

On The Functional Equation $Z(n) + \varphi(n) = d(n)$

Zhong Li and Maohua Le

Abstract: For any positive integer n , let $d(n)$, $\varphi(n)$ and $Z(n)$ denote the divisor function, the Euler function and the pseudo-Smarandache function of n respectively. In this paper, we prove that the functional equation $Z(n) + \varphi(n) = d(n)$ has no solution n .

Key words: divisor function, Euler function, pseudo-Smarandache function.

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all positive integers. For any positive integer n , let

$$(1) \quad d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1,$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ \gcd(m,n)=1}} 1,$$

$$(3) \quad Z(n) = \min \left\{ a \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, n \mid \sum_{j=1}^a j \right\}.$$

Then $d(n)$, $\varphi(n)$ and $Z(n)$ are called the divisor function, the Euler function and the Pseudo-Smarandache function of n respectively. In [1], Ashbacher posed the following unsolved question:

Question: How many solutions n are there to the functional equation

$$(4) \quad Z(n) + \varphi(n) = d(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} ?$$

In this paper, we completely solve the above-mentioned question as follows:

Theorem: The equation $Z(n) + \varphi(n) = d(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has no solution.

Proof: Let n be a solution of (4). A computer search showed that (4) has no solution with $n \leq 10000$ (see [1]). So we have $n > 10000$. Let

$$(5) \quad n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$$

be the prime factorization of n . By [2, theorems 62 and 273], we see from (1), (2) and (5) that

$$(6) \quad d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (r_i + 1)$$

$$(7) \quad \varphi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - 1/p_i)$$

On the other hand, it is a well-known fact that

$$(8) \quad n \mid \frac{1}{2} Z(n)(Z(n) + 1)$$

(see [1]). From (8) we get

$$Z(n) \geq \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, by (4), (5), (6), (7) and (9), we obtain

$$(10) \quad 1 \geq f(n) + g(n)$$

where

$$(11) \quad f(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - 1/p_i) (p_i^{r_i/(r_i+1)}),$$

$$(12) \quad g(n) = \sqrt{2 \prod_{i=1}^k (p_i^{n^2/(r_i+1)})} - \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^k 1/(r_i + 1).$$

Clearly, we see from (12) that $g(n) > 0$ for any positive integer n with $n > 1$. Hence, we get from (10) that

$$(13) \quad f(n) < 1.$$

If $k = 1$, then $n = p_1^{r_1}$ and $Z(n) \geq p_1^{r_1} - 1$ by (3). Hence, by (1) and (2), n is not a solution of (4). This implies that $k \geq 2$.

If $k \geq 3$, then $p_k \geq 5$ and $f(n) \geq 1$, by (11). This contradicts with (13). So we have $k = 2$. Then (11) can be written as

$$(14) \quad f(n) = (1 - 1/p_1) (1 - 1/p_2) \left((p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2}) / ((r_1+1)(r_2+1)) \right).$$

If $p_2 > 3$, then from (14) we get $f(n) \geq 1$, a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = 3$. Then, by (13) and (14), we obtain

$$(15) \quad f(n) = (2^{r_1} 3^{r_2}) / (3(r_1+1)(r_2+1)) < 1.$$

From (15), we can calculate that $(r_1, r_2) = (1, 1)$ or $(2, 1)$. This implies that $n \leq 12$, a contradiction. Thus, (4) has no solution n . The theorem is proved.

References

- (1) C. Ashbacher, 'The Pseudo-Smarandache Function and the Classical Functions of Number Theory', **Smarandache Notions J.**, 9(1995), 78-81.
- (2) G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, **An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers**, Oxford University Press, 1937.