

## On the Uniqueness and Value Distribution of Entire Functions With Their Derivatives

Ashok Rathod

Department of Mathematics

KLE Society's G I Bagewadi Arts Science and Commerce College, Nippani-591237, India

Naveenkumar S.H.

Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Bangalore-562163, India

E-mail: ashokmrmaths@gmail.com, naveenkumarsh.220@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In this article, we study the uniqueness problem of entire functions sharing a value with their derivatives. The result of this paper extends the result due to Zhang.

**Key Words:** Value distribution, entire functions, weighted sharing, Nevanlinna theory, multiplicity.

**AMS(2010):** 30D35.

### §1. Introduction

The uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions is an interesting problem in the value distribution theory and also the uniqueness theory of algebroid functions is an interesting problem in the value distribution theory. Ming-Liang Fang [11] and Q Zhang [9] and several other authors proved some interesting results on uniqueness and value sharing of entire functions and also meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative (see [3-5, 7-8, 10,12-31]).

Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane and  $m(r, f)$ ,  $N(r, f)$  and  $T(r, f)$  be the usual notations used in the Nevanlinna theory. Let  $S(r, f)$  denote any quantity satisfying  $S(r, f) = o[T(r, f)]$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  except possibly for a set of  $r$  of finite linear measure. Throughout this paper we denote by  $a, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  meromorphic functions (or constants) for smaller growth than  $f$ , that is  $T(r, f) = S(r, f)$ .

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let  $a$  be a finite complex number. We denote by  $E(a, f)$  the set of zeros of  $f - a$  (counting multiplicity), by  $\overline{E}(a, f)$  the set of zeros of  $f - a$  (ignoring multiplicity). We say  $f$  and  $g$  share a CM (IM), if  $E(a, f) = E(a, g)$  ( $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$ ). Similarly, we define that  $f$  and  $g$  share a small function  $a(z)$  CM (IM), if  $E(a(z), f) = E(a(z), g)$  ( $\overline{E}(a(z), f) = \overline{E}(a(z), g)$ ). Moreover,  $GCD(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ .

In 2005, Zhang [9] obtained the following result.

---

<sup>1</sup>Received December 27, 2019, Accepted June 6, 2020.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function and  $k(\geq 1)$ ,  $l(\geq 0)$  be integers. Also, let  $a \equiv a(z)(\neq 0, \infty)$  be a meromorphic function such that  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ . Suppose that  $f - a$  and  $f^{(k)} - a$  share  $(0, l)$ . If  $l \geq 2$  and*

$$(3 + k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 2\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k + 4, \quad (1.1)$$

or if  $l = 1$  and

$$(4 + k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 3\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > k + 6, \quad (1.2)$$

or if  $l = 0$  and

$$(6 + 2k)\Theta(\infty, f) + 5\delta_{2+k}(0, f) > 2k + 10, \quad (1.3)$$

then  $f \equiv f^{(k)}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{P}(w) = a_{n+m}w^{n+m} + \cdots + a_nw^n + \cdots + a_0 = a_{n+m} \prod_{i=1}^s (w - w_{p_i})^{p_i},$$

where  $a_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n + m - 1)$ ,  $a_{n+m} \neq 0$  and  $w_{p_i} (i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$  are distinct finite complex numbers and  $2 \leq s \leq n + m$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$ ,  $s \geq 2$ ,  $n, m$  and  $k$  are all positive integers with  $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i = n + m$ . Let  $p > \max_{p \neq p_i, i=1,2,\dots,r} \{p_i\}$ ,  $r = s - 1$ , where  $s$  and  $r$  are two positive integers.

Let

$$P(w_1) = a_{n+m} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (w_1 + w_p - w_{p_i})^{p_i} = b_q w_1^q + b_{q-1} w_1^{q-1} + \cdots + b_0,$$

where  $a_{n+m} = b_q$ ,  $w_1 = w - w_p$ ,  $q = n + m - p$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}(w) = w_1^p P(w_1)$ . We assume  $P(w_1) = b_q \prod_{i=1}^r (w_1 - \alpha_i)^{p_i}$ , where  $\alpha_i = w_{p_i} - w_p$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ , be distinct zeros of  $P(w_1)$ .

**Definition 1.1**([2]) *For two positive integers  $n$ ,  $p$  we define  $\mu_p = \min\{n, p\}$  and  $\mu_p^* = p + 1 - \mu_p$ . Then it is clear that*

$$N_p \left( r, \frac{1}{f^n} \right) \leq \mu_p N_{\mu_p^*} \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

In the present paper, we extend Theorem A by investigating the uniqueness of meromorphic functions of the form  $f_1^p P(f_1) - a$  and  $(f_1^p P(f_1))^{(k)} - a$  and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $k(\geq 1)$ ,  $l(\geq 0)$ ,  $n(\geq 1)$ ,  $p(\geq 1)$  and  $m(\geq 0)$  be integers,  $f$  and  $f_1 = f - w_p$  be two non-constant entire functions. Let  $\mathcal{P}(z) = a_{m+n}z^{m+n} + \cdots + a_nz^n + \cdots + a_0$ ,  $a_{m+n} \neq 0$ , be a polynomial in  $z$  of degree  $m + n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(f) = f_1^p P(f_1)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  and  $(\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)}$  share  $(1, l)$ .*

If  $l \geq 2$  and

$$\mu_2 \delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2} \delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) > m + n - 2p + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+2} \quad (1.5)$$

or  $l = 1$  and

$$\frac{1}{2}\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2}\delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) > \frac{3(m+n) - 5p}{2} + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+2} + \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.6)$$

or  $l = 0$  and

$$2\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+1}\delta_{\mu_{k+1}^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2}\delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) > 4(m+n) - 5p + 2 + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+1} + \mu_{k+2} \quad (1.7)$$

then  $\mathcal{P}(f) \equiv (\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)}$ .

### §2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions. We denote by  $H$  the following function

$$H = \left( \frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} \right) - \left( \frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([9]) *Let  $f$  be a non constant meromorphic function,  $k, p$ , be two positive integers, then*

$$N_p \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq N_{p+k} \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Clearly,

$$\bar{N} \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) = N_1 \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right).$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([6]) *Let  $H$  be defined as in (2.1). If  $F$  and  $G$  share 1 IM and  $H \not\equiv 0$ , then*

$$N_{11} \left( r, \frac{1}{F-1} \right) \leq N(r, H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([1]) *Let  $F$  and  $G$  share  $(1, l)$  and  $\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, G)$  and  $H \not\equiv 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, H) \leq & \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{(2)} \left( r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + \bar{N}_{(2)} \left( r, \frac{1}{G} \right) + \bar{N}_0 \left( r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) \\ & + \bar{N}_0 \left( r, \frac{1}{G'} \right) + \bar{N}_L \left( r, \frac{1}{F-1} \right) + \bar{N}_L \left( r, \frac{1}{G-1} \right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

### §3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Let  $F = \mathcal{P}(f) = f_1^p P(f_1)$  and  $G = (\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)} = (f_1^p P(f_1))^{(k)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  and  $[\mathcal{P}(f)]^{(k)}$  share  $(1, l)$ ,  $F, G$  share  $(1, l)$  except the zeros and poles of  $a(z)$ . Also, let's note that

$$\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}(r, G) = \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Let  $H$  be defined as in (2.1). We consider the following cases.

**Case 1.** Suppose  $H \neq 0$ .

By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &\quad - \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) - \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)$  denotes the reduced counting function of the zeros of  $F'$  which are not the zeros of  $F(F-1)$ .

Since  $F$  and  $G$  share 1 IM, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 3\bar{N}(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

**Subcase 1.1**  $l \geq 2$ .

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &+ 2N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + 3\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 3\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 3\bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, F). \quad (3.5)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, (1.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+m)T(r, f) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f_1^p P(f_1)}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f_1^p P(f_1))^{(k)}}\right) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq 3\bar{N}(r, f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + (n+m-p)T(r, f) \\
&\quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_1^p P(f_1)}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq (k+3)\bar{N}(r, f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + 2(n+m-p)T(r, f) \\
&\quad + \mu_{k+2} N_{\mu_{k+2}^*}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $\mu_2 \delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2} \delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) \leq m+n-2p + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+2}$ , which contradicts with (1.5).

**Subcase 1.2**  $l = 1$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &+ 2N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 3\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
&\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{F}{F'}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F)\right) + S(r, F).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$T(r, F) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \frac{7}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, F). \tag{3.8}$$

Using Lemma (2.1), (1.4) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+m)T(r, f) &\leq \left(k + \frac{7}{2}\right)\bar{N}(r, f) + \mu_2 N_{\mu_2^*}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + \mu_{k+2} N_{\mu_{k+2}^*}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + \frac{5}{2}(n+m-p)T(r, f) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2}\delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) \leq \frac{3(m+n) - 5p}{2} + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

which contradicts with (1.6).

**Subcase 1.3**  $l = 0$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2N_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, F) \leq T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{F}{F'}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, F). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.3), (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + 6\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, F). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f_1^p P(f_1)}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^p P(f_1))^{(k)}}\right) + 6\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_1^p P(f_1)}\right) + N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{(f_1^p P(f_1))^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_2\delta_{\mu_2^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+1}\delta_{\mu_{k+1}^*}(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+2}\delta_{\mu_{k+2}^*}(w_p, f) \\ \leq 4(m+n) - 5p + 2 + \mu_2 + \mu_{k+1} + \mu_{k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with (1.7).

**Case 2.** Suppose  $H \equiv 0$ .

Using (2.1), we get

$$\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1} = \frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{1}{G-1} + D, \tag{3.12}$$

where C, D are constants and  $C \neq 0$ .

We discuss the following three cases:

**Subcase 2.1**  $D \neq 0, -1$ .

Rewrite (3.12) as,

$$\frac{G-1}{C} = \frac{F-1}{D+1-DF},$$

we have,

$$\bar{N}(r, G) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{(D+1)}{D}}\right).$$

By using second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)T(r, f) &= T(r, F) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{(D+1)}{D}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_1^p P(f_1)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - w_p}\right) + (n+m-p)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \Theta(w_p, f) \leq 1 - p,$$

which contradicts with (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7).

**Subcase 2.2**  $D = 0$ .

Then from (3.12), we get

$$G = CF - (C - 1). \tag{3.13}$$

If  $C \neq 1$ , then

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{(C-1)}{C}}\right).$$

Proceeding as in Subcase 2.1, we get

$$(n+m)T(r, f) \leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) \\ + 2(n+m-p)T(r, f) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + S(r, f).$$

So,

$$\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+1}\delta_{\mu_{k+1}^*}(w_p, f) \leq 1 + \mu_{k+1} + n + m - 2p,$$

which contradicts with (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7).

Therefore,  $C = 1$ . By using (3.13), we get  $F \equiv G$  and so,  $f_1^p P(f_1) = (f_1^p P(f_1))^{(k)}$ .

**Subcase 2.3**  $D = -1$ .

Then from (3.12) we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{C}{G-1} - 1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{F}{F-1} = \frac{C}{G-1}.$$

Hence we have  $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = \overline{N}(r, G) = S(r, f)$  and hence  $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$ .

If  $C \neq -1$ , then

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{C}{C+1}}\right).$$

Proceeding as in Subcase 2.1, we get

$$(n+m)T(r, f) \leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) \\ + 2(n+m-p)T(r, f) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-w_p}\right) + S(r, f).$$

So,

$$\Theta(w_p, f) + \mu_{k+1}\delta_{\mu_{k+1}^*}(w_p, f) \leq 1 + \mu_{k+1} + n + m - 2p$$

which contradicts with (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7).

Therefore,  $C = -1$ . By using (3.13), we get  $FG \equiv 1$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{P}(f)(\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)} = 1$ . Thus in this case,

$$\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

We have,

$$\frac{(\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)}}{\mathcal{P}(f)} = \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P}(f))^2}. \quad (3.14)$$

From first fundamental theorem and (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, \mathcal{P}(f)) &\leq T\left(r, \frac{(\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)}}{\mathcal{P}(f)}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{(\mathcal{P}(f))^{(k)}}{\mathcal{P}(f)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq k\left(\bar{N}(r, \mathcal{P}(f)) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(f)}\right)\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. This completes the Proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Banerjee and S. Majumder, On the uniqueness of a power of a meromorphic function sharing a small function with the power of its derivative, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 51 (2010), No. 4, 565–576.
- [2] A. Banerjee and B. Chakraborty, Further investigations on a question of Zhang and Lü, *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 14 (2015), 105–119.
- [3] R. Brück, On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative, *Results Math.*, 30 (1996), No. 1-2, 21–24.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1964.
- [5] I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, Uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its derivative, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5 (2004), No. 1, Article 20, 9 pp.
- [6] J.D. Li and G.-X. Huang, On meromorphic functions that share one small function with their derivatives, *Palest. J. Math.*, 4 (2015), No. 1, 91–96.
- [7] L. Liu and Y. Gu, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share one small function with their derivatives, *Kodai Math. J.*, 27 (2004), No. 3, 272–279.
- [8] L.Z. Yang, Solution of a differential equation and its applications, *Kodai Math. J.*, 22 (1999), No. 3, 458–464.
- [9] Q. Zhang, Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6 (2005), No. 4, Article 116, 13 pp.
- [10] T. C. Alzahary and H. X. Yi, Weighted value sharing and a question of I. Lahiri, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, 49 (2004), No. 15, 1063–1078.
- [11] Ming-Liang Fang, Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 44(2002), 823–831.
- [12] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions on annuli, *Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 12:1(2016), 1-10.
- [13] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, Multiple values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions

- on annuli, *International Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 107:4(2016), 983-995.
- [14] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, On the value distribution of meromorphic functions on annuli, *Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 12:2(2016), 203-217.
- [15] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, Some generalisation of Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for algebroid functions on annuli, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, 20:2(2017), 85-95.
- [16] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for derivatives of meromorphic functions sharing values on annuli, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, 20:1(2017), 13-21.
- [17] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, Unicity theorem for algebroid functions related to multiple values and derivatives on annuli, *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, 13:1(2017), 25-39.
- [18] R. S. Dyavanal, Ashok Rathod, General Milloux inequality for algebroid functions on annuli, *International Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 5:3 (2017), 319-326.
- [19] Ashok Rathod, The multiple values of algebroid functions and uniqueness, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, 14:2(2016), 150-157.
- [20] Ashok Rathod, The uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning five or more values and small functions on annuli, *Asian Journal of Current Research*, 1:3(2016), 101-107.
- [21] Ashok Rathod, Uniqueness of algebroid functions dealing with multiple values on annuli, *Journal of Basic and Applied Research International*, 19:3(2016), 157-167.
- [22] Ashok Rathod, On the deficiencies of algebroid functions and their differential polynomials, *Journal of Basic and Applied Research International*, 1:1(2016), 1-11.
- [23] Ashok Rathod, The multiple values of algebroid functions and uniqueness on annuli, *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, 5:2(2017), 216-227.
- [24] Ashok Rathod, Several uniqueness theorems for algebroid functions, *J. Anal.*, 25:2(2017), 203-213.
- [25] Ashok Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for algebroid functions, *Ufa Mathematical Journal*, 10:2(2018), 127-132.
- [26] Ashok Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for derivatives of algebroid functions on annuli, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 49:2(2018), 129-142.
- [27] S. S. Bhoosnurmath, R. S. Dyavanal, Mahesh Barki, Ashok Rathod, Value distribution for  $n$ th difference operator of meromorphic functions with maximal deficiency sum, *J Anal*, 27(2019), 797-811.
- [28] Ashok Rathod, Characteristic function and deficiency of algebroid functions on annuli, *Ufa Mathematical Journal*, 11:1(2019), 121-132.
- [29] Ashok Rathod, Value distribution of a algebroid function and its linear combination of derivatives on annuli, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 8:1(2020), 129-142.
- [30] Ashok Rathod, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions on annuli, *Ufa Mathematical Journal*, 12(2020), 115-121.
- [31] Ashok Rathod, Exceptional values of algebroid functions on annuli, *The Journal of Analysis* (Accepted).