

New Condition Related with Higher Triple Centralizers in Semiprime Rings

Salah Mehdi Salih and Afrah Mohammad Ibraheem

(Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al- Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq)

E-mail: dr.salahms2014@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq, afrah.wisam@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Abstract: The main objective of this work is shown that: if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, consider that $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of additive mappings of R onto R , such that $2t_n(xyz) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$, holds for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is Jordan higher centralizer of R .

Key Words: Semiprime rings, higher centralizer, higher triple centralizer, Jordan higher triple centralizer.

AMS(2010): 16N60, 39B05.

§1. Introduction

Let R be a ring. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (right) centralizer of R if $T(xy) = T(x)y$ ($T(xy) = xT(y)$), is called a left (right) Jordan centralizer of R in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ ($T(x^2) = xT(x)$), holds for all $x, y \in R$. We follow Zalar [7] and call T a centralizer in case T is both a left and a right centralizer. Vukman [5] proved that if $T : R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping such that $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$ then T is a centralizer. In [1] and [2] A.M. Ibraheem and S.M. Salih presented and studied the concepts of higher triple left (resp. right) centralizer and Jordan higher triple left (resp. right) centralizer of rings and Γ -ring, and in [3] they provide that: if M is a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption $par\beta q = p\beta r\alpha q$, for all $p, r, q \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, $F \in (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of additive mapping associated with a Jordan higher triple centralizer $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of M such that

$$2f_n(par\beta q) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p)\alpha t_{i-1}(r)\beta t_{i-1}(p) + t_{i-1}(p)\alpha t_{i-1}(pr)f_i(p)$$

hold for all $p, r \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of M , then F is Jordan generalized higher triple centralizer of M . In this paper we prove that: if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $T \in (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of additive mappings of R onto R , such that $2t_n(xyz) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$, holds for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is Jordan higher centralizer of R .

¹Received September 22, 2022, Accepted December 2, 2022.

§2. Main Results

For proving our main results, we need the following Lemmas:

Lemma 2.1([6]) *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that the identity $axb + bxc$ holds for all $x \in R$ and for some $a, b, c \in R$, then $(a + c)xb = 0$ for all $x \in R$.*

Lemma 2.2 *Let R be a semiprime ring, and $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of an additive mappings of R onto R , such that*

$$2t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(i) \quad 2t_n(xyz + zyx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_i(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z) + t_{i-1}(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x);$$

$$(ii) \quad \left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) \right) t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) \left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \right) = 0;$$

(iii) *If R is a 2-torsion free ring, then*

$$2t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x);$$

(iv) *In particular if R is a 2-torsion free commutative ring, then*

$$2t_n(xyz) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z).$$

Proof (i) Linearizing the hypothesis on x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_n((x+z)y(x+z)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x+z)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x+z) + t_{i-1}(x+z)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x+z), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_i(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad + t_i(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z) \\ &\quad + t_{i-1}(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) + t_{i-1}(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z) \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_n((x+z)y(x+z)) &= 2t_n(xy) + 2t_n(xyz + zyx) \\ &= 2t_n(xy) + 2t_n(xyz) + 2t_n(zyx). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Comparing (1), (2) and with the hypothesis, we get

$$2t_n((x+z)y(x+z)) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_i(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z) + t_{i-1}(z)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x).$$

(ii) Putting x^2 for z in part (i), we get

$$2t_n(x^2yx + xyx^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x^2) + t_i(x^2)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x^2) + t_{i-1}(x^2)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \dots \quad (3)$$

Replacing $t_{i-1}(x^2)$ by $t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)$ in (3), we get

$$2t_n(x^2yx + xyx^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \dots \quad (4)$$

Replacing y by $yx + xy$ in the hypothesis, we get

$$2t_n(x(yx + xy)x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(yx)t_{i-1}(x) + t_i(x)t_{i-1}(xy)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(yx)t_i(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(xy)t_i(x) \dots \quad (5)$$

Replacing $t_{i-1}(yx)$ in (5) by $t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)$, and $t_{i-1}(xy)$ by $t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)$, we get

$$2t_n(x^2yx + xyx^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) \dots \quad (6)$$

Comparing (4) and (6), we get

$$\left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) \right) t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) \left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \right) = 0.$$

(iii) Taking $a = t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)$, $b = t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)$, $c = t_{i-1}(y)$ and $d = t_{i-1}(x)$ in (ii) we find that $acd + dcb = 0$ for all $c \in R$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \right) t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) = 0,$$

$$\left(2t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \right) t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) = 0 \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$A_n(x) = \left(t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_n(x^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \right),$$

then (7) becomes

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y)t_{n-1}(x) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Replacing $t_{n-1}(y)$ by $t_{n-1}(x)t_{n-1}(y)A_n(x)$ in (8), we get

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(x)t_{n-1}(y)A_n(x)t_{n-1}(x) = 0$$

Since $t_{n-1}(y)$ is onto and R is semiprime, we have

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (9)$$

Left multiplying (8) by $t_{n-1}(x)$ and right multiplying by $A_n(x)$, we get

$$t_{n-1}(x)A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y)t_{n-1}(x)A_n(x) = 0.$$

Since $t_{n-1}(y)$ is onto and R is semiprime, we have

$$t_{n-1}(x)A_n(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (10)$$

Linearizing (9), we get

$$A_n(x+y)t_{n-1}(x+y) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R$$

that leads to

$$(A_n(x) + A_n(y) + B_n(x, y))(t_{n-1}(x) + t_{n-1}(y)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x, y) &= 2t_n(xy + yx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(y)t_{i-1}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(y) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &A_n(x)t_{n-1}(x) + A_n(y)t_{n-1}(x) + A_n(x)t_{n-1}(x) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad + A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y) + A_n(y)t_{n-1}(y) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(y) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using (9) in (11), we get

$$A_n(y)t_{n-1}(x) + A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(x) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(y) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Replacing x by $-x$ in (12), we get

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y) - A_n(y)t_{n-1}(x) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(x) - B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(y) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Comparing (13) with (12), and since R is 2-torsion free, we arrive at

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y) + B_n(x, y)t_{n-1}(x) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Right multiplying (14) by $A_n(x)$ and using (10), we get

$$A_n(x)t_{n-1}(y)A_n(x) = 0.$$

Since $t_{n-1}(y)$ is onto and R is semiprime, we have $A_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$. That is,

$$2t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x).$$

(iv) By using (i), and since R is commutative, we have

$$2t_n(xyz + xyz) = 2(2t_n(xyz)) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(z) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(z) \right)$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since R is a 2-torsion free we get the require result. \square

Theorem 2.3 *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, and $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of R onto R such that*

$$2t_n(xyz) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is Jordan higher centralizer of R .

Proof Taking (iii) of Lemma 2.2 and linearizing it, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_n((x+y)(x+y)) &= 2t_n(x^2) + 2t_n(xy + yx) + 2t_n(y^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_i(y)t_{i-1}(y) + t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y) + t_i(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) + t_{i-1}(y)t_i(y) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(y) + t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

By applying (iii), we get

$$2t_n(xy + yx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y) + t_i(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(y) + t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by $2xyx$ in (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(2t_n(xxyx) + xyx) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(xyx) \\ &\quad + t_i(xyx)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(xyx) + t_{i-1}(xyx)t_i(x). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Now, replacing $t_{i-1}(xyx)$ by $t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)$ in (16) and applying the condition of the theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4t_n(x^2yx + xyx^2) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad + t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) \\ &\quad + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad + 2t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Comparing (17) with equation (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)$ in (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Right multiplying (18) by $t_{i-1}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) \\ &\quad - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Subtracting (19) from (20), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] - t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0 \quad (21)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_i(y)t_{i-1}(y)$ in (21), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(y)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(y)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Left multiplying (21) by t_i , we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] - t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \quad (23)$$

Subtracting (22) from (23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] \\ & - [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now, in (24) if we take

$$\begin{aligned} a &= [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)], \\ b &= [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)], \\ c &= [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $d = t_{i-1}(x)$. Hence, we have $adb + bdc = 0$ implies that $(a + c)db = 0$, by Lemma 2.1 and so we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n ([t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)] - [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x)) t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0$$

This implies that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0 \quad (25)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)$ in (25), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Since $t_{i-1}(y)$ is onto, and R is semiprime ring, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0 \quad (26)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)$ in (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ & - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Left multiplying (18) by $t_{i-1}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) \\ & - t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Subtracting (27) from (28), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) - t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) = 0, \quad (29)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Using (26) in (29), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$ in (30), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Right multiplying (30) by $t_i(x)$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Subtracting (31) from (32), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(x)] = 0. \quad (33)$$

Now, we can rewritten (33) and using the relation (26), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Replacing $t_{i-1}(y)$ by $t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)$ in (34), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0.$$

Since $t_{i-1}(y)$ is onto, and R is semiprime ring, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (35)$$

Linearizing (26) and using (26) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(x)] \\ & + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(y)] + t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(y)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(y)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Replacing x by $-x$ in (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(y)] \\ & = t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(y)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(y)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Putting (37) in (36) and since R is 2-torsion free, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(y), t_{i-1}(x)] + t_{i-1}(x) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(y)] = 0. \quad (38)$$

Left multiplying (38) by $[t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)]$, and using (35), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] t_{i-1}(y) [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Since $t_{i-1}(y)$ is onto, and R is semiprime ring, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [t_i(x), t_{i-1}(x)] = 0. \quad (39)$$

Comparing (39) with part (iii) of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x)t_i(x)$$

That is, T is a Jordan higher left and right centralizer of R , hold for all $x \in R$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, T is Jordan higher centralizer of R . \square

Proposition 2.4 *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, and $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of R onto R , such that*

$$2t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_{i-1}(x) + t_{i-1}(x)t_{i-1}(y)t_i(x)$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is Jordan higher triple centralizer of R .

Proof From [2] we have T is Jordan higher left and right centralizer of R , and by [4] we get, T is a Jordan higher triple left centralizer of R .

Similarly, we can prove that T is a Jordan higher triple right centralizer of R , Therefore, we have T is Jordan higher triple centralizer of R .

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Mustansiriyah University (www.uomustansiriyah.edu.iq) Baghdad-Iraq for its support in the present work, and the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] A.M. Ibraheem and S.M.Salih, Jordan higher triple left resp. right centralizers of prime G -Rings, *Diyala Journal for Pure Science*, International Conference for Pure Sciences (ICPS-2021),17(3), (2021), 25–36.
- [2] S.M.Salih, and A.M.Ibraheem, On generalized higher triple centralizers of prime rings, *Academic J. for Engineering and Science*, 3(3), (2021), 41–46.
- [3] S.M. Salih and A.M. Ibraheem, Jordan generalized higher triple centralizers of 2-torsion semiprime gamma rings, to appear.
- [4] S.M. Salih, Generalized higher centralizer of prime rings, *International Journal of Current Research*, Vol. 8, 11, (2016), 40966–40975.
- [5] J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 40, 3,(1999), 447–456.
- [6] J.Vukman, Centralizers on semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 42,(2001), 237–245.
- [7] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 32, 4,(1991), 609–614.