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Kashihara[2] defined the Pseudo-Smarandache function Z by
$Z(n)=\min \left\{m \geq 1: n \left\lvert\, \frac{m(m+1)}{2}\right.\right\}$

Properties of this function have been studied in [1], [2] etc.

1. By answering a question by $C$. Ashbacher, Maohua Le proved that $S(Z(n))-Z(S(n))$ changes signs infinitely often. Put

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{n})=|\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n}))-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}))|
$$

We will prove first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf \Delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{n}) \leq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{n})=+\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $n=\frac{p(p+1)}{2}$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Then it is not difficult to see that $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{p}$. Therefore,

$$
|S(Z(n))-Z(S(n))|=|S(p)-S(p)|=|p-(p-1)|=1
$$

implying (1). We note that if the equation $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n}))=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n}))$ has infinitely many solutions, then clearly the lim inf in (1) is 0 , otherwise is 1 , since

$$
|S(Z(n))-Z(S(n))| \geq 1
$$

$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{n}))-\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n}))$ being an integer.
Now let $n=p$ be an odd prime. Then, since $Z(p)=p-1, S(p)=p$ and $S(p-1) \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$
(see [4]) we get

$$
\Delta_{s, 2}(p)=|S(p-1)-(p-1)|=p-1-S(p-1) \geq \frac{p-1}{2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

proving (2). Functions of type $\Delta_{f, g}$ have been studied recently by the author [5] (see also [3]).
2. Since $n \left\lvert\, \frac{(2 n-1) 2 n}{2}\right.$, clearly $Z(n) \leq 2 n-1$ for all $n$.

This inequality is best possible for even $n$, since $Z\left(2^{k}\right)=2^{k+1}-1$. We note that for odd $n$, we have $Z(n) \leq n-1$, and this is best possible for odd $n$, since $Z(p)=p-1$ for prime $p$. By

we get $\limsup _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{Z(n)}}{\mathrm{n}}=2$.

Since $Z\left(\frac{p(p+1)}{2}\right)=p$, and $\frac{p}{p(p+1) / 2} \rightarrow 0(p \rightarrow \infty)$, it follows
$\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(n)}{n}=0$

For $Z(Z(n))$, the following can be proved. By

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(Z\left(\frac{p(p+1)}{2}\right)\right)=p-1, \text { clearly } \\
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z(Z(n))}{n} \quad=0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by $Z(Z(n)) \leq 2 Z(n)-1$ and (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(Z(n))}{n} \leq 4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We now prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}|Z(2 n)-Z(n)|=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup |Z(2 n)-Z(n)|=+\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$
Indeed, in [1] it was proved that $Z(2 p)=p-1$ for a prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Since $Z(p)=p-1$, this proves relation (7).

On the other hand, let $n=2^{k}$. Since $Z\left(2^{k}\right)=2^{k+1}-1$ and $Z\left(2^{k+1}\right)=2^{k+2}-1$, clearly $Z\left(2^{k+1}\right)-Z\left(2^{k}\right)=2^{k+1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
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