THE EQUALITY $\beta^{2}(k+2, S(n))=\beta^{2}(k+1, S(n))+\beta^{2}(k, S(n))$
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Abstract. For any positive integer $a$, let $S(a)$ be the Smarandache function of $a$. For any positive integer $r$ and $b$, let $\beta(r, b)$ be the last $r$ digits of $b$. In this paper we determine all positive integer pairs ( $n, k$ ) for which the title equality holds.
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For any positive integer $a$, let $S(a)$ be the Smarandache function of $a$. For any positive integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\overline{t_{s} \cdots t_{2} t_{1}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s$ digits, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(r, b)=\overline{t_{r} \cdots t_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the last $r$ digits of $b$. Recently, Bencze [1] proposed the following problem:

Problem Determine all positive integer pairs $(n, k)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}(k+2, S(n))=\beta^{2}(k+1, S(n))+\beta^{2}(k, S(n)) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we completely solve the above-mentioned problem as follows.

Theorem A positive integer pair ( $n, k$ ) satisfies (3) if and only if $n$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(n)=10^{k+2} c+10^{k} d, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a nonnegative integer, $d$ is a positive integer with $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 9$.
By the definition of the Smarandache function (see [2]), we have $S(m!)=m$ for any positive integer $m$. Therefore, by the above theorem, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary For any fixed positive integer $k$, there exists infinitely many positive integers

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left(10^{k+2} c+10^{k} d\right)!, c \geq 0, d=1,2, \cdots, 9 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Satisfying (3).
The proof of Theorem Let ( $n, k$ ) be a positive integer pair satisfying (3), and let $b=S(n)$. Then $b$ is a positive integer. We may assume that $b$ has $s$ digits as (1). For any positive integer $r$, by the definition (2) of $\beta(r, b)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \beta(r, b)<10^{r} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(r+1, b)=\beta(r, b)+10^{r} t_{r+1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t_{k+2} \neq 0$, then from (6) and (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(k+2, b) \geq \beta(k+1, b)+10^{k+1}>\beta(k+1, b)+\beta(k, b) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}(k+2, b)>\beta^{2}(k+1, b)+\beta^{2}(k, b) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (3).
If $t_{k+2}=0$, then from (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(k+2, b)=\beta(k+1, b) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (10) into (3), we get $\beta(k, b)=0$. It implies that $t_{1}=\cdots=t_{k}=0$
by (2). Thus, $b=S(n)$ satisfies (4). The theorem is proved.
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