# A GENERAL RESULT ON THE SMARANDACHE STAR FUNCTION

(Amarnath Murthy ,S.E. (E &T), Well Logging Services,Oil And Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. ,Sabarmati, Ahmedbad, India- 380005.)

**ABSTRCT:** In this paper ,the result (theorem-2.6) Derived in REF. [2], the paper "Generalization Of Partition Function, Introducing 'Smarandache Factor Partition' which has been observed to follow a beautiful pattern has been generalized.

**DEFINITIONS** In [2] we define SMARANDACHE FACTOR PARTITION FUNCTION, as follows:

Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ , ...,  $\alpha_r$  be a set of r natural numbers and  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,...,  $p_r$  be arbitrarily chosen distinct primes then  $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_r)$  called the Smarandache Factor Partition of  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_r)$  is defined as the number of ways in which the number

 $N = p_1^{\alpha 1} p_2^{\alpha 2} p_3^{\alpha 3} \dots p_r^{\alpha r}$  could be expressed as the product of its' divisors. For simplicity, we denote  $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots$  $(\alpha_r) = F'(N)$ , where

 $N = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r \dots p_n$ 

and  $p_r$  is the r<sup>th</sup> prime.  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3$  etc.

Also for the case

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \ldots = \alpha_r = \ldots = \alpha_n = 1$$

we denote

$$F(1, 1, 1, 1, 1...) = F(1#n)$$

$$\leftarrow n - ones \rightarrow$$

#### **Smarandache Star Function**

(1)  $F''(N) = \sum_{d/N} F'(d_r)$  where  $d_r | N$ 

(2) 
$$F'^{**}(N) = \sum_{d_r/N} F'^{*}(d_r)$$

d<sub>r</sub> ranges over all the divisors of N.

If N is a square free number with n prime factors, let us denote

$$F'^{**}(N) = F^{**}(1\#n)$$

Here we generalise the above idea by the following definition

### Smarandache Generalised Star Function

(3) 
$$F'^{n}(N) = \sum_{d_r/N} F'^{(n-1)*}(d_r)$$
  
n > 1

and d<sub>r</sub> ranges over all the divisors of N.

For simplicity we denote

$$F'(Np_1p_2...p_n) = F'(N@1#n)$$
, where

 $(N,p_i) = 1$  for i = 1 to n and each  $p_i$  is a prime.

F'(N@1#n) is nothing but the Smarandache factor partition of (a number N multiplied by n primes which are coprime to N).

In [3] a proof of the following result is given:

 $F'(Np_1p_2p_3) = F'^*(N) + 3F'^{2*}(N) + F'^{3*}(N)$ 

The present paper aims at generalising the abve result. DISCUSSION:

THEOREM(3.1)

 $F'(N@1#n) = F'(Np_1p_2...p_n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} [a_{(n,m)} F'^{m*}(N)]$ 

where

$$a_{(n,m)} = (1/m!) \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-k} .^{m}C_{k} .k^{n}$$

#### PROOF:

Let the divisors of N be

 $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$ 

Consider the divisors of (Np1p2...pn) arranged as follows

- $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$  -----say type (0)
- $d_1p_i, d_2p_i, \ldots, d_kp_i$  -----say type (1)
- $d_1p_ip_j$ ,  $d_2p_ip_j$ , ...,  $d_kp_ip_j$  -----say type (2)
- $d_1p_ip_j..., d_2p_ip_j..., ..., d_kp_ip_j...$  -----say type (t)

(there are t primes in the term  $d_1p_ip_j$ ... apart from  $d_1$ )

 $d_1p_1p_2...p_n$ ,  $d_2p_1p_2...p_n$ ,  $d_np_1p_2...p_n$ , -----say type (n)

There are  $^{n}C_{0}$  divisors sets of the type (0)

There are  $^{n}C_{1}$  divisors sets of the type (1)

There are  ${}^{n}C_{2}$  divisors sets of the type (2) and so on

There are  $C_t$  divisors sets of the type (t)

There are  ${}^{n}C_{n}$  divisors sets of the type (n)

Let  $Np_1p_2...p_n = M$  . Then

 $F^{*}(M) = {}^{n}C_{0}[sum of the factor partitions of all the divisors of row (0)]$ 

+ "C1[sum of the factor partitions of all the divisors of row (1)]

- + "C2[sum of the factor partitions of all the divisors of row (2)]
  + . . .
  + "Ct[sum of the factor partitions of all the divisors of row (t)]
- + . . .

+  ${}^{n}C_{n}$ [sum of the factor partitions of all the divisors of row (n)]

Let us consider the contributions of divisor sets one by one.

Row (0) or type (0) contributes

$$F'(d_1) + F'(d_2) + F'(d_3) + ... + F'(d_n) = F'^{*}(N)$$

Row (1) or type (1) contributes

$$[F'(d_1p_1) + F'(d_2p_1) + \dots F'(d_kp_1)]$$

$$= [F'^{*}(d_{1}) + F'^{*}(d_{2}) + \ldots + F'^{*}(d_{k})]$$

$$= F'^{2}(N)$$

1

Row (2) or type (2) contributes

 $[F'(d_1p_1p_2) + F'(d_2p_1p_2) + ... + F'(d_kp_1p_2)$ 

Applying theorem (5) on each of the terms

$$F'(d_1p1p2) = F'^*(d_1) + F'^{**}(d_1) ----(1)$$

$$F'(d_2p_1p_2) = F'^*(d_2) + F'^{**}(d_2)$$
 ----(2)

$$F'(d_k p 1 p 2) = F'^*(d_k) + F'^*(d_k) ----(k)$$

on summing up (1), (2) . . . upto (n) we get  $F^{2*}(N) + F^{3*}(N)$ 

At this stage let us denote the coefficients as  $a_{(n,r)}$  etc. say 243

 $^{n}C_{0} a_{(0,0)}F'^{*}(N)$  factor partitions.

All the divisor sets of type (1) contribute

 $^{n}C_{1} a_{(1,1)}F^{2*}(N)$  factor partitions.

All the divisor sets of type (2) contribute

 $^{n}C_{2} \{a_{(2,1)}F^{,2*}(N) + a_{(2,2)}F^{,3*}(N)\}$  factor partitions.

All the divisor sets of type (3) contribute

 ${}^{n}C_{3}\{a_{(3,1)}F^{2*}(N) + a_{(3,2)}F^{3*}(N) + a_{(3,3)}F^{4*}(N) \}$  factor partitions.

All the divisor sets of row (t) or type (t) contribute <sup>n</sup>C<sub>t</sub> {  $a_{(t,1)}F^{2*}(N) + a_{(t,2)}F^{3*}(N) + ... + a_{(t,t)}F^{(t+1)*}(N)$  } All the divisor sets of row (n) or type (n) contribute  ${}^{n}C_{n}\{a_{(n,1)}F^{2*}(N) + a_{(n,2)}F^{3*}(N) + ... + a_{(n,n)}F^{(n+1)*}(N)\}$ Summing up the contributions from the divisor sets of all the types and considering the coefficient of  $F'^{m*}(N)$  for m = 1 to (n+1) we get, coefficient of F'\*(N) =  $a_{(0,0)} = 1 = a_{(n+1,1)}$ coefficient of  $F'^{2*}(N)$  $= {}^{n}C_{1} a_{(1,1)} + {}^{n}C_{2} a_{(2,1)} + {}^{n}C_{3} a_{(3,1)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{t} a_{(t,1)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} a_{(n,1)}$  $= a_{(n+1,2)}$ coefficient of  $F^{3*}(N)$  $= {}^{n}C_{2} a_{(2,2)} + {}^{n}C_{3} a_{(3,2)} + {}^{n}C_{4} a_{(4,2)} + \dots {}^{n}C_{t} a_{(t,2)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} a_{(n,2)}$  $= a_{(n+1,3)}$ coefficient of  $F'^{m*}(N) =$  $a_{(n+1,m)} = {}^{n}C_{m-1}a_{(m-1,m-1)} + {}^{n}C_{m}a_{(m,m-1)} + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{n}a_{(n,m-1)}$ coefficient of  $F'^{(n+1)*}(N) =$  $a_{(n+1,n+1)} = {}^{n}C_{n}a_{(n,n)} = {}^{n}C_{n}a_{(n-1,n-1)} = {}^{n}C_{n}a_{(n-1,n-1)} = {}^{n}C_{n}a_{(n-1,n-1)}$ <sup>2</sup>C<sub>2</sub>.a<sub>(1,1)</sub> = 1 Consider  $a_{(n+1,2)}$ 

 $= {}^{n}C_{1} a_{(1,1)} + {}^{n}C_{2} a_{(2,1)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{t} a_{(t,1)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} a_{(n,1)}$ 

$$= {}^{n}C_{1} + {}^{n}C_{2} + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{n}$$
$$= 2^{n} - 1$$
$$= (2^{n+1} - 2)/2 .$$

Consider  $a_{(n+1,3)}$ 

$$= {}^{n}C_{2} a_{(2,2)} + {}^{n}C_{3} a_{(3,2)} + {}^{n}C_{4} a_{(4,2)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{1} a_{(1,2)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} a_{(n,2)}$$

$$= {}^{n}C_{2}(2^{1}-1) + {}^{n}C_{3}(2^{2}-1) + {}^{n}C_{4}(2^{3}-1) + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} (2^{n-1}-1)$$

$$= {}^{n}C_{2}2^{1} + {}^{n}C_{3}2^{2} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} 2^{n-1} - \{{}^{n}C_{2} + {}^{n}C_{3} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n}\}$$

$$= (1/2) \{{}^{n}C_{2}2^{2} + {}^{n}C_{3}2^{3} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} 2^{n}\} - \{\sum_{r=0}^{n}{}^{n}C_{r} - {}^{n}C_{1} - {}^{n}C_{0}\}$$

$$= (1/2) \{{}^{n}C_{2}2^{2} + {}^{n}C_{1}2^{1} - {}^{n}C_{0}2^{0}\} - \{2^{n}-n-1\}$$

$$= (1/2) \{{}^{3^{n}-2n-1}\} - 2^{n} + n + 1$$

$$= (1/2) \{{}^{3^{n}-2n-1}\} - 2^{n} + n + 1$$

$$= (1/2) \{{}^{3^{n}-2n+1} + 1\}$$

$$= (1/2) [{}^{3^{2}-n}C_{3} + 3^{3^{n}-2n+1} + 3^{n-1} + C_{n} + nC_{n} \{3^{n-1} + 1-2^{n}\} / 2$$

$$= (1/2) [{}^{3^{2}-n}C_{3} + 3^{3^{n}-2n+1} + 3^{n-1} + C_{n} + nC_{n} \}$$

$$= \{{}^{n}C_{3} 2^{3} + {}^{n}C_{4} 2^{4} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} 2^{n} \} ]$$

$$= (1/2) [(1/3) \{{}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}{}^{n}C_{r} 3^{r} - 3^{2^{n}-2n-3} + {}^{n}C_{1} - {}^{n}C_{0} \} + \{{}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}{}^{n}C_{r} - {}^{n}C_{2} - {}^{n}C_{1} - {}^{n}C_{0} \} ]$$

=  $(1/2) [(1/3){4^{n} - 9n(n-1)/2 - 3n - 1} + {2^{n} - n(n-1)/2 - n - 1}$ 

$$- \{ 3^{n} - 4n(n-1)/2 - 2n - 1 \}$$

$$a_{(n+1,4)} = (1/4!) [(1) 4^{n+1} - (4) 3^{n+1} + (6) 2^{n+1} - (4) 1^{n+1} + 1(0)^{n+1}]$$

Observing the pattern we can explore the possibility of

$$a_{(n,r)} = (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k \cdot k^n - (3.2)$$

which is yet to be established. Now we shall apply induction. Let the following proposition (3.3) be true for r and all n > r.

$$a_{(n+1,r)} = (1/r!) \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k \cdot k^{n+1}$$
 -----(3.3)

Given (3.3) our aim is to prove that

$$a_{(n+1,r+1)} = (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-k} C_k (k)^{n+1}]$$
  
we have

$$a_{(n+1,r+1)} = {}^{n}C_{r} a_{(r,r)} + {}^{n}C_{r+1} a_{(r+1,r)} + {}^{n}C_{r+2} a_{(r+2,r)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} a_{(n,r)}$$

$$a_{(n+1,r+1)} = {}^{n}C_{r} \{(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} . {}^{r}C_{k} . k^{r} \} + {}^{n}C_{r+1} \{(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} . {}^{r}C_{k} k^{r+1}$$

$$+ \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} \{(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} \cdot k^{n} \}$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} \{ {}^{n}C_{r} \cdot k^{r} + {}^{n}C_{r+1} \cdot k^{r+1} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{n} \cdot k^{n} \}]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} \{ \sum_{q=0}^{n} C_{q} \cdot k^{q} - \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} C_{q} \cdot k^{q} \}]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k (1+k)^n] - (1/r!) \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k \{\sum C_q k^q \}]$$

If we denote the  $I^{st}$  and the second term as  $\mathsf{T}_1$  and  $\mathsf{T}_2$  , we have

$$a_{(n+1,r+1)} = T_1 - T_2 \qquad -----(3.4)$$
  
consider  $T_1 = (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k (1+k)^n]$   

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \{ r!/((k!)(r-k)!) \} (1+k)^n]$$
  

$$= (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \{ (r+1)!/((k+1)!(r-k)!) \} (1+k)^{n+1}]$$
  

$$= (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [ (-1)^{r-k} \cdot r^{r+1}C_{k+1} (1+k)^{n+1}]$$
  

$$= (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [ (-1)^{(r+1)-(k+1)} \cdot r^{r+1}C_{k+1} (1+k)^{n+1}]$$

Let k + 1 = s, we get, s = 1 at k = 0 and s = r + 1 at k = r

$$= (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{s=1}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-s} C_s (s)^{n+1}].$$

replacing s by k we get

$$= (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-k} C_k (k)^{n+1}]$$

in this if we include k = 0 case we get

$$T_{1} = (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-k} C_{k}(k)^{n+1}] -\dots (3.5)$$

T<sub>1</sub> is nothing but the right hand side member of (3.3). To prove (3.3) we have to prove  $a_{(n+1,r+1)} = T_1$ 

In view of (3.4) our next step is to prove that  $T_2 = 0$ 

$$T_{2} = (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} \{ \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} {}^{n}C_{q} k^{q} \} ]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} \{ {}^{n}C_{0} k^{0} + {}^{n}C_{1} k^{1} + {}^{n}C_{2} k^{2} + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{r-1} k^{r-1} \} ]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} ] + {}^{n}C_{1} [(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{ (-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} k \} ] +$$

$${}^{n}C_{2} [(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{ (-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} k^{2} \} ] + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{r-1} [(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{ (-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} k^{r-1} \} ]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} ] + {}^{n}C_{1} [(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{ (-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} k \} ] +$$

$$[{}^{n}C_{2} \cdot a_{(2,r)} + {}^{n}C_{3} \cdot a_{(3,r)} \cdot + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{r-1} \cdot a_{(r-1,r)} ]$$

= X + Y + Z say where  

$$X = (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k}], Y = {}^{n}C_{1}[(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k}k\}]$$

$$Z = [{}^{n}C_{2} \cdot a_{(2,r)} + {}^{n}C_{3} \cdot a_{(3,r)} + \ldots + {}^{n}C_{r-1} \cdot a_{(r-1,r)}]$$

We shall prove that X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 0 seperately.

(1) 
$$X = (1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k]$$

= 
$$(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} [(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_{r-k}]$$

let r - k = w then we get at k = 0 w = r and at k = r w = 0.

= 
$$(1/r!) \sum_{w=r}^{0} [(-1)^{w} \cdot C_{w}]$$

$$= (1/r!) \sum_{w=0}^{r} [(-1)^{w} \cdot C_{w}]$$
$$= (1 - 1)^{r} / r!$$
$$= 0$$

We have proved that X = 0

(2)  

$$Y = {}^{n}C_{1}[(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{(-1)^{r-k} \cdot {}^{r}C_{k} k \}]$$

$$= {}^{n}C_{1}[(1/(r-1)!) \sum_{k=1}^{r} \{(-1)^{r-1-(k-1)} \cdot {}^{r-1}C_{k-1} \}]$$

$$= {}^{n}C_{1}[(1/(r-1)!) \sum_{k-1=0}^{r-1} \{(-1)^{r-1-(k-1)} \cdot {}^{r-1}C_{k-1} \}]$$

$$= {}^{n}C_{1}[(1/(r-1)!)(1-1)^{r-1}$$

$$= 0$$

We have proved that Y = 0

(3) To prove

$$Z = [{}^{n}C_{2} \cdot a_{(2,r)} + {}^{n}C_{3} \cdot a_{(3,r)} + \dots + {}^{n}C_{r-1} \cdot a_{(r-1,r)}] = 0 \quad ----(3.6)$$

### Proof:

Refer the matrix

<u>a<sub>(1,1)</sub></u>	a <sub>(1,2)</sub>	a <sub>(1,3)</sub>	a <sub>(1,4)</sub>	• • •	<b>a</b> <sub>(1,r)</sub>
a <sub>(2,1)</sub>	<u>a<sub>(2,2)</sub></u> _	a <sub>(2,3)</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>(2,4)</sub>		<b>a</b> <sub>(2,r)</sub>
a <sub>(3,1)</sub>	a <sub>(3,2)</sub>	<u>a<sub>(3,3)</sub></u>	<b>a</b> <sub>(3,4)</sub>		<b>a</b> <sub>(3,r)</sub>
a <sub>(4,1)</sub>	a <sub>(4,2)</sub>	a <sub>(4,3)</sub>	<u>a<sub>(4,4)</sub></u> _	a <sub>(4,5)</sub>	a <sub>(4,r)</sub>

 $a_{(r,1)} = a_{(r,2)} = a_{(r,3)} = a_{(r,1)} = a_{(r,1)} = a_{(r,1)}$   $a_{(r,1)} = a_{(r,2)} = a_{(r,3)} = a_{(r,1)} = a_{(r,1)}$ The Diagonal elements are underlined. And the the elements above the leading diagonal are shown with bold face.
We have

$$a_{(1,r)} = [(1/r!) \sum_{k=0}^{r} \{(-1)^{r-k} \cdot C_k k \}] = Y/{}^{n}C_1 = 0 \text{ for } r > 1$$

All the elements of the first row except  $a_{(1,1)}$  (the one on the leading diagonal) are zero. Also

$$a_{(n+1,r)} = a_{(n,r-1)} + r \cdot a_{(n,r)}$$
 -----(3.7)

(This can be easily established by simplifying the right hand side.)

(7) gives us

$$a_{(2,r)} = a_{(1,r-1)} + r \cdot a_{(1,r)} = 0$$
 for  $r > 2$ 

i.e.  $a_{(2,r)}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of two

elements of the first row (except the one on the leading diagonal)

$$\Rightarrow a_{(2,r)} = 0 \quad r > 2$$

Similarly  $a_{(3,r)}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of two elements of the second row of the type  $a_{(2,r)}$  with r > 3

$$\Rightarrow a_{(2,r)} = 0 \quad r > 3$$

and so on  $a_{(r-1,r)} = 0$ 

substituting

 $a_{(2,r)} = a_{(3,r)} = \dots = a_{(r-1,r)} = 0$  in (6)

we get Z = 0

With X = Y = Z = 0 we get  $T_2 = 0$ or  $a_{(n+1,r+1)} = T_1 - T_2 = T_1$ 

from (5) we have

$$T_{1} = (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-k} C_{k}(k)^{n+1}]$$

which gives

$$a_{(n+1,r+1)} = (1/(r+1)!) \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} [(-1)^{(r+1)-k} C_k (k)^{n+1}]$$

We have proved ,if the propposition (3.3) is true for r it is true for (r+1) as well .We have already verified it for 1, 2, 3 etc. Hence by induction (3.3) is true for all n.

This completes the proof of theorem (3.1).

Remarks: This proof is quite lengthy, clumsy and heavy in

algebra. The readers can try some analytic, combinatorial

approach.

## **REFERENCES:**

- [1] V.Krishnamurthy, "COMBINATORICS Theory and Applications" East West Press Private Ltd. ,1985.
- [2] "Amarnath Murthy", 'Generalization Of Partition Function, Introducing 'Smarandache Factor Partition', SNJ, Vol. 11, No. 1-2-3, 2000.
- [3] "The Florentine Smarandache "Special Collection, Archives of American Mathematics, Centre for American History, University of Texax at Austin, USA.
- [4] 'Smarandache Notion Journal' Vol. 10 ,No. 1-2-3, Spring 1999. Number Theory Association of the UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA.