

SMARANDACHE NUMERICAL FUNCTIONS

by

Ion Balacenoiu

Department of Mathematics

University of Craiova, Romania

F. Smarandache defines [1] a numerical function $S : \mathbb{N}^ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. $S(n)$ is the smallest integer m such that $m!$ is divisible by n . Using certain results on standardised structures, three kinds of Smarandache functions are defined and are established some compatibility relations between these functions.*

1. Standardising functions. Let X be a nonvoid set, $r \subset X \times X$ an equivalence relation, \hat{X} the corresponding quotient set and (I, \leq) a totally ordered set.

1.1 Definition. If $g : \hat{X} \rightarrow I$ is an arbitrarily injective function, then $f : X \rightarrow I$ defined by $f(x) = g(\hat{x})$ is a standardising function. In this case the set X is said to be $[r, (I, \leq), f]$ standardised. If r_1 and r_2 are two equivalence relations on X , then $r = r_1 \wedge r_2$ is defined as $x r y$ if and only if $x r_1 y$ and $x r_2 y$. Of course r is an equivalence relation.

In the following theorem we consider functions having the same monotonicity. The functions $f_i : X \rightarrow I$, $i = \overline{1, s}$ are of the same monotonicity if for every x, y from X it results

$$f_k(x) \leq f_k(y) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f_j(x) \leq f_j(y) \quad \text{for } k, j = \overline{1, s}$$

1.2 Theorem. If the standardising functions $f_i : X \longrightarrow I$ corresponding to the equivalence relations $r_i, i = \overline{1, s}$, are of the same monotonicity then $f = \max_{i \in \overline{1, s}} (f_i)$ is a standardising function corresponding to $r = \bigwedge_{i \in \overline{1, s}} r_i$, having the same monotonicity as f_i .

Proof. We give the proof of theorem in case $s = 2$. Let $\hat{x}_{r_1}, \hat{x}_{r_2}, \hat{x}_r$ be the equivalence classes of x corresponding to r_1, r_2 and to $r = r_1 \wedge r_2$ respectively and $\hat{X}_{r_1}, \hat{X}_{r_2}, \hat{X}_r$ the quotient sets on X .

We have $f_1(x) = g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1})$ and $f_2(x) = g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2})$, where

$g_i : \hat{X}_{r_i} \longrightarrow I, i=1,2$ are injective functions. The function $g : \hat{X}_r \longrightarrow I$ defined by $g(\hat{x}_r) = \max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2})\}$ is injective.

Indeed, if $\hat{x}_r^1 \neq \hat{x}_r^2$ and $\max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^1), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^1)\} = \max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^2), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^2)\}$, then because of the injectivity of g_1 and g_2 we have for example $\max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^1), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^1)\} = g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^1) = g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^2) = \max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^2), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^2)\}$ and we obtain a

contradiction because $f_1(x^2) = g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^2) < g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^1) = f_1(x^1)$

$f_2(x^1) = g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^1) < g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^2) = f_2(x^2)$, that is

f_1 and f_2 are not of the same monotonicity. From the injectivity of g it results that $f : X \longrightarrow I$ defined by $f(x) = g(\hat{x}_r)$ is a standardising function. In addition we have $f(x^1) \leq f(x^2) \iff g(\hat{x}_r^1) \leq g(\hat{x}_r^2) \iff \max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^1), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^1)\} \leq \max\{g_1(\hat{x}_{r_1}^2), g_2(\hat{x}_{r_2}^2)\} \iff \max\{f_1(x^1), f_2(x^1)\} \leq \max\{f_1(x^2), f_2(x^2)\} \iff f_1(x^1) \leq f_1(x^2)$ and $f_2(x^1) \leq f_2(x^2)$ because f_1 and f_2 are of the same monotonicity.

Let us suppose now that τ and \perp are two algebraic laws on X and I respectively.

1.3. Definition. The standardising function $f: X \rightarrow I$ is said to be Σ -compatible with τ and \perp if for every x, y in X the triplet $(f(x), f(y), f(x\tau y))$ satisfies the condition Σ . In this case it is said that the function f Σ -standardise the structure (X, τ) in the structure (I, \leq, \perp) .

For example, if f is the Smarandache function $S: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, ($S(n)$ is the smallest integer such that $(S(n))!$ is divisible by n) then we get the following Σ -standardisations:

a) S Σ_1 -standardise (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, +)$ because we have

$$\Sigma_1: S(a \cdot b) \leq S(a) + S(b)$$

b) but S verifies also the relation

$$\Sigma_2: \max(S(a), S(b)) \leq S(a \cdot b) \leq S(a) \cdot S(b)$$

so S Σ_2 -standardise the structure (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \cdot)$

2. Smarandache functions of first kind. The Smarandache

function S is defined by means of the following

functions S_p ; for every prime number p let $S_p: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ having the property that $(S_p(n))!$ is divisible by p^n and is the smallest positive integer with this property. Using the notion of standardising functions in this section we give some generalisation of S_p .

2.1. Definition. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ the relation $r_n \subset \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^*$ is defined as follows: i) if $n = u^l$ ($u=1$ or $u=p$ number prime, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$) and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then $a r_n b$ if and only if it exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $k! = M u^{ia}$, $k! = M u^{ib}$ and k is the smallest positive integer with this property.

u) if $n = p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_s^{i_s}$, then

$$r_n = r_{p_1^{i_1}} \wedge r_{p_2^{i_2}} \wedge \dots \wedge r_{p_s^{i_s}}$$

2.2. Definition. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ the Smarandache function of first kind is the numerical function $S_n: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ defined as follows

i) if $n = u$ ($u=1$ or $u=p$ number prime) then $S_n(a) = k$, k being the smallest positive integer with the property that $k! = M u^{ia}$

ii) if $n = p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_s^{i_s}$, then $S_n(a) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq s} \{ S_{p_j^{i_j}}(a) \}$

Let us observe that :

- a) the functions S_n are standardising functions corresponding to the equivalence relations r_n and for $n=1$ we get $\bar{x}_r = \mathbb{N}^*$ for every $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $S_1(n) = 1$ for every n .
- b) if $n=p$ then S_n is the function S_p defined by Smarandache.
- c) the functions S_n are increasing and so, are of the same monotonicity in the sense given in the above section.

2.3. Theorem. The functions S_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, Σ_1 -standardise $(\mathbb{N}^*, +)$ in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, +)$ by $\Sigma_1: \max\{S_n(a), S_n(b)\} \leq S_n(a+b) \leq S_n(a) + S_n(b)$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and Σ_2 -standardise $(\mathbb{N}^*, +)$ in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \cdot)$ by

$$\Sigma_2: \max\{S_n(a), S_n(b)\} \leq S_n(a+b) \leq S_n(a) \cdot S_n(b), \text{ for every } a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Proof. Let, for instance, p be a prime number, $n = p^i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a^* = S_{p^i}(a)$, $b^* = S_{p^i}(b)$, $k = S_{p^i}(a+b)$. Then by the definition of S_n

(Definition 2.2.) the numbers a^*, b^*, k are the smallest positive integers such that $a^*! = M p^{ia}$, $b^*! = M p^{ib}$ and $k! = M p^{i(a+b)}$.

Because $k! = M p^{ia} = M p^{ib}$ we get $a^* \leq k$ and $b^* \leq k$, so $\max\{a^*, b^*\} \leq k$

That is the first inequalities in Σ_1 and Σ_2 holds.

Now, $(a^* + b^*)! = a^*!(a^* + 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a^* + b^*) = M a^*! b^*! = M p^{i(a+b)}$ and

so $k \leq a^* + b^*$ which implies that Σ_1 is valide.

If $n = p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_s^{i_s}$, from the first case we have

$$\Sigma_1: \max\{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a), S_{p_j}^{i_j}(b)\} \leq S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a+b) \leq S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a) + S_{p_j}^{i_j}(b), j=\bar{1}, \bar{s}$$

in consequence

$$\max\{\max_{p_j} S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a), \max_{p_j} S_{p_j}^{i_j}(b)\} \leq \max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a+b)\} \leq \max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a)\} +$$

$$\max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(b)\}, j = \bar{1}, \bar{s}. \quad \text{That is}$$

$$\max\{S_n(a), S_n(b)\} \leq S_n(a+b) \leq S_n(a) + S_n(b)$$

For the proof of the second part in Σ_2 let us notice that

$(a+b)! \leq (ab)! \iff a+b \leq ab \iff a > 1$ and $b > 1$ and that ours inequality is satisfied for $n=1$ because $S_1(a+b) = S_1(a) = S_1(b) = 1$.

Let now $n > 1$. It results that for $a^* = S_n(a)$ we have $a^* > 1$. Indeed, if $n = p_1^{i_1} \cdot p_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_s^{i_s}$ then $a^* = 1$ if and only if $S_n(a) = \max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(a)\} = 1$ which implies that $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_s = 1$,

so $n=1$. It results that for every $n > 1$ we have $S_n(a) = a^* > 1$ and $S_n(b) = b^* > 1$. Then $(a^* + b^*)! \leq (a^* \cdot b^*)!$ we obtain

$$S_n(a+b) \leq S_n(a) + S_n(b) \leq S_n(a) \cdot S_n(b) \quad \text{from } n > 1.$$

3. Smarandache functions of the second kind. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let S_n by the Smarandache function of the first kind defined above.

3.1. Definition. The Smarandache functions of the second kind are the functions $S^k : \mathbb{N}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ defined by $S^k(n) = S_n(k)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

We observe that for $k=1$ the function S^k is the Smarandache function S defined in [1], with the modify $S(1) = 1$. Indeed for.

$$n > 1 \quad S^1(n) = S_n(1) = \max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}(1)\} = \max_{p_j} \{S_{p_j}^{i_j}\} = S(n).$$

3.2. Theorem. The Smarandache functions of the second kind Σ_3 -standardise (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, +)$ by

$$\Sigma_3: \max\{S^k(a), S^k(b)\} \leq S^k(a \cdot b) \leq S^k(a) + S^k(b), \text{ for every } a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

and Σ_4 -standardise (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \cdot)$ by

$$\Sigma_4: \max\{S^k(a), S^k(b)\} \leq S^k(a \cdot b) \leq S^k(a) \cdot S^k(b), \text{ for every } a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Proof. The equivalence relation corresponding to S^k is r^k , defined by $a r^k b$ if and only if there exists $a^* \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^* ! = Ma^k$, $a^* ! = Mb^k$ and a^* is the smallest integer with this property.

That is, the functions S^k are standardising functions attached to the equivalence relations r^k .

These functions are not of the same monotonicity because, for example, $S^2(a) \leq S^2(b) \iff S(a^2) \leq S(b^2)$ and from these inequalities $S^1(a) \leq S^1(b)$ does not result.

Now for every $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$ let $S^k(a) = a^*$, $S^k(b) = b^*$, $S^k(a \cdot b) = s$.

Then a^* , b^* , s are respectively these smallest positive integers such that $a^* ! = Ma^k$, $b^* ! = Mb^k$, $s ! = M(a^k b^k)$ and so $s ! = Ma^k = Mb^k$, that is, $a^* \leq s$ and $b^* \leq s$, which implies that $\max\{a^*, b^*\} \leq s$

$$\text{or} \quad \max\{S^k(a), S^k(b)\} \leq S^k(a \cdot b) \quad (3.1)$$

Because of the fact that $(a^* + b^*) ! = M(a^* ! b^* !) = M(a^k b^k)$, it results that $s \leq a^* + b^*$, so

$$S^k(a \cdot b) \leq S^k(a) + S^k(b) \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) it results that

$$\max\{S^k(a), S^k(b)\} \leq S^k(a) + S^k(b) \quad (3.3)$$

which is the relation Σ_3 .

From $(a^* b^*) ! = M(a^* ! \cdot b^* !)$ it results that $S^k(a \cdot b) \leq S^k(a) \cdot S^k(b)$

and thus the relation Σ_4 .

4. The Smarandache functions of the third kind.

We consider two arbitrary sequences (a) $1=a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$
 (b) $1=b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \dots$

with the properties that $a_{kn} = a_k \cdot a_n$, $b_{kn} = b_k \cdot b_n$. Obviously, there are infinitely many such sequences; because choosing an arbitrary value for a_2 , the next terms in the net can be easily determined by the imposed condition.

Let now the function $f_a^b: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ defined by $f_a^b(n) = S_{a_n}^b(b_n)$, $S_{a_n}^b$ is the Smarandache function of the first kind. Then it is easily to see that :

- (i) for $a_n = 1$ and $b_n = n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ it results that $f_a^b = S_1$
- (ii) for $a_n = n$ and $b_n = 1, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ it results that $f_a^b = S^1$

4.1. Definition. The Smarandache functions of the third kind are the functions $S_a^b = f_a^b$ in the case that the sequences (a) and (b) are different from those concerned in the situation (i) and (ii) from above.

4.2. Theorem. The functions f_a^b Σ_3 -standardise (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) in $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, +, \cdot)$ by

$$\Sigma_3: \max \{f_a^b(k), f_a^b(n)\} \leq f_a^b(k \cdot n) \leq b_n \cdot f_a^b(k) + b_k \cdot f_a^b(n)$$

Proof. Let $f_a^b(k) = S_{a_k}^b(b_k) = k^*$, $f_a^b(n) = S_{a_n}^b(b_n) = n^*$ and $f_a^b(kn) =$

$= S_{a_{kn}}^b(b_{kn}) = t$. Then k^*, n^* and t are the smallest positive in-

tegers such that $k^*! = M a_k^{b_k}$, $n^*! = M a_n^{b_n}$ and $t! = M a_{kn}^{b_{kn}} =$

$= M(a_k \cdot a_n)^{b_k b_n}$. Of course,

$$\max\{k^*, n^*\} \leq t \tag{4.1}$$

Now, because $(b_k \cdot n^*)! = M(n^*!)^{b_k}$, $(b_n \cdot k^*)! = M(k^*!)^{b_n}$ and $(b_k n^* + b_n k^*)! = M[(b_k n^*)! \cdot (b_n k^*)!] = M[(n^*!)^{b_k} \cdot (k^*!)^{b_n}] = M[(a_n^{b_k})^{b_k} \cdot (a_k^{b_n})^{b_n}] = M[(a_k \cdot a_n)^{b_k b_n}]$ it results that

$$t \leq b_n k^* + b_k n^* \quad (4.2)$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\max\{k^*, n^*\} \leq t \leq b_n k^* + b_k n^* \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.3) we get Σ_g , so the Smarandache functions of the third kind satisfy

$$\Sigma_g: \max\{S_a^b(k), S_a^b(n)\} \leq S_a^b(kn) \leq b_n S_a^b(k) + b_k S_a^b(n), \text{ for every } k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

4.3. Example. Let the sequences (a) and (b) defined by $a_n = b_n = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

The corresponding Smarandache function of the third kind is

$$S_a^a: \mathbb{N}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^*, \quad S_a^a(n) = S_n(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_g \text{ becomes}$$

$$\max\{S_k(k), S_n(n)\} \leq S_{kn}(kn) \leq n S_k(k) + k S_n(n), \text{ for every } k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

This relation is equivalent with the following relation written by means with the Smarandache function:

$$\max\{S(k^k), S(n^n)\} \leq S[(kn)^{kn}] \leq n \cdot S(k^k) + k \cdot S(n^n).$$

References

- [1] F. Smarandache, A Function in the Number Theory, An. Univ. Timisoara, seria st. mat Vol. XVIII, fasc.1, pp.79-88.1980.
- [2] Smarandache Function-Journal-Vol.1 No.1, December 1990.