

University of New Mexico



An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³

¹Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; m_abusaleem@bau.edu.jo ²Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; oamallah@bau.edu.jo ³Department of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan.; aloushoush@bau.edu.jo *Correspondence: m_abusaleem@bau.edu.jo

ABSTRACT. This paper presents an investigation into the mathematical concepts of neutrosophic folding and neuretraction on neutrosophic manifolds, specifically focusing on their application in hyperspace. Through the application of specific transformations on a neutrosophic manifold situated in hyperspace, we can obtain neutrosophic manifolds in lower dimensions. Based on our research, we can accurately establish the connection between neutrosophic folding and neuretraction on a neutrosophic manifold. Furthermore, we can determine the relationship between neuretraction and neutrosophic folding.

Keywords: neutrosophic folding; neuretraction; neutrosophic hyperspace; neutrosophic manifold.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophy is a scientific field that combines neutrality and philosophy. Samaransache founded various fields in 1980, such as set theory, probability, and logic, with numerous applications that highlight the deep interaction between mathematics and other scientific disciplines. [19]. The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh as a novel method for elucidating intricate concepts by including the concept of membership. Scholars in the fields of mathematics and computer science developed this theory, which possesses a broad spectrum of expedient applications [22]. Neutrosophy is basically rooted in the fundamental concepts of fuzzy set theory (NS) and intuitionistic fuzzy set theory (IFS) [8,10,13,22]. The concept of neutrosophic sets was introduced by Smarandache with the aim of representing uncertain or vague information. This is achieved through the utilization of three distinct functions, namely

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

truth, indeterminacy, and falsity. Unlike other theories, the function of indeterminacy is independent of the functions of truth and falsity [12,18,19]. Smarandache's (NS) theory expanded the scope of (IFS), offering novel perspectives on how to effectively manage uncertainty when making decisions based on personal experience, as stated in reference [20]. The values of the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity functions are within]⁻⁰, 1⁺[, making it difficult to apply to practical problems [17].

Due to this, Wang created the single-valued neutrosophic sets (SVNS), such that the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity maps are real elements of the [0, 1] space [12, 21]. Further investigation on a (SVNG) and a neutrosophic topology was debated in [1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16]. Additional insights on the applications of homotopy theory were provided in [2, 3]. The paper aims to contribute to the field of mathematics by exploring and providing a deeper understanding of the neutrosophic transformation in the context of neutrosophic manifold theory.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [19] Assume that \mathcal{W} is a finite set of objects, and that (\mathfrak{t}) stands for a generic component in \mathcal{W} . A (*NS*) E in \mathcal{W} is comprised of three membership functions, a truth-membership function $v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$, an indeterminacy-membership function $\rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$ and a falsity-membership function $\sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$. Also, $v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$, $\rho_{E}(\mathfrak{t})$ and $\sigma_{E}(\mathfrak{t})$ are the elements of]⁻⁰, 1⁺[. E can be represented as

 $\mathbf{E} = \{ \mathfrak{t}, (\upsilon_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}), \ \rho_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}), \ \sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t})) : \mathfrak{t} \in \mathcal{W}, \ \upsilon_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}), \ \rho_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}), \ \sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}) \in]^{-}0, \ \mathbf{1}^{+}[\}. \text{ Indeed, } ^{-}0 \leq \upsilon_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}) + \rho_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathfrak{t}) \leq 3^{+}.$

Definition 2.2. [21] Assume that \mathcal{W} is a finite set of objects, and that (\mathfrak{t}) stands for a generic component in \mathcal{W} . A (SVNS) E in \mathcal{W} is comprised of three membership functions, a truth-membership function $v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$, an indeterminacy-membership function $\rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$ and a falsity-membership function $\sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$. Also each, $v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$, $\rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$ and $\sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})$ are elements in]0, 1[. E can be represented as $\mathrm{E} = \{\mathfrak{t}, (v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}), \rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}), \sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t})) : \mathfrak{t} \in \mathcal{W}, v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}), \sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}) \in [0, 1[]\}$. In this approach, $0 \leq v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}) + \rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}) \leq 3$. In the interest of clarity and concision, we refer to a neutrosophic set $\langle v_{\rm E}, \rho_{\rm E}, \sigma_{\rm E} \rangle$ and $\langle v_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}), \rho_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}), \sigma_{\rm E}(\mathfrak{t}) \rangle$ as ω and $\omega(\mathfrak{t})$ respectively.

Definition 2.3. [15] A topological space that satisfies the T_2 separation axiom and is locally homeomorphic to an open *n*-dimensional disk U^n is referred to as an *n*-dimensional manifold.

Definition 2.4. [5] Let X be a topological space and C be a subspace of X, where $i : C \to X$ is the inclusion. if there exists a continuous map $r : X \to C$ satisfying the condition $r \circ i = 1|_C$. Then, C is referred to a retract of X. The existence of a map r is denoted as a retraction of X into C.

Theorem 2.5. [5] The n-dimensional closed disk $L^n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \le 1\}$ is a retract of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.6. [15] Consider two topological spaces X_1 and X_2 , and let φ_0 and φ_1 denote continuous mappings from X_1 to X_1 . The homotopy between φ_0 and φ_1 is established when a continuous map $\varphi : X_1 \times I \to X_2$ exists, and satisfying the conditions $\varphi(s,0) = \varphi_0(s)$ and $\varphi(s,1) = \varphi_1(s)$ for all $s \in X_1$.

3. Neutrosophic manifolds and their transformations

Our study introduces a collection of important concepts that support our paper and enable us to arrive at significant conclusions.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic *n*-dimensional manifold is characterized as a pair $\langle M^n, \omega \rangle$ in which, M^n is *n*-dimensional manifold.

Example 3.2. A neutrosophic Euclidean *n*-space $\langle \mathbb{R}^n, \omega \rangle$ can be regarded as a neutrosophic *n*-dimensional manifold. Additionally, a neutrosophic unit *n*-dimensional sphere $\langle S^n, \omega \rangle$ can be considered as a neutrosophic *n*-dimensional manifold.

Definition 3.3. The neutrosophic arc $\zeta : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is called a simple neutrosophic arc if, for each $z_j, z_k \in [0, 1], \xi((z_j, \omega_j) \neq \xi((z_k, \omega_k) \text{ whenever } (z_j, \omega_j) \neq (z_k, \omega_k).$

Now, we will delve into the notion of neutrosophy homotopic and describe two types of it.

Definition 3.4. A neutrosophic homotopy is a collection of neutrosophic maps $h_t : \langle M, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$, $t \in [0, 1]$, in which the associated neutrosophic map $\Phi : \langle M, \omega \rangle \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$ given by $\Phi((x, \omega), t) = h_t(x, \omega)$, and the two neutrosophic maps $h_0, h_1 : \langle M, \omega \rangle \rightarrow \langle N, \omega \rangle$ are called neutrosophy homotopic if there is a neutrosophic homotopy h_t that connects them and is represented by $h_0 \approx h_1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two neutrosophic arcs. Then, there are two types of neutrosophy homotopic arcs.

Proof. The initial category encompasses a pair of neutrosophic arcs, ξ_1 and ξ_2 with specific values for ω_1 and ω_2 namely, $\omega_1=b_1$ and $\omega_2=b_2$ for all points of the arcs as shown in Fig.1a. The second category encompasses a pair of neutrosophic arcs, ξ_1 and ξ_2 with specific values for ω_1 and ω_2 , where ξ_1 is a neutrosophic arc that has values for ω_j in the form of $\langle v_j, \rho_j, \sigma_j \rangle$ and ξ_2 is a neutrosophic arc that has values for ω_k in the form of $\langle v_k, \rho_k, \sigma_k \rangle$ for which max $\omega_j \longrightarrow 0$ or max $\omega_k \longrightarrow 0$ where, $\omega_j, \omega_k \in [0, 1]$, as shown in Fig.1b. \square



FIGURE 1. neutrosophy homotopic

Definition 3.6. Let $\langle M, \omega \rangle$ be a neutrosophic manifold with a neutrosophic submanifold $\langle \mathcal{C}, \omega \rangle$, and let us consider the existence of a continuous neutrosophic map \mathfrak{d} : $\langle M, \omega \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{C}, \omega \rangle$ for which $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c} \omega(\mathfrak{c})), \forall \mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, \mathfrak{d} is called neuretraction.

Example 3.7. $\langle S^1, \omega \rangle$ is neuretraction of $\langle R^2 - \{0\}, \omega \rangle$.

Based on Definition 3.6, we can conclude that any of the following situations qualify as neuretraction:

Definition 3.8. (a) $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \min(v), \min(\rho), \min(\sigma))$ (b) $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \max(v), \max(\rho), \max(\sigma))$ (c) $\mathfrak{b}(\mathfrak{c}, \omega(\mathfrak{c})) = (\mathfrak{c}, \omega \in (0, 1))$. Now, for the rest of our discussion, and for simplicity, we shall denote the neutrosophic manifold $\langle M, \omega \rangle$ by the symbol M.

To show that isometry exists on both the upper and lower neutrosophic hypermanifolds, we shall use the potent framework of neutrosophic theory in the concept that follows.

Definition 3.9. A map $\mathcal{F} : \bigcup M \longrightarrow \bigcup M$ is said to be an isoneutrosophic folding if $\mathcal{F}(M) = M$ and for each member of the upper neutrosophic hypermanifold \overline{M}_g , there is a $\underline{M}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ lower M for which $\overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \underline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for any corresponding point, i.e., $\overline{\omega_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\overline{c}) = \underline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\underline{c})$ as shown in Fig.2



FIGURE 2. Isoneutrosophic folding

Theorem 3.10. Assuming M is a neutrosophic hyperspace in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Then, we conclude that there are two types of neutrosophication that coincide in M.

- (a) For every $\mathbf{c} \in M$, $\omega(\mathbf{c}) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Geometrically, parallel neutrosophic manifolds, which is known as the "crisp property."
- (b) For each distinct point \mathbf{c}_{t_1} , $\mathbf{c}_{t_2} \in M$ and, $\omega(\mathbf{c}_{t_1}) \neq \omega(\mathbf{c}_{t_2})$, there is a chain of homeomorphic neutrosophic manifolds connected at a common point.

Proof. (a) In "a crisp property." For all y_{t_1} , $y_{t_2} \in M$, we have $\omega(y_{t_1}) = \omega(y_{t_2}) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ also, all neutrosophic hypermanifolds M_s are parallel, $\forall \mathfrak{c}_s \in \underline{M}_s$, $\omega(\mathfrak{c}_s) < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\forall \mathfrak{c}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2 \in M_s$, $\omega(\mathfrak{c}_1) = \omega(\mathfrak{c}_2)$, $M_s = \overline{M}_s$ or $M_s = \underline{M}_s$ as shown in Fig.3. In this situation, we can define ω as

$$\begin{split} & \omega = \langle \upsilon, \ \rho, \ \sigma \rangle \text{ where} \\ & \langle \upsilon, \ \rho, \ \sigma \rangle = \left\langle \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_1} & \text{if } l_1 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_1} & \text{if } l_1 < 0 \end{array}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_2} & \text{if } l_2 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_2} & \text{if } l_2 < 0 \end{array}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{1+l_3} & \text{if } l_3 > 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-l_3} & \text{if } l_3 < 0 \end{array} \right\rangle \right\rangle, \text{ the list} \\ & (l_i, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ 3) \text{ can be represented in Fig.3. Moreover, we have } \omega = \langle 0, \ 0, \ 0 \rangle \text{ whenever} \\ & l_i \longrightarrow \pm \infty. \text{ However, this illustrates the degree of neutrosophication in the crisp case of } M. \text{ In } \\ & \text{fact, } \forall \gamma \text{ there is a neutrosophic strip at } \gamma, \text{ specifically } \zeta_\gamma \text{ for which } \omega(\mathfrak{c}) < \langle 1, \ 1, \ 1 \rangle \text{ whenever} \\ & \mathfrak{c} \in \zeta_\gamma \text{ and decreases if } l_i \longrightarrow \pm \infty. \end{split}$$



FIGURE 3. parallel hyperspaces and their isoneutrosophic folding

(b) Let M be a neutrosophic hyperspace for which $\omega(\mathfrak{c}_s) \neq \omega(\mathfrak{c}_t)$, $\mathfrak{c}_s \neq \mathfrak{c}_t$ in M, and suppose that \mathfrak{q} is a point at which $\omega(\mathfrak{q}) = (\max \omega_s, s \in \mathbb{N})$. For all point $\gamma \in M$, \exists neutrosophic strip ζ_{γ} such that $\omega(\mathfrak{c}_1) < \omega(\mathfrak{c}_2) < \omega(\gamma)$, whenever \mathfrak{c}_1 , $\mathfrak{c}_2 \in \zeta_{\gamma}$. If there is no other common neutrosophic point than \mathfrak{q} , then \exists a point $\mathfrak{c}_j \in M_j$, j = 1, 2, 3. For all horizontal neutrosophic strips, qhas a maximum value (neutrosophic point) in the neutrosophic strip. \Box

The sequence of neuretraction within a neutrosophic hyperspace will be inferred from the data that follow.

Theorem 3.11. If M is a neutrosophic hyperspace in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , and $\mathfrak{b} : M \longrightarrow C$, is a neuretraction. Then, there exists a sequence $\langle \mathfrak{b}_i : \cup M \longrightarrow C_i, i = 1, 2, ..., m \rangle$ of a neuretraction. Also, if we consider dim $(\cup M) = \dim C_i$, then all \mathfrak{b}_i are special types of isoneutrosophic folding.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a isoneutrosophic folding of $\cup \underline{M}$ into $\cup \overline{M}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M) = M \quad \omega(\underline{M}) = \omega(\overline{M})$. Thus, we conclude $\mathcal{F}(\underline{M}) = \overline{M}$ as shown in Fig.4. Now for each neuretraction $\mathfrak{d} : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (in a case of no common point) we obtain the induced neuretractions $\mathfrak{d}_i : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$, $\dim \mathcal{C}_i = \dim M$. But if $\mathfrak{d} : M \longrightarrow p$, there are induced neuretractions $\underline{\mathfrak{d}}_i : \underline{M}_i \longrightarrow \underline{p}_i$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{d}}_i : \overline{M}_i \longrightarrow \overline{p}_i$. However, these neuretractions are not types of neutrosophic folding, because $\dim \mathcal{C}_i \neq \dim M_i$. For example, in Fig.5, \exists an isoneutrosophic folding, whereas there is no isneutrosophic folding as a type of neuretraction in $\mathfrak{d} : \cup M \longrightarrow p$, since $\dim p \neq \dim M_i$. \Box

Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations



FIGURE 4. Isoneutrosophic folding on parallel hyperspaces



FIGURE 5. Neuretraction on hyperspaces with common point

The advanced results in this study reveal multiple occurrences of neuretractions corresponding to a set of neutrosophic manifolds that exhibit homeomorphism to a set of neutrosophic unit spheres with n dimensions, all of which possess a shared center.

Theorem 3.12. Suppose that M is a neutrosophic manifold of dimension m, which is homeomorphic to a neutrosophic unit sphere, with $\omega(y_i) = 1$ for each $y_j \in S^m$, else $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle$ where

 $\bigcup \mathcal{S}_{j}^{m} \text{ as a union of m-dimensional neutrosophic spheres with a common center and let } H = \{(y, \omega) : |y| \leq 1\} \text{ be an n-dimensional neutrosophic closed ball. Then, for every neure-traction of } (H - \mathfrak{q}) \text{ onto } \mathcal{S}^{m-1}_{j} \text{ there are induced neuretractions of } H_{j} - \mathfrak{q} \text{ onto } \mathcal{S}_{j}^{m-1}. \text{ Moreover, under the condition } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}^{m}) = \mathcal{S}^{m}, \text{ we get an isoneutrosophic folding } \mathcal{F}: \overline{\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{m}{j} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}_{j}^{m}.$

Proof. Assume M is a neutrosophic manifold, S^n is a neutrosophic unit sphere, and M is homeomorphic to S^n as shown in Fig.6. If there is a neutrosophic sphere S^m inside the neutrosophic system, say \underline{S}_j^m (Neutrosophication will be reduced, $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ if $l_i \longrightarrow 0$ and $\omega = \langle v, \rho, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ if $l_i \longrightarrow \infty$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Indeed, for all neutrosophic points (c, $\omega = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$) \exists (a neutrosophic) strip of neutrosophic points $(\overline{c}_j, \overline{\omega}_j < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) \in$ \overline{S}_j^m , and $(\underline{c}_j, \underline{\omega}_j < \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) \in \underline{S}_j^m$. However, for the isoneutrosophic folding $\mathcal{F} : \overline{S}_j^m \longrightarrow \underline{S}_j^m$, in which $\overline{\omega}_j = \omega_j$ there is an induced isoneutrosophic folding $\overline{\mathcal{F}} : \overline{H}_j \longrightarrow \underline{H}_j$, as well as neuretractions $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_j : (\overline{H}_j - \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \overline{S}_j^{m-1}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{b}}_j : (\underline{H}_j - \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \underline{S}_j^{m-1}$. \Box



FIGURE 6. Neuretraction and neutrosophic folding on a spheres

Theorem 3.13. Suppose that \mathbb{N} is a neutrosophic manifold with $\mathfrak{d} : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is a neuretraction, then the geometric neuretraction $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces a neuretractions \mathfrak{d}_{v} , \mathfrak{d}_{ρ} , \mathfrak{d}_{σ} . On the other hand, the converse is not true.

Proof. Let us consider $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as a neuretraction, such that

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{N}_{v}, \ \mathcal{N}_{\rho}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \rangle \ and \ \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}. \\ &\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ of } \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ into } \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{ then we get the induced neuretractions } \mathfrak{d}_{v} : \mathcal{N}_{v} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{v}, \ \mathfrak{d}_{\rho} : \\ &\mathcal{N}_{\rho} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\rho}, \ \mathfrak{d}_{\sigma} : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\sigma} \text{ as shown in Fig.7. On the other hand, consider the neuretractions } \\ &\mathfrak{d}_{v} : \mathcal{N}_{v} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{v}, \ \mathfrak{d}_{\rho} : \mathcal{N}_{\rho} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\rho}, \ \mathfrak{d}_{\sigma} : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\sigma} \text{ as the identity neuretractions for all membership degrees, which have no impact on the geometric manifold } \\ &\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ as shown in Fig.8}. \end{split}$$





FIGURE 7. A neuretraction of type (I)



FIGURE 8. A neuretraction of type (II)

4. Conclusion

The present study aimed to develop a theoretical basis for neuretraction on a neutrosophic manifold. The neutrosophic folding and neuretraction on a neutrosophic manifold are achieved Mohammed Abu-Saleem^{1,*}, Omar almallah² and Nizar Kh. Al Ouashouh³, An application of neutrosophic theory on manifolds and their topological transformations

geometrically and topologically. The sequence of neuretractions in a neutrosophic hyperspace is obtained. The relationship between some types of transformations is deduced. An area that necessitates additional investigation pertains to the establishment and exploration of a fitting notion of neutrosophic homotopy groups, in conjunction with a thorough examination of their consequent neutrosophic homomorphism.

References

- Abu-Saleem, M. A neutrosophic folding and retraction on a single-valued neutrosophic graph, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 2021, 40 (3), 5207-5213.
- [2] Abu-Saleem, M.; Taani, A. The Boundary Homotopy Retract on the Scalar Hairy Charged Black Hole Spacetime, Axioms, 2022, 11, 745.
- [3] Abu-Saleem, M.; Vieira, H.S. Some aspects of the five-dimensional Lovelock black hole spacetime: Strong homotopy retract, perihelion precession and quasistationary levels, Annals of Physics, 2021, 433, 168583.
- [4] Abu-Saleem, M. A neutrosophic folding on a neutrosophic fundamental group, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2021, 46 290-299.
- [5], Adhikari, M. R. Basic Topology 3, Algebraic Topology and Topology of Fiber Bundles, Springer, Singapore, 1st ed, 2022.
- [6] Al Hamido, R. K. Separation Axioms for Intuitionistic Neutrosophic Crisp supra and Infra Topological Spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2023, 54(1) 225-237.
- [7] Arar, M. Neutrosophic n-Valued Refined Sets and Topologies, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, University of New Mexico, 2023, Vol. 55, 316-328.
- [8] Atanassov, K. T. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 1986, 20, 87–96.
- [9] El-Ghoul, M.; Shamara, H. M., Folding of some types of fuzzy manifolds and their retractions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1998, 97, 387-391.
- [10] Govindan, K.; R. Khodaverdi; Vafadarnikjoo, A. Intuitionistic fuzzy based dematel method for developing green practices and performances in a green supply chain, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2015, 42 (20), 7207–7220.
- [11] Hashmi, M. R.; Riaz, M.; Smarandache F., m-Polar neutrosophic topology with applications to multicriteria decision-making in medical diagnosis and custering analysis, *International Journal of Fuzzy Sys*tems, 2020, 22, 273–292.
- [12] Ji, P.; Wang, J.; Zhang, H. Frank prioritized bonferroni mean operator with single-valued neutrosophic sets and its application in selecting third-party logistics providers, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 2018, 30, (3) 799-823.
- [13] Kauffmann, A. Introduction to the theory of fuzzy sets, Vol.1, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Florida 1973.
- [14] Khaklary, J. K.; Witczak, T., Introduction to anti-bitopological spaces, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2023, Vol. 55, University of New Mexico, 529-543.
- [15] Massey, W. S. Algebraic topology, an introduction, Harcourt Brace and World New York, 1967.
- [16] Pal, G.; Tripathy, B. C.; Dhar, R. On Continuity in Minimal Structure Neutrosophic Topological Space, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2022, 51, 361-370.
- [17] Rivieccio, U. Neutrosophic logics, Prospects and problems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 2008, 159 (14),1860-1868.
- [18] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic probability, set, and logic, American, Research Press, Rehoboth, 1998.

- [19] Smarandache, F. A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logic. Neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, probability, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 1999.
- [20] Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set, Granular computing. In: 2006 IEEE International Conference, 2006, 38-42.
- [21] Wang, H.; Smarandache, F.; Zhang,Y.Q.; Sunderraman, R. Single-valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace and Multistructure*, 2010, 4, 410-413.
- [22] Zadeh, A. L. Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 1965, 8 (3), 338–353.

Received: April 30, 2023. Accepted: Aug 18, 2023