

The function $\Pi_s(x)$

by

Vasile Seleacu and Stefan Zanfir

In this paper are studied some properties of the numerical function $\Pi_s : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\Pi_s(x) = \{ m \in (0, x] / S(m) = \text{prime number} \}$, where $S(m)$ is the Smarandache function, defined in [1].

Numerical example :

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_s(1) &= 0, \Pi_s(2) = 1, \Pi_s(3) = 2, \Pi_s(4) = 2, \Pi_s(5) = 3, \Pi_s(6) = 4, \\ \Pi_s(7) &= 5, \Pi_s(8) = 5, \Pi_s(9) = 5, \Pi_s(10) = 6, \Pi_s(11) = 7, \Pi_s(12) = 7, \\ \Pi_s(13) &= 8, \Pi_s(14) = 9, \Pi_s(15) = 10, \Pi_s(16) = 10, \Pi_s(17) = 11, \Pi_s(18) = 11, \\ \Pi_s(19) &= 12, \Pi_s(20) = 13. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.

According to the definition we have :

- a) $\Pi_s(x) \leq \Pi_s(x+1)$,
- b) $\Pi_s(x) = \Pi_s(x-1) + 1$, if x is a prime,
- c) $\Pi_s(x) \leq \varphi(x)$, if x is a prime,

where $\varphi(x)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Proposition 2.

The equation $\Pi_s(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$, in the hypothesis $x \neq 1$ and $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$ has no solution in the following situation :

- a) x is a prime.
- b) x is a composite number, odd
- c) $x+1$ is the square of a positive integer and x is odd.

Proof.

Using the reduction ad absurdum method we suppose that the equation $\Pi_s(x) = \left[\frac{x}{2} \right]$ has solution. Then $\Pi_s(x+1) = \left[\frac{x+1}{2} \right]$. Using the hypothesis we have :

$$\left[\frac{x}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{x+1}{2} \right], \text{ false.}$$

Because $x+1$ is a perfect square we deduce that x is a composite number and because x is an uneven we obtain (b).

Proposition 3.

$\forall a \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$ $S(a^k)$ is not a prime.

Proof.

If we suppose that $S(a^k) = p$ is a prime, then $p! = a^k p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n} p$ and $(a^k, p) = 1$. We deduce that $a^k / (p-1)! \Rightarrow$

$$S(a^k) \leq p-1 < p, \text{ false.}$$

Proposition 4.

$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$\left[\frac{x}{2} \right] \leq \Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$$

Proof.

We used the mathematical induction. In the particular case $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ our inequality is verified by direct calculus.

We suppose that the inequality is verified for $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and we proved it for $x+1$.

We have the following cases :

1) $x+1$ the prime number, with the subcases :

a) x is not a square of some integer. Then $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$.

We suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$

Let prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) \leq x+1 - [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

It results that $\Pi_s(x+1) \leq x+1 - [\sqrt{x+1}] \Leftrightarrow \Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

It's enough to prove that $x - [\sqrt{x}] \leq x - [\sqrt{x+1}]$. This relation is true because from our hypothesis it results that $[\sqrt{x}] = [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

For the left side of the inequality we have $\Pi_s(x) \geq \left[\frac{x}{2} \right]$, true, and let prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) > \left[\frac{x+1}{2} \right]$.

Because $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$ we have to prove that $\Pi_s(x) + 1 \geq \left[\frac{x+1}{2} \right]$

Therefore $\Pi_s(x) \geq \left[\frac{x+1}{2} \right] - 1$, that is a true relation.

b) x perfect square.

We suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$ is true. Then :

$\Pi_s(x) \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}] \Rightarrow \Pi_s(x) + 1 \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}] \Leftrightarrow \Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x+1}]$.
 That is a true relation because $[\sqrt{x}] = [\sqrt{x+1}]$. For the left inequality the demonstration is analogous with (a)

2) x prime

a) $x - 1$ is not a perfect square.

We suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$ is true.

Let prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

In this case we have the following two situations :

(i) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$, then we must prove that :

$$\Pi_s(x) + 1 \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}].$$

Supposing that $\Pi_s(x) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$ is true, let show that $\Pi_s(x+1) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $\Pi_s(x) + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, therefore $\Pi_s(x) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$ and that results from the hypothesis.

(ii) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$. We have to prove that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}]$

Of course this inequality is true. For the left side of the inequality we have to prove that

$$\Pi_s(x) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor. \text{ If we admit } \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \Pi_s(x) < \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ we obtain that } \Pi_s(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor, x \neq 1.$$

According to the *Proposition 2*, this inequality can't be true.

$$\text{Therefore we have } \Pi_s(x) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Let observe that $x + 1$ is not a perfect square, if $x > 3$ is a prime number. For $x = 3$ the inequality is verified by calculus.

3) x is an even composed number. Then :

a) If $x + 1$ is a prime.

We know that $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$. Then supposing $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$. We have to prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}]$ or $\Pi_s(x) = x - [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

This is true, because $[\sqrt{x}] = [\sqrt{x+1}]$.

For the left inequality we have to show $\Pi_s(x+1) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor$,

or $\Pi_s(x) + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor$. But $\Pi_s(x) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$, is true.

b) If $x + 1$ is an odd composite number, then

(i) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$, the demonstration is the same as at (a).

(ii) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$, we have to prove that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x + 1 - [\sqrt{x+1}]$

Obvious.

The left inequality is obvious.

c) $x + 1$ perfect square.

Using *Proposition 3* we have only the case $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$. Then if we consider to be true the relation $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - [\sqrt{x}]$.

Let prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) < x+1 - \lfloor \sqrt{x+1} \rfloor$.

But $\Pi_s(x) \leq x+1 - \lfloor \sqrt{x+1} \rfloor$ is true.

For the left inequality we suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ is true. We have to prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) \geq \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$.

Because $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$ it results $\Pi_s(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$.

So, we must have $\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor \geq \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$. This is true, because $x+1$ is an odd number.

4) x is an odd composed number.

a) If $x+1$ is even composed number the proof is the same as in (2a).

For the right inequality we have :

(i) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$ and we suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor$, let to prove that $\Pi_s(x+1) \leq x+1 - \lfloor \sqrt{x+1} \rfloor$.

This relation lead us to $\Pi_s(x) \leq x - \lfloor \sqrt{x+1} \rfloor$. This is true because $\lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{x+1} \rfloor$.

(ii) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$ the proof is obvious.

b) If $x+1$ is a perfect square.

In this case according to the *Proposition 3* we have only the situation $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$. The right sided inequality is obvious and the left side inequality has the same proof as for (2a).

5) If x is a perfect square.

a) If x is a prime and the only situation is that $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$. The demonstration is obvious.

b) If $x+1$ is a composite number.

For the right inequality we have :

(i) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x+1)$, the proof is analogous as in the preceding case.

(ii) If $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$ the proof is obvious.

For the left inequality :

If $x+1$ is an odd composite number the relation is obvious.

If $x+1$ is an even composite number then :

if $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x) + 1$, the proof is analogous with (a).

if $\Pi_s(x+1) = \Pi_s(x)$ then x can be just an odd perfect square.

We suppose that $\Pi_s(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ is true.

To show that $\Pi_s(x) \geq \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$, if we suppose, again, that $\Pi_s(x) < \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$

it results

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \Pi_S(x) < \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor, \text{ and we have } \Pi_S = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proposition 5.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Pi_S (2n) - \Pi_S (n)] = \infty.$$

Proof.

According to the *Proposition 4* we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_S (n) \leq n - \left\lfloor \sqrt{n+1} \right\rfloor < n < \Pi_S (2n) \Rightarrow \\ \Pi_S (2n) - \Pi_S (n) > \left\lfloor \sqrt{n+1} \right\rfloor \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\lfloor \sqrt{n+1} \right\rfloor = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Referencies

- 1) F. Smarandache. A function in the Number Theory, An. University of Timisoara, Ser. St. Mat. vol. XVII, fasc. 1 (1980)
- 2) M. Andrei, C. Dumitrescu, V. Seleacu, L. Tutescu, St. Zanfir, Some remarks on the Smarandache Function, Smarandache Function Journal, Vol. 4, No. 1, (1994), 1-5.

Permanent address :

University of Craiova, Dept. of Math.,

Craiova (1100)

ROMANIA