

Super Edge-Antimagic Labeling of Subdivided Star Trees

A.Raheem and A.Q.Baig

Department of Mathematics

COMSATS Institute of information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan

E-mail: rahimciit7@gmail, makbiyik@yildiz.edu.tr, aqbaig1@gmail.com

Abstract: Let G be a graph with $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ as the vertex set and the edge set respectively. An (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling of a graph G is a bijection λ from the set $V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}$ such that the set of edge-weights $\{\lambda(x) + \lambda(xy) + \lambda(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$ is equal to $\{a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (|E(G)| - 1)d\}$ where the integers $a > 0$ and $d \geq 0$. An (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling of a graph G is called super (a, d) -EAT labeling if the smallest possible labels are assigned to the vertices of the graph G .

Key Words: Labeling, super (a, d) -EAT labeling, subdivision of star trees.

AMS(2010): 05C78.

§1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. For a graph G we denote the vertex-set and edge-set by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. A (v, e) -graph G is a graph such that $v = |V(G)|$ and $e = |E(G)|$. A general reference for graph-theoretic ideas can be seen in [24]. In the present paper the domain will be the set of all the elements of a graph G and such a labeling is called a total labeling. The more details on antimagic total labeling can be seen in [14, 9]. The subject of edge-magic total labeling of graphs has its origin in the works of Kotzig and Rosa [17, 18] on what they called magic valuations of graphs. The definition of (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling was introduced by Simanjuntak, Bertault and Miller in [21] as a natural extension of edge-magic labeling defined by Kotzig and Rosa.

Conjecture 1.1([11]) Every tree admits a super edge-magic total labeling.

In the support of this conjecture, many authors have considered super edge-magic total labeling for many particular classes of trees for example [23, 1, 20, 2, 22, 310, 15, 16, 12, 13, 21]. Lee and Shah [19] verified this conjecture by a computer search for trees with at most 17 vertices. However, this conjecture is still as an open problem.

A star is a particular type of tree graph and many authors have proved the magicness for subdivided stars. Ngurah et. al. [20] proved that $T(m, n, k)$ is also super edge-magic if

¹Received April 17, 2015, Accepted December 7, 2015.

$k = n + 3$ or $n + 4$. In [23], Salman et. al. found the super edge-magic total labeling of a subdivision of a star S_n^m for $m = 1, 2$. Javaid et. al. [16] proved super edge-magic total labeling on subdivided star $K_{1,4}$ and w-trees.

However, super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling of $G \cong T(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_r)$ for different $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is still open.

Definition 1.1 A graph G is called (a, d) -edge-antimagic total $((a, d) - EAT)$ if there exist integers $a > 0$, $d \geq 0$ and a bijection

$$\lambda : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, v + e\}$$

such that $W = \{w(xy) : xy \in E(G)\}$ forms an arithmetic sequence starting from a with the common difference d , where $w(xy) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) + \lambda(xy)$ for every $xy \in E(G)$. W is called the set of edge-weights of the graph G .

Definition 1.2 A (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling λ is called super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling if $\lambda(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, v\}$.

Definition 1.3 For $n_i \geq 1$ and $r \geq 3$, let $G \cong T(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_r)$ be a graph obtained by inserting $n_i - 1$ vertices to each of the i -th edge of the star $K_{1,r}$ where $1 \leq i \leq r$.

The notion of a dual labeling has been introduced by Kotzig and Rosa [17]. According to him, if f is an $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with magic constant a then f_1 is also an $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with magic constant $a_1 = 3(v + e + 1) - a$. The following is defined as $f_1(x) = v + e + 1 - f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup E(G)$.

Lemma 1.1[12] If f is a super edge-magic total labeling of G with the magic constant c , then the function $f_1 : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, v + e\}$ defined by

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} v + 1 - f(x), & \text{for } x \in V(G), \\ 2v + e + 1 - f(x), & \text{for } x \in E(G). \end{cases}$$

is also a super edge-magic total labeling of G with the magic constant $c_1 = 4v + e + 3 - c$.

We consider the following proposition which we will use frequently in the main results.

Proposition 1.1[8] If a (v, e) -graph G has a (s, d) -EAV labeling then

- (1) G has a super $(s + v + 1, d + 1)$ -EAT labeling;
- (2) G has a super $(s + v + e, d - 1)$ -EAT labeling.

§2. Super (a, d) -EAT Labeling of Subdivided Stars

In this section we deal with the main results related to the super (a, d) -EAT labelings. on generalized families of subdivided stars for all possible values of d .

Theorem 2.1 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n+1, n+2, 2n+4, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v + s - 1$ and a super $(a, 2)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + s + 1$, where $v = |V(G)|$ and $s = (n+5) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-4}(n+2)]$ and $n_m = 2^{m-2}(n+2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Proof The vertices and the edges of the graph G are $v = (2n+4) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n+2)]$ and $e = v - 1$. Define the vertex labeling $\lambda : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ as follows:

Let $\lambda(c) = 1$. For even $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{l_1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ (n+3) - \frac{l_2}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2} \\ (2n+5) - \frac{l_3}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = (2n+5) + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-3}(n+2)] - \frac{l_i}{2} \text{ respectively.}$$

For odd $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$ and $\alpha = (2n+5) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n+2)]$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \frac{l_1+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ (\alpha + n + 3) - \frac{l_2+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2}, \\ (\alpha + 2n + 5) - \frac{l_3+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}. \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = (\alpha + 2n + 5) + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-3}(n+2)] - \frac{l_i+1}{2}$ respectively.

The set of all edge-sums $\{\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$ generated by the above formulas forms an integer sequence $(\alpha + 1) + 1, (\alpha + 1) + 2, \dots, (\alpha + 1) + e$, where $s = \alpha + 2$. Therefore, by Proposition 1.1, λ can be extended to a super $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v - 1 + s = 2v + (n+3) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n+2)]$ and to a super $(a, 2)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + 1 + s = v + (n+4) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n+2)]$. \square

Theorem 2.2 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 3$, $G \cong T(n+1, n+2, 2n+4, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 1)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v + s - 1$ and a super $(a, 3)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + s + 1$, where $v = |V(G)|$ and $s = 3$ and $n_m = 2^{m-2}(n+2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Proof Let us consider the vertices and edges are defined as in Theorem 2.1. Now, define $\lambda : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ as follows:

$\lambda(c) = 1$. For $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} l_1 + 1, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ (2n + 5) - l_2, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2}, \\ (4n + 9) - l_2, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}, \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = (4n + 9) + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-2}(n + 2)] - l_i$ respectively.

The set of all edge-sums $\{\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$ generated by the above formulas forms an integer sequence $3, 3 + 2, \dots, 3 + 2(e - 1)$, where $s = 3$. Therefore, by Proposition 1.1, λ can be extended to a super $(a, 1)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v - 1 + s = 2v + 2$ and to a super $(a, 3)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + 1 + s = v + 4$. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 1.1. and the Theorem 2.1., we have the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.3 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n+1, n+2, 2n+4, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with magic constant $a = (3v - n - 1) - \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n + 2)]$, where $n_m = 2^{m-2}(n + 2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Corollary 2.4 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n + 1, n + 2, 2n + 4, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 2)$ -EAT labeling with minimum edge weight is $a = (2v - n + 1) - \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n + 2)]$, where $n_m = 2^{m-2}(n + 2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

We construct relation between the Super (a, d) -EAT labelings and the (a, d) -EAT labelings deduce from Theorem 2.2. and according to the concept of Kotzig and Rosa related to a dual labeling, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n+1, n+2, 2n+4, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a $(a, 1)$ -EAT labeling with minimum edge weight is $a = 3v$ and $(a, 3)$ -EAT labeling with minimum edge weight $a = 2v + 2$, where $n_m = 2^{m-2}(n + 2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Theorem 2.6 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n + 1, n + 1, n + 2, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v + s - 1$ and a super $(a, 2)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + s + 1$, where $v = |V(G)|$ and

$$s = 1 + \lceil \frac{3(n + 2)}{2} \rceil + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-4}(n + 2)]$$

and $n_m = 2^{m-3}(n + 2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Proof The vertices and edges of the graph G are $v = (3n + 4) + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-3}(n + 2)]$ and $e = v - 1$. Define the vertex labeling $\lambda : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ as follows:

$\lambda(c) = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. For even $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{l_i}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ \frac{n+2}{2} + \frac{l_i}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2}, \\ \lceil \frac{3(n+2)}{2} \rceil - \frac{l_i}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}. \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = \lceil \frac{3(n+2)}{2} \rceil + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-4}(n+2)] - \frac{l_i}{2} \text{ respectively.}$$

For odd $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$ and $\alpha = \lceil \frac{3(n+2)}{2} \rceil + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-4}(n+2)]$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \lceil \frac{n+3}{2} \rceil - \frac{l_i+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ \alpha + \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil + \frac{l_i+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2}, \\ \alpha + 1 + \lfloor \frac{3(n+2)}{2} \rfloor - \frac{l_i+1}{2}, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = \alpha + 1 + \lfloor \frac{3(n+1)}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-4}(n+2)] - \frac{l_i+1}{2} \text{ respectively.}$$

The set of all edge-sums $\{\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$ generated by the above formulas forms a consecutive integer sequence $(\alpha+1)+1, (\alpha+1)+2, \dots, (\alpha+1)+e$, where $s = \alpha+2$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, λ can be extended to a super $(a, 0)$ -EAT labeling with

$$a = 2v + s - 1 = 2v + \lceil \frac{3(n+1)}{2} \rceil + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-4}(n+2)]$$

and to a super $(a, 2)$ -EAT labeling with

$$a = v + 1 + s = v + 2 + \lceil 3n + 72 \rceil + \sum_{m=4}^r [2^{m-4}(n+2)]. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.7 For $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 4$, $G \cong T(n+1, n+1, n+2, n_4, \dots, n_r)$ admits a super $(a, 1)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v + s - 1$ and a super $(a, 3)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + s + 1$, where $v = |V(G)|$ and $s = 3$ and $n_m = 2^{m-3}(n+2)$ for $4 \leq m \leq r$.

Proof Let us consider the vertices and edges are defined as in Theorem 2.3. Now, we define $\lambda : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ as follows:

$\lambda(c) = n + 2$. For $1 \leq l_i \leq n_i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $4 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\lambda(u) = \begin{cases} (n+2) - l_1, & \text{for } u = x_1^{l_1}, \\ (n+2) + l_2, & \text{for } u = x_2^{l_2}, \\ 3(n+2) - l_3, & \text{for } u = x_3^{l_3}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda(x_i^{l_i}) = 3(n+2) + \sum_{m=4}^i [2^{m-3}(n+2)] - l_i \text{ respectively.}$$

The set of all edge-sums $\{\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$ generated by the above formulas forms an integer sequence $3, 3+2, \dots, 3+2(e-1)$, where $s = 3$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, λ can be extended to a super $(a, 1)$ -EAT labeling with $a = 2v - 1 + s = 2v + 2$ and to a super $(a, 3)$ -EAT labeling with $a = v + 1 + s = v + 4$. \square

§3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proved the super edge anti-magicness of subdivided stars for all possible values of d . However the problem of the anti-magicness is still open for different values of magic constant.

References

- [1] E.T.Baskoro and A.A.G.Ngurah, On super edge-magic total labelings, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, 37(2003), 82-87.
- [2] E.T.Baskoro, I.W.Sudarsana and Y.M.Cholily, How to construct new super edge-magic graphs from some old ones, *J. Indones. Math. Soc. (MIHIM)*, 11:2 (2005), 155-162.
- [3] M.Baca, Y.Lin and F.A.Muntaner-Batle, Edge-antimagic labeling of forests, *Utilitas Math.*, 81(2010), 31-40.
- [4] M.Bača and C. Barrientos, Graceful and edge-antimagic labeling, *Ars Combin.*, 96 (2010), 505-513.
- [5] M.Bača, P.Kovář, A.Semaničová -Feňovčíková and M.K.Shafiq, On super $(a, 1)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling of regular graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 310 (2010), 1408-1412.
- [6] M.Bača, Y.Lin, M.Miller and M.Z.Youssef, Edge-antimagic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 307(2007), 1232-1244.
- [7] M.Bača, Y.Lin, M.Miller and R.Simanjuntak, New constructions of magic and antimagic graph labelings, *Utilitas Math.*, 60 (2001), 229-239.
- [8] M.Bača, Y.Lin and F.A.Muntaner-Batle, Super edge-antimagic labelings of the path-like trees, *Utilitas Math.*, 73 (2007), 117-128.
- [9] M.Bača and M.Miller, *Super Edge-Antimagic Graphs*, Brown Walker Press, Boca Raton, Florida USA, 2008.
- [10] M.Bača, A.Semaničová -Feňovčíková and M.K.Shafiq, A method to generate large classes of edge-antimagic trees, *Utilitas Math.*, 86 (2011), 33-43.

- [11] H.Enomoto, A.S.Llado, T.Nakamigawa and G.Ringle, Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.*, 34(1980), 105-109.
- [12] R.M.Figueroa-Centeno, R.Ichishima, and F.A.Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edge-magic labeling among other classes of labeling, *Discrete Math.*, 231(2001), 153-168.
- [13] R.M.Figueroa-Centeno, R.Ichishima and F.A.Muntaner-Batle, On super edge-magic graph, *Ars Combin.*, 64(2002), 81-95.
- [14] J.A.Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Elec. J. Combin.*, 17(2014).
- [15] M.Hussain, E.T.Baskoro and Slamun, On super edge-magic total labeling of banana trees, *Utilitas Math.*, 79 (2009), 243-251.
- [16] M.Javaid, M.Hussain, K.Ali and H.Shaker, Super edge-magic total labeling on subdivision of trees, *Utilitas Math.*, to appear.
- [17] A.Kotzig and A.Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 13(1970), 451-461.
- [18] A.Kotzig and A.Rosa, Magic valuation of complete graphs, *Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, Universite de Montreal*, 1972, CRM-175.
- [19] S.M.Lee and Q.X.Shah, All trees with at most 17 vertices are super edge-magic, *16th MCCC Conference, Carbondale*, University Southern Illinois, November 2002.
- [20] A.A.G.Ngurah, R.Simanjuntak and E.T.Baskoro, On (super) edge-magic total labeling of subdivision of $K_{1,3}$, *SUT J. Math.*, 43 (2007), 127-136.
- [21] R.Simanjuntak, F.Bertault and M.Miller, Two new (a, d) -antimagic graph labelings, *Proc. of Eleventh Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms*, 2000, 179-189.
- [22] K.A.Sugeng, M.Miller Slamun, and M. Bača, (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings of caterpillars, *Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.*, 3330(2005), 169-180.
- [23] A.N.M.Salman, A.A.G.Ngurah and N.Izzati, On Super Edge-Magic Total Labeling of a Subdivision of a Star S_n , *Utilitas Mathematica*, 81(2010), 275-284.
- [24] D.B.West, *An Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice-Hall, 1996.