

# ON THE 20-th AND THE 21-st SMARANDACHE'S PROBLEMS

Krassimir T. Atanassov

CLBME - Bulg. Academy of Sci., and MRL, P.O.Box 12, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria

e-mail: krat@bgcict.acad.bg

The 20-nd problem from [1] is the following (see also Problem 25 from [2]):

*Smarandache divisor products:*

1, 2, 3, 8, 5, 36, 7, 64, 27, 100, 11, 1728, 13, 196, 225, 1024, 17, 5832, 19, 8000, 441, 484, 23,  
331776, 125, 676, 729, 21952, 29, 810000, 31, 32768, 1089, 1156, 1225, 10077696, 37, 1444,  
1521, 2560000, 41, ...

*( $P_d(n)$  is the product of all positive divisors of  $n$ .)*

The 21-st problem from [1] is the following (see also Problem 26 from [2]):

*Smarandache proper divisor products:*

1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 6, 1, 8, 3, 10, 1, 144, 1, 14, 15, 64, 1, 324, 1, 400, 21, 22, 1, 13824, 5, 26, 27,  
784, 1, 27000, 1, 1024, 33, 34, 35, 279936, 1, 38, 39, 64000, 1, ...

*( $p_d(n)$  is the product of all positive divisors of  $n$  but  $n$ .)*

These problems their solutions are well-known and by this reason we shall give more unstandard solutions (see, e.g. [3]).

Let

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i},$$

where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$  are different prime numbers and  $k, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \geq 1$  are natural numbers. Then

$$P_d(n) = \prod_{d/n} d.$$

Therefore, every divisor of  $n$  will be a natural number with the form

$$d = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{b_i},$$

where  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$  are natural numbers and for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ):  $0 \leq b_i \leq a_i$ , i.e.,

$$P_d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{c_i},$$

where  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  are natural numbers and below we shall discuss their form.

First, we shall note that for fixed where  $k, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  the number of the different divisors of  $n$  will be

$$\tau(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (a_i + 1).$$

**THEOREM:** For every natural number  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$ :

$$P_d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_1^{a_1+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}+1} \cdot p_i^{t_{a_i}} \cdot p_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{a_k+1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $t_q = \frac{q \cdot (q+1)}{2}$  is the  $q$ -th triangular number.

**Proof:** When  $n$  is a prime number, i.e.,  $k = a_1 = 1$ , the validity of (1) is obvious. Let us

assume that (1) is valid for some natural number  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$ . We shall prove (8) for  $m+1$ ,

i.e., for the natural number  $n' = n \cdot p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number. There are two cases for  $p$ .

Case 1:  $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ . Then

$$P_d(n') = P_d(n \cdot p) = (P_d(n)) \cdot (P_d(n) \cdot p^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1))$$

(because the first term contains all multipliers of  $n$  multiplied by 1 and in the second term - multiplied by  $p$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &= (P_d(n))^2 \cdot p^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1) = (P_d(n))^{a_{k+1}+1} \cdot p^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1) \cdot t_{a_{k+1}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} p_1^{a_1+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}+1} \cdot p_i^{t_{a_i}} \cdot p_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $p = p_s \in \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ . Then  $n = m \cdot p_s^{a_s}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P_d(n') &= P_d(n \cdot p) = P_d(m \cdot p_s^{a_s+1}) = (P_d(m) \cdot 1) \cdot (P_d(m) \cdot p_s^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_{s-1}+1) \cdot (a_{s+1}+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1)) \\ &\quad \cdot (P_d(m) \cdot p_s^{2 \cdot (a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_{s-1}+1) \cdot (a_{s+1}+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1)) \\ &\quad \cdot \dots \cdot (P_d(m) \cdot p_s^{(a_s+1) \cdot (a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_{s-1}+1) \cdot (a_{s+1}+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1)) \\ &= (P_d(m))^{a_s+1} \cdot p_s^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_{s-1}+1) \cdot (a_{s+1}+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1) \cdot (1+2+\dots+(a_s+1)) \\ &= (P_d(m))^{a_s+1} \cdot p_s^{(a_1+1)} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_{s-1}+1) \cdot t_{a_s+1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} p_1^{a_1+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}+1} \cdot p_i^{t_{a_i}} \cdot p_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (1) is valid, i.e., Problem 20 is solved. Using it we can see easily, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_d(n) &= \prod_{i=1}^k p_1^{a_1+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}+1} \cdot p_i^{\frac{a_i(a_i+1)}{2}} \cdot p_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{a_k+1} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot (a_1+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_k+1)} \cdot p_i^{a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^k n^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \tau(n)} \cdot n = n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^k n^{\tau(n)}},
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$P_d(n) = n \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^k n^{\tau(n)}}, \tag{2}$$

which is the standard form of the representation of  $P_d(n)$ .

From (2), having in mind that

$$p_d(n) = \frac{P_d(n)}{n}$$

it is seen directly that the solution of 21-st problem is

$$p_d(n) = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^k n^{\tau(n)}},$$

or in the form of (1):

$$p_d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_1^{a_1+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}+1} \cdot p_i^{\frac{a_i}{2}} \cdot p_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{a_k+1}.$$

#### REFERENCE:

- [1] C. Dumitrescu, V. Seleacu, Some notions and questions in number theory, Erhus Univ. Press, Glendale, 1994.
- [2] F. Smarandache, Only problems, not solutions!, Xiquan Publ. House, Chicago, 1993.
- [3] T. Nagell, Introduction to Number Theory. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1950.