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#### Abstract

In this note we report the results regarding the check of the third Smarandache conjecture on primes [1].[2] for $p_{n} \leq 2^{25}$ and $2 \leq k \leq 10$. In the range analysed the conjecture is true Moreover, according to experimental data obtained, it seems likely that the conjecture is true for all primes and for all positive values of $k$


## Introduction

In [1] and [2] the following function has been defined

$$
C(n, k)=p_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{k}}-p_{n}^{\frac{1}{k}}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the n -th prime and k is a positive integer. Moreover in the above mentioned papers the following conjecture has been formulated by F. Smarandache

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})<\frac{2}{\mathrm{k}} \text { for } k \geq 2
$$

This conjecture is the generalization of the Andrica conjecture ( $k=2$ ) [3] that has not yet been proven. This third Smarandache conjecture has been tested for $p_{n} \leq 2^{25} .2 \leq k \leq 10$ and in this note the result of this search is reported. The computer code has been written utilizing the Lbasic software package.

## Experimental Results

In the following graph the Smarandache function for $k=+$ and $n<1000$ is reported As we can see the value of $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{k} . \mathrm{n})$ is modulated by the prime's gap indicated by $d_{n}=p_{n+1}-p_{n}$ We call this graph the Smarandache "comet"


In the following table, instead, we report:

- the largest value Max_C $(n, k)$ of Smarandache function for $2 \leq k \leq 10$ and $p_{n} \leq 2^{25}$
- the difference $\Delta(k)$ between $2 / k$ and Max_C(n,k)
- the value of $p_{n}$ that maximize $C(n, k)$
- the value of $2 / k$

| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max C(n.k) | 0.67087 | 0.31105 | 0.19458 | 0.13962 | 0.10821 | 0.08857 | 0.07564 | 0.06598 | 0.05850 |
| $\Delta$ | 0.32913 | 0.35562 | 0.30542 | 0.26038 | 0.22512 | 0.19715 | 0.17436 | 0.15624 | 0.14150 |
| $p_{n}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $2 / k$ | 1 | $0.666 .$. | 0.5 | 0.4 | $0.333 .$. | $0.2857 .$. | 0.25 | 0.222. | 0.20 |

According to previous data the third Smarandache conjecture is verified in the range of $k$ and $p_{n}$ analysed due to the fact that $\Delta$ is always positive. Moreover since the Smarandache $C(n, k)$ function falls asymptotically as $n$ increases it is likely that the estimated maximum is valid also for $p_{n}>2^{25}$.

We can also analyse the behaviour of difference $\Delta(k)$ versus the $k$ parameter that in the following graph is showed with white dots. We have estimated an interpolating function

$$
\Delta(k) \approx 0.88 \cdot \frac{1}{k^{0.78}} \text { for } k>2
$$

with a very good $R^{2}$ value (see the continuous curve). This result reinforces the validity of the third Smarandache conjecture since

$$
\Delta(k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } k \rightarrow \infty
$$



## New Question

According to previous experimental data can we reformulate the third Smarandache conjecture with a tighter limit as showed below?

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})<\frac{2}{\mathrm{k}^{2 \cdot \mathrm{a}_{0}}}
$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $a_{0}$ is the Smarandache constant [ + ].[1]
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