

On Generalized Integral Type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ Contraction Mappings in Partial Metric Spaces

Heeramani Tiwari and Padmavati

(Department of Mathematics, Government V.Y.T. Autonomous P.G. College, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

E-mail: toravi.tiwari@gmail.com

Abstract: This study introduces generalized integral type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction mappings in partial metric spaces that combine $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction, integral transformations, and α -admissible mappings. It also investigates the existence and uniqueness of fixed points in the context of partial metric spaces. We provide some examples to corroborate our findings.

Key Words: Generalized integral type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contraction mapping, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction mapping, α -admissible mapping, partial metric spaces.

AMS(2010): 47H10, 54H25.

§1. Introduction

In 2002, Branciari [2] introduced the integral contraction as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Let (Ω_s, d) be a complete metric space, $k \in (0, 1)$ and let $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be a mapping such that for each $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$

$$\int_0^{d(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt \leq k \int_0^{d(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable map which is summable, (i.e., with finite integral) on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, nonnegative, and such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\epsilon \xi(t) dt > 0$, then Υ has a unique fixed point.

For some motivated results on integral type contractions, see [10, 12, 5].

In 2012, Samet et al. [13] introduced $\alpha - \psi$ contractive type mappings and shown some fixed point results for them. Wardowski [15, 16, 17] identified a new sort of contraction mapping called $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction and shown that this mapping is a Banach contraction. Wardowski's result has been generalized by many authors (see [9, 1, 4, 14, 6]).

We begin by recalling a few definitions and lemmas. In 1992, Matthews [7] presented the concept of partial metric space (PMS) as follows:

Definition 1.1 Let Ω_s be a non-empty set. A function $\varrho_{pm} : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a

¹Corresponding author: Heeramani Tiwari, Email: toravi.tiwari@gmail.com

²Received June 4, 2024, Accepted August 20, 2024.

partial metric on Ω_s if the following conditions hold:

- (PMS1) $\gamma_s = \zeta_s \Leftrightarrow \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\zeta_s, \zeta_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)$;
- (PMS2) $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) \leq \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)$;
- (PMS3) $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\zeta_s, \gamma_s)$;
- (PMS4) $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) \leq \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \iota_s) + \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \zeta_s) - \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_s)$. for all $\gamma_s, \zeta_s, \iota_s \in \Omega_s$.

Lemma 1.2([7]) Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a partial metric space.

- (a) A sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ in (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) converges to a point $\gamma_s \in \Omega_s \Leftrightarrow$

$$\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_s).$$

(b) A sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ in (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m})$ exists and finite.

(c) (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is complete if every Cauchy $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ in Ω_s converges to a point $\gamma_s \in \Omega_s$, such that

$$\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s).$$

Lemma 1.3([7],[8]) Let ϱ_{pm} be a partial metric on Ω_s , then the function $d_{pm}^e : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$d_{pm}^e(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = 2\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) - \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) - \varrho_{pm}(\zeta_s, \zeta_s)$$

is metric on Ω_s . Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a partial metric space. Then,

(1) A sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ in (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is a Cauchy sequence $\Leftrightarrow \{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (Ω_s, d_{pm}^e) .

(2) (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is complete $\Leftrightarrow (\Omega_s, d_{pm}^e)$ is complete. Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pm}^e(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \gamma_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_s) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}).$$

Lemma 1.4([11]) Assume that $\gamma_{s_n} \rightarrow \iota_s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a partial metric space (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) such that $\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_s) = 0$ Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \zeta_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \zeta_s)$ for every $\zeta_s \in \Omega_s$.

Lemma 1.5([3]) Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a partial metric space.

- (1) if $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = 0$ then $\gamma_s = \zeta_s$.
- (2) If $\gamma_s \neq \zeta_s$ then $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) > 0$.

Samet et al. [13] introduced α -admissible mapping as follows:

Definition 1.6 Let $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ and $\alpha : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Υ is said to α -admissible if

$$\alpha(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) \geq 1$$

for all $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$.

Wardowski [15] presented a new class of contraction mappings as follows:

Definition 1.7 Let $\Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ be family of all functions $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

- (F1) $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is strictly increasing, i.e. for all $\omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ if $\omega < v$ then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\omega) < \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(v)$;
- (F2) for each sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ of positive numbers,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\omega_n) = -\infty;$$

- (F3) there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} \omega^\lambda \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\omega) = 0.$$

Wardowski [15] defined $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction as follows:

Definition 1.8 Let (Ω_s, d) be a metric space, then the mapping $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ is said to be an $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contraction, if there exist $F \in \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$ with $d(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) > 0$ we have

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(d(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(d(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)).$$

§2. Main Results

Let Φ be family of all functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, nonnegative and for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^\epsilon \xi(t) dt > 0$$

Definition 2.1 Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be partial metric space and let $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be a self map. Then Υ is said to be generalized integral type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contractive mapping if there exists two functions $\alpha : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ such that for $\tau > 0$ with $\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) > 0$

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\Lambda(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and

$$\Lambda(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \Upsilon\gamma_s), \varrho_{pm}(\zeta_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)\}$$

Theorem 2.1 Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a complete partial metric space and $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be self mapping. Suppose $\alpha : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the mapping satisfying the conditions:

- (i) Υ is α -admissible mapping;
- (ii) Υ is generalized integral type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contractive mapping;
- (iii) There exists $\gamma_{s_0} \in \Omega_s$ such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_0}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_0}) \geq 1$;
- (iv) Υ is continuous,

then Υ has a fixed point in Ω_s .

Proof Let γ_{s_0} be an arbitrary point such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_0}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_0}) \geq 1$. Consider a sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ in Ω_s such that $\gamma_{s_{n+1}} = \Upsilon\gamma_{s_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\gamma_{s_n} = \gamma_{s_{n+1}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, γ_{s_n} is a fixed point of Υ , completing the existence proof. Assume $\gamma_{s_n} \neq \gamma_{s_{n+1}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Lemma 1.5 states that

$$\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) = \varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_n}) > 0.$$

Now, since Υ is α -admissible, so

$$\alpha(\Upsilon\gamma_{s_0}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_1}) = \alpha(\gamma_{s_1}, \gamma_{s_2}) \geq 1$$

$$\alpha(\Upsilon\gamma_{s_1}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_2}) = \alpha(\gamma_{s_2}, \gamma_{s_3}) \geq 1$$

and using induction we have $\alpha(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, Using the property (F1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) &\leq \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_n})} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n})} \xi(t) dt\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}) &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_{n-1}}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \Upsilon\gamma_{s_n})\} \\ &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})\} \\ &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now, using (2.3) in (2.2) we get that

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})\}} \xi(t) dt\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Now, if $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) > \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n})$, then we get

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right),$$

which is a contradiction, Therefore

$$\Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}) = \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n}). \quad (2.5)$$

Again, Using (2.5) in (2.4) we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n})} \xi(t) dt\right) - \tau. \quad (2.6)$$

Continuing in the same way, we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n-1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \xi_{p_{n-2}})} \xi(t) dt\right) - \tau. \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.7) in (2.6) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \gamma_{s_n})} \xi(t) dt\right) - \tau \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n-1}}, \xi_{p_{n-2}})} \xi(t) dt\right) - 2\tau \end{aligned}$$

On generalizing

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) < \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\xi_{p_0}, \xi_{p_1})} \xi(t) dt\right) - n\tau. \quad (2.8)$$

Letting the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8) and using the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Consequently, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Now, we show that $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Ω_s , i.e., we prove that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) = 0.$$

Put $e_n = \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from the property (F_3) of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contraction there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(e_n) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Following (2.8) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$e_n^k \left(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}})} \xi(t) dt\right) - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\xi_{p_0}, \xi_{p_1})} \xi(t) dt\right) \right) \leq -e_n^k n\tau \leq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Considering (2.10), (2.11) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n(\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}))^k) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Since (2.13) holds, there exists $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}))^k \leq 1$ for all $n \geq n_p$ or

$$\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}} \quad (2.14)$$

for all $n \geq n_p$.

Using *PMS4* (triangular inequality) and (2.14) we obtain that for $m > n \geq n_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) &\leq \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n+1}}, \gamma_{s_{n+2}}) + \cdots + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{m-1}}, \gamma_{s_m}) \\ &\quad - [\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n+1}}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n+2}}, \gamma_{s_{n+2}}) + \cdots + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{m-1}}, \gamma_{s_{m-1}})] \\ &\leq \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n+1}}, \gamma_{s_{n+2}}) + \cdots + \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{m-1}}, \gamma_{s_m}) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_i}, \gamma_{s_{i+1}}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_i}, \gamma_{s_{i+1}}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \in (0, 1)$, the series $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ is convergent, so

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) = 0.$$

This implies that $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) . Due to Lemma 1.3, $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (Ω_s, d_{pm}^g) which is complete. Therefore the sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is convergent in the space (Ω_s, d_{pm}^g) as a result there exist $t_s \in \Omega_s$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pm}^g(\gamma_{s_n}, t_s) = 0$. Again from Lemma 1.2, we get

$$\varrho_{pm}(t_s, t_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, t_s) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, As Υ is continuous, we have

$$t_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{s_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon \gamma_{s_n} = \Upsilon t_s$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2 Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a complete partial metric space and $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be self mapping. Suppose $\alpha : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the mapping satisfying the conditions:

- (i) Υ is α -admissible mapping;
- (ii) Υ is integral type generalized $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -contractive mapping;
- (iii) There exists $\gamma_{s_0} \in \Omega_s$ such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_0}, \Upsilon \gamma_{s_0}) \geq 1$;
- (iv) If $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ s a sequence in Ω_s such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_{n+1}}) \geq 1$ for all n and $\gamma_{s_n} \rightarrow t_s \in \Omega_s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}$ of $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, t_s) \geq 1$ for all i ;
- (v) $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is continuous,

then Υ has a fixed point in Ω_s . Further if t_s, t_t are fixed points of Υ with $\alpha(t_s, t_t) \geq 1$, then Υ has a unique fixed point in Ω_s .

Proof From the proof of the Theorem 2.1, the sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ defined by $\gamma_{s_{n+1}} = \Upsilon \gamma_{s_n}$ is

a Cauchy sequence in (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) . Due to Lemma 1.3, $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\Omega_s, d_{pm}^{\varrho})$ which is complete. Therefore the sequence $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ is convergent in the space $(\Omega_s, d_{pm}^{\varrho})$ as a result there exist $\iota_s \in \Omega_s$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{pm}^{\varrho}(\gamma_{s_n}, \iota_s) = 0$. Again from Lemma 1.2, we get

$$\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \iota_s) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_n}, \gamma_{s_m}) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

We now prove that Υ has a fixed point.

On contrary we suppose that $(\Upsilon \iota_s, \iota_s) > 0$. Then from condition (iii) there exists a subsequence $\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}$ of $\{\gamma_{s_n}\}$ such that $\alpha(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s) \geq 1$ for all i . By Using given contractive condition (2.1) for $\gamma_s = \gamma_{s_{n(i)}}$ and $\zeta_s = \iota_s$ and property of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\xi_{p_{n(i)+1}}, \Upsilon \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon \gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \Upsilon \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon \gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \Upsilon \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s) &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \Upsilon \gamma_{s_{n(i)}}), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_s)\} \\ &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s), \varrho_{pm}(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \xi_{p_{n(i)+1}}), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_s)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.18) and using (2.16) we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(\gamma_{s_{n(i)}}, \iota_s) = \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_s). \quad (2.19)$$

Now, Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17) and using (2.19) and the continuity of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ we get that

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_s)} \xi(t) dt\right)$$

which is a contradiction since $\tau > 0$, Thus we have $\Upsilon \iota_s = \iota_s$. This shows that ι_s is a fixed point of Υ . Further, suppose ι_s and ι_t be two fixed point of Υ such that $\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t) > 0$. From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right) &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon \iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\iota_s, \iota_t) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon \iota_s, \Upsilon \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\Lambda(\iota_s, \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\iota_s, \iota_t) &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \Upsilon\iota_s), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_t, \Upsilon\iota_t)\} \\ &= \max\{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_s), \varrho_{pm}(\iota_t, \iota_t)\} = \varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t).\end{aligned}\quad (2.21)$$

Putting (2.21) in (2.20) we get

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\iota_s, \iota_t)} \xi(t) dt\right), \quad (2.22)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence Υ has a unique fixed point. \square

Following are consequences of the theorems.

Corollary 2.3 *Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a complete partial metric space and let $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be a self map. Suppose that there exist $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ and $\tau > 0$ with $\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) > 0$ be such that*

$$\tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) \quad (2.23)$$

for all $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, nonnegative and for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^\epsilon \xi(t) dt > 0$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ or Υ is continuous. Then Υ has a unique fixed point in Ω_s .

Corollary 2.4 *Let (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) be a complete partial metric space and let $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ be a continuous self map. Suppose that there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ with $\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt \leq k \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt \quad (2.24)$$

and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, nonnegative and for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^\epsilon \xi(t) dt > 0,$$

then Υ has a unique fixed point in Ω_s .

Example 2.5 Let $\Omega_s = [0, 1]$ and define $\varrho_{pm} : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = \max\{\gamma_s, \zeta_s\}$. Then (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is a complete partial metric space. Consider the mapping $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ defined by $\Upsilon(\iota_s) = \frac{\iota_s}{4}$. Suppose that $\xi(t) = 2t$. Define the function $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(a) = \ln a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ > 0$ and $\alpha : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\alpha(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = 4$ for all $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$.

We show that contractive condition of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Let $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$, without loss of generality we assume that $\gamma_s \geq \zeta_s$. Suppose that $\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s) > 0$ and let $\tau = \ln(2)$,

then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\alpha(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(4 \int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\frac{\gamma_s}{4}, \frac{\zeta_s}{4})} 2t dt\right) = \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2}{4}\right) \\ &= \ln(2) + \ln\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2}{4}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \ln(\gamma_s^2) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma_s^2) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\Lambda(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Hence, Υ has a fixed point, which in this case is 0.

Example 2.6 Let $\Omega_s = [0, 1]$ and define $\varrho_{pm} : \Omega_s \times \Omega_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s) = \max\{\gamma_s, \zeta_s\}$. Then (Ω_s, ϱ_{pm}) is a complete partial metric space. Consider the mapping $\Upsilon : \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ defined by $\Upsilon(t_s) = \frac{t_s^2 + 0.045}{12}$. Suppose that $\tau = \ln(1.5)$ and $\xi(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$. Define the function $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(a) = \ln(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^+ > 0$.

We show that contractive condition of Corollary 2.3 is satisfied. Let $\gamma_s, \zeta_s \in \Omega_s$, without loss of generality we assume that $\gamma_s \geq \zeta_s$. Suppose that $\Upsilon\gamma_s \neq \Upsilon\zeta_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\Upsilon\gamma_s, \Upsilon\zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right) &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2 + 0.045}{12}, \frac{\zeta_s^2 + 0.045}{12}\right)} dt\right) \\ &= \tau + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2 + 0.045}{12}\right) \\ &= \ln(1.5) + \ln\left(\frac{\gamma_s^2 + 0.045}{12}\right) \\ &\leq \ln(\gamma_s) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int_0^{\varrho_{pm}(\gamma_s, \zeta_s)} \xi(t) dt\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Therefore, it satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.3. Hence Υ has a fixed point, which in this case is 0.003751.

§3. Conclusion

In this article, we prove fixed point theorems for generalized integral type $\alpha - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contraction in complete partial metric spaces and provide corollaries of the results. We also provided some examples to validate the results. This article extends and generalises previous research findings.

References

- [1] K. H. Alam, Y. Rohen and N. Saleem, Fixed points of (α, β, F^*) and (α, β, F^{**}) weak Geraghty contractions with an application, *Symmetry*, 15 (2023).
- [2] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 29(9) (2002), 531-536.
- [3] S. Chandok, D. Kumar and M. S. Khan, Some results in partial metric space using auxiliary

- functions, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 15 (2015), 233-242.
- [4] H. A. Hammad, M. F. Bota and L. Guran, Wardowski' s contraction and fixed point technique for solving systems of functional and integral equations, *Journal of Function Spaces*, Vol. 2021, Article ID 7017046.
 - [5] E. Karapinar, Fixed points results for α -admissible mapping of integral type on generalized metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2015, Article ID 141409.
 - [6] D. Kumar, A. Tomar, S. Chandok and R. Sharma, Almost $\alpha - F$ -contraction, fixed points and applications, *International J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 2(12), (2021), 375-386.
 - [7] S. G. Matthews, *Partial Metric Topology*, Research report 212, Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, (1992).
 - [8] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 728 (1994), 183-197.
 - [9] A. A. Mebawondu and O. T. Mewomo, Application of fixed point results for modified generalized F -contraction mappings to solve boundary value problems, *Pan American Mathematical Journal*, 4 (29), (2019), 45-68.
 - [10] V. Ozturk, Integral type F -Contractions in partial metric spaces, *Journal of Function Spaces*, Vol. 2019, Article ID 5193862.
 - [11] V. L. Rosa and P. Vetro, Fixed points for Geraghty-contractions in partial metric spaces, *Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 7 (2014), 1-10.
 - [12] G. S. Saluja, H. G. Hyun and J. K. Kim, Generalized integral type F -Contraction in partial metric spaces, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, 28(1) (2023), 107-121.
 - [13] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorem for $\alpha - \psi$ contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
 - [14] M. Wang, N. Saleem, X. Liu, A. H. Ansari and M. Zhou, Fixed point of (α, β) -admissible generalized Geraghty F -contraction with application, *Symmetry*, 15 (2022).
 - [15] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012 (2012).
 - [16] D. Wardowski and N. V. Dung, Fixed points of F -weak contractions on complete metric spaces, *Demonstr. Math.*, 47 (2014), 146 - 155.
 - [17] D. Wardowski, Solving existence problems via F -contractions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 146 (2018), 1585-1598.