



Role of clans in the proximities of Neutrosophic Sets

Subasree R ^{1,*}, Basari Kodi K², Giorgio Nordo³, Subramanian K⁴, Nagamani N⁵

¹Ramco Institute of Technology, Rajapalayam 626117, Tamil Nadu, India; subasree@ritrjpm.ac.in

²Ramco Institute of Technology, Rajapalayam 626117, Tamil Nadu, India; basarikodi@ritrjpm.ac.in

³MIFT - Department of Mathematical and Computer Science, Physical Sciences and Earth Sciences, Messina University, Italy; giorgio.nordo@unime.it

⁴Ramco Institute of Technology, Rajapalayam 626117, Tamil Nadu, India; subramanian@ritrjpm.ac.in

⁵Ramco Institute of Technology, Rajapalayam 626117, Tamil Nadu, India; nagamani@ritrjpm.ac.in

*Correspondence: subasree@ritrjpm.ac.in;

Abstract: In this study, the terms filters, grills, clans, and proximities of neutrosophic sets are defined. Further some of its properties are investigated and the results are provided.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set, filters, grills, clans and proximities of neutrosophic sets.

1. Introduction

In the year 1965, Zadeh [10] introduced and investigated fuzzy sets. An intuitionistic fuzzy set was first presented in 1986 by Atanassov [2]. Florentin Smarandache [5] developed concepts such as neutrosophic logic and neutrosophic set in 1999. The truth, falsehood, and indeterminacy membership values are the three components on which he defined the neutrosophic set. The neutrosophic set was created in 2010 by Florentin Smarandache [3] as a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

K C Chattopadhyay and etal [6], [9] developed the role of clans in the proximities of fuzzy sets in the year 1996 and on intuitionistic fuzzy sets in the year 1997 respectively. Also they proved the proximities of IFS is a clan generated structure. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the proximities of neutrosophic sets and proved that the proximities of NSs is a clan generated structure and provided the numerical example wherever applicable.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [3] Let X be a fixed set that is non-empty. A set with the form $\check{N} = \{(\alpha, T_{\check{N}}(\alpha), I_{\check{N}}(\alpha), F_{\check{N}}(\alpha)) : \alpha \in X\}$ is called a Neutrosophic set, where $T_{\check{N}}(\alpha)$, $I_{\check{N}}(\alpha)$, $F_{\check{N}}(\alpha)$ represents the degree of truth, degree of indeterminacy and the degree of falsity respectively of each element $\alpha \in X$ to the set \check{N} .

The set of all neutrosophic sets on X is denoted by $\check{N}(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [3] The complement of a Neutrosophic set \check{N} is denoted by \check{N}^c and is defined by $\check{N}^c = \{ \langle x, F_{\check{N}}(x), 1-I_{\check{N}}(x), T_{\check{N}}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.3. [3] Consider two Neutrosophic sets U and V over X , then U is said to be contained in V , denoted by $U \subseteq V$ if and only if $T_u(x) \leq T_v(x), I_u(x) \leq I_v(x), F_u(x) \geq F_v(x)$.

Definition 2.4. [3] The arbitrary union of two Neutrosophic sets U and V over X , is denoted by $U \cup V$ and is defined by $\{ \langle x, T_u(x) \vee T_v(x), I_u(x) \vee I_v(x), F_u(x) \wedge F_v(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$

Definition 2.5. [3] The finite intersection of two Neutrosophic sets U and V over X , is denoted by $U \cap V$ and is defined by $\{ \langle x, T_u(x) \wedge T_v(x), I_u(x) \wedge I_v(x), F_u(x) \vee F_v(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$

Definition 2.6. [3] Let \check{N} be a Neutrosophic set over X , then the universe set of \check{N} is denoted by $1_{\check{N}}$ and is defined by $1_{\check{N}} = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.7. [9] Let \check{N} be a Neutrosophic set over X , then the empty set of \check{N} is denoted by $0_{\check{N}}$ and is defined by $0_{\check{N}} = \{ \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}$.

Proposition 2.8. Let $U, V \in \check{N}(X)$, then the following holds

- (i) $(U \cup V)^c = U^c \cap V^c$
- (ii) $(U \cap V)^c = U^c \cup V^c$
- (iii) $(1_{\check{N}})^c = 0_{\check{N}}$
- (iv) $(0_{\check{N}})^c = 1_{\check{N}}$

3. Stack, Filter, Grill, Prime filter of Neutrosophic Sets

Definition 3.1. Let X be a fixed set that is non-empty and $\check{N}(X)$ is the set of all neutrosophic sets in X . A stack \check{S} of neutrosophic sets on X is a subset of $\check{N}(X)$ such that $P \supset Q \in \check{S} \Rightarrow P \in \check{S}$.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b\}$. consider the following neutrosophic sets

$$A_1 = \{ \langle a, 0.3, 0.7, 0.2 \rangle, \langle b, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle \}$$

$$A_2 = \{ \langle a, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle, \langle b, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4 \rangle \}$$

$$A_3 = \{ \langle a, 0.4, 0.7, 0.1 \rangle, \langle b, 0.5, 0.2, 0.8 \rangle \}$$

$$A_4 = \{ \langle a, 0.2, 0.2, 0.8 \rangle, \langle b, 0.1, 0.1, 0.7 \rangle \}$$

$$A_5 = \{ \langle a, 0.5, 0.8, 0 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle \}$$

$$A_6 = \{ \langle a, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.1, 0.1, 0.9 \rangle \}$$

$$A_7 = \{ \langle a, 0.4, 0.8, 0.1 \rangle, \langle b, 0.6, 0.6, 0.3 \rangle \}$$

$$A_8 = \{ \langle a, 0.6, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.5, 0.5, 0.1 \rangle \}$$

$$A_9 = \{ \langle a, 0.3, 0.5, 0.2 \rangle, \langle b, 0.4, 0.2, 0.9 \rangle \}$$

$$A_{10} = \{ \langle a, 0.6, 0.9, 0 \rangle, \langle b, 0.7, 0.7, 0 \rangle \}$$

Here $\check{S}_1, \check{S}_2, \check{S}_3$ are the stacks of $I(X)$, where

$$\check{S}_1 = \{A_2, A_4, A_8\} \text{ such that } A_4 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_8$$

$$\check{S}_2 = \{A_1, A_6, A_7\} \text{ such that } A_6 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_7$$

$$\check{S}_3 = \{A_3, A_5, A_9, A_{10}\} \text{ such that } A_9 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq A_5 \subseteq A_{10}$$

Definition 3.3. Let X be a fixed set that is non-empty and $\check{N}(X)$ is the set of all neutrosophic sets in X . A filter \mathcal{F} of neutrosophic sets on X is a subset of $\check{N}(X)$ satisfying the following:

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \neq \phi$
- (ii) $P \supset Q \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow P \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) $P, Q \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow P \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}$

A filter \mathcal{F} of Neutrosophic sets is said to be proper if $0_{\check{N}} \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Example 3.4. From the example 3.2, $\mathcal{F} = \{A_2, A_4, A_8\}$ such that $A_4 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_8$ is a filter as well as proper filter, since $0_{\check{N}} \notin \mathcal{F}$ and the following holds

$$\text{For } A_2, A_4 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A_2 \cap A_4 = A_4 \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{For } A_2, A_8 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A_2 \cap A_8 = A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{For } A_4, A_8 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A_4 \cap A_8 = A_4 \in \mathcal{F}$$

Definition 3.5. Let X be a fixed set that is non-empty and $\check{N}(X)$ is the set of all neutrosophic sets in X . A grill \check{G} of neutrosophic sets on X is a subset of $\check{N}(X)$ satisfying the following:

- (i) $0_{\check{N}} \notin \check{G}$
- (ii) $P \supset Q \in \check{G} \Rightarrow P \in \check{G}$
- (iii) $P \cup Q \in \check{G} \Rightarrow P \in \check{G} \text{ or } Q \in \check{G}$

A grill \check{G} of neutrosophic sets is said to be proper if $\check{G} \neq \phi$.

Example 3.6. From the example 3.2, $\check{G} = \{A_2, A_4, A_8\}$ such that $A_4 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_8$ is a grill as well as proper grill, since $0_{\check{N}} \notin \check{G}$ and the following holds

For $A_2 \cup A_4 = A_2 \in \check{G} \Rightarrow A_2 \in \check{G}$ or $A_4 \in \check{G}$

For $A_2 \cup A_8 = A_8 \in \check{G} \Rightarrow A_2 \in \check{G}$ or $A_8 \in \check{G}$

For $A_4 \cup A_8 = A_8 \in \check{G} \Rightarrow A_4 \in \check{G}$ or $A_8 \in \check{G}$

Definition 3.7. Let X be a fixed set that is non-empty and $\check{N}(X)$ is the set of all neutrosophic sets in X . A stack \check{S} of NSs on X is a prime filter of NSs on X if it is a filter of NSs as well as the grill of NSs on X .

In other words, a stack \check{S} of NSs on X is a prime filter of NS on X if it satisfies the following:

- (i) $0_{\check{N}} \notin \check{S}$
- (ii) $\check{S} \neq \phi$
- (iii) $P \supset Q \in \check{S} \Rightarrow P \in \check{S}$
- (iv) $P, Q \in \check{S} \Rightarrow P \cap Q \in \check{S}$
- (v) $P \cup Q \in \check{S} \Rightarrow P \in \check{G}$ or $Q \in \check{S}$

Example 3.8. From the example 3.2, $\check{S}_1 = \{A_2, A_4, A_8\}$ is a prime filter of $\check{N}(X)$, since it is a filter and grill of $\check{N}(X)$.

We denote the following notation

Set of all filters of NSs on $X = \zeta(X)$

Set of all grills of NSs on $X = \psi(X)$

Set of all prime filters of NSs on $X = \xi(X)$

Example 3.9. Let $A \in \check{N}(X)$. Define $\mathcal{F} \subset \check{N}(X)$ by $\mathcal{F} = \{B \in \check{N}(X) | B \supset A\}$

Clearly \mathcal{F} is non empty. Let $C \supset B \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $C \supset B \supset A$ and hence $C \in \mathcal{F}$. Now let $B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_A(x) \leq T_B(x), I_A(x) \leq I_B(x), F_A(x) \geq F_B(x)$ and $T_A(x) \leq T_C(x), I_A(x) \leq I_C(x), F_A(x) \geq F_C(x)$. Hence it follows that $T_A(x) \leq T_B(x) \wedge T_C(x), I_A(x) \leq I_B(x) \wedge I_C(x), F_A(x) \geq F_B(x) \vee F_C(x)$. Thus $A \subset B \cap C$ which implies $B \cap C \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence \mathcal{F} is a filter of NSs.

Theorem 3.10. Let $F_1, F_2 \in \zeta(X)$ and $\check{G}_1, \check{G}_2 \in \psi(X)$.

- (i) If $F_1 \cap F_2 \subset \check{G}_1$, then $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1$ or $F_2 \subset \check{G}_1$
- (ii) If $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1$ or $F_1 \subset \check{G}_2$, then $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$

Proof:

- (i) Suppose $F_1 \not\subset \check{G}_1$ and $F_2 \not\subset \check{G}_1$. Then there exists $A_1 \in F_1$ such that $A_1 \notin \check{G}_1$ and $A_2 \in F_2$ such that $A_2 \notin \check{G}_1$, therefore $A_1 \cup A_2 \notin \check{G}_1$, but $A_1 \cup A_2 \in F_1 \cap F_2$ which is a contradiction. Hence $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1$ or $F_2 \subset \check{G}_1$ is valid.
- (ii) Case (I): Suppose $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1$, then for $A_1 \in F_1$, we have $A_1 \in \check{G}_1$. Also, since $\check{G}_1 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$ implies $A_1 \in \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$. Hence $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$.
 Case (II): Suppose $F_1 \subset \check{G}_2$, then for $A_2 \in F_1$, we have $A_2 \in \check{G}_2$. Also, since $\check{G}_2 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$ implies $A_2 \in \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$. Hence $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$.
 In either case if we have $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1$ or $F_1 \subset \check{G}_2$, then $F_1 \subset \check{G}_1 \cup \check{G}_2$

Theorem 3.11. Arbitrary intersection of filters of NSs is a filter of NSs.

Proof is straightforward.

Theorem 3.12. Finite union of grills of NSs is a grill of NSs.

Proof:

Let $\check{G} = \cup\{\check{G}_i, i \in I, \check{G}_i \in \Psi(X)\}$. we check the three axioms

- (I) $0_N \notin \check{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$, then $0_N \notin \check{G}$.
- (II) If $A \in \check{G}$, then so $A \in \check{G}_i$ for some $i \in I$ and $A \subset B$, since each \check{G}_i is a grill, then $B \in \check{G}_i \subset \check{G}$
- (III) If $A \cup B \in \check{G}$, then so $A \cup B \in \check{G}_i$ for some $i \in I$ and $A \subset B$, since each \check{G}_i is a grill, then $A \in \check{G}_i$ or $B \in \check{G}_i$, hence $A \in \check{G}$ or $B \in \check{G}$.

Thus, union of grills is again a grill.

Definition 3.13. For each stack \check{S} of NSs, define $d\check{S} = \{A : A^c \notin \check{S}\}$

Theorem 3.14. If \check{S} is a stack of NSs, \mathcal{F} is a filter of NSs and \check{G} is a grill of NSs on X , then the following holds.

- (1) If $\check{S}_2 \subset \check{S}_1$, then $d\check{S}_1 \subset d\check{S}_2$
- (2) $d(d\check{S}) = \check{S}$
- (3) $d(\cup\check{S}_i) = \cap d\check{S}_i$
- (4) $d(\cap\check{S}_i) = \cup d\check{S}_i$
- (5) $d\mathcal{F}$ is a grill of NSs
- (6) $d\check{G}$ is a filter of NSs

Proof.

- (1) To prove $d\check{S}_1 \subset d\check{S}_2$. That is for any $A \in d\check{S}_1 \Rightarrow A \in d\check{S}_2$. Assume $\check{S}_2 \subset \check{S}_1$

Since $A \in d\check{S}_1$, then $A^c \notin \check{S}_1$. Then from our assumption $A^c \notin \check{S}_2$, which implies $A \in d\check{S}_2$.
Hence, $d\check{S}_1 \subset d\check{S}_2$

(2) For any $A \in \check{N}(X)$, then $A \in d(d\check{S}) \leftrightarrow A^c \notin d\check{S} \leftrightarrow A \in \check{S}$. Hence $d(d\check{S}) = \check{S}$.

(3) For any $A \in \check{N}(X)$, then $A \in d(\cup\check{S}_i) \leftrightarrow A^c \notin \cup\check{S}_i \leftrightarrow A^c \notin \check{S}_i \forall i \in I \leftrightarrow A \in d\check{S}_i \forall i \in I \leftrightarrow A \in \cap d\check{S}_i$.
Hence $d(\cup\check{S}_i) = \cap d\check{S}_i$.

(4) For any $A \in \check{N}(X)$, then

$$A \in d(\cap\check{S}_i) \leftrightarrow A^c \notin \cap\check{S}_i \leftrightarrow A^c \notin \check{S}_i \text{ for some } i \in I \leftrightarrow A \in d\check{S}_i \text{ for some } i \in I \leftrightarrow A \in \cup d\check{S}_i.$$

$$\text{Hence } d(\cap\check{S}_i) = \cup d\check{S}_i.$$

(5) Axiom 1: Since $1_{\check{N}} \in F$, $(1_{\check{N}})^c = 0_{\check{N}} \in F$ implies $0_{\check{N}} \notin dF$.

Axiom 2: Let $B \subset A \in dF$, then $(B)^c \notin F$ and it follows that $(A)^c \notin F$ for $A^c \subset B^c$. Hence $A \in dF$.

Axiom 3: Let $A \cup B \in dF$, then $(A \cup B)^c \notin F$ which implies $A^c \cap B^c \notin F$ and it follows that $A^c \notin F$ or $B^c \notin F$. Hence $A \in dF$ or $B \in dF$. Thus, dF is a grill of $\check{N}(X)$.

(6) Axiom 1: Since $0_{\check{N}} \notin \check{G}$, $(0_{\check{N}})^c = 1_{\check{N}} \notin \check{G}$ implies $1_{\check{N}} \in d\check{G}$.

Axiom 2: Let $B \subset A \in d\check{G}$, then $(B)^c \notin \check{G}$ and it follows that $(A)^c \notin \check{G}$ for $A^c \subset B^c$. Hence $A \in d\check{G}$.

Axiom 3: Let $A \in d\check{G}$ and $B \in d\check{G}$, then $A^c \notin \check{G}$ and $B^c \notin \check{G}$ and it follows that $A^c \cup B^c \notin \check{G}$. That is $(A \cap B)^c \notin \check{G}$ which implies $(A \cap B) \in d\check{G}$. Thus, $d\check{G}$ is a filter of $\check{N}(X)$.

Theorem 3.15. Neutrosophic Prime Filter Theorem

If F is a filter of NSs and \check{G} is a grill of NSs on X , then there exist a prime filter ρ of NSs such that $F \subset \rho \subset \check{G}$.

Proof.

Let \aleph be a collection of subsets of $\check{N}(X)$ and F is a filter of NSs and \check{G} is a grill of NSs on X . Let \aleph be defined by $\aleph = \{Y \subset \check{N}(X) \mid Y \text{ is a filter, } F \subset Y \subset \check{G}\}$

$Y \in \aleph$, for all $Y \subset \check{N}(X)$ if and only if $F \subset Y$ for all $A_i \in Y$ if and only if $\cap A_i \in \check{G}$. Clearly (\aleph, \subset) is a partial order set and $F \in \aleph$. By Zorn's lemma, (\aleph, \subset) has a maximal element and ρ be that element such that $F \subset \rho \subset \check{G}$.

Now to prove: ρ is a prime filter of $\check{N}(X)$.

Let $A_1, A_2 \in \rho$. Then $\rho \cup \{A_1 \cap A_2\} \in \aleph$ and by maximality of ρ , $\{A_1 \cap A_2\} \in \rho$. Let $B \subset A$, then $\rho \cup \{A\} \in \aleph$ and by maximality of ρ , $A \in \rho$. Hence ρ is a filter of NSs.

Let $A, B \in \check{N}(X)$ such that $A \notin \rho$ and $B \notin \rho$, then both of $\rho \cup \{A\} \notin \aleph$ and $\rho \cup \{B\} \notin \aleph$. Also we can find $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \rho$ and $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in \rho$ such that $\{A\} \cap \{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n\} \cap \{B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_m\} \notin \check{G}$ and $\{B \cap \{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n\} \cap \{B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_m\}\} \notin \check{G}$.

Hence $\{A \cup B\} \cap \{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n\} \cap \{B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_m\} \notin \check{G}$.

This shows that $A \cup B \notin \rho$. Thus ρ is a prime filter of $\check{N}(X)$.

Theorem 3.16.

Let $\check{G} \subset \check{N}(X)$, then \check{G} be a grill of NSs on X if and only if it is a union of prime filter of NSs on X.

Proof.

Since by theorem 3.13, union of grills of NSs on X is again a grill NSs. It follows that, if \check{G} is a union of prime filters of NSs on X, then it is a grill of NSs.

Conversely, suppose \check{G} is a grill of NSs on X. Let $A \in \check{G}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{B \in \check{N}(X) : A \subset B\}$, then \mathcal{F} is a filter of NSs and $\mathcal{F} \subset \check{G}$. By Neutrosophic Filter theorem, then there exists a prime filter ρ of NSs such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \rho \subset \check{G}$ and hence $A \in \rho \subset \check{G}$. Thus \check{G} is a union of prime filters of NSs on X.

4. Proximities of Neutrosophic Sets

Definition 4.1. Binary relation δ on Neutrosophic sets

Let $\delta \subseteq \check{N}(X) \times \check{N}(X)$ such that $(A, B) \in \delta$ if and only if $\delta(A, B) = \langle T_\delta(A, B), I_\delta(A, B), F_\delta(A, B) \rangle$

Numerical Example 4.2.

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$. Suppose a binary relation δ on NSs is defined by

$\delta(A, B) = \langle T_\delta(A, B), I_\delta(A, B), F_\delta(A, B) \rangle$ where

$$T_\delta(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} (1 - |T_A(x) - T_B(x)|)}{n(X)}$$

$$I_\delta(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} |I_A(x) - I_B(x)|}{n(X)}$$

$$F_\delta(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} |F_A(x) - F_B(x)|}{n(X)}$$

$$A = \{ \langle a, 0.2, 0.2, 0.8 \rangle, \langle b, 0.1, 0.1, 0.7 \rangle, \langle c, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle \}$$

$$B = \{ \langle a, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle, \langle b, 0.1, 0.1, 0.9 \rangle, \langle c, 0.1, 0.4, 0.3 \rangle \}$$

$$T_\delta(A, B) = \frac{1 + 1 + 0.9}{3} = 0.967$$

$$I_\delta(A, B) = \frac{0.2 + 0 + 0.1}{3} = 0.1$$

$$F_\delta(A, B) = \frac{0.3 + 0.2 + 0.4}{3} = 0.3$$

$$\delta (A,B)= \langle T_{\delta}(A, B), I_{\delta}(A, B), F_{\delta}(A, B) \rangle = \langle 0.967, 0.1, 0.3 \rangle$$

Definition 4.3. Inverse Binary relation δ^{-1} on Neutrosophic sets

An inverse binary relation δ^{-1} on two NSs is defined to be $\delta = \delta^{-1}$ if and only if $\delta (A,B) = \delta (B,A)$ for all $A,B \in \check{N}(X)$

Numerical Example 4.4.

$\delta (B,A)= \langle T_{\delta}(B, A), I_{\delta}(B, A), F_{\delta}(B, A) \rangle$ where

$$T_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} (1 - |T_B(x) - T_A(x)|)}{n(X)}$$

$$I_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} |I_B(x) - I_A(x)|}{n(X)}$$

$$F_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} |F_B(x) - F_A(x)|}{n(X)}$$

From Example 4.3,

$$T_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{1 + 1 + 0.9}{3} = 0.967$$

$$I_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{0.2 + 0 + 0.1}{3} = 0.1$$

$$F_{\delta}(B, A) = \frac{0.3 + 0.2 + 0.4}{3} = 0.3$$

$$\delta (B,A) = \langle 0.967, 0.1, 0.3 \rangle$$

Hence $\delta (A,B) = \delta (B,A)$.

Definition 4.5. Distribution of δ over union

A binary relation δ is said to be distributive over union of two NSs if

$$A \cup B \in \delta (C) \text{ if and only if } A \in \delta (C) \text{ or } B \in \delta (C)$$

That is, $\delta (A \cup B, C) = \delta(A, C)$ or $\delta (A \cup B, C) = \delta(B, C)$

Definition 4.6. Basic pre-proximity of NSs

A binary relation δ of NSs ($\check{N}(X)$) is said to be a basic pre-proximity of NSs on X, if it satisfies the following conditions:

Axiom 1: $0_{\check{N}} \notin \delta (A)$, for all $A \in \check{N}(X)$

Axiom 2: $\delta = \delta^{-1}$

Axiom 3: $\delta (A \cup B, C) = \delta(A, C)$ or $\delta (A \cup B, C) = \delta(B, C)$

Definition 4.7. Basic proximity of NSs

A binary relation Ω of NSs ($\check{N}(X)$) is said to be a basic proximity of NSs on X , if it is pre-proximity of NSs and it also satisfies the condition $A \cap B \neq \mathbf{0}_{\check{N}} \Rightarrow (A, B) \in \Omega$

Remark 4.8.

- (1) If δ is a pre-proximity of NSs on X , then X is said to be a reference set of δ and is denoted by $X(\delta)$.
- (2) If Ω is a pre-proximity of NSs on X , then X is said to be a reference set of Ω and is denoted by $X(\Omega)$.
- (3) We denote the set of all basic pre-proximities of NSs on X by $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and the set of all basic proximities of NSs on X by $\mathfrak{R}(X)$.

Definition 4.9.

Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $A \in \check{N}(X)$, then $B \in \check{N}(X)$ is called a neighbourhood of A with respect to δ , if $B^c \notin \delta(A)$.

The collection of all neighbourhoods of A with respect to δ is denoted by $nbhd(\delta, A)$.

Theorem 4.10.

Let $A, B, C \in \check{N}(X)$ and $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, then the following holds:

- (1) $nbhd(\delta, \mathbf{0}_{\check{N}}) = \check{N}(X)$
- (2) If $B \in nbhd(\delta, A)$ and $C \in nbhd(\delta, D)$, then $B \cup C \in nbhd(\delta, A \cup D)$
- (3) $nbhd(\delta, A \cup B) = nbhd(\delta, A) \cap nbhd(\delta, B)$
- (4) If $B \subset A$, then $nbhd(\delta, A) \subset nbhd(\delta, B)$

Proof.

- (1) Since $\forall A \in \check{N}(X), A \notin \delta(\mathbf{0}_{\check{N}})$, we have $nbhd(\delta, \mathbf{0}_{\check{N}}) = \check{N}(X)$.
- (2) Let $B \in nbhd(\delta, A) \Rightarrow B^c \notin \delta(A)$ and $C \in nbhd(\delta, D) \Rightarrow C^c \notin \delta(D)$. It follows that $(B \cup C)^c \notin \delta(A)$ and $(B \cup C)^c \notin \delta(D)$ which implies $(B \cup C)^c \notin \delta(A) \cup \delta(D)$, that is $(B \cup C)^c \notin \delta(A \cup D)$ and hence $B \cup C \in nbhd(\delta, A \cup D)$.
- (3) For every $D \in \check{N}(X)$,
 - $D \in nbhd(\delta, A \cup B) \leftrightarrow (D)^c \notin \delta(A \cup B)$
 - $\leftrightarrow (D)^c \notin \delta(A) \cup \delta(B)$
 - $\leftrightarrow (D)^c \notin \delta(A)$ and $(D)^c \notin \delta(B)$
 - $\leftrightarrow D \in nbhd(\delta, A)$ and $D \in nbhd(\delta, B)$
 - $\leftrightarrow D \in nbhd(\delta, A) \cap nbhd(\delta, B)$
- (4) Let $B \subset A$, To prove for every $E \in nbhd(\delta, A) \Rightarrow E \in nbhd(\delta, B)$
 - $E \in nbhd(\delta, A) \Rightarrow (E)^c \notin \delta(A)$
 - $\Rightarrow (E)^c \notin \delta(B)$ (since $A \supset B$)

$$\Rightarrow E \in nbhd(\delta, B)$$

Thus $nbhd(\delta, A) \subset nbhd(\delta, B)$.

5. Clan of Proximities of Neutrosophic Sets

Definition 5.1. Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$. A subfamily \mathcal{L} of $\check{N}(X)$ is said to be δ -compatible if $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow A \in \delta(B)$.

Also a δ -compatible grill is called a δ -clan.

Theorem 5.2. For $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $\check{G} \in \psi(X)$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) \check{G} is a δ -clan.
- (2) If $K \in \xi(X)$ such that $K \subset \check{G}$, then $\check{G} \subset \delta(K)$.
- (3) $\check{G} \subset \cap \{\delta(K) | K \in \xi(X), K \subset \check{G}\}$.
- (4) If $K_1, K_2 \in \xi(X)$, such that $K_1 \subset \check{G}$ and $K_2 \subset \check{G}$, then $K_1 \subset \delta(K_2)$

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2)

Assume that \check{G} is a δ -clan. To prove: $\check{G} \subset \delta(K)$. Let $K \in \xi(X)$ such that $K \subset \check{G}$ and $A \in \check{G}$, it follows that $A \in \delta(B)$ for all $B \in K$, that is $A \in \delta(K)$. Thus $\check{G} \subset \delta(K)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3)

If $K \in \xi(X)$ such that $K \subset \check{G}$, then $\check{G} \subset \delta(K)$, then obviously $\check{G} \subset \cap \{\delta(K) | K \in \xi(X), K \subset \check{G}\}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4)

Suppose $\check{G} \subset \cap \{\delta(K) | K \in \xi(X), K \subset \check{G}\}$. Let $K_1, K_2 \in \xi(X)$, such that $K_1 \subset \check{G}$ and $K_2 \subset \check{G}$, then by (3) $\check{G} \subset \delta(K_1)$ and $\check{G} \subset \delta(K_2)$. Now $K_1 \subset \check{G}$ and $\check{G} \subset \delta(K_2)$ implies $K_1 \subset \delta(K_2)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1)

Suppose (4) holds. To prove: \check{G} is a δ -clan. Let $A, B \in \check{G}$ and by (4), $K_1, K_2 \in \xi(X)$, such that $A \in K_1 \subset \check{G}$ and $B \in K_2 \subset \check{G}$, then $A \in K_1 \subset \delta(K_2) \subset \delta(B)$. Thus \check{G} is a δ -clan.

Theorem 5.3. For $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, then every δ -clan is contained in a maximal δ -clan.

Proof.

Since by theorem 3.13, union of grills of NSs is again a grill of NSs. Further for a family of δ -clans $\{\check{G}_i, i \in I\}$ with $\check{G}_i \subset \check{G}_j, i \leq j, \cup \{\check{G}_i, i \in I\}$ is a δ -clan. Hence by applying Zorn's lemma, there must be a maximal δ -clan on the collections of δ -clan \check{G} .

Lemma 5.4. For $\Omega \in \mathfrak{N}(X)$, if $A \in \Omega(B)$, then there exists $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$ such that $A \in H_1, B \in H_2$ and $H_1 \subset \Omega(H_2)$.

Proof.

Since $\Omega(B)$ is a grill of NSs on X , by theorem 3.15, there exists a prime filter H_1 of NSs such that $A \in H_1 \subset \Omega(B)$. By symmetry of Ω , $B \in \Omega(H_1)$. Now $\Omega(H_1) \in \psi(X)$. Again by theorem 3.15, there exists $H_2 \in \xi(X)$ such that $B \in H_2 \subset \Omega(H_1)$. Hence $A \in H_1$, $B \in H_2$ and $H_1 \subset \Omega(H_2)$.

Theorem 5.5. For $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$, if $A \in \Omega(B)$, then there is a Ω -clan of the form $H_1 \cup H_2$ where $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$ such that $A \in H_1$ and $B \in H_2$.

Proof.

Let $A \in \Omega(B)$, by lemma 5.4, there exists $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$ such that $A \in H_1$, $B \in H_2$ and $H_1 \subset \Omega(H_2)$. Since $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$ and $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$, then for any $P, Q \in H_1$ or H_2 , we have $(P, Q) \in \Omega$ and hence $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a Ω -clan such that $A \in H_1$ and $B \in H_2$.

Theorem 5.6. For $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$, if $A \in \Omega(B)$, then there a maximal Ω -clan containing $\{A, B\}$.

Proof.

By theorem 5.5, there exists a Ω -clan $H_1 \cup H_2$, where $H_1, H_2 \in \xi(X)$ such that $A \in H_1$ and $B \in H_2$ and $\{A, B\} \subset H_1 \cup H_2$. Also by theorem 5.3, every Ω -clan is contained in a maximal Ω -clan. Hence the proof.

6 Conclusion

In this article, we introduced and studied the concept of proximities of neutrosophic sets and its characteristics. Further, its gradation of openness can be studied.

Acknowledgments: The authors are really thankful to the respected Editor in Chief and esteemed Reviewers for all their valuable comments and guidelines. We do not receive any external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has no conflicts of interest to discuss about the article.

7 References

- (1) A. A. Salama.(2015). Basic Structure of Some Classes of Neutrosophic Crisp Nearly Open Sets & Possible Application to GIS Topology, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 7, 2015, pp. 18-22. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.571234>
- (2) Atanassov K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems 20 (1986), 87-96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3).
- (3) Florentin Smaradhache, Neutrosophic Set - A Generalization of Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Journal of defence Sources Management, 1 (2010), 107-116.
- (4) Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA (2002). <http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/FirstNeutConf.htm>.

- (5) Florentin Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, ISBN 978-1-59973-080-6, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- (6) K. C. Chattopadhyay, U. K. Mukherjee, S. K Samanta, Role of clans in the proximities of fuzzy sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics* 4, 1996, No 3.
- (7) K Atanassov, New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 61 (2), 1993, 131-142.
- (8) K Atanassov, Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Preprint IM-MFAIS-1-88, Sofia, 1998.
- (9) S. K Samanta, K. C. Chattopadhyay, U. K. Mukherjee and Tapas Kumar Mondal, Role of clans in the proximities of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *NIFS* 3, 1997, No 5, 126-137.
- (10) Zadeh L. A, *Fuzzy sets*, *Inform. and Control* 8 (1965).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)

Received: July 26, 2025. Accepted: Dec 25, 2025