THE EQUALITY $\beta^2(k+2,S(n)) = \beta^2(k+1,S(n)) + \beta^2(k,S(n))$

Xingen Chen

Abstract. For any positive integer a, let S(a) be the Smarandache function of a. For any positive integer r and b, let $\beta(r, b)$ be the last r digits of b. In this paper we determine all positive integer pairs (n, k) for which the title equality holds.

Key words: Smarandache function, digit, equality

For any positive integer a, let S(a) be the Smarandache function of a. For any positive integer

(1) $b = \overline{t_s \cdots t_2 t_1}$

with s digits, let

(2) $\beta(r,b) = \overline{t_r \cdots t_1}$

be the last r digits of b. Recently, Bencze [1] proposed the following problem:

Problem Determine all positive integer pairs (n, k) for which

(3)
$$\beta^{2}(k+2,S(n)) = \beta^{2}(k+1,S(n)) + \beta^{2}(k,S(n)).$$

In this paper we completely solve the above-mentioned problem as follows.

Theorem A positive integer pair (n, k) satisfies (3) if and only if n satisfy

(4)
$$S(n) = 10^{k+2}c + 10^k d,$$

where c is a nonnegative integer, d is a positive integer with $1 \le d \le 9$.

By the definition of the Smarandache function (see [2]), we have S(m!)=m for any positive integer *m*. Therefore, by the above theorem, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary For any fixed positive integer k, there exists infinitely many positive integers

(5)
$$n = (10^{k+2}c + 10^k d)!, c \ge 0, d = 1, 2, \dots, 9,$$

Satisfying (3).

The proof of Theorem Let (n, k) be a positive integer pair satisfying (3), and let b=S(n). Then b is a positive integer. We may assume that b has s digits as (1). For any positive integer r, by the definition (2) of $\beta(r, b)$, we have

$$(6) \qquad \qquad 0 \le \beta(r,b) < 10^r$$

and

(7)
$$\beta(r+1,b) = \beta(r,b) + 10^{r} t_{r+1}.$$

If $t_{k+2} \neq 0$, then from (6) and (7) we get

(8)
$$\beta(k+2,b) \ge \beta(k+1,b) + 10^{k+1} > \beta(k+1,b) + \beta(k,b).$$

It implies that

(9)
$$\beta^{2}(k+2,b) > \beta^{2}(k+1,b) + \beta^{2}(k,b),$$

which contradicts (3).

If
$$t_{k+2}=0$$
, then from (7) we get
(10) $\beta(k+2,b) = \beta(k+1,b)$.

Substitute (10) into (3), we get $\beta(k, b)=0$. It implies that $t_1=\cdots=t_k=0$

by (2). Thus, b=S(n) satisfies (4). The theorem is proved.

References

- M. Bencze, Open questions for the Smarandache function, Smarandache Notions J. 12(2001), 201-203.
- [2] F. Smarandache, A function in number theory, Ann. Univ. Timisoara XVIII, 1980.

Department of Mathematics Maoming College Maoming, Guangdong P. R. CHINA