

# Maximality and Minimality of Ideals In Some Neutrosophic Rings

Mohammad Abobala

► **To cite this version:**

Mohammad Abobala. Maximality and Minimality of Ideals In Some Neutrosophic Rings. 2021. hal-03259230

**HAL Id: hal-03259230**

**<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03259230>**

Preprint submitted on 13 Jun 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Maximality and Minimality of Ideals In Some Neutrosophic Rings

Mohammad Abobala

**Abstract:** This paper studied the condition of maximal and minimal ideals in neutrosophic ring theory.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic ring, refined neutrosophic ring, maximal ideal, minimal ideal, AH-ideal.

## 3. Ideals in Neutrosophic rings

### Remark 3.1:

Since every neutrosophic ring  $R(I)$  can be understood as  $R(I) = R + RI = \{a + bI; a, b \in R\}$ ,

Then each subset of  $R(I)$  has the form  $M = P + SI$ ;  $P, S$  are two subsets of  $R$ . We call  $P$  the real part,  $S$  the neutrosophic part of  $M$ .

An important question arises here. This question is:

When  $M$  is a neutrosophic ideal of  $R(I)$ ? In other words, what conditions on the real part  $P$  and neutrosophic part  $S$  which make  $M$  be an ideal?

The following theorem clarifies the necessary and sufficient condition to answer the previous question.

### Theorem 3.2:

Let  $R(I)$  be a neutrosophic ring,  $M = P + SI$  be any subset of  $R(I)$ , then

$M$  is a neutrosophic ideal if and only if the following conditions are true:

- (a)  $P$  is an ideal on  $R$ .
- (b)  $P$  is contained in  $S$ .
- (c)  $S$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

Proof:

Firstly, we assume that (a),(b), and (c) are true, we have:

$(M, +)$  is a subgroup of  $(R(I), +)$ , that is because if  $a + bI, c + dI \in M$ ;  $a, c \in P, b, d \in S$ , we find

$$(a + bI) - (c + dI) = (a - c) + (b - d)I \in M; a - c \in P, b - d \in S..$$

Now, suppose that  $a + bI \in M$  and  $r = m + nI \in R(I)$ , we have

$r \cdot (a + bI) = m \cdot a + I[m \cdot b + n \cdot b + n \cdot a]$ , by the assumption, we regard that  $m \cdot b + n \cdot b \in S$ , and  $n \cdot a \in P \leq S$ , thus  $r \cdot (a + bI) = m \cdot a + I[m \cdot b + n \cdot b + n \cdot a] \in P + SI = M$ , which means that  $M$  is a neutrosophic ideal of  $R(I)$ .

Conversely, we suppose that  $M = P + SI$  is a neutrosophic ideal of  $R(I)$ . Let  $a, c$  be two arbitrary elements in  $P$ , and  $b, d$  be two arbitrary elements in  $S$ , we have  $a + bI, c + dI \in M$ , by using the assumption we have  $M$  as an ideal, hence  $(a + bI) - (c + dI) = (a - c) + (b - d)I \in M = P + SI$ , thus

$a - c \in P$ , and  $b - d \in S$ , thus  $(P, +), (S, +)$  are two subgroups of  $(R, +)$ .

For every  $r \in R$ , we have  $r = r + 0I \in R(I)$ , and  $r \cdot (a + bI) = r \cdot a + r \cdot bI \in M = P + SI$ , thus

$r \cdot a \in P, r \cdot b \in S$ , this means that  $P, S$  are ideals in the classical ring  $R$ .

Now, we prove that  $P$  is contained in  $S$ . We have  $(1 - I) \in R(I)$ , that is because  $R(I)$  has a unity 1. On the other hand, we can write  $(1 - I)(a + bI) = (a - aI) \in M = P + SI$ , and that is because  $M$  is an ideal of  $R(I)$ , hence  $-a \in S$ , thus  $a \in S$ , by regarding that  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $P$ , we get that  $P \leq S$ .

The previous theorem ensures that each ideal is an AH-ideal, since  $P, S$  are supposed to be classical ideals of  $R$ .

### Example 3.3:

Let  $R = Z$  be the ring of integers,  $R(I) = Z(I) = \{a + bI; a, b \in Z\}$  be the corresponding neutrosophic ring, we have:

(a)  $P = \langle 2 \rangle, Q = \langle 4 \rangle, S = \langle 3 \rangle$ , are three ideals of  $R$ , with  $Q \leq P$ .

(b)  $M = Q + PI = \{4m + 2nI; m, n \in Z\}$  is an ideal of  $R(I)$ .

(c)  $N = P + SI = \{2m + 3nI; m, n \in Z\}$  is not a neutrosophic ideal, that is because  $P$  is not contained in  $S$ .

### Example 3.4:

Let  $R = Z_8$  be the ring of integers modulo 8.  $R(I) = \{a + bI; a, b \in Z_8\}$ , be the corresponding neutrosophic ring. Consider the set  $M = \{0, 4, 2I, 4I, 6I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 6I, 4 + 4I\}$ . We have  $M$  as an ideal of  $R(I)$ , that is because  $M = \langle 4 \rangle + \langle 2 \rangle I$  and  $\langle 4 \rangle \leq \langle 2 \rangle$ .

**Theorem 3.5:**

The following theorem determines the form of maximal ideals in  $R(I)$ .

Let  $R(I)$  be a neutrosophic ring,  $M = P + SI$  be an ideal of  $R(I)$ , then  $M$  is maximal if and only if  $P$  is maximal in  $R$  with  $S = R$  or  $M = R(I)$ .

Proof:

Suppose that  $M$  is maximal of  $R(I)$ , let  $N = V + WI$  be any ideal of  $R(I)$  with the property  $M \leq N$ , then  $P \leq V$  and  $S \leq W$ , by the assumption of the maximality of  $M$ , we find that  $N = M$  or  $N = R(I)$ , this implies that

$(V = P \text{ with } W = R)$  or  $(V = W = R)$ , which means that  $P$  is maximal in  $R$  or  $P = R$ . On the other hand  $P \leq S$  and  $P$  is maximal, thus  $S = P$  or  $S = R$ . Since  $P + SI \leq P + RI$ , hence the only non trivial maximal ideal is  $M = P + RI$ , with  $P$  as a maximal ideal in  $R$ .

The converse is clear.

**Theorem 3.6:**

The following theorem describes minimal ideals in  $R(I)$ .

Let  $R(I)$  be a neutrosophic ring,  $M = P + SI$  be an ideal of  $R(I)$ , then  $M$  is minimal if and only if  $S$  is minimal in  $R$  and  $P = \{0\}$ .

Proof:

Suppose that  $M$  is minimal of  $R(I)$ , let  $N = V + WI$  be any ideal of  $R(I)$  with the property  $N \leq M$ , then  $V \leq P$  and  $W \leq S$ , by the assumption of the minimality of  $M$ , we find that  $N = M$  or  $N = \{0\}$ , this implies that

$(V = P \text{ with } W = S)$  or  $(W = N = \{0\})$ , which means that  $P, S$  are minimal in  $R$ . On the other hand  $P \leq S$  and  $S$  is minimal, thus  $S = P$  or  $P = \{0\}$ . Since  $SI$  is a sub-ideal of  $P+SI$ , hence  $P = \{0\}$ .

The converse is clear.

**Remark 3.7:**

According to Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we get a full description of the structure of maximal and minimal ideals in the neutrosophic ring  $R(I)$ .

(a) Non trivial Maximal ideals in  $R(I)$  has the form  $\{P+RI\}$ , where  $P$  is maximal in  $R$ .

(b) Non trivial minimal ideals have the form  $\{\{0\}+SI\}$  where  $S$  is minimal in  $R$ .

**Example 3.8:**

Let  $Z(I)$  be the neutrosophic ring of integers, non trivial maximal ideals in  $Z(I)$  are

$\{< p > +ZI\}$ , where  $p$  is any prime number.

**4. Ideals in refined neutrosophic rings**

**Remark 4.1:**

Since every refined neutrosophic ring  $R(I_1, I_2)$  can be understood as  $R(I_1, I_2) = (R, RI_1, RI_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2); a, b, c \in R\}$ ,

Then each subset of  $R(I_1, I_2)$  has the form  $M = (P, QI_1, SI_2)$ ;  $P, Q, S$  are two subsets of  $R$ .

An important question arises here. This question is:

When  $M$  is a refined neutrosophic ideal of  $R(I_1, I_2)$ ? In other words, what conditions on  $P, Q, S$  which make  $M$  an ideal?.

The following theorem clarifies the necessary and sufficient condition to answer the previous question.

**Theorem 4.2:**

Consider the following:

$R(I_1, I_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2); a, b, c \in R\}$  be a refined neutrosophic ring,  $M = (P, QI_1, SI_2)$  be a subset of  $R(I_1, I_2)$

$M$  is an ideal of  $R(I_1, I_2)$  if and only if .

(a)  $P, Q, S$  are ideals on  $R$

(b)  $P \leq S \leq Q$ .

Proof:

Suppose that  $M$  is an ideal, then we have for every  $a, m \in P$  and  $b, n \in Q$  and  $c, t \in S$ ,

$x = (a, bI_1, cI_2), y = (m, nI_1, tI_2)$ , are two elements of  $R(I_1, I_2)$ .

$x - y = (a - m, [b - n]I_1, [c - t]I_2) \in M$ , thus  $a - m \in P, b - n \in Q, c - t \in S$ , hence  $(P, +), (Q, +), (S, +)$  are subgroups of  $(R, +)$ .

For every  $r \in R$ , we have  $(r, 0, 0) \in R(I_1, I_2)$  and  $(r, 0, 0) \cdot (a, bI_1, cI_2) = (r \cdot a, r \cdot bI_1, r \cdot cI_2) \in M$ , thus  $r \cdot a \in P, r \cdot b \in Q, r \cdot c \in S$ , thus  $P, Q, S$  are ideals of  $R$ .

On the other hand, we have  $(1, 0, -I_2) \in R(I_1, I_2)$ , thus  $(1, 0, -I_2) \cdot (a, bI_1, cI_2) = (a, 0, -aI_2) \in M$ , hence  $-a \in S$  and  $P \leq S$ , that is because  $a$  is an arbitrary element in  $P$ .

Also,  $(1, -I_1, 0) \in R(I_1, I_2)$ , thus  $(1, -I_1, 0) \cdot (a, bI_1, cI_2) = (0, -cI_1, cI_2) \in M$ , hence  $-c \in Q$  and  $S \leq Q$ . That is because  $c$  is an arbitrary element in  $S$ .

For the converse, we suppose that (a) and (b) are true, we have  $(M, +)$  as a subgroup of  $R(I_1, I_2)$ .

Let  $r = (m, nI_1, tI_2) \in R(I_1, I_2)$  and  $x = (a, bI_1, cI_2) \in M$ , we have

$r \cdot x = (m \cdot a, [m \cdot b + n \cdot a + n \cdot b + n \cdot c + t \cdot b]I_1, [m \cdot c + t \cdot a + t \cdot c]I_2)$ , it is clear that

$m \cdot c + t \cdot c \in S, t \cdot a \in P \leq S$ , thus  $m \cdot a + t \cdot a + t \cdot c \in S$ . Also,

$m \cdot b + n \cdot b + t \cdot b \in Q$ , and  $n \cdot a + n \cdot c \in S \leq Q$ , thus  $m \cdot b + n \cdot a + n \cdot b + n \cdot c + t \cdot b \in Q$ . This implies that  $r \cdot x \in M$ , hence  $M$  is an ideal.

#### Example 4.3:

Let  $Z(I_1, I_2)$  be the refined neutrosophic ring of integers, we have

$(\langle 8 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle I_1, \langle 4 \rangle I_2) = \{(8a, 2bI_1, 4cI_2); a, b, c \in Z\}$  is an ideal in  $Z(I_1, I_2)$ . That is because

$\langle 8 \rangle \leq \langle 4 \rangle \leq \langle 2 \rangle$ .

#### Example 4.4:

Let  $Z_{20}(I_1, I_2)$  be the refined neutrosophic ring of integers modulo 20, we have

$(0, \langle 5 \rangle I_1, \langle 10 \rangle I_2) =$

$\{(0, 0, 0), (0, 5I_1, 0), (0, 5I_1, 10I_2), (0, 10I_1, 0), (0, 10I_1, 10I_2), (0, 15I_1, 0), (0, 15I_1, 10I_2), (0, 0, 10I_2)\}$ .

**Theorem 4.5:**

Consider the following:

$R(I_1, I_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2); a, b, c \in R\}$  be a refined neutrosophic ring,  $M = (P, QI_1, SI_2)$  be any non trivial maximal ideal of  $R(I_1, I_2)$

M has the following form:

$(P, RI_1, RI_2)$ . Where P is any maximal ideal of R.

Proof:

We assume that M is a maximal ideal, and  $N = (X, YI_1, ZI_2)$  is an ideal of  $R(I_1, I_2)$  with  $M \leq N$ , hence  $M = N$  or  $N = R(I_1, I_2)$ , we have  $P = X, Q = Y, S = Z$ , or  $X = Y = Z = R$ . This implies that  $P, S, Q$  should be maximal; but we have that

$P \leq S \leq Q$ , hence  $(R = S, Q = R; P$  is maximal in  $R)$ .

The converse is clear.

**Theorem 4.6:**

Consider the following:

$R(I_1, I_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2); a, b, c \in R\}$  be a refined neutrosophic ring,  $M = (P, QI_1, SI_2)$  be any non trivial minimal ideal of  $R(I_1, I_2)$

M has the following form:

$(0, PI_1, 0)$ . Where P is any minimal ideal of R.

Proof:

The proof is similar to Theorem 5.2.

**Example 4.7:**

(a) Consider  $Z_8(I_1, I_2)$  the refined neutrosophic ring of integers modulo 8, we have  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4\}$  is a minimal ideal of  $Z_8$ . Hence  $(0, \langle 4 \rangle I_1, 0) = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 4I_1, 0)\}$  is a minimal ideal of  $Z_8(I_1, I_2)$ .

(b)  $\langle 2 \rangle = \{2, 4, 6, 0\}$  is maximal in  $Z_8$ . Hence  $(\langle 2 \rangle, Z_8I_1, Z_8I_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2); a \in \langle 2 \rangle$  and  $b, c \in Z_8\}$  is maximal in  $Z_8(I_1, I_2)$

## References

- [1] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 4 , pp. 72-81, 2020.
- [2] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Refined Neutrosophic Rings", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 5, pp. 59-66, 2020.
- [3] Abobala, M., "Classical Homomorphisms Between Refined Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Rings", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 5, pp. 72-75, 2020.
- [4] Abobala, M., and Alhamido, R., "AH-Substructures in Neutrosophic Modules", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 7, pp. 79-86 , 2020.
- [5] Agboola, A.A.A., "On Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures", *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol.10, pp. 99-101, 2015.
- [6] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings I", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 77-81, 2020.
- [7] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings II", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 89-94, 2020.
- [8] Kandasamy, V. W. B., Ilanthenral, K., and Smarandache, F., " Semi-Idempotents in Neutrosophic Rings", *Mathematics Journal (MDPI)*, Vol. 7, 2019.
- [9] Abobala, M., "AH-Subspaces in Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 6 , pp. 80-86, 2020.
- [10] Smarandache, F., " A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, Neutrosophy, .Neutrosophic Probability", American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2003, Neutrosophic Set.
- [11] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "Solving Three Conjectures About Neutrosophic Quadruple Vector Spaces", *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. , pp. , 2020.
- [12] Smarandache, F., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined neutrosophic Rings", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. , pp. , 2020.



- [13].Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined Neutrosophic Modules", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.36, pp. 1-11, 2020.
- [14] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "AH-Homomorphisms In Neutrosophic Rings and Refined Neutrosophic Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020.
- [15]. Abobala, M., "n- Refined Neutrosophic Groups II", International journal Of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 0, 2019.
- [16]. Abobala, M., " A Review On Recent Developments of Neutrosophic Matrix Theory and Open Problems", hal- Archives, 2021.
- [17]. Abobala, M., Partial Foundation of Neutrosophic Number Theory, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 39 , 2021
- [18]. Abobala, M., " Semi Homomorphisms and Algebraic Relations Between Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules and Strong Neutrosophic Modules", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 39, 2021.
- [19] Abobala, M.,. "A Study of AH-Substructures in  $n$ -Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 9, pp.74-85. 2020.
- [20]. T.Chalapathi and L. Madhavi,. "Neutrosophic Boolean Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 33, pp. 57-66, 2020.
- [21] Aswad. F, M., " A Study of neutrosophic Bi Matrix", Neutrosophic Knowledge, Vol. 2, 2021
- [22] Hatip, A., and Abobala, M., "AH-Substructures In Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 9, pp. 110-116 . 2020.
- [23] Abobala, M., " Classical Homomorphisms Between n-refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 7, pp. 74-78 , 2020.
- [24] Kandasamy, V.W.B., and Smarandache, F., "Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures", Hexis, Phonex, Arizona 2006.
- [25] Smarandache F., and Abobala, M., " n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 47-54, 2020.
- [26] Hatip, A., Alhamido, R., and Abobala, M., " A Contribution to Neutrosophic Groups", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 0, pp. 67-76 , 2019.

- [27] Abobala, M., "On The Representation of Neutrosophic Matrices by Neutrosophic Linear Transformations", Journal of Mathematics, Hindawi, 2021
- [28] Abobala, M., "On Some Algebraic Properties of  $n$ -Refined Neutrosophic Elements and  $n$ -Refined Neutrosophic Linear Equations", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Hindawi, 2021
- [29] Abobala, M., Hatip, A., Olgun, N., Broumi, S., Salama, A.A., and Khaled, E. H., "The Algebraic Creativity In The Neutrosophic Square Matrices", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 40, pp. 1-11, 2021.
- [30] Abobala, M., "Neutrosophic Real Inner Product Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 43, 2021.
- [31] Abobala, M., "A Study Of Nil Ideals and Kothe's Conjecture In Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, hindawi, 2021
- [32] Abobala, M., and Hatip, A., "An Algebraic Approach to Neutrosophic Euclidean Geometry", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 43, 2021.
- [33] Abobala, M., "On Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Equations", Journal of New Theory, Vol. 33, 2020.
- [34] Abobala, M., " $n$ -Cyclic Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic Systems Of Sub-Indeterminacies, An Application To Rings and Modules", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 12, pp. 81-95 . 2020.
- [35] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Elements In Neutrosophic Rings and Refined Neutrosophic Rings", Journal of New Theory, vol. 33, 2020.
- [36] Abobala, M., "  $n$ -Refined Neutrosophic Groups I", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 0, pp. 27-34. 2020
- [37] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "Neutrosophic Linear Diophantine Equations With two Variables", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38, pp. 22-30, 2020.
- [38] Abobala, M., " A Study Of Maximal and Minimal Ideals Of  $n$ -Refined Neutrosophic Rings", Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications, 2021.