



*Article*

## Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Extensions of Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems

Florentin Smarandache<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Emeritus Professor, Department of Mathematics & Sciences University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA, ,

<https://fs.unm.edu/IPW/>, e-mail: [smarand@unm.edu](mailto:smarand@unm.edu)

\* Correspondence: e-mail: [smarand@unm.edu](mailto:smarand@unm.edu)

*Received:* 03, 19, 2026; *Accepted:* 03, 25, 2026

### Abstract

This paper develops fuzzy and neutrosophic extensions of Gödel's incompleteness theorems based on graded and triadic self-reference. Starting from fuzzy and neutrosophic forms of the Liar Paradox — originally formulated by Florentin Smarandache — we construct generalized Gödel sentences through diagonalization in formal systems capable of encoding their own provability. In the fuzzy setting, incompleteness appears as nontrivial intermediate provability degrees: the Gödel sentence stabilizes at a truth degree  $F \in (0,1)$  rather than collapsing to a binary undecidable. In the neutrosophic setting, incompleteness appears as an irreducible valuation  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  with simultaneous nonzero truth, indeterminacy, and falsehood components.

We formulate a Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability Theorem (showing that continuous self-referential operators on the neutrosophic truth cube  $[0,1]^3$  possess interior fixed points), a Neutrosophic Gödel Fixed-Point Theorem (specializing this result to Gödel sentences about provability), and a Contradictory-Theory Incompleteness Principle (extending the framework to domains containing competing theories). Geometric and dynamical interpretations in the neutrosophic truth cube are provided, together with applications to reasoning under inconsistency, knowledge fusion, artificial intelligence, quantum logic, and epistemology. The Smarandache Generalized Graded Incompleteness Principle unifies the classical, fuzzy, and neutrosophic cases under a single overarching statement.

**Keywords:** Gödel incompleteness; fuzzy logic; neutrosophic logic; liar paradox; self-reference; fixed-point theorem; neutrosophic truth cube; contradiction; paraconsistent logic; contradictory theories; dynamical self-reference.

---

## 1. Introduction

Gödel's incompleteness theorems (1931) remain among the most profound discoveries in mathematical logic. They show that any sufficiently expressive, consistent, recursively axiomatized formal system containing arithmetic must contain statements that are true but unprovable within the system (First Theorem), and that such a system cannot prove its own consistency (Second Theorem).

The classical construction proceeds through the Liar's Paradox: the sentence "This statement is false" generates an irresolvable loop. Gödel arithmetized this semantic self-reference by replacing truth with provability, constructing a sentence  $G = \text{"This statement is not provable in } S."$  If  $G$  is provable, it is false; if  $G$  is unprovable, it is true. The system is therefore incomplete.

Classical incompleteness assumes a binary conception of truth and provability. Modern logical frameworks — including fuzzy logic (Zadeh, 1965) and neutrosophic logic (Smarandache, 1998) — admit richer truth structures. Fuzzy logic assigns each statement a truth degree  $v(\varphi) \in [0,1]$ . Neutrosophic logic assigns a triple  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  where  $T$  measures the degree of support,  $I$  the degree of indeterminacy, and  $F$  the degree of opposition, each in  $[0,1]$  and logically independent.

This raises the natural question: how does Gödel-type incompleteness manifest in such systems? The answer is both structurally elegant and philosophically significant. In fuzzy systems, incompleteness becomes spectral rather than binary — the Gödel sentence occupies an interior point of the unit interval. In neutrosophic systems, incompleteness becomes volumetric — the Gödel sentence occupies an interior point of the unit cube  $[0,1]^3$ . Crucially, paradoxical self-reference need not always yield mere oscillation or inconsistency; in neutrosophic settings it may stabilize at interior truth states.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the classical background. Section 3 presents the Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Liar Paradoxes. Sections 4 and 5 develop the Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Gödel Incompleteness Theorems. Section 6 gives the Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability Theorem. Section 7 gives the Neutrosophic Gödel Fixed-Point Theorem with proof sketch. Sections 8 and 9 provide geometric and dynamical interpretations. Section 10 states the Contradictory-Theory Incompleteness Principle. Section 11 gives the comparative structure. Section 12 develops applications and connections. Section 13 states the Smarandache Generalized Graded Incompleteness Principle. Section 14 concludes.

## 2. Classical Background and Preliminaries

### 2.1 Classical Gödel Incompleteness

Let  $T$  be a consistent, recursively axiomatized theory containing arithmetic. Gödel's construction uses arithmetization (Gödel numbering) to encode syntactic objects as natural numbers, enabling a formula to refer to its own proof-theoretic properties. The key result is the Diagonal Lemma: for any formula  $\varphi(x)$  there exists a sentence  $G$  such that  $T \vdash G \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner G \urcorner)$ . Applying this to  $\varphi(x) = \neg \text{Prov}_T(x)$  yields the Gödel sentence:

$$G \leftrightarrow \neg \text{Prov}_T(\ulcorner G \urcorner) \quad (\text{Classical Gödel sentence})$$

where  $\ulcorner G \urcorner$  is the Gödel number of  $G$  and  $\text{Prov}_T(x)$  is the provability predicate. If  $T$  is consistent,  $G$  is true but not provable in  $T$ ; if  $T$  is  $\omega$ -consistent,  $\neg G$  is likewise unprovable. Hence  $G$  is undecidable. Gödel's Second Theorem states that  $T$  cannot prove its own consistency  $\text{Con}(T)$ : a sufficiently strong system cannot prove its own sanity from within.

### 2.2 Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy logic (Zadeh, 1965; Hájek, 1998) extends classical two-valued logic by assigning each formula  $\varphi$  a truth degree  $v(\varphi) \in [0,1]$ . Logical connectives are generalized via t-norms and t-conorms. Standard Zadeh negation gives:

$$v(\neg\varphi) = 1 - v(\varphi)$$

The unit interval  $[0,1]$  replaces the Boolean set  $\{0,1\}$ , enabling graded representation of partial truth and partial falsity. This framework is a strict generalization of classical logic, which corresponds to the special case  $v(\varphi) \in \{0,1\}$ .

### 2.3 Neutrosophic Logic

Neutrosophic logic (Smarandache, 1998) assigns to each formula  $\varphi$  a triple:

$$\text{Val}(\varphi) = \langle T(\varphi), I(\varphi), F(\varphi) \rangle$$

where  $T, I, F \in [0,1]$  and  $T + I + F$  need not equal 1 (the components are logically independent).  $T$  measures truth support,  $I$  measures indeterminacy, and  $F$  measures falsity opposition. Neutrosophic negation exchanges truth and falsehood:

$$\text{Val}(\neg_n\varphi) = \langle F(\varphi), I'(\varphi), T(\varphi) \rangle$$

Fuzzy logic is a special case of neutrosophic logic (with  $I = 0$  and  $T + F = 1$ ), which in turn is a special case of the full neutrosophic framework where  $T + I + F$  can range in  $[0,3]$ .

### 3. The Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Liar Paradoxes

The classical Liar Paradox — "This statement is false" — collapses into binary contradiction: if true, it is false; if false, it is true. This semantic self-reference inspired Gödel's syntactic construction. Smarandache extended both the Liar Paradox and its implications into graded logical frameworks.

The key relationship between the Liar Paradoxes and the Gödel constructions is this: the Liar Paradox is semantic self-reference (about truth); the Gödel sentence is syntactic self-reference (about provability). Once provability is substituted for truth in the graded frameworks, the Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Liar Paradoxes become the seeds of the corresponding Gödel constructions.

#### 3.1 The Fuzzy Liar Paradox

##### **Fuzzy Liar Paradox (Smarandache)**

Statement: "This statement is partially false ( $F$ , where  $0 < F < 1$ )."

Analysis:

- If the statement is TRUE: it is partially false to degree  $F$  — self-consistent.
- If the statement is FALSE: it is partially true, with truth  $T = 1 - F > 0$  — also self-consistent.

Unlike the classical liar (which oscillates without resolution), the fuzzy liar stabilizes at an intermediate value:

$$0 < F < 1 \quad (\text{Fuzzy liar fixed point})$$

Self-reference no longer generates contradiction but rather a fixed point of graded truth. The fuzzy liar is the semantic precursor to the Fuzzy Gödel sentence.

#### 3.2 The Neutrosophic Liar Paradox

##### **Neutrosophic Liar Paradox (Smarandache)**

Statement: "This statement is neutrosophically false ( $\langle T, I, F \rangle$ , with  $0 < F < 1$ )."

Analysis:

- If the statement is TRUE (T, I, F): it is neutrosophically false, with  $F > 0$ .
- If the statement is FALSE (F, I, T) (where now truth = F, falsehood = T): it is neutrosophically true, with truth =  $F > 0$ .

In both cases the statement stabilizes at a triadic interior point:

$$\text{Val}(S) = (T, I, F), \text{ with } T > 0, I > 0, F > 0$$

The indeterminacy component I captures the irresolvable ambiguity that classical logic cannot represent. The neutrosophic liar is neither purely true nor purely false, and its indeterminacy is not merely epistemic ignorance but a genuine third truth-value.

#### 4. Fuzzy Gödel Incompleteness Theorems

##### 4.1 Fuzzy Formal Systems

A Fuzzy Formal System FS consists of: a language with well-formed formulas (wffs); a fuzzy provability function  $\text{Prov}: \text{wff} \rightarrow [0,1]$  where  $\text{Prov}(\varphi) = p$  means " $\varphi$  is provable to degree  $p$ "; a fuzzy truth valuation  $\text{Val}: \text{wff} \rightarrow [0,1]$ ; and a fuzzy consistency condition  $\text{Prov}(\varphi) + \text{Prov}(\neg\varphi) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for some tolerance  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Classical negation is replaced by fuzzy negation  $\text{Val}(\neg\varphi) = 1 - \text{Val}(\varphi)$  (Zadeh) or more generally  $\text{Val}(\neg\varphi) = n(v)$  for a decreasing involution  $n$ .

##### 4.2 Fuzzy Diagonal Lemma

###### Fuzzy Diagonal Lemma

For any formula  $\varphi(x)$  in a fuzzy arithmetic theory  $T_f$ , there exists a sentence  $G_f$  such that:

$$T_f \vdash G_f \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner G_f \urcorner)$$

where the biconditional is interpreted under fuzzy equivalence. The proof follows the classical diagonalization argument since the construction is purely syntactic, independent of truth-value semantics.

##### 4.3 The Fuzzy Gödel Sentence

Generalizing the Fuzzy Liar Paradox, construct the Fuzzy Gödel Sentence:

**Fuzzy Gödel Sentence  $G_F$**

"The provability degree of this statement is  $F$ , where  $0 < F < 1$ ."

$$Prov(G_F) = F$$

Analysis by cases:

Assumption	Consequence
$Prov(G_F) = 1$ (fully provable)	$G_F$ is fully true, so $Prov(G_F) = F < 1$ . Contradiction.
$Prov(G_F) = 0$ (fully unprovable)	$G_F$ asserts $Prov(G_F) = F > 0$ . Contradiction.
$Prov(G_F) = F$ (intermediate)	$G_F$ is self-consistently provable to degree $F$ — a fuzzy fixed point. ✓

4.4 First Fuzzy Gödel Incompleteness Theorem

**Theorem 1: First Fuzzy Gödel Incompleteness Theorem**

In any sufficiently expressive Fuzzy Formal System FS satisfying standard regularity conditions, there exists a sentence  $G_F$  such that  $Prov(G_F)$  is neither 0 nor 1, but takes a value  $F \in (0,1)$ .

The system is fuzzily incomplete:  $G_F$  is provable only to an intermediate degree, and its negation  $\neg G_F$  is provable to degree  $1 - F \in (0,1)$ . Neither is fully provable nor unprovable.

$$0 < Prov(G_F) < 1 \quad (\text{Fuzzy incompleteness condition})$$

Proof sketch. Applying the Fuzzy Diagonal Lemma, construct  $G_F$  so that  $G_F \leftrightarrow \neg_f Prov(\ulcorner G_F \urcorner)$ . If  $Prov(G_F) = 1$ , the right-hand side evaluates to 0, contradicting the biconditional. If  $Prov(G_F) = 0$ , the right-hand side evaluates to 1, also a contradiction. By continuity of the fuzzy connective, a fixed point must exist in the interior  $(0,1)$ . ■

Interpretation. Classical incompleteness is binary. Fuzzy incompleteness is spectral: incompleteness manifests as the impossibility of achieving provability degree 1 or 0 for certain self-referential sentences. This also yields the asymptotic principle:

$$v(G\_F) > p_{\{T\_f\}}(G\_F)$$

meaning the truth degree of the Gödel sentence exceeds its provability degree. The system asymptotically approaches truth but cannot fully certify it.

#### 4.5 Fuzzy Fixed-Point Theorem (General Form)

The sentence  $G\_F$  is a fuzzy fixed point of the provability operator: there exists  $G\_F$  such that  $\text{Prov}(G\_F) = f(\text{Prov}(G\_F))$ . For any continuous  $f: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , by the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem applied to  $[0,1]$ , there exists  $p^*$  such that  $f(p^*) = p^*$ .

#### Fuzzy Diagonal Lemma (General Form)

For any fuzzy predicate  $\Phi$  with associated continuous function  $f_\Phi: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ ,

$$\exists G \text{ such that } \text{Prov}(G) = f_\Phi(\text{Prov}(G))$$

This is the fuzzy analog of the classical Diagonal Lemma, grounded in the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem on  $[0,1]$ .

#### 4.6 Second Fuzzy Gödel Theorem

Define the fuzzy consistency degree:

$$\text{Con}_F(FS) = 1 - \sup\{\min(\text{Prov}(\varphi), \text{Prov}(\neg\varphi)) : \varphi \in FS\}$$

#### Theorem 2: Second Fuzzy Gödel Incompleteness Theorem

A sufficiently expressive Fuzzy Formal System  $FS$  cannot prove  $\text{Con}_F(FS) = 1$  from within itself.

At best it can establish  $\text{Prov}(\text{Con}_F(FS)) = c$  for some  $c \in (0,1)$  — a partial self-trust:

$$p_{\{T\_f\}}(\text{Con}_f(T\_f)) < 1$$

This replaces the harsh classical "you cannot prove your own consistency" with: you can partially verify your own consistency, but never to full certainty from within. A rich fuzzy system may support its own consistency to some degree but not to absolute degree 1.

## 5. Neutrosophic Gödel Incompleteness Theorems

### 5.1 Neutrosophic Formal Systems

A Neutrosophic Formal System NS operates with: neutrosophic provability  $\text{NeutProv}(\varphi) = \langle T, I, F \rangle$  where T = degree of provability, I = degree of indeterminate/undecidable provability, F = degree of disprovability, each in  $[0,1]$ ; a neutrosophic negation  $\text{NeutProv}(\neg\varphi) = \langle F, I, T \rangle$  (truth and falsehood swap); and a neutrosophic consistency condition generalizing fuzzy consistency.

### 5.2 Neutrosophic Diagonal Lemma

#### Neutrosophic Diagonal Lemma

For any neutrosophic formula  $\varphi(x)$  in a neutrosophic arithmetic theory  $T_n$ , there exists  $G_n$  such that:

$$T_n \vdash G_n \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner G_n \urcorner)$$

where evaluation uses neutrosophic triples. The proof is syntactic and parallels the classical construction.

### 5.3 The Neutrosophic Gödel Sentence

Extending the Neutrosophic Liar Paradox to the syntactic setting, construct:

#### Neutrosophic Gödel Sentence $G_N$

"The neutrosophic provability of this statement is  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$ , with  $0 < F < 1$ ."

$$G_n \leftrightarrow \neg_n \text{Prov}_{\{T_n\}}(\ulcorner G_n \urcorner)$$

Assumption	Consequence
$\text{NeutProv}(G_N) = \langle 1,0,0 \rangle$ (fully provable)	$G_N$ is fully true, so $F > 0$ in its claim. Contradiction.
$\text{NeutProv}(G_N) = \langle 0,0,1 \rangle$ (fully disprovable)	Negation flips to $\langle 1,0,0 \rangle$ , re-introducing truth. Contradiction.
$\text{NeutProv}(G_N) = \langle 0,1,0 \rangle$ (fully indeterminate)	$G_N$ asserts $F > 0$ ; indeterminacy leaks into F. Partial contradiction.
$\text{NeutProv}(G_N) = \langle T,I,F \rangle$ with all $> 0$	Self-consistent neutrosophic fixed point. ✓

## 5.4 First Neutrosophic Gödel Theorem

**Theorem 3: First Neutrosophic Gödel Incompleteness Theorem**

In any sufficiently expressive Neutrosophic Formal System NS, there exists a sentence  $G_N$  such that  $\text{NeutProv}(G_N) = \langle T, I, F \rangle$  with  $T, I, F$  all potentially nonzero. The system exhibits three-dimensional incompleteness:  $G_N$  is simultaneously partially provable ( $T > 0$ ), partially undecidable ( $I > 0$ ), and partially disprovable ( $F > 0$ ).

$$\text{Val}(G_n) = (T_G, I_G, F_G), \text{ with } T_G > 0, I_G > 0, F_G > 0$$

Proof sketch. Construct  $G_N$  via the Neutrosophic Diagonal Lemma. Pure truth  $\langle 1,0,0 \rangle$  is unstable: if  $G_N$  is fully provable, its content introduces counter-support. Pure falsehood  $\langle 0,0,1 \rangle$  is unstable: the negation yields full truth, reintroducing a truth component. Pure indeterminacy  $\langle 0,1,0 \rangle$  is unstable given the non-collapse assumption. Therefore no classical extreme is stable, and the system must assign  $G_N$  an interior triple. ■

Key distinction from classical Gödel: in the classical case, undecidability is binary — the statement is neither provable nor disprovable. In the neutrosophic case, indeterminacy  $I$  is a primitive, irreducible component:  $I > 0$  captures statements that are in principle not resolvable, not merely currently unresolved.

## 5.5 Second Neutrosophic Gödel Theorem

Define neutrosophic consistency:  $\text{NeutCon}(\text{NS}) = \langle T_c, I_c, F_c \rangle$  where  $T_c$  = degree of consistency,  $I_c$  = degree of indeterminate consistency,  $F_c$  = degree of inconsistency.

**Theorem 4: Second Neutrosophic Gödel Incompleteness Theorem**

A sufficiently expressive Neutrosophic Formal System NS cannot internally prove  $\text{NeutCon}(\text{NS}) = \langle 1,0,0 \rangle$ . The best achievable internal certification has  $I_c > 0$ , reflecting an irreducible uncertainty about the system's own consistency — not merely ignorance, but ontological indeterminacy:

$$\text{NeutCon}(\text{NS}) = \langle T_c, I_c, F_c \rangle \text{ with } I_c > 0$$

This is philosophically stronger than the classical second theorem: classical Gödel says you cannot know you are consistent; neutrosophic Gödel says consistency itself is not a fully determined property of the system.

## 6. Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability Theorem

We now treat self-reference dynamically. Let  $N = [0,1]^3$  denote the neutrosophic truth cube, and let  $f$  be the evaluation operator induced by a self-referential sentence  $S$ .

$$S \leftrightarrow \Phi(S), \quad f : N \rightarrow N$$

Repeated evaluation produces an iteration sequence:

$$X_{\{n+1\}} = f(X_n), \quad \text{where } X_n = (T_n, I_n, F_n)$$

### Theorem 5 (Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability)

If  $f$  is continuous and maps  $N = [0,1]^3$  into itself, then there exists at least one fixed point  $X^* = (T^*, I^*, F^*)$  such that  $f(X^*) = X^*$ .

If the classical extreme states are unstable under the self-reference dynamics, then at least one fixed point lies in the interior of  $N$ :

$$0 < T^* < 1, \quad 0 < I^* < 1, \quad 0 < F^* < 1$$

Proof. Continuity of  $f$  on the compact convex set  $[0,1]^3$  guarantees, by the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem, the existence of at least one fixed point. The instability of the extreme classical vertices (which follows from the self-referential dynamics) forces the fixed point away from the boundary into the interior. ■

Interpretation. Classical liar-type paradoxes oscillate between truth and falsity without settling. In a neutrosophic setting, the same self-reference may converge to a stable interior equilibrium — a sentence that is simultaneously partially true, partially false, and partially indeterminate, without contradiction. Paradox is transformed into a stable logical object.

## 7. Neutrosophic Gödel Fixed-Point Theorem

Theorem 5 concerns self-reference in general. The following theorem specializes it to Gödel sentences about provability, and is the central result of the paper.

Let  $f: [0,1]^3 \rightarrow [0,1]^3$  be the self-reference operator induced by evaluating a sentence  $S$  through the clause  $S \leftrightarrow \neg_n \text{Prov}(S)$ . The input triple represents the current neutrosophic valuation of  $S$ ; the output triple is the valuation generated after one step of neutrosophic provability evaluation.

### Theorem 6 (Neutrosophic Gödel Fixed-Point Theorem)

Assume that: (i) the operator  $f$  is continuous on  $[0,1]^3$ ;

(ii) the extreme classical points are unstable under the self-reference dynamics;

(iii) the provability operator is non-collapse (does not force every self-referential sentence to a boundary valuation).

Then there exists at least one fixed point  $X^* = \langle T^*, I^*, F^* \rangle$  such that  $X^* = f(X^*)$ .

Under assumptions (ii) and (iii), at least one such fixed point lies in the interior:

$$X^* = f(X^*), \text{ with } X^* = (T^*, I^*, F^*), T^* > 0, I^* > 0, F^* > 0$$

Proof sketch. (1) Construct  $G_n$  via the Neutrosophic Diagonal Lemma so that  $G_n$  refers to its own neutrosophic provability. This is a syntactic step independent of semantics. (2) The semantic state of  $G_n$  lies in  $N = [0,1]^3$ . The self-referential evaluation defines  $f: N \rightarrow N$ . (3) By the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem (continuity on compact convex set),  $f$  has at least one fixed point. (4) Instability of boundary and non-collapse assumptions together force the fixed point into the interior. ■

Interpretation. The classical Gödel sentence is undecidable. The fuzzy Gödel sentence is graded (interior of  $[0,1]$ ). The neutrosophic Gödel sentence is an interior equilibrium of the cube — supported to some degree, opposed to some degree, and still partially indeterminate. This is a stable logical object, not a pathology.

Connection to Lawvere's Theorem (1969): in any cartesian closed category, if there is a surjective morphism  $A \rightarrow A^A$ , then every endomorphism of  $A$  has a fixed point. This categorical result unifies Cantor's theorem, Gödel's incompleteness, and the halting problem. The fuzzy and neutrosophic fixed-point results correspond to enriched variants of Lawvere's theorem in categories enriched over  $([0,1], \geq, \otimes)$  with t-norm  $\otimes$ .

### 8. Geometric Interpretation: The Neutrosophic Truth Cube

Neutrosophic truth values  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  admit a natural geometric interpretation in three-dimensional space. The unit cube  $[0,1]^3$ , with axes T (truth), I (indeterminacy), and F (falsity), is the neutrosophic truth space C.

Logical State	Coordinates in C	Logical Meaning
Classical True	(1, 0, 0)	Fully proven, no uncertainty
Classical False	(0, 0, 1)	Fully disproven, no uncertainty
Fully Indeterminate	(0, 1, 0)	Entirely unknown or neutral
Fuzzy States	(T, 0, 1-T), line segment	1D subset of C: fuzzy logic
Neutrosophic Gödel Point	(T_G, I_G, F_G) – interior	Self-referential equilibrium

Classical truth values occupy the vertices of C. Fuzzy logic occupies a line segment (the T-axis face diagonal, since  $T + F = 1$  and  $I = 0$ ). Neutrosophic logic fills C freely, with all three components independent.

A neutrosophic Gödel sentence appears as an interior point of C. This geometric picture captures in one image what is conceptually central: incompleteness is not merely a missing decision. It becomes the emergence of interior truth states — stable logical equilibria in three-dimensional logical space.

### 9. Dynamical Interpretation and Logical Trajectories

Self-reference can be studied dynamically. Define  $X_n = (T_n, I_n, F_n)$  as the neutrosophic truth state of a sentence after the n-th evaluation of its provability. The iteration is:

$$X_{\{n + 1\}} = f(X_n)$$

Starting from any initial guess  $X_0$ , the trajectory traces a path in C. Three regimes are possible: (1) oscillation — truth and falsity alternate, approximating the classical liar as a limit; (2) convergence to an interior fixed point — paradox resolves into a stable triadic equilibrium; (3) complex triadic motion. The philosophically most significant case is (2), where self-reference stabilizes rather than explodes.

Example convergent trajectory:

**Example trajectory converging to interior equilibrium**

$$(1, 0, 0) \rightarrow (0.6, 0.1, 0.3) \rightarrow (0.4, 0.2, 0.4) \rightarrow (0.33, 0.34, 0.33) = \textit{stable}$$

This logical wave interpretation parallels physical wave behavior: the truth triple  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  functions as an amplitude vector in logical space. Classical logic "collapses the wave" to a vertex. Neutrosophic logic allows the wave to stabilize at a superposition of logical states — a further resonance with quantum mechanical analogies developed in Section 12.5.

**10. Contradictory-Theory Incompleteness Principle**

Many real bodies of knowledge are not merely incomplete but internally conflicting. Scientific models, data sources, legal frameworks, and interpretive traditions may support incompatible conclusions while none can be cleanly discarded. This motivates a stronger principle that extends the purely formal Gödel theorems to the domain of competing theories.

**Principle: Contradictory-Theory Incompleteness (Smarandache)**

Whenever a sufficiently rich formal environment admits multiple partially incompatible subsystems whose claims can be represented in a common neutrosophic language, the joint environment necessarily generates sentences whose valuations lie in the interior of the truth cube  $C$ . Contradiction plus self-reference yields mixed logical states that cannot be reduced to classical truth values.

This principle is not a celebration of contradiction. Rather, it provides a structured way to represent unresolved conflict. A sentence supported by one subsystem and opposed by another is a mathematically meaningful interior state in a three-dimensional logical space — not noise, but a formal object with measurable  $T, I, F$  coordinates.

The principle connects logical incompleteness with contradictory theories in science, technology, culture, literature, and art. A claim may be partially supported by one theory, partially opposed by another, and partly unresolved by available evidence — making it precisely a Gödel-type interior point of the neutrosophic truth cube. This provides a formal bridge between the foundational results of this paper and the broader program of neutrosophic applications.

**11. Comparative Structure: Classical, Fuzzy, and Neutrosophic**

Feature	Classical	Fuzzy	Neutrosophic
Truth values	{0, 1}	[0, 1]	$[0,1]^3$ (T, I, F)
Gödel sentence	Undecidable (binary)	Partially provable (degree F)	Triple-valued (T, I, F)
Incompleteness type	Absolute gap	Spectral gap	Volumetric gap
Consistency statement	Unprovable	Partly provable ( $c < 1$ )	Irreducible $I_c > 0$
Fixed-point guarantee	Gödel Diagonal Lemma	Brouwer on [0,1]	Brouwer on $[0,1]^3$
Negation	$\varphi \leftrightarrow \neg\varphi$ flips {0,1}	$v \leftrightarrow 1-v$	$\langle T,I,F \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle F,I,T \rangle$
Logical space	0-dim (2 points)	1-dim (interval)	3-dim (cube)
Liar Paradox	Irresolvable oscillation	Fixed point in (0,1)	Interior fixed point in $(0,1)^3$

## 12. Applications and Connections

### 12.1 Connections to Mathematical Logic

Paraconsistent Logic. Priest's LP and dialetheism allow true contradictions. The neutrosophic framework is a quantified dialetheism: contradictions are not merely permitted but measured. The I-component absorbs what dialetheism handles by brute acceptance. The framework is thereby paraconsistent by construction.

Many-Valued Logics (Łukasiewicz, Kleene, Gödel). Łukasiewicz's three-valued logic has values  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ ; Kleene's has  $\{F, U, T\}$ ; Gödel's many-valued logics provide a hierarchy of truth. The fuzzy and neutrosophic frameworks are continuous generalizations. The Fuzzy Gödel theorem subsumes what would happen to incompleteness under Łukasiewicz provability.

Non-Standard Analysis. Robinson's infinitesimals suggest provability degrees of the form  $F = \varepsilon$  (infinitesimally small) or  $F = 1 - \varepsilon$  (infinitesimally close to full provability) — a refined fuzzy spectrum near the classical endpoints, connecting the present work to the IPW/FPW program of infinitesimal and finitesimal structures.

### 12.2 Computability Theory

Fuzzy Turing Machines. A Fuzzy Turing Machine (FTM) has transition functions valued in  $[0,1]$ . The Fuzzy Halting Problem asks: what is the degree to which a given FTM halts? The Fuzzy Gödel

theorem implies this degree is generically in  $(0,1)$  for self-referential programs — not a clean undecidability, but a graded undecidability.

Neutrosophic Computability. A Neutrosophic Turing Machine has transition triples  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$ . The halting predicate becomes neutrosophically valued. The Second Neutrosophic Gödel Theorem corresponds to the undecidability of the neutrosophic halting problem: we cannot fully certify  $(T=1, I=0, F=0)$  whether the machine halts. This is a structural deepening of the Turing-Gödel connection.

### 12.3 Set Theory

Fuzzy ZFC. Replace binary membership  $x \in S$  with graded membership  $\mu_S(x) \in [0,1]$ . The Fuzzy Continuum Hypothesis asks: is there a fuzzy cardinality strictly between  $\aleph_0$  and  $2^{\aleph_0}$ ? This is not decidable to degree 1 within Fuzzy ZFC — a fuzzy analog of Cohen's independence results.

Neutrosophic Russell Paradox. The set  $R_N = \{x : \text{NeutMembership}(x,x) = \langle T,I,F \rangle \text{ with } F > 0\}$  generalizes the Neutrosophic Liar into set theory. The paradox resolves at an interior fixed point  $\langle T^*, I^*, F^* \rangle$  via Theorem 5, rather than generating an explosion — a precise illustration of how the Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability Theorem handles paradox in practice.

### 12.4 Artificial Intelligence

Fuzzy Expert Systems. An expert system with fuzzy rule weights will contain rules whose confidence is self-referentially stuck at an intermediate value. Fuzzy Gödel provides the theoretical foundation for this irreducible epistemic limitation.

Uncertainty Quantification in Neural Networks. The Fuzzy Gödel phenomenon may underlie irreducible epistemic uncertainty in deep learning: certain self-referential queries (e.g., "How confident is this model in its own confidence estimates?") cannot achieve calibrated certainty — not due to lack of data, but due to structural incompleteness.

Neutrosophic AI and Self-Correcting Systems. An AI system equipped with neutrosophic logic can handle Gödelian loops gracefully: instead of crashing on a self-referential paradox, it assigns the paradox a triple  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  with  $I$  dominant, treats it as a known indeterminate state (the Axiom of Neutrosophic Recognition), and continues functioning. This turns a "system crash" into a "logical pause." Theorem 5 provides the formal guarantee that such stable indeterminate states exist.

Reasoning over Contradictory Knowledge Bases. When a knowledge base is assembled from multiple conflicting sources, the Contradictory-Theory Incompleteness Principle (Section 10) provides a formal justification for representing some propositions as interior points of the truth cube rather than forcing a binary verdict. Multi-source evidence fusion and scientific theory comparison are natural application domains.

### 12.5 Physics and Quantum Mechanics

**Quantum Logic.** Birkhoff-von Neumann quantum logic replaces classical Boolean logic with the lattice of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. Quantum probabilities are naturally in  $[0,1]$ , making Fuzzy Gödel directly relevant. Quantum systems cannot fully self-measure (the measurement problem) — a physical instantiation of Fuzzy incompleteness.

**Quantum Superposition Analogy.** A quantum state  $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$  is a superposition of basis states. Similarly, a neutrosophic truth value  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  is a logical superposition. Probability amplitude corresponds to truth degree; measurement corresponds to logical decision; wavefunction collapse corresponds to classical binary evaluation. Neutrosophic Gödel sentences are logical analogs of quantum superpositions that resist full collapse — precisely what Theorem 6 makes rigorous.

**The Penrose-Lucas Argument.** Penrose argued that Gödel's theorem implies human minds transcend computation. The Fuzzy Gödel framework softens this: minds may operate with graded provability, making the argument a matter of degree rather than a sharp transcendence claim.

### 12.6 Philosophy and Epistemology

**Degrees of Knowability.** Classical Gödel creates an absolute wall between knowable and unknowable. Fuzzy Gödel replaces this wall with a gradient — statements are knowable to varying degrees. This resonates with fallibilist epistemology (Peirce, Popper) and coherentist theories of justification.

**Neutrosophic Epistemology.** The triple  $\langle T, I, F \rangle$  mirrors three epistemic stances: belief (T), suspension of judgment (I), and disbelief (F). The Neutrosophic Gödel theorem states: in any sufficiently rich epistemic system, there exist propositions toward which no agent can fully collapse their epistemic triple to  $\langle 1,0,0 \rangle$  or  $\langle 0,0,1 \rangle$ .

**Buddhist Logic (Catuskoṭi) and Non-Western Traditions.** Ancient Indian logic employed a fourfold system: True, False, Both, Neither. The neutrosophic I-component provides a formal mathematical home for the "Both/Neither" states. Eastern concepts of Sunyata (Emptiness) and Mu correspond to the indeterminacy dimension — the logical void that classical systems cannot represent.

**Connection to Wittgenstein.** Wittgenstein's hinge propositions — statements that cannot be doubted within a language game but also cannot be fully justified — are candidates for  $G_F$  or  $G_N$ : their "provability" within the system is self-referentially fixed at an intermediate neutrosophic value, stabilized by Theorem 5 or 6.

## 13. Smarandache Generalized Graded Incompleteness Principle

All results of this paper can be unified under a single overarching principle:

**Smarandache Generalized Graded Incompleteness Principle**

Any sufficiently expressive formal system that internalizes its own provability generates self-referential statements whose semantic/proof-theoretic status cannot be completely represented by a bivalent partition. The residual status appears as either:

(a) a degree of truth/provability in the fuzzy case, yielding spectral incompleteness:

$$0 < p(G_f) < 1$$

(b) a truth-indeterminacy-falsehood triple in the neutrosophic case, yielding volumetric incompleteness:

$$T_G > 0, I_G > 0, F_G > 0$$

The meta-systemic progression is:

**The dimensionality progression of truth space**

*Classical Liar* → *Fuzzy Liar* → *Neutrosophic Liar*

*Classical Gödel* → *Fuzzy Gödel* → *Neutrosophic Gödel*

*1 – dimensional logic* → *2 – dimensional logic* → *3 – dimensional logic*

Each step increases the dimensionality of the truth space and replaces a categorical impossibility with a structured, measurable logical state. Classical incompleteness is a wall. Fuzzy incompleteness is a gradient. Neutrosophic incompleteness is a volume — a rich three-dimensional landscape of mixed logical states that can be analyzed geometrically, dynamically, and applied practically.

**14. Conclusion**

This paper has developed fuzzy and neutrosophic extensions of Gödel's incompleteness theorems, grounded in the Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Liar Paradoxes formulated by Smarandache. The main results are:

- Theorem 1 (First Fuzzy Gödel): In any sufficiently expressive fuzzy formal system, there exists a sentence  $G\_F$  with  $0 < \text{Prov}(G\_F) < 1$  — incompleteness is spectral rather than binary.
- Theorem 2 (Second Fuzzy Gödel): A fuzzy formal system cannot certify its own consistency to full provability degree 1.
- Theorem 3 (First Neutrosophic Gödel): In any sufficiently expressive neutrosophic formal system, there exists  $G\_N$  with  $\text{NeutProv}(G\_N) = \langle T, I, F \rangle$ , all components positive — incompleteness is volumetric and triadic.
- Theorem 4 (Second Neutrosophic Gödel): A neutrosophic formal system cannot certify its own consistency as  $\langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ ; the indeterminacy component  $I\_c > 0$  is irreducible.
- Theorem 5 (Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability): Continuous self-referential operators on  $[0, 1]^3$  possess interior fixed points when boundary states are unstable — paradox becomes a stable logical object.
- Theorem 6 (Neutrosophic Gödel Fixed-Point): Under continuity and non-collapse conditions, Gödel sentences about provability stabilize at interior fixed points of the neutrosophic truth cube.

The key conceptual advance is that Gödel incompleteness is no longer merely a negative result. In fuzzy and neutrosophic frameworks, it becomes a positive structural feature: the system generates well-defined mixed logical states with measurable degrees of support, opposition, and indeterminacy. The Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability Theorem further shows that paradox itself — in a rich enough logical setting — need not be destructive; it can settle into a stable equilibrium.

Future work should address: (1) exact axioms for neutrosophic arithmetic; (2) formal neutrosophic proof calculi satisfying the continuity and non-collapse conditions of the theorems; (3) computational implementations in graded theorem provers and neutrosophic AI reasoning systems; (4) deeper connections between logical dynamics in the neutrosophic truth cube and quantum measurement; and (5) a follow-up paper on "Neutrosophic Self-Reference Stability and Fixed Points of Logical Paradox" developing the dynamical analysis in fuller generality.

Gödel found the hole. Turing showed we cannot build a bridge over it. The fuzzy and neutrosophic frameworks show that we can measure the hole itself — and that what lives in its interior is not emptiness but a stable, structured, three-dimensional logical state.

## References

- [1] Gödel, K. (1931). Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik, 38, 173–198.

- 
- [2] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338–353.
- [3] Hájek, P. (1998). *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [4] Smarandache, F. (1998). *Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic*. Rehoboth, NM: American Research Press.
- [5] Smarandache, F. (2003). *A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic (3rd ed.)*. Rehoboth, NM: American Research Press.
- [6] Priest, G. (2006). In *Contradiction: A Study of the Transconsistent (2nd ed.)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [7] Lawvere, F. W. (1969). Diagonal arguments and cartesian closed categories. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 92, 134–145. Springer.
- [8] Birkhoff, G., & von Neumann, J. (1936). The logic of quantum mechanics. *Annals of Mathematics*, 37(4), 823–843.
- [9] Penrose, R. (1989). *The Emperor's New Mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [10] Hofstadter, D. R. (1979). *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid*. New York: Basic Books.
- [11] Lucas, J. R. (1961). Minds, machines and Gödel. *Philosophy*, 36(137), 112–127.
- [12] Robinson, A. (1966). *Non-Standard Analysis*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [13] Wittgenstein, L. (1969). *On Certainty* (G. E. M. Anscombe & G. H. von Wright, Eds.). Oxford: Blackwell.
- [14] Papadimitriou, C. H. (1994). *Computational Complexity*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

*All resources freely available at: <https://fs.unm.edu/>*