

ON PRIMALITY OF THE SMARANDACHE SYMMETRIC SEQUENCES

Sabin TABIRCA, Tatiana TABIRCA

"Transilvania" University of Brasov
Faculty of Science, Computer Science Department
Str. Iuliu Maniu, nr. 50, Brasov, 2200
Romania

The study of primality for the Smarandache sequences represents a recent research direction on the Smarandache type notions. A few articles that were published recently deal with the primality of the direct and reverse Smarandache sequences. The primality of Smarandache symmetric sequences has not been studied yet. This article proposes some results concerning the non-primality of these symmetric sequences and presents some interesting conclusions on a large computational test on these.

Key Words: Smarandache Symmetric Sequences, Prime Numbers, Testing Primality

Smarandache type notions represents one of the important and recent directions on which the research on Elementary Number Theory has been carried out on the last years. Many theoretical and practical studies concerning the Smarandache functions, numbers or sequences have been developed so far. The practical studies have proved that many conjectures and open problems on this kind of notions are true. This article follows this line by developing a large computation on the Smarandache symmetric sequence and after that by proving some non-primality results. But, the most important fact is the article proposes two special numbers of the sequences that are primes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the following, the main notions that are used in this article are summarized and some recent results concerning them are reviewed. All of them concern the Smarandache sequences. There are three types of the Smarandache sequences presented below [4]:

- The direct Smarandache sequence: 1, 12, 123, 1234, ...
- The reverse Smarandache sequence: 1, 21, 321, 4321, ...
- The symmetric Smarandache sequence: 1,121, 1221, 12321, 123321, 1234321, 12344321,...

Let these sequences be denoted by:

$$Sd(n) = 123...n \quad (\forall n > 0) \quad (1.a.)$$

$$Sr(n) = n...321 \quad (\forall n > 0) \quad (1.b.)$$

In order to simplify the study of the symmetric Smarandache sequence, we note

$$S_1(n) = 123\dots(n-1)n(n-1)\dots321 \ (\forall n > 0) \quad (2.a.)$$

$$S_2(n) = 123\dots(n-1)nn(n-1)\dots321 \ (\forall n > 0) \quad (2.b.)$$

and called them the symmetric Smarandache sequences of the first and second order, respectively. These sequences have been intensely studied and some interesting results have been proposed so far.

The direct and reverse Smarandache were the subject to an intense computational study. Stephan [5] developed the first large computational study on these sequences. He analyzed the factorization of the first one hundred terms of these sequences finding no prime numbers within. In order to find prime numbers in these sequences, Fleuren [3] extended the study up to two hundred finding no prime numbers too. In [3], a list of people who study these computationally these sequences was presented. No prime numbers in these sequences have been found so far. Unfortunately, a computational study has not been done for the symmetric sequences yet.

The only result concerning the symmetric sequences was proposed by Le [2]. This result states that the terms $S_2(n) = 123\dots(n-1)nn(n-1)\dots321$ of the second Smarandache symmetric sequence are not prime if $\frac{n}{2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. No computational results were furnished in this article for sustaining the theorem. Smarandache [4] proposed several proprieties on these three sequences, majority of them being open problems.

2. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

Testing primality has always represented a difficult problem. For deciding the primality of large numbers, special and complicated methods have been developed. The last generation ones use elliptic curve and are very efficient in finding prime factors large. For example, Fleuren used Elliptic Curve Primality Proving or Adleman-Pomerance-Rumely tests obtaining all prime factors up to 20 digits. More information about these special tests could be found in [1].

The computation that we have done uses MAPLE 5, which is software oriented to mathematical computations. This software contains several functions for dealing with primes and factorization such as *isprime*, *ifactor*, *ifactors*, *ithfactor*, etc. The function *ifactor* that is based on the elliptic curves can find prime factors depending on the method used. The easy version discovers prime factor up to 10 digits. The "Lenstra" method can find prime factors up to 20 digits. We used the simple version of *ifactor* for testing the terms of the Smarandache symmetric sequences. The computation was done for all the numbers between 2 and 100. The results are presented in Tables 1,2 of Appendix. Table 1 gives the factorization of the terms of the first Smarandache symmetric sequence. Table 2 provides the factorization of the second Smarandache symmetric sequence.

Several simple observations can be made by analyzing Tables 1, 2. The most important of them is that two prime numbers are found within. The term $S_1(10) = 12345678910987654321$ of the first Smarandache symmetric sequence is a prime number with 20 digits. Similarly, the term $S_2(10) = 1234567891010987654321$ of the second Smarandache symmetric sequence is a prime number with 22 digits. No other prime numbers

can be found in these two tables. The second remark is that the terms from the tables present similarities. For example, all the terms $S_1(3 \cdot k)$, $k > 1$ and $S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)$, $S_2(3 \cdot k)$, $k > 1$ are divisible by 3. This will follow us to some theoretical results. The third remark is that some prime factors satisfy a very strange periodicity. The factor (333667) appear 29 times in the factorization of the second Smarandache symmetric sequence. A supposition that can be made is the following *there are no prime numbers in the Smarandache symmetric sequences others that $S_1(10)$, $S_2(10)$.*

In the following, the prime numbers $S_1(10) = 12345678910987654321$ and $S_2(10) = 1234567891010987654321$ are named the Smarandache gold numbers. Perhaps, they are the largest and simplest prime numbers known so far.

3. PRIMALITY OF THE SMARANDACHE SYMMETRIC SEQUENCES

In this section, some theoretical results concerning the primality of the Smarandache symmetric sequences are presented. The remarks drawn from Tables 1,2 are proved to be true in general.

Let $ds(n)$, $n \in N^*$ be the digits sum of number n . It is known that a natural number n is divisible by 3 if and only if $3 \mid ds(n)$. A few simple results on this function are given in the following.

Proposition 1. $(\forall n > 1)$ $ds(3n)$ is M3.

Proof

The proof is obvious by using the simple remarks $3n$ is M3. Thus, $ds(3n)$ is M3. ♣

Proposition 2. $(\forall n > 1)$ $ds(3n-1) + ds(3n-2)$ is M3.

Proof

Let us suppose that the forms of the numbers $3n-1, 3n-2$ are

$$3 \cdot n - 2 = \overline{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_p} \quad (3.)$$

$$3 \cdot n - 1 = \overline{b_1 b_2 b_3 \dots b_p}. \quad (4.)$$

Both of them have the same number of digits because $3n-2$ cannot be $999\dots 9$. The equation

$$ds(3 \cdot n - 2) + ds(3 \cdot n - 1) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_p + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_p = ds(\overline{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p b_1 b_2 \dots b_p})$$

gives $ds(3 \cdot n - 2) + ds(3 \cdot n - 1) = ds((3 \cdot n - 2) \cdot 10^p + 3 \cdot n - 1)$.

The number $(3 \cdot n - 2) \cdot 10^p + 3 \cdot n - 1$ is divisible by 3 as follows

$$(3 \cdot n - 2) \cdot 10^p + 3 \cdot n - 1 = 10^p - 1 = (9 + 1)^p - 1 = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, $ds(3n-2) + ds(3n-1) = ds((3n-2)10^p + 3n-1)$ is M3. ♣

In order to prove that the number $S_1(3 \cdot k)$, $k > 1$ and $S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)$, $S_2(3 \cdot k)$, $k > 1$ are divisible by 3, Equations (5-6) are used.

$$ds(S_1(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_1(3 \cdot k - 3)) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 2) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 1) + ds(3 \cdot k) \quad (5.)$$

$$ds(S_2(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 3)) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 2) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 1) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k) \quad (6.a.)$$

$$ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)) = ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 4)) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 3) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 2) + 2 \cdot ds(3 \cdot k - 1) \quad (6.b.)$$

Based on Propositions 1,2, Equations (5-6) give

$$ds(S_1(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_1(3 \cdot k - 3)) \pmod{3} \quad (7.)$$

$$ds(S_2(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 3)) \pmod{3} \text{ and } ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)) = ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 4)) \pmod{3}. \quad (8.)$$

The starting point is given by $S_1(3) = 3^2 \cdot 37^2$ is M3, $S_2(2) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 37$ is M3, and $S_2(3) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \cdot 101$ is M3. All the above facts provide an induction mechanism that proves obviously the following theorem.

Theorem 3. The numbers $S_1(3 \cdot k)$, $k \geq 1$ and $S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)$, $S_2(3 \cdot k)$, $k \geq 1$ are divisible by 3, thus are not prime.

Proof

This proof is given by the below implications.

$$S_1(3) = 3^2 \cdot 37^2 \text{ is M3, } ds(S_1(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_1(3 \cdot k - 3)) \pmod{3} \Rightarrow S_1(3k) \text{ is M3, } k \geq 1.$$

$$S_2(2) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \text{ is M3, } ds(S_1(3 \cdot k)) = ds(S_1(3 \cdot k - 3)) \pmod{3} \Rightarrow S_2(3k) \text{ is M3, } k \geq 1.$$

$$S_2(2) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 37 \cdot 101 \text{ is M3, } ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 1)) = ds(S_2(3 \cdot k - 4)) \pmod{3} \Rightarrow S_2(3k-1) \text{ is M3, } k \geq 1.$$

4. FINAL REMARKS

This article has provided both a theoretical and computational study on the Smarandache symmetric sequences. This present study can be further developed on two ways. Firstly, the factorization can be refined by using a more powerful primality testing technique. Certainly, the function *ifactor* used by Lenstra's method may give factors up to 20 digits. Secondly, the computation can be extended up to 150 in order to check divisibility property. Perhaps, the most interested fact to be followed is if the factor (333667) appears periodically in the factorization of the second Smarandache sequence.

The important remark that can be outlined is two important prime numbers were found. These are 12345678910987654321 and 1234567891010987654321. We have named them the Smarandache gold numbers and represent large numbers that can be memorized easier. Moreover, they seem to be the only prime numbers within the Smarandache symmetric sequences.

REFERENCES

[1] BACH E., SHALLIT J., Algorithmic number theory, MIT Press, Massachusetts, 1998.
 [2] LE M., *The primes in the Smarandache symmetric sequence*, Smarandache Notion Journal, Vol.10, No.1-3, (1999), 174-175.
 [3] Fleuren M., *The prime factors on the Smarandache symmetric sequence*, Smarandache Notion Journal, Vol.10, No.1-3, (1999), 5-38.
 [4] SMARANDACHE F., Only problems, Not solutions!, Xiquan Publ., Pheonix-Chicago, 1993.
 [5] STEPHAN R. W., *Factors and primes in two Smarandache sequences*, Smarandache Notion Journal, Vol. 8, No.9 (1998), 4-10.

APPENDIX - The results of the computation.

<i>n</i>	<i>Digits</i>	<i>Factorisation</i>
2	3	$(11)^2$
3	5	$(3)^2(37)^2$
4	7	$(11)^2(101)^2$
5	9	$(41)^2(271)^2$
6	11	$(3)^2(7)^2(11)^2(13)^2(37)^2$
7	13	$(239)^2(4649)^2$
8	15	$(11)^2(73)^2(101)^2(137)^2$
9	17	$(3)^4(37)^2(333667)^2$
10	20	PRIME
11	24	$(7)(17636684157301569664903)$
12	28	$(3)^2(7)^2(2799473675762179389994681)$
13	32	$(1109)(4729)(2354041513534224607850261)$
14	36	$(7)(571)(3167)(10723)(439781)(2068140300159522133)$
15	40	$(3)^2(7)(3167)(10723)(75401)(439781)(687437)_{c27}$
16	44	$(71)(18428)_{c37}$
17	48	$(7)^2(31)_{c44}$
18	52	$(3)^5(7)(8087)(89744777939149063905891825989378400337330283)$
19	56	$(251)(281)(5519)(96601)_{c42}$
20	60	$(7)(17636684157301733059308816884574168816593059017301569664903)$
21	64	$(3)^2(7)_{c62}$
22	68	$(70607)_{c63}$
23	72	$(7)(15913)_{c67}$
24	76	$(3)^2(7)(659)(56383)_{c66}$
25	80	NO Answer, Yet
26	84	$(7)(3209)(17627)_{c75}$
27	88	$(3)^4(7)(223)(28807)_{78}$
28	92	$(149)(82856905436988007661182361202698806929028608924581377465249745095179303029618262489850029)$
29	96	$(7)_{c95}$
30	100	$(3)^2(7)(167)(761)_{93}$
31	104	$(827)_{c101}$
32	108	$(7)(31)(42583813)_{c98}$
33	112	$(3)^2(7)^2(281)_{c106}$
34	116	$(197)(509)_{c111}$
35	120	$(7)(10243)_{c115}$
36	124	$(3)^6(7)(2399)_{c117}$
37	128	NO Answer, Yet
38	132	$(7)^2(313)_{c127}$
39	136	$(3)^2(7)(733)(2777)_{c127}$
40	140	$(17047)(28219)_{131}$
41	144	$(7)(5153)(7687)(79549)_{c130}$
42	148	$(3)^2(7)(9473)_{c142}$
43	152	$(191)(4567)_{c?}$
44	156	$(7)(223)(251)_{c150}$
45	160	$(3)^6(7)(643303)_{c150}$
46	164	$(967)(33289)_{c156}$
47	168	$(7)(31)(199)(281)_{c161}$
48	172	$(3)^2(7)(557)(38995472881)_{c156}$
49	176	$(139121)_{c170}$
50	180	$(7)(179)$
51	184	$(3)^2(7)(71)(55697)_{c175}$
52	188	$(109)(181)_{c183}$

53	192	$(7)(14771)$ c187
54	196	$(3)^4(7)^3(191)(3877)$ c185
55	200	(5333) c196
56	204	$(7)(73589)$ c198
57	208	$(3)^2(7)(3389)(56591)$ c198
58	212	NO Answer, Yet
59	216	$(7)^2$ c214
60	220	$(3)^2(7)(14769967)$ c211
61	224	$(281)(286813)$ c216
62	228	$(7)(31)$ c?
63	232	$(3)^2(7)$ c228
64	236	NO Answer, Yet
65	240	(7) c239
66	244	$(3)^2(7)$ c242
67	248	NO Answer, Yet
68	252	$(7)(1861)(12577)(19163)$ c?
69	256	$(3)^2(7)(251)(1861)$ c248
70	260	NO Answer, Yet
71	264	(7) c263
72	268	$(3)^5(7)(563)(3323)$ c258
73	272	$(2477)(3323)(3943)$ c265
74	276	$(7)(47279)$ c270
75	280	$(3)^2(7)^2(281)(7681)$ c271
76	284	NO Answer, Yet
77	288	$(7)(31)$ c285
78	292	$(3)^2(7)$ c290
79	296	$(313)(6529)(63311)$ c284
80	300	$(7)^3(130241)$ c292
81	304	$(3)^4(7)$ c301
82	308	NO Answer, Yet
83	312	$(7)(197)$ c308
84	316	$(3)^2(7)(1931)(110323)$ c305
85	320	$(953)(1427)(103573)$ c308
86	324	$(7)(71)(181)$ c319
87	328	$(3)^2(7)(491)$ c?
88	332	NO Answer, Yet
89	336	$(7)(281)(50581)$ c328
90	340	$(3)^5(7)(67121)$ c332
91	344	(19501) c339
92	348	$(7)(31)(571)(811)$ c340
93	352	$(3)^2(7)$ c350
94	356	$(251)(79427)$ c348
95	360	(7) c359
96	364	$(3)^2(7)^2$ c361
97	368	(7559) c364
98	372	$(7)(1129)(4703)(63367)$
99	376	$(3)^5(7)$ c372
100	381	NO Answer, Yet

Table 1. Smarandache Symmetric Sequence of the first order.

<i>n</i>	<i>Digits</i>	<i>Factorisation</i>
2	4	(3)(11)(37)
3	6	(3)(11)(37)(101)
4	8	(11)(41)(101)(271)
5	10	(3)(7)(11)(13)(37)(41)(271)
6	12	(3)(7)(11)(13)(37)(239)(4649)
7	14	(11)(73)(137)(239)(4649)
8	16	(3) ² (11)(37)(73)(101)(137)(333667)
9	18	(3) ² (11)(37)(41)(271)(333667)(9091)
10	22	PRIME
11	26	(3)(43)(97)(548687)(1798162193492119)
12	30	(3)(11)(31)(37)(61)(92869187)(575752909227253)
13	34	(109)(3391)(3631)(919886914249704430301189)
14	38	(3)(41)(271)(9091)(290971)(140016497889621568497917)
15	42	(3)(37)(661)(1682637405802185215073413380233484451)
16	46	No Answer Yet
17	50	(3) ² (1371742101123468126835130190683490346790109739369)
18	54	(3) ² (37)(1301)(333667)(6038161) c36
19	58	(41)(271)(9091) c50
20	62	(3)(11)(97) c58
21	66	(3)(37)(983) c61
22	70	(67)(773)(2383749861966990503207452683288838257844397322240377925143576831)
23	74	(3)(11)(7691) c68
24	78	(3)(37)(41)(43)(271)(9091)(165857) c61
25	82	(227)(2287)(33871) c71
26	86	(3) ² (163)(5711) c78
27	90	(3) ² (31)(37)(333667) c80
28	94	(146273)(608521) c83
29	98	(3)(41)(271)(9091)(40740740407441077407747474744141750841417542087508417508414141414141077441077407407407407)
30	102	(3)(37)(5167) c96
31	106	(11) ³ (4673) c99
32	110	(3)(43)(1021) c?
33	114	(3)(37)(881) c109
34	118	(11)(41)(271)(9091) c109
35	122	(3) ² (3209) c117
36	126	(3) ² (37)(333667)(68697367) c110
37	130	No Answer Yet
38	134	(3)(1913)(12007)(58417)(597269) c115
39	138	(3)(37)(41)(271)(347)(9091)(23473) c121
40	142	No Answer Yet
41	146	(3)(156841) c140
42	150	(3)(11)(31)(37)(61) c143
43	154	(71)(5087) c?
44	158	(3) ² (41)(271)(9091) c149
45	162	(3) ² (11)(37)(43)(333667) c151
46	166	No Answer Yet
47	170	(3) c169
48	174	(3)(37)(173)(60373) c165
49	178	(41)(271)(929)(34613)(9091) c162
50	182	(3)(167)(1789)(9923)(159652607) c163
51	186	(3)(37)(1847) C180
52	190	No Answer Yet
53	194	(3) ³ (11)(43)(26539) c185

54	198	$(3)^3(37)(41)(151)(271)(347)(463)(9091)(333667)$ c174
55	202	(67) c200
56	206	(3) c205
57	210	$(3)(37)$ c208
58	214	$(59)(109)$ c210
59	218	$(3)(11)^2(41)(59)(271)(9091)$ c205
60	222	$(3)(37)(8837)$ c216
61	226	$(11)^2(17)(197)(631)$ c217
62	230	$(3)^4(19)(72617)$ c222
63	234	$(3)^2(37)(333667)$ c226
64	238	$(41)(89)(271)(9091)(63857)(6813559)$ c216
65	242	$(3)(2665891)$ c235
66	246	$(3)(37)$ c244
67	250	(1307) c246
68	254	$(3)(43)(107)(8147)(3373)(37313)$ c237
69	258	$(3)(17)(37)(41)(271)(1637)(9091)(4802689)$ c236
70	262	$(11)(109)(21647107)$
71	266	$(3)^2(19)$ c263
72	270	$(3)^2(11)(37)(333667)(1099081)$ c254
73	274	No Answer Yet
74	278	$(3)(41)(271)(1481)(9091)$ c266
75	282	$(3)(37)(17827)(26713)$ c271
76	286	No Answer Yet
77	290	$(3)(17)^2(337)(8087)(341659)$ c275
78	294	$(3)(37)$ c292
79	298	$(41)(271)(9091)(10651)(98887)$ c281
80	302	$(3)^2(19)$ c299
81	306	$(3)^6(11)(37)(333667)$ c295
82	310	No Answer Yet
83	314	$(3)(11)(41543)(48473)(69991)$ c298
84	318	$(3)(37)(41)(271)(9091)$ c308
85	322	$(17)(2203)(19433)$ c313
86	326	$(3)(89)(193)$ c321
87	330	$(3)(37)(59)$ c326
88	334	$(59)(67)$ c330
89	338	$(3)^3(19)(41)(43)(271)(9091)$ c325
90	342	$(3)^2(37)(333667)$ c334
91	346	No Answer Yet
92	350	$(3)(11)(18859)$ c344
93	354	$(3)(17)(37)(1109)(1307)$ c344
94	358	$(11)(41)(271)(9091)$ c349
95	362	(3) c361
96	366	$(3)(37)(373)(169649)(24201949)$ c348
97	370	$(113)(163)(457)(7411)$ c359
98	374	$(3)^2(19)(572597)$ c366
99	378	$(3)^2(37)(41)(271)(499)(593)(333667)(9091)$ c?
100	384	(89) c382

Table 2. Smarandache Symmetric Sequence of the second order.