



Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Operators: Negation, Intersection, Union, Implication, Equivalence

Operadores neutrosóficos y plitogénicos: Negación, intersección, unión, implicación, equivalencia

Florentin Smarandache¹

PhD, PostDocs, Emeritus Professor

¹ University of New Mexico, Mathematics, Physics, and Natural Science Division, 705 Gurley Ave., Gallup, NM 87301, USA;
smarand@unm.edu

Abstract. This short contribution presents the neutrosophic and plithogenic logical operators -- negation, intersection (conjunction), union (disjunction), implication, and equivalence -- expressed in terms of triangular norms (t -norms) and triangular conorms (t -conorms). For neutrosophic operators the truth component T employs the t -norm while the falsity component F employs the t -conorm (and vice versa), reflecting their opposed semantic roles; indeterminacy I is treated as predominantly of negative quality. Plithogenic operators differ only in the treatment of I , which receives the arithmetic mean of the t -norm and t -conorm applied to the I components. Several classical parametric families of t -norms are recalled as concrete instances.

Keywords: neutrosophic logic; plithogenic logic; triangular norm and conorm; neutrosophic operators; plithogenic operators.

Resumen. Esta breve contribución presenta los operadores lógicos neutrosóficos y plitogénicos —negación, intersección (conjunción), unión (disyunción), implicación y equivalencia— expresados en términos de normas triangulares (t -normas) y conormas triangulares (t -conormas). Para los operadores neutrosóficos, el componente de verdad T emplea la t -norma, mientras que el componente de falsedad F emplea la t -conorma (y viceversa), lo que refleja sus roles semánticos opuestos; la indeterminación I se trata como predominantemente de cualidad negativa. Los operadores plitogénicos difieren únicamente en el tratamiento de I , que recibe la media aritmética de la t -norma y la t -conorma aplicadas a los componentes I . Se recuerdan varias familias paramétricas clásicas de t -normas como ejemplos concretos.

Palabras clave: lógica neutrosófica; lógica plitogénica; norma y conorma triangular; operadores neutrosóficos y plitogénicos.

1 Introduction

First of all, we need to recall the t -norms (triangular norms) and t -conorms (triangular conorms) that the neutrosophic and plithogenic operators utilize.

Triangular norms and conorms are generalizations of the logical conjunction and logical disjunction to fuzzy logic [Hájek (1998)], and they are used to combine uncertain information.

The below t -norms and t -conorms are well-known in the literature. In this paper we took them from Mirko Navara (2007), Triangular norms and conorms, *Scholarpedia*, 2(3):2398.

2 Triangular Norms

2.1 Definition

A **triangular norm** (abbreviation **t -norm**) is a binary operation T on the interval $[0,1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$ (**commutativity**)
- $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$ (**associativity**)
- $y \leq z \Rightarrow T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ (**monotonicity**)
- $T(x, 1) = x$ (**neutral element 1**)



2.2 Examples

$$T_M(x, y) = \min(x, y) \text{ (minimum or Gödel } t\text{-norm)}$$

$$T_P(x, y) = x \cdot y \text{ (product } t\text{-norm)}$$

$$T_L(x, y) = \max(x + y - 1, 0) \text{ (Lukasiewicz } t\text{-norm)}$$

No t -norm can attain greater values than T_M . There are many parametrized families of t -norms [Klement et al. (2000)]. The **Frank t -norms** are defined for all $r > 0, r \neq 1$ by

$$T_{F_r}(x, y) = \log_r \left(1 + \frac{(r^x - 1)(r^y - 1)}{r - 1} \right)$$

The limit elements of this family are the above t -norms

$$T_{F_0} = T_M,$$

$$T_{F_1} = T_P,$$

$$T_{F_\infty} = T_L.$$

The only t -norms which are rational functions are the **Hamacher t -norms** defined for all $r > 0$ by

$$T_{H_r}(x, y) = \frac{xy}{r + (1 - r)(x + y - xy)}$$

and for $r = 0$ by

$$T_{H_0}(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x + y - xy}$$

$$(T_{H_0}(0, 0) = 0).$$

3 Triangular Conorms

3.1 Definition

The dual notion to a triangular norm is a **triangular conorm** (abbreviation **t -conorm**, also **s -norm**), S . Its neutral element is 0 instead of 1, all other conditions remain unchanged:

- $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$ (**commutativity**)
- $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$ (**associativity**)
- $y \leq z \Rightarrow S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$ (**monotonicity**)
- $S(x, 0) = x$ (**neutral element 0**)

3.2 Examples of t -conorms

- $S_M(x, y) = \max(x, y)$ (**maximum or Gödel t -conorm**)
- $S_P(x, y) = x + y - x \cdot y$ (**product t -conorm, probabilistic sum**)
- $S_L(x, y) = \min(x + y, 1)$ (**Lukasiewicz t -conorm, bounded sum**)

No t -conorm can attain smaller values than S_M .

If T is a t -norm, then $S(x, y) = 1 - T(1 - x, 1 - y)$ is a t -conorm, and vice versa. We obtain a *dual pair* (T, S) of a t -norm and a t -conorm. (Instead of the *standard fuzzy negation*, $x \mapsto 1 - x$, another strong fuzzy negation can be used in the duality formula.)

The classification and representations of t -conorms are dual to those of t -norms. Each continuous Archimedean t -conorm S has a (non-unique) additive generator, which is an increasing bijection $s: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, B]$ ($B \in]0, \infty[$) such that

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} s^{-1}(s(x) + s(y)) & \text{if } s(x) + s(y) \leq B, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4 Neutrosophic Operators employ the above t -norms and t -conorms

The neutrosophic triplets T, I, F are considered in the following way: the truth T of positive quality, the falsity F of negative quality (opposed to T), and the indeterminacy I of partially negative quality. That's why, when applying the t -norm on T 's, one needs to apply the opposite, i.e. the t -conorm on F 's, and vice versa. For most cases, since the indeterminacy I is being partially of negative quality, it is considered mostly closer to F than to T , that's why one also applies the same t -norm (or t -conorm) as on the F component.

Neutrosophic Negation

$$\neg\{N(T, I, F)\} = \neg N(F, I, T).$$



Neutrosophic Intersection (Conjunction)

$$N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \wedge N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) = N(t_{norm}(T_1, T_2), t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2), t_{conorm}(F_1, F_2))$$

Neutrosophic Union (Disjunction)

$$N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \vee N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) = N(t_{conorm}(T_1, T_2), t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2), t_{norm}(F_1, F_2))$$

Neutrosophic Implication

$$N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \rightarrow N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) = \neg\{N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1)\} \vee N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) = \\ = (F_1, I_1, T_1) \vee (T_2, I_2, F_2) = N(t_{conorm}(F_1, T_2), t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2), t_{norm}(T_1, F_2))$$

Neutrosophic Equivalence

$$N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \leftrightarrow N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) = \\ = \{N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \rightarrow N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2)\} \wedge \{N_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) \rightarrow N_1(T_1, I_1, F_1)\}$$

5 Plithogenic Operators

They are similar to the Neutrosophic Operators, the only distinction being with respect to the indeterminacy I , which is considered between falsehood and truth hood, or partially false and partially true.

Therefore, instead of taking, either only $t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2)$, or only $t_{norm}(I_1, I_2)$, in plithogeny we take their averages:

$$0.5[t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2) + t_{norm}(I_1, I_2)].$$

Plithogenic Negation

$$\neg\{P(T, I, F)\} =_P \neg P(F, I, T).$$

Plithogenic Intersection (Conjunction)

$$P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \wedge_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P P(t_{norm}(T_1, T_2), 0.5[t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2) + \\ t_{norm}(I_1, I_2)], t_{conorm}(F_1, F_2))$$

Plithogenic Union (Disjunction)

$$P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \vee_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P P(t_{conorm}(T_1, T_2), 0.5[t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2) + \\ t_{norm}(I_1, I_2)], t_{norm}(F_1, F_2))$$

Plithogenic Implication

$$P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \rightarrow_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P \neg\{P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1)\} \vee_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P \\ =_P P(F_1, I_1, T_1) \vee_P P(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P P(t_{conorm}(F_1, T_2), 0.5[t_{conorm}(I_1, I_2) + \\ t_{norm}(I_1, I_2)], t_{norm}(T_1, F_2))$$

Plithogenic Equivalence

$$P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \leftrightarrow_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) =_P \\ =_P \{P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1) \rightarrow_P P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2)\} \wedge_P \{P_2(T_2, I_2, F_2) \rightarrow_P P_1(T_1, I_1, F_1)\}$$

Conclusion

This short note provides a unified reference for neutrosophic and plithogenic logical operators defined over an arbitrary t -norm/ t -conorm pair. The central structural rule is that T and F always receive dual aggregation functions, while I is either treated as predominantly false (neutrosophic) or averaged between both aggregations (plithogenic). Substituting any specific t -norm — minimum, product, Lukasiewicz, Frank, or Hamacher — yields a complete, concrete family of neutrosophic or plithogenic connectives. These operators are foundational for neutrosophic decision-making, reasoning under indeterminacy, and plithogenic set theory.

References

1. Mirko Navara (2007). Triangular norms and conorms. *Scholarpedia*, 2(3), 2398. <https://doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.2398>
2. Florentin Smarandache. (1998). *Neutrosophy / neutrosophic probability, set, and logic*. ProQuest. <https://fs.unm.edu/eBook-Neutrosophics6.pdf>
3. Alsina, C., Frank, M. J., & Schweizer, B. (2006). *Associative functions: Triangular norms and copulas*. World Scientific. <https://doi.org/10.1142/6036>
4. Driankov, D., Hellendoorn, H., & Reinfrank, M. (1993). *An introduction to fuzzy control*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-11131-4_1
5. Petr Hájek (1998). *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
6. Roger B. Nelsen (1999). *An introduction to copulas* (Lecture Notes in Statistics, Vol. 139). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3076-0>
7. Hung T. Nguyen, & Elbert A. Walker (2000). *A first course in fuzzy logic* (2nd ed.). Chapman & Hall/CRC.
8. Berthold Schweizer, & Abe Sklar (1983). *Probabilistic metric spaces*. North-Holland

