertainties. Using single value $\mu_A(x) \in [0, 1]$, the degree of membership of the fuzzy set is in classic fuzzy, which is defined on a universal scale, they cannot grasp convinced cases where it is hard to define μ_A by one specific value.

Intuitionistic fuzzy sets which was proposed by Atanassov [2] is the extension of Zadeh's Fuzzy Sets to overthrow the lack of observation of non-membership degrees. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets generally tested in solving multi-criteria decision making problems. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets detailed into the membership degree, non-membership degree and simultaneously with degree of indeterminacy.

Neutrosophic is the base for the new mathematical theories derives both their classical and fuzzy depiction. Smarandache [4,5] introduced the neutrosophic set. Neutrosophic set has the capability to induce classical sets, fuzzy set, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Introducing the components of the neutrosophic set are True(T), Indeterminacy(I), False(F) which represent the membership, indeterminacy, and non-membership values respectively. The notion of classical set, fuzzy set [17], interval-valued fuzzy set [39], Intuitionistic fuzzy [2], etc were generalized by the neutrosophic set. Majumdar & Samant [19] recommended the Single-valued neutrosophic sets (SVNSs), which is a variation of Neutrosophic Sets. Wang, et.al [38] describe an example of neutrosophic set and signify single valued Neutrosophic set (SVNs). They give many properties of Single-Valued Neutrosophic Set, which are associated to the operations and relations by Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets. The correlation coefficient of SVNSs placed on the development of the correlation coefficient of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and tested that the cosine similarity measure of SVNS is a special case of the correlation coefficient and correlated it to single valued neutrosophic multicriteria decision-making problems which was presented by Jun Ye [7]. For solving multi-criteria decision-making problems, he overworked similarity measure for interval valued neutrosophic set. Single valued neutrosophic sets (SVNSs) can handle the undetermined and uncertain information and also symbolize, which fuzzy sets and Intuitionistic fuzzy sets cannot define and finalize.

Turksen [37] proposed the Interval-valued fuzzy set is similar as Intuitionistic fuzzy set. The concept is to hook the anxiety of class of membership. Interval-valued fuzzy set need an interval value $[\mu_A^L(a), \mu_A^U(a)]$ with $0 \leq \mu_A^L(a) \leq \mu_A^U(a) \leq 1$ to represent the class of membership of a fuzzy set A. But it is not sufficient to take only the membership function, but also to have the non-membership function.

Bipolar fuzzy relations was given by Bosc and Pivert [3] where a pair of satisfaction degrees is made with each tuple. In 1994, an development of fuzzy set termed bipolar fuzzy was given by Zhang [40]. By the notion of fuzzy sets, Lee [16] illustrate bipolar fuzzy sets. Manemaran and Chellappa [20] provide some applications in groups are called the bipolar fuzzy groups, fuzzy d-ideals of groups under (T-S) norm. They also explore few properties of the groups and the relations. Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras were researched by K. J. Lee [17]. Multiple attribute decision-making method situated on single-valued neutrosophic was granted by P. Liu and Y. Wang [18].

Mohana, Christy and Florentin Smarandache, On Multi-Criteria Decision Making problem via Bipolar Single-Valued Neutrosophic Settings

In bipolar neutrosophic environment, bipolar neutrosophic sets (BNS) was developed by Irfan Deli [6] and et.al. The application based on multi-criteria decision making problems were also given by them in bipolar neutrosophic set. To collect bipolar neutrosophic information, they defined score, accuracy, and certainty functions to compare BNS and developed bipolar neutrosophic weighted average (BNWA) and bipolar neutrosophic weighted geometric (BNWG) operators. In the study, a Multi Criteria Decision Making approach were discussed on the basis of score, accuracy, and certainty functions, bipolar Neutrosophic Weighted Average and bipolar Neutrosophic Weighted Geometric operators were calculated. Fuzzy neutrosophic sets and its Topological spaces was introduced by I.Arockiarani and J.Martina Jency [1].

Positive and Negative effects count on Decision making . Multiple decision-making problems have gained very much attention in the area of systemic optimization, urban planning, operation research, management science and many other fields. Correlation Coefficient between Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets and its Multiple Attribute Decision Making Method given by Jun Ye [7]. A Neutrosophic Multi-Attribute Decision making with Unknown Weight data was investigated by Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri[30]. Neutrosophic Tangent Similarity Measure and its Application was given by Mondal, Surapati Pramanik [11]. Many of the authors[8-14,21,22,24-29,31,32,33,35,36] studied and examine different and variation of neutrosophic set theory in Decision making problems.

Here, we introduce bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set which is an expansion of the fuzzy sets, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, neutrosophic sets and bipolar fuzzy sets. Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topological spaces were also proposed. Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topological spaces characterized a few of its properties and a numerical example were illustrated. Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets were compared with score function, certainty function and accuracy function. Then, the bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic weighted average operator (A_{\circ}) and bipolar single-valued neutrosophic weighted geometric operator (G_{\circ}) are developed to aggregate the data. To determine the application and the performance of this method to choose the best one, atlast a numerical example of the method was given.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definition [34]: Let X be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set B is an object having the form $B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$ Where $\mu_B(x)$, $\sigma_B(x)$ and $\gamma_B(x)$ which represent the degree of membership function, the degree of indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership respectively of each element $x \in X$ to the set B .

2.2 Definition [38]: Let a universe X of discourse. Then $A_{NS} = \{ \langle x, F_A(x), T_A(x), I_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$ defined as a single-valued neutrosophic set where truth-membership function $T_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, an indeterminacy-membership function $I_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and a falsity-membership function $F_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. No restriction on the sum of $T_A(x)$, $I_A(x)$ and $F_A(x)$, so $0 \leq \sup T_A(x) \leq \sup I_A(x) \leq \sup F_A(x) \leq 3$. $\tilde{A} = \langle T, I, F \rangle$ is denoted as a single-valued neutrosophic number.

2.3 Definition [23]: Let two single-valued neutrosophic number be $\tilde{A}_1 = \langle T_1, I_1, F_1 \rangle$ and $\tilde{A}_2 = \langle T_2, I_2, F_2 \rangle$. Then, the operations for NNs are defined as follows:

- i. $\lambda \tilde{A} = \langle 1 - (1 - T)^{\lambda}, I^{\lambda}, F^{\lambda} \rangle$
- ii. $\tilde{A}^{\lambda} = \langle T^{\lambda}, 1 - (1 - I)^{\lambda}, 1 - (1 - F)^{\lambda} \rangle$
- iii. $\tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2 = \langle T_1 + T_2 - T_1 T_2, I_1 I_2, F_1 F_2 \rangle$
- iv. $\tilde{A}_1 \cdot \tilde{A}_2 = \langle T_1 T_2, I_1 + I_2 - I_1 I_2, F_1 + F_2 - F_1 F_2 \rangle$

2.4 Definition [15]: Let a single-valued neutrosophic number be $\tilde{B} = \langle T, I, F \rangle$. Then, SNN are defined as

- i. score function $s(\tilde{B}) = (T + 1 - I + 1 - F) / 3$;
- ii. accuracy function $a(\tilde{B}) = T - F$;
- iii. certainty function $c(\tilde{B}) = T$.

2.5 Definition [23]: Let two single-valued neutrosophic number be $\tilde{B}_1 = \langle T_1, I_1, F_1 \rangle$ and $\tilde{B}_2 = \langle T_2, I_2, F_2 \rangle$. The comparison method defined as:

- i. if $s(\tilde{B}_1) > s(\tilde{B}_2)$, then \tilde{B}_1 is greater than \tilde{B}_2 , that is, \tilde{B}_1 is superior to \tilde{B}_2 , denoted by $\tilde{B}_1 > \tilde{B}_2$.
 - ii. if $s(\tilde{B}_1) = s(\tilde{B}_2)$ and $a(\tilde{B}_1) > a(\tilde{B}_2)$, then \tilde{B}_1 is greater than \tilde{B}_2 , that is, \tilde{B}_1 is superior to \tilde{B}_2 , denoted by
-
- Mohana, Christy and Florentin Smarandache, On Multi-Criteria Decision Making problem via Bipolar Single-Valued Neutrosophic Settings

$$\tilde{B}_1 < \tilde{B}_2.$$

iii. if $s(\tilde{B}_1) = s(\tilde{B}_2)$ and $a(\tilde{B}_1) = a(\tilde{B}_2)$ and $c(\tilde{B}_1) > c(\tilde{B}_2)$, then \tilde{B}_1 is greater than \tilde{B}_2 , that is, \tilde{B}_1 is superior to \tilde{B}_2 , denoted by $\tilde{B}_1 > \tilde{B}_2$.

iv. if $s(\tilde{B}_1) = s(\tilde{B}_2)$ and $a(\tilde{B}_1) = a(\tilde{B}_2)$ and $c(\tilde{B}_1) = c(\tilde{B}_2)$, then \tilde{B}_1 is equal to \tilde{B}_2 , that is, \tilde{B}_1 is indifferent to \tilde{B}_2 , denoted by $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{B}_2$.

2.6 Definition [6]: In X , a bipolar neutrosophic set B is defined in the form

$$B = \langle X, (T^+(x), I^+(x), F^+(x), T^-(x), I^-(x), F^-(x)) : x \in X \rangle$$

Where $T^+, I^+, F^+ : X \rightarrow [1, 0]$ and $T^-, I^-, F^- : X \rightarrow [-1, 0]$. The positive membership degree denotes the truth membership $T^+(x)$, indeterminate membership $I^+(x)$ and false membership $F^+(x)$ of an element $x \in X$ corresponding to the set A and the negative membership degree denotes the truth membership $T^-(x)$, indeterminate membership $I^-(x)$ and false membership $F^-(x)$ of an element $x \in X$ to some implicit counter-property corresponding to a bipolar neutrosophic set.

2.7 Definition [39, 2]: Each element had a degree of membership (T) in the fuzzy set. The Intuitionistic fuzzy set on a universe, where the degree of membership $\mu_B(x) \in [0, 1]$ of each element $x \in X$ to a set B , there was a degree of non-membership $\nu_B(x) \in [0, 1]$, such that $\forall x \in X, \mu_B(x) + \nu_B(x) \leq 1$.

2.8 Definition [15, 20]: Let a non-empty set be X . Then, $B_{BF} = \{ \langle x, \mu^+_B(x), \mu^-_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is a bipolar-valued fuzzy set denoted by B_{BF} , where $\mu^+_B : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu^-_B : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The positive Membership degree $\mu^+_B(x)$ denotes the satisfaction degree of an element x to the property corresponding to B_{BF} and the negative membership degree $\mu^-_B(x)$ denotes the satisfaction degree of x to some implicit counter property of B_{BF} .

In this section, we give the concept bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set and its operations. We also developed the bipolar single-valued neutrosophic weighted (A_ω) average operator and geometric operator (G_ω). Some of it is quoted from [2, 5, 7, 10, and 14].

3. Bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic set (BSVN):

3.1 Definition : A Bipolar Single-Valued Neutrosophic set (BSVN) S in X is defined in the form of

$$BSVN(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+, T_{BSVN}^-), (I_{BSVN}^+, I_{BSVN}^-), (F_{BSVN}^+, F_{BSVN}^-) : v \in X \rangle$$

where $(T_{BSVN}^+, I_{BSVN}^+, F_{BSVN}^+) : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $(T_{BSVN}^-, I_{BSVN}^-, F_{BSVN}^-) : X \rightarrow [-1, 0]$. In this definition, there T_{BSVN}^+ and T_{BSVN}^- are acceptable and unacceptable in past. Similarly I_{BSVN}^+ and I_{BSVN}^- are acceptable and unacceptable in future. F_{BSVN}^+ and F_{BSVN}^- are acceptable and unacceptable in present respectively.

3.2 Example : Let $X = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Then a bipolar single-valued neutrosophic subset of X is

$$S = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.1, -0.1), (0.2, -0.3), (0.3, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.2, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4), (0.6, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.2, -0.8), (0.6, -0.4), (0.7, -0.7) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle$$

3.3 Definition : Let two bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets $BSVN_1(S)$ and $BSVN_2(S)$ in X defined as $BSVN_1(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN_1}^+(1), T_{BSVN_1}^-(1)), (I_{BSVN_1}^+(1), I_{BSVN_1}^-(1)), (F_{BSVN_1}^+(1), F_{BSVN_1}^-(1)) : v \in X \rangle$ and $BSVN_2(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN_2}^+(2), T_{BSVN_2}^-(2)), (I_{BSVN_2}^+(2), I_{BSVN_2}^-(2)), (F_{BSVN_2}^+(2), F_{BSVN_2}^-(2)) : v \in X \rangle$. Then the operators are defined as follows:

(i) **Complement**

$$BSVN^c(S) = \{ \langle v, (1 - T_{BSVN}^+), (-1 - T_{BSVN}^-), (1 - I_{BSVN}^+), (-1 - I_{BSVN}^-), (1 - F_{BSVN}^+), (-1 - F_{BSVN}^-) : v \in X \rangle \}$$

(ii) **Union of two BSVN**

$$BSVN_1(S) \cup BSVN_2(S) =$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} \max(T_{BSVN_1}^+(1), T_{BSVN_1}^+(2)), \min(I_{BSVN_1}^+(1), I_{BSVN_1}^+(2)), \min(F_{BSVN_1}^+(1), F_{BSVN_1}^+(2)) \\ \max(T_{BSVN_1}^-(1), T_{BSVN_1}^-(2)), \min(I_{BSVN_1}^-(1), I_{BSVN_1}^-(2)), \min(F_{BSVN_1}^-(1), F_{BSVN_1}^-(2)) \end{array} \right\rangle$$

(iii) Intersection of two BSVN

$$BSVN_1(S) \cap BSVN_2(S) = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \min(T_{BSVN}^+(1), T_{BSVN}^+(2)), \max(I_{BSVN}^+(1), I_{BSVN}^+(2)), \max(F_{BSVN}^+(1), F_{BSVN}^+(2)) \\ \min(T_{BSVN}^-(1), T_{BSVN}^-(2)), \max(I_{BSVN}^-(1), I_{BSVN}^-(2)), \max(F_{BSVN}^-(1), F_{BSVN}^-(2)) \end{array} \right\rangle$$

3.4 Example : Let $X = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Then the bipolar single-valued neutrosophic subsets S_1 and S_2 of X ,

$$S_1 = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.1, -0.1), (0.2, -0.3), (0.3, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.2, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4), (0.6, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.2, -0.8), (0.6, -0.4), (0.7, -0.7) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle \text{ and } S_2 = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.2, -0.1), (0.3, -0.5), (0.4, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.3, -0.3), (0.3, -0.5), (0.4, -0.6) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.5, -0.3), (0.6, -0.3), (0.8, -0.7) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle$$

(i) Complement of S_1 is $S_1^c = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.9, -0.9), (0.8, -0.7), (0.7, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.8, -0.7), (0.6, -0.6), (0.4, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.8, -0.2), (0.4, -0.6), (0.3, -0.3) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle$

(ii) Union of S_1 and S_2 is $S_1 \cup S_2 = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.2, -0.1), (0.2, -0.5), (0.3, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.3, -0.3), (0.3, -0.5), (0.4, -0.6) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.5, -0.3), (0.6, -0.4), (0.7, -0.7) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle$

(iii) Intersection of S_1 and S_2 is $S_1 \cap S_2 = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \langle s_1, (0.1, -0.1), (0.3, -0.3), (0.4, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_2, (0.2, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4), (0.6, -0.5) \rangle \\ \langle s_3, (0.2, -0.8), (0.6, -0.3), (0.8, -0.7) \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle$

3.5 Definition : Let two bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets be $BSVN_1(S)$ and $BSVN_2(S)$ in X defined as $BSVN_1(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+(1), T_{BSVN}^-(1)), (I_{BSVN}^+(1), I_{BSVN}^-(1)), (F_{BSVN}^+(1), F_{BSVN}^-(1)) : v \in X \rangle$ and $BSVN_2(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+(2), T_{BSVN}^-(2)), (I_{BSVN}^+(2), I_{BSVN}^-(2)), (F_{BSVN}^+(2), F_{BSVN}^-(2)) : v \in X \rangle$.

Then $S_1 = S_2$ if and only if

$$T_{BSVN}^+(1) = T_{BSVN}^+(2), I_{BSVN}^+(1) = I_{BSVN}^+(2), F_{BSVN}^+(1) = F_{BSVN}^+(2), \\ T_{BSVN}^-(1) = T_{BSVN}^-(2), I_{BSVN}^-(1) = I_{BSVN}^-(2), F_{BSVN}^-(1) = F_{BSVN}^-(2) \text{ for all } v \in X.$$

3.6 Definition : Let two bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets be $BSVN_1$ and $BSVN_2$ in X defined as $BSVN_1(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+(1), T_{BSVN}^-(1)), (I_{BSVN}^+(1), I_{BSVN}^-(1)), (F_{BSVN}^+(1), F_{BSVN}^-(1)) : v \in X \rangle$ and $BSVN_2(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+(2), T_{BSVN}^-(2)), (I_{BSVN}^+(2), I_{BSVN}^-(2)), (F_{BSVN}^+(2), F_{BSVN}^-(2)) : v \in X \rangle$.

Then $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ if and only if

$$T_{BSVN}^+(1) \leq T_{BSVN}^+(2), I_{BSVN}^+(1) \geq I_{BSVN}^+(2), F_{BSVN}^+(1) \geq F_{BSVN}^+(2), \\ T_{BSVN}^-(1) \leq T_{BSVN}^-(2), I_{BSVN}^-(1) \geq I_{BSVN}^-(2), F_{BSVN}^-(1) \geq F_{BSVN}^-(2) \text{ for all } v \in X.$$

4. Bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic Topological space:

4.1 Definition : A bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topology on a non-empty set X is a τ of BSVN sets satisfying the axioms

- (i) $0_{BSVN}, 1_{BSVN} \in \tau$
- (ii) $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \tau$ for any $S_1, S_2 \in \tau$
- (iii) $\cup S_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{S_i : i \in j\} \in \tau$

The pair (X, τ) is called BSVN topological space. Any BSVN set in τ is called as BSVN open set in X . The complement S^c of BSVN set in BSVN topological space (X, τ) is called a BSVN closed set.

4.2 Definition : Null or Empty bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set of a Bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic set S over X is said to be if $\langle v, (0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 0) \rangle$ for all $v \in X$ and it is denoted by 0_{BSVN} .

4.3 Definition : Absolute Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic set denoted by 1_{BSVN} of a Bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic set S over X is said to be if $\langle v, (1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1) \rangle$ for all $v \in X$.

4.4 Example : Let $X = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ and $\tau = \{0_{BSVN}, 1_{BSVN}, P, Q, R, S\}$ Then a bipolar single-valued neutrosophic subset of X is

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.3, -0.5), (0.4, -0.2), (0.5, -0.3) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.6), (0.7, -0.1), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.2, -0.7), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.1) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} & Q &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.5, -0.2), (0.5, -0.2), (0.3, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.4), (0.4, -0.2), (0.4, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.3, -0.2), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 R &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.5, -0.2), (0.4, -0.2), (0.3, -0.3) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.4), (0.4, -0.2), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.3, -0.2), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} & S &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.3, -0.5), (0.5, -0.2), (0.5, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.6), (0.7, -0.1), (0.4, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.2, -0.7), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.1) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, τ) is called BSVN topological space on X .

4.5 Definition : Let (X, τ) be a BSVN topological space and $BSVN(S) = \langle v, (T_{BSVN}^+, T_{BSVN}^-), (I_{BSVN}^+, I_{BSVN}^-), (F_{BSVN}^+, F_{BSVN}^-) : v \in X \rangle$ be a BSVN set in X . Then the closure and interior of A is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Int}(S) &= \bigcup \{F : F \text{ is a BSVN open set (BSVNOs) in } X \text{ and } F \subseteq S\} \\
 \text{Cl}(S) &= \bigcap \{F : F \text{ is a BSVN closed set (BSVNCs) in } X \text{ and } S \subseteq F\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{cl}(S)$ is a BSVNCs and $\text{int}(S)$ is a BSVNOs in X .

- (a) S is a BSVNCs in X iff $\text{cl}(S) = S$.
- (b) S is a BSVNOs in X iff $\text{int}(S) = S$.

4.6 Example : Let $X = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ and $\tau = \{0_{BSVN}, 1_{BSVN}, P, Q, R, S\}$. Then a bipolar single-valued neutrosophic subset of X is

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.3, -0.5), (0.4, -0.2), (0.5, -0.3) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.6), (0.7, -0.1), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.2, -0.7), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.1) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} & Q &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.5, -0.2), (0.5, -0.2), (0.3, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.4), (0.4, -0.2), (0.4, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.3, -0.2), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 R &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.5, -0.2), (0.4, -0.2), (0.3, -0.3) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.4), (0.4, -0.2), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.3, -0.2), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.4) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} & S &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, (0.3, -0.5), (0.5, -0.2), (0.5, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, (0.3, -0.6), (0.7, -0.1), (0.4, -0.2) \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, (0.2, -0.7), (0.4, -0.3), (0.4, -0.1) \rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 T &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\langle s_1, 0.7, 0.3, 0.3, -0.5, -0.2, -0.4 \rangle \\ &\langle s_2, 0.6, 0.6, 0.3, -0.3, -0.5, -0.5 \rangle \\ &\langle s_3, 0.5, 0.2, 0.3, -0.5, -0.5, -0.6 \rangle \end{aligned} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{int}(T) = P$ and $\text{cl}(T) = 1_{BSVN}$.

4.7 Proposition : Let BSVNTS of (X, τ) and S, T be BSVN's in X . Then the properties hold:

- i. $\text{int}(S) \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq \text{cl}(S)$
- ii. $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \text{int}(S) \subseteq \text{int}(T)$
 $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \text{cl}(S) \subseteq \text{cl}(T)$

- iii. $\text{int}(\text{int}(S))=\text{int}(S)$
 $\text{cl}(\text{cl}(S))=\text{cl}(S)$
- iv. $\text{int}(S \cap T)=\text{int}(S) \cap \text{int}(T)$
 $\text{cl}(S \cup T)=\text{cl}(S) \cup \text{cl}(T)$
- v. $\text{int}(1_{\text{BSVN}})=1_{\text{BSVN}}$
 $\text{cl}(0_{\text{BSVN}})=0_{\text{BSVN}}$

Proof: The proof is obvious.

4.8 Proposition : Let BSVN sets of S_i 's and T in X, then $S_i \subseteq T$ for each $i \in J \Rightarrow$ (a). $\cup S_i \subseteq T$ and (b). $T \subseteq \cap S_i$.

Proof: (a). Let $S_i \subseteq B$ (i.e) $S_1 \subseteq B, S_2 \subseteq B, \dots, S_n \subseteq B$.

$$\Rightarrow \{T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \dots \dots \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{(T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \leq (T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T))$$

$$\min\{(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \geq (I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T))$$

$$\min\{(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \geq (F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T))$$

where $UA_i = \langle x, \max\{(T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\min\{(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\min\{(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \rangle$

$\therefore \cup S_i \subseteq T$. Hence proved.

(b) Let $T \subseteq S_i$ (i.e) $T \subseteq S_1, T \subseteq S_2, \dots, T \subseteq S_i$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots \dots \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \leq T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T) \geq F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n) \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow (T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T)) \leq \min\{(T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$$

$$(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T)) \geq \max\{(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$$

$$(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(T), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(T)) \geq \max\{(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$$

Where $\cap A_i = \langle x, \min\{(T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\max\{(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\max\{(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \rangle$

$\therefore T \subseteq \cap S_i$. Hence proved.

4.9 Proposition : Let S_i 's and T are BSVN sets in X then (i). $(\cup S_i)^c = \cap S_i^c$, (ii). $(\cap S_i)^c = \cup S_i^c$ and (iii). $(S^c)^c = S$.

Proof: (i) Let $\cup S_i = \langle x, \max\{(T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\min\{(I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$
 $\min\{(F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \rangle$

$$(\cup S_i)^c = \langle x, \min\{(1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$$

$$\max\{(1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\}$$

$$\max\{(1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))\} \rangle$$

----->(1)

$$S_i^c = \langle x, (1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-T_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-T_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))$$

$$(1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-I_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-I_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n))$$

$$(1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_1), 1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-F_{\text{BSVN}^+}(S_n)), (-1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_1), -1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-F_{\text{BSVN}^-}(S_n)) \rangle$$

$$\cap S_i^c = \langle x, \min \{ (1-T_{BSVN^+}(S_1), 1-T_{BSVN^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-T_{BSVN^+}(S_n)), (-1-T_{BSVN^-}(S_1), -1-T_{BSVN^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-T_{BSVN^-}(S_n)) \} \\ \max \{ (1-I_{BSVN^+}(S_1), 1-I_{BSVN^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-I_{BSVN^+}(S_n)), (-1-I_{BSVN^-}(S_1), -1-I_{BSVN^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-I_{BSVN^-}(S_n)) \} \\ \max \{ (1-F_{BSVN^+}(S_1), 1-F_{BSVN^+}(S_2), \dots, 1-F_{BSVN^+}(S_n)), (-1-F_{BSVN^-}(S_1), -1-F_{BSVN^-}(S_2), \dots, -1-F_{BSVN^-}(S_n)) \} \rangle \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2), $(US_i)^c = \cap S_i^c$. Hence proved.

(ii). Similar as proof of (i).

(iii). Let $S = \langle (T_{BSVN^+}(S), T_{BSVN^-}(S)), (I_{BSVN^+}(S), I_{BSVN^-}(S)), (F_{BSVN^+}(S), F_{BSVN^-}(S)) \rangle$ be a BSVN set in X, then $S^c = \langle (1-T_{BSVN^+}(S), -1-T_{BSVN^-}(S)), (1-I_{BSVN^+}(S), -1-I_{BSVN^-}(S)), (1-F_{BSVN^+}(S), -1-F_{BSVN^-}(S)) \rangle$
 $(S^c)^c = \langle (T_{BSVN^+}(S), T_{BSVN^-}(S)), (I_{BSVN^+}(S), I_{BSVN^-}(S)), (F_{BSVN^+}(S), F_{BSVN^-}(S)) \rangle$
 $(S^c)^c = S$. Hence proved.

5. Bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic Number (BSVNN)

5.1 Definition : Let two bipolar single-valued neutrosophic number(BSVNN) be

$$\tilde{S}_1 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(1), T_{BSVN^-}(1), (I_{BSVN^+}(1), I_{BSVN^-}(1)), (F_{BSVN^+}(1), F_{BSVN^-}(1)) \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{S}_2 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(2), T_{BSVN^-}(2), (I_{BSVN^+}(2), I_{BSVN^-}(2)), (F_{BSVN^+}(2), F_{BSVN^-}(2)) \rangle . \text{ Then the operations are}$$

- i. $\lambda \tilde{S}_1 = \langle 1-(1-T_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(1-T_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda, (I_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(I_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda, (F_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(1-(1-(F_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda))^\lambda \rangle$
- ii. $\tilde{S}_1^\lambda = \langle (T_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(1-(1-(T_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda)), 1-(1-I_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(I_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda, 1-(1-F_{BSVN^+}(1))^\lambda, -(F_{BSVN^-}(1))^\lambda \rangle$
- iii. $\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(1) + T_{BSVN^+}(2) - T_{BSVN^+}(1) T_{BSVN^+}(2), -T_{BSVN^-}(1) T_{BSVN^-}(2), \\ I_{BSVN^+}(1) I_{BSVN^+}(2), -(-I_{BSVN^-}(1) - I_{BSVN^-}(2) - I_{BSVN^-}(1) I_{BSVN^-}(2)), \\ F_{BSVN^+}(1) F_{BSVN^+}(2), -(-F_{BSVN^-}(1) - F_{BSVN^-}(2) - F_{BSVN^-}(1) F_{BSVN^-}(2)) \rangle$
- iv. $\tilde{S}_1 \cdot \tilde{S}_2 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(1) T_{BSVN^+}(2), -(T_{BSVN^-}(1) - T_{BSVN^-}(2) - T_{BSVN^-}(1) T_{BSVN^-}(2)), \\ I_{BSVN^+}(1) + I_{BSVN^+}(2) - I_{BSVN^+}(1) I_{BSVN^+}(2), -I_{BSVN^-}(1) I_{BSVN^-}(2), \\ F_{BSVN^+}(1) + F_{BSVN^+}(2) - F_{BSVN^+}(1) F_{BSVN^+}(2), -F_{BSVN^-}(1) F_{BSVN^-}(2) \rangle$

5.2 Definition : Let a bipolar single-valued neutrosophic number(BSVNN) be

$$\tilde{S}_1 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(1), T_{BSVN^-}(1), (I_{BSVN^+}(1), I_{BSVN^-}(1)), (F_{BSVN^+}(1), F_{BSVN^-}(1)) \rangle . \text{ Then}$$

- i. score function: $s(\tilde{S}_1) = (T_{BSVN^+}(1) + 1 - I_{BSVN^+}(1) + 1 - F_{BSVN^+}(1) + 1 + T_{BSVN^-}(1) - I_{BSVN^-}(1) - F_{BSVN^-}(1)) / 6$
- ii. accuracy function: $a(\tilde{S}_1) = T_{BSVN^+}(1) - F_{BSVN^+}(1) + T_{BSVN^-}(1) - F_{BSVN^-}(1)$
- iii. certainty function : $c(\tilde{S}_1) = T_{BSVN^+}(1) - F_{BSVN^+}(1)$

5.3 Definition : The two bipolar single-valued neutrosophic numbers (BSVNN) are compared

$$\tilde{S}_1 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(1), T_{BSVN^-}(1), (I_{BSVN^+}(1), I_{BSVN^-}(1)), (F_{BSVN^+}(1), F_{BSVN^-}(1)) \rangle$$

$$\tilde{S}_2 = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(2), T_{BSVN^-}(2), (I_{BSVN^+}(2), I_{BSVN^-}(2)), (F_{BSVN^+}(2), F_{BSVN^-}(2)) \rangle \text{ can be defined as}$$

- i. If $s(\tilde{S}_1) > s(\tilde{S}_2)$, \tilde{S}_1 is superior to \tilde{S}_2 , (i.e.) \tilde{S}_1 is greater than \tilde{S}_2 denoted as $\tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{S}_2$.
- ii. If $s(\tilde{S}_1) = s(\tilde{S}_2)$ and $\tilde{S}_1(\tilde{S}_1) > \tilde{S}_2(\tilde{S}_2)$, \tilde{S}_1 is superior to \tilde{S}_2 , (i.e.) \tilde{S}_1 is greater than \tilde{S}_2 denoted as $\tilde{S}_1 < \tilde{S}_2$.
- iii. If $s(\tilde{S}_1) = s(\tilde{S}_2)$ and $\tilde{S}_1(\tilde{S}_1) = \tilde{S}_2(\tilde{S}_2)$ and $c(\tilde{S}_1) > c(\tilde{S}_2)$, \tilde{S}_1 is greater than \tilde{S}_2 , that is \tilde{S}_1 is superior to \tilde{S}_2 , denoted as $\tilde{S}_1 > \tilde{S}_1$.
- iv. If $s(\tilde{S}_1) = s(\tilde{S}_2)$ and $\tilde{S}_1(\tilde{S}_1) = \tilde{S}_2(\tilde{S}_2)$ and $c(\tilde{S}_1) = c(\tilde{S}_2)$, \tilde{S}_1 is equal to \tilde{S}_2 , that is \tilde{S}_1 is indifferent to \tilde{S}_2 , denoted as $\tilde{S}_1 = \tilde{S}_1$.

5.4 Definition : Let a family of bipolar single-valued neutrosophic numbers(BSVNN) be $\tilde{S}_j = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(j), T_{BSVN^-}(j), (I_{BSVN^+}(j), I_{BSVN^-}(j)), (F_{BSVN^+}(j), F_{BSVN^-}(j)) \rangle (j=1,2,3, \dots, n)$. A mapping $A_\omega : F_n \rightarrow F$ is called bipolar single-valued Neutrosophic weighted average (BSVNW A_ω) operator if satisfies

$$A_{\omega}(\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2, \dots, \tilde{s}_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \tilde{s}_j = \langle 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - T_{BSVN^+}(j)) \omega_j, - \prod_{j=1}^n (-T_{BSVN^-}(j)) \omega_j, \prod_{j=1}^n I_{BSVN^+}(j) \omega_j, \\ -(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (-I_{BSVN^-}))) \omega_j, \prod_{j=1}^n F_{BSVN^+}(j) \omega_j, -(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (-F_{BSVN^-}))) \omega_j \rangle$$

Here ω_j is the weight of \tilde{s}_j ($j=1,2,\dots,n$), $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j=1$ and $\omega_j \in [0,1]$.

5.5 Definition : Let a family of bipolar single-valued neutrosophic numbers (BSVNN) be $\tilde{s}_j = \langle T_{BSVN^+}(j), T_{BSVN^-}(j), (I_{BSVN^+}(j), I_{BSVN^-}(j)), (F_{BSVN^+}(j), F_{BSVN^-}(j)) \rangle$ ($j=1,2,3,\dots,n$). A mapping $G_{\omega}: F_n \rightarrow F$ is called bipolar single-valued neutrosophic weighted geometric (BSVNW G_{ω}) operator if it satisfies

$$G_{\omega}(\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2, \dots, \tilde{s}_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \tilde{s}_j \omega_j = \langle \prod_{j=1}^n T_{BSVN^+}(j) \omega_j, -(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (-T_{BSVN^-}(j)))) \omega_j, \\ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - I_{BSVN^+}(j)) \omega_j, - \prod_{j=1}^n (-I_{BSVN^-}(j)) \omega_j, 1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - F_{BSVN^+}(j)) \omega_j, - \prod_{j=1}^n (-F_{BSVN^-}(j)) \omega_j \rangle$$

where ω_j is the weight of \tilde{s}_j ($j=1,2,\dots,n$), $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j=1$ and $\omega_j \in [0,1]$.

5.6. Decision making problem:

Here, with bipolar single-valued neutrosophic data, we develop decision making problem based on A_{ω} operator. Suppose the set of alternatives is $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ and the set of all criteria (or attributes) are

$G = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$. Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)^T$ be the weight vector of attributes such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j = 1$ and $\omega_j \geq 0$

($j=1,2,\dots,n$) and ω_j assign to the weight of attribute G_j . An alternative on criteria is calculated by the decision maker and the assess values are represented by the design of bipolar single-valued neutrosophic numbers.

Assume the decision matrix $(\tilde{s}_{ij})_{m \times n} = \langle (T_{BSVN^+}(ij), T_{BSVN^-}(ij)), (I_{BSVN^+}(ij), I_{BSVN^-}(ij)), (F_{BSVN^+}(ij), F_{BSVN^-}(ij)) \rangle_{m \times n}$ contributed by the decision maker, for Alternative S_i with criterion G_j , the bipolar single-valued neutrosophic number is \tilde{s}_{ij} . The conditions are $T_{BSVN^+}(ij), T_{BSVN^-}(ij), I_{BSVN^+}(ij), I_{BSVN^-}(ij), F_{BSVN^+}(ij), F_{BSVN^-}(ij) \in [0,1]$ such that $0 \leq T_{BSVN^+}(ij) - T_{BSVN^-}(ij) + I_{BSVN^+}(ij) - I_{BSVN^-}(ij) + F_{BSVN^+}(ij) - F_{BSVN^-}(ij) \leq 6$ for $i=1,2,3,\dots,m$ and $j=1,2,\dots,n$.

Algorithm:

STEP 1: Construct the decision matrix by the decision maker.

$$(\tilde{s}_{ij})_{m \times n} = \langle (T_{BSVN^+}(ij), T_{BSVN^-}(ij)), (I_{BSVN^+}(ij), I_{BSVN^-}(ij)), (F_{BSVN^+}(ij), F_{BSVN^-}(ij)) \rangle_{m \times n}$$

STEP 2: Compute $\tilde{s}_i = A_{\omega}(\tilde{s}_{i1}, \tilde{s}_{i2}, \dots, \tilde{s}_{in})$ for each $i=1,2,\dots,m$.

STEP 3: Using the set of overall bipolar single-valued neutrosophic number of \tilde{s}_i ($i=1,2,\dots,m$), calculate the score values $\tilde{S}(\tilde{s}_i)$.

STEP 4: Rank all the structures of \tilde{s}_i ($i=1,2,\dots,m$) according to the score values.

Example (5.7): A patient is intending to analyze which disease is caused to him. Four types of diseases S_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are Cancer, Asthuma, Hyperactive, Typhoid. The set of symptoms are G_1 =cough, G_2 =Headache, G_3 =stomach pain, G_4 =blood clotting. To evaluate the 4 diseases (alternatives) S_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) under Mohana, Christy and Florentin Smarandache, On Multi-Criteria Decision Making problem via Bipolar Single-Valued Neutrosophic Settings

the above four symptoms(attributes) using the bipolar single-valued neutrosophic values. The weight vector of the attributes G_j ($j=1, 2, 3, 4$) is $\omega = (0.25, 0.35, 0.20, 0.20)^T$.

STEP 1: The decision matrix provided by the patient is constructed as below:

S_i / G_i	G_1	G_2	G_3	G_4
S_1	(0.3,-0.5)(0.4,-0.4) (0.4,-0.2)	(0.3,-0.3)(0.5,-0.2) (0.3,-0.4)	(0.6,-0.4)(0.4,-0.3) (0.3,-0.5)	(0.1,-0.3)(0.6,-0.4) (0.5,-0.3)
S_2	(0.3,-0.4)(0.7,-0.5) (0.4,-0.5)	(0.1,-0.3)(0.2,-0.4) (0.3,-0.5)	(0.3,-0.5)(0.2,-0.4) (0.1,-0.3)	(0.4,-0.2)(0.2,-0.3) (0.1,-0.2)
S_3	(0.3,-0.4)(0.4,-0.5) (0.5,-0.6)	(0.1,-0.2)(0.2,-0.3) (0.3,-0.4)	(0.5,-0.4)(0.4,-0.5) (0.5,-0.6)	(0.1,-0.3)(0.2,-0.4) (0.3,-0.6)
S_4	(0.3,-0.2)(0.2,-0.1) (0.1,-0.2)	(0.3,-0.1)(0.4,-0.2) (0.5,-0.3)	(0.2,-0.3)(0.4,-0.7) (0.7,-0.8)	(0.1,-0.3)(0.2,-0.5) (0.3,-0.7)

STEP 2: Compute $\tilde{S}_i = A_\omega(\tilde{S}_{i1}, \tilde{S}_{i2}, \tilde{S}_{i3}, \tilde{S}_{i4})$ for each $i=1,2,3,4$;

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_1 &= \langle (0.3,-0.4) (0.5,-0.3) (0.4,-0.4) \rangle \\ \tilde{S}_2 &= \langle (0.2,-0.3) (0.3,-0.4) (0.2,-0.4) \rangle \\ \tilde{S}_3 &= \langle (0.2,-0.3) (0.3,-0.4) (0.4,-0.5) \rangle \\ \tilde{S}_4 &= \langle (0.2,-0.2) (0.3,-0.4) (0.3,-0.5) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

STEP 3: The score value of $\tilde{S} (\tilde{S}_i)$ ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$) are computed for the set of overall bipolar single-valued neutrosophic number .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(\tilde{S}_1) &= 0.45 \\ \tilde{S}(\tilde{S}_2) &= 0.53 \\ \tilde{S}(\tilde{S}_3) &= 0.51 \\ \tilde{S}(\tilde{S}_4) &= 0.55 \end{aligned}$$

STEP 4: According to the score values rank all the software systems of S_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \text{ and } 4$)

$$S_4 > S_2 > S_3 > S_1$$

Thus S_4 is the most affected disease (alternative) . Typhoid(S_4) is affected to him.

Conclusion:

In this paper, bipolar single-valued neutrosophic sets were developed. Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic topological spaces were also introduced and characterized some of its properties. Further score function, certainty function and accuracy functions of the Bipolar single-valued neutrosophic were given. We proposed the average and geometric operators (A_ω and G_ω) for bipolar single-valued neutrosophic information. To calculate the integrity of alternatives on the attributes taken, a bipolar single-valued neutrosophic decision making approach using the score function, certainty function and accuracy function were refined.

Reference:

[1] I.Arockiarani and J.Martina jency(2014), More on fuzzy neutrosophic sets and fuzzy neutrosophic topological spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research and Studies,3(5), 643-652.

[2] K.Atanassov(1986), Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, , 20,87-96.

[3] P. Bosc, O. Pivert (2013), On a fuzzy bipolar relational algebra, Information Sciences, 219,1–16.

[4] Florentin Smarandache(2002),Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic,First International Conference on Neutrosophy , Neutrosophic Logic , Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA.

[5] Florentin Smarandache(1999),A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability.American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM.

[6] Irfan Delia, Mumtaz Ali and Florentin Smarandache(2015), Bipolar Neutrosophic Sets and Their Application

- Based on Multi-Criteria Decision Making Problems, Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems, Beijing, China, August, 22-24, 249-254.
- [7] Jun Ye(2013), Another Form of Correlation Coefficient between Single Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Its Multiple Attribute Decision Making Method, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 1, 8-12. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571265
- [8] Jun Ye and Qiansheng Zhang(2014), Single Valued Neutrosophic Similarity Measures for Multiple Attribute Decision-Making, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2,48-54. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571756
- [9] Jun Ye, Florentin Smarandache(2016) , Similarity Measure of Refined Single-Valued Neutrosophic Sets and Its Multicriteria Decision Making Method, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 12,41-44. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571146
- [10] Kalyan Mondal, Surapati Pramanik(2015), Neutrosophic Decision Making Model of School Choice, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,7,62-68. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571507
- [11] Kalyan Mondal, Surapati Pramanik(2015) Neutrosophic Tangent Similarity Measure and Its Application to Multiple Attribute Decision Making, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol.9, 80-87. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571578
- [12] Kalyan Mondal, Surapati Pramanik(2015) Neutrosophic Decision Making Model for Clay-Brick Selection in Construction Field Based on Grey Relational Analysis, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,9,64-71. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.34864
- [13] Kalyan Mondal, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2018), Single Valued Neutrosophic Hyperbolic Sine Similarity Measure Based MADM Strategy,*Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,20,3-11. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235383
- [14] Kalyan Mondal, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2018), Hybrid Binary Logarithm Similarity Measure for MAGDM Problems under SVNS Assessments, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,vol.20,12-25. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235365
- [15] M. K. Kang and J. G. Kang(2012), Bipolar fuzzy set theory applied to sub-semigroups with operators in semigroups. *J. Korean Society Mathematical Education Series B: Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 19(1), 23-35.
- [16] K. M. Lee(2000), Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations. *Proceedings in International Conference on Intelligent Technologies*, Bangkok, Thailand ,307-312.
- [17] K. J. Lee(2009), Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 32(3) 361-373.
- [18] P. Liu and Y. Wang (2014), Multiple attribute decision-making method based on single-valued neutrosophic normalized weighted Bonferroni mean, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 25(7-8) 2001-2010.
- [19] P.Majumdar, & S.K.Samant (2014). On similarity and entropy of neutrosophic sets. *Journal of Intelligent and fuzzy Systems*,26, 1245–1252.
- [20] S.V. Manemaran and B. Chellappa, Structures on Bipolar Fuzzy Groups and Bipolar Fuzzy D-Ideals under (T, S) Norms, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 9(12), 7-10.
- [21] Nguyen Xuan Thao, Florentin Smarandache(2018), Divergence measure of neutrosophic sets and applications, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 21, 142-152. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1408673
- [22] Partha Pratim Dey, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2016), An extended grey relational analysis based multiple attribute decision making in interval neutrosophic uncertain linguistic setting, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 11,21-30. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571228
- [23] J.J. Peng, J.Q. Wang, J. Wang, H.Y. Zhang and X.H. Chen(2015), Simplified neutrosophic sets and their applications in multi-criteria group decision-making problems, *International Journal of System Science* doi.10.1080/00207721.2014.994050.

- [24] Pramanik, S., Dey, P.P., & Smarandache, F. (2018), Correlation coefficient measures of interval bipolar neutrosophic sets for solving multi-attribute decision making problems. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 19, 70-79. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235151>
- [25] Pramanik, S. & Mondal, K. (2016). Rough bipolar neutrosophic set. *Global Journal of Engineering Science and Research Management*, 3(6), 71-81.
- [26] Pramanik, S., Dey, P. P., Giri, B. C., & Smarandache, F. (2017). Bipolar neutrosophic projection based models for solving multi-attribute decision making problems. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 15, 70-79.
- [27] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri (2018), TOPSIS Strategy for Multi-Attribute Decision Making with Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol.19,29-39. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235335>
- [28] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas Chandra Giri(2018), Distance Measure Based MADM Strategy with Interval Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol.19,40-46. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1235165>
- [29] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2016), Aggregation of triangular fuzzy neutrosophic set information and its application to multi-attribute decision making, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. 12,20-40. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571125.
- [30] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2014), A New Methodology for Neutrosophic Multi-Attribute Decision making with Unknown Weight Information, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol.3,42-50. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571212
- [31] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2015), Cosine Similarity Measure Based Multi-Attribute Decision-making with Trapezoidal Fuzzy Neutrosophic Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 8,46-56. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571274
- [32] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2016), Value and ambiguity index based ranking method of single-valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and its application to multi-attribute decision making, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 12, 127-138. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571154
- [33] Pranab Biswas, Surapati Pramanik, Bibhas C. Giri(2014), Entropy Based Grey Relational Analysis Method for Multi-Attribute Decision Making under Single Valued Neutrosophic Assessments, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 2, 102-110. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571363
- [34] A.A.Salama, S.A.Alblowi (2012), Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) ISSN: 2278-5728*. 3(4)31-35.
- [35] Shyamal Dalapati, Surapati Pramanik, Shariful Alam, Florentin Smarandache, Tapan Kumar Roy(2017), IN-cross Entropy Based MAGDM Strategy under Interval Neutrosophic Set Environment, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 18,43-57. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1175162>
- [36] Surapati Pramanik, Rama Mallick, Anindita Dasgupta(2018), Contributions of Selected Indian Researchers to Multi-Attribute Decision Making in Neutrosophic Environment: An Overview, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, 109-130. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1284870>
- [37] Turksen, I. (1986). "Interval valued fuzzy sets based on normal forms". *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20,191-210.
- [38] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman (2005), Interval neutrosophic sets and logic: theory and applications in computing, *Hexis, Arizona*. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8818
- [39] L.A. Zadeh(1965), Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338–353.
- [40] W. R. Zhang(1994), Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: a computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis, *Proceedings of the Industrial Fuzzy Control and Intelligent Systems conference, and the NASA Joint Technology Workshop on Neural Networks and Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conference, San Antonio, Tex, USA*,305–309.