THE DIVISIBILITY OF THE SMARANDACHE COMBINATORIAL SEQUENCE OF DEGREE TWO

Maohua Le Department of Mathematics Zhanjiang Normal College 29 Cunjin Road, Chikan Zhanjiang, Guangdong P.R.China

Abstract: In this paper we prove that there has only the consecutive terms of the Smarandache combinatorial sequence of degree two are pairwise coprime.

Key words: Smarandache combinatorial sequences; consecutive terms; divisibility

Let r bea positive integer with r > 1. Let $SCS(r) = \{a(r,n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the Smarandache combinatorial sequence of degree r. Then we have $a(r,n)=n(n=1,2,\dots,r)$ and a(r,n)(n>r) is the sum of all the products of the previous terms of the sequence taking r terms at a time. In [2], Murthy asked that how many of the consecutive terms of SCS(r) are pairwise coprime.

In this paper we solve this problem for r-2. We prove the following result.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10271104), the Guangdong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No.011781) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Department of Guangdong Province (No.0161).

Theorem. For any positive integer *n*, we have $a(2, n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{a(2,n)}$.

By the above mentioned theorem, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary. There has only the consecutive terms 1,2 of SCS(2) are pairwise coprime.

Proof of Theorem. Let b(n)=a(2,n) for any n. Then we have b(1)=1 and b(2)=2. It implies that the theorem holds for n=1.

By the define of
$$SCS(2)$$
, if $n > 1$, then we have
 $b(n) = b(1)b(2) + \dots + b(n-2)b(n-1)$
 $= \frac{1}{2}((b(1) + \dots + b(n-1))^2 - (b^2(1) + \dots + b^2(n-1)))$
(1)

and

$$b(n) = b(1)b(2) + \dots + b(n-2)b(n-1)$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \left((b(1) + \dots + b(n-1) + b(n))^2 - (b^2(1) + \dots + b^2(n-1) + b^2(n)) \right)^{(2)}$

using the basic properties of congruence (see [1, Chapter V]), we get from (1) and (2) that

$$b(n+1) \equiv \frac{1}{2} ((b(1) + \dots + b(n-1))^2 - (b^2(1) + \dots + b^2(n-1)))$$

= $b(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{b(n)}.$

Thus, the theorem is proved.

References

[1] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of

numbers, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1938.

[2] A. Murthy, Some new Smarandache sequences, functions and partitions, Smarandache Notions J. 11(2000), 179-183.