

$N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -CLOSED SETS IN NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACESK. DAMODHARAN AND M. VIGNESHWARAN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the authors introduced the concept of neutrosophic  $\delta^*g\alpha$ -closed sets in neutrosophic topological spaces. Some of their properties and relations with other existing neutrosophic closed were established, some of its characterizations were also investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Smarandache [7, 8] did his research contribution on neutrosophic set. He researched on the basis of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by Atanassov [11] and fuzzy sets by Zadeh [13]. Smarandache neutrosophic set has its roots and is influenced by the truth membership function, indeterminacy member function and falsity member function. Smarandache identified a glitch that intuitionistic fuzzy and fuzzy set doesn't concentrate with indeterminacy membership functions. Hence, Smarandache inculcated his neutrosophic concept in fields such as probability, algebra, control theory, topology, etc. Succeeding Smarandache, Alblowi et al [15] contributed neutrosophic set based inclusions to the neutrosophic field. The study made by Smarandache served many researchers towards the research in topology. Salama and Albowi [3] made an extensive study and deliberated a novel concept in neutrosophic topological spaces based on Coker [6] and Chang's [5] intuitionistic fuzzy topology and fuzzy topology respectively.

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06D72, 03E72.

Key words and phrases. Neutrosophic topological spaces, neutrosophic  $\delta^*g\alpha$ -closed sets.

In the field of neutrosophic topological spaces, the research work of Salama et al., [1, 2, 4] paved towards a generalized fusion of neutrosophic sets, neutrosophic crisp sets and neutrosophic closed sets. the concept of closed sets in neutrosophic topology is well formulated and explained by several contributors in the field of research and named as neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -closed sets [8], neutrosophic  $\alpha g$ -closed sets [6] and generalized neutrosophic closed sets [14]. Recently Damodharan and Vigneshwaran [12] introduced the concept of neutrosophic  $\delta$ -closure and  $\delta$ -interior in neutrosophic topological spaces.

In this article, the concept of neutrosophic  $\delta^* g\alpha$ -interior, neutrosophic  $\delta^* g\alpha$ -closure are introduced, using that notion neutrosophic  $\delta^* g\alpha$ -closed sets in neutrosophic topological spaces are introduced and relation with other existing neutrosophic closed sets were studied and investigated some special properties.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recollect some relevant basic preliminaries about neutrosophic sets and its operations.

**Definition 2.1.** [3] Let  $X$  be a non empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set  $P$  is an object having the form  $P = \{\langle x, \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X\}$ , where  $\mu_m(P(x))$  represents the degree of membership,  $\sigma_i(P(x))$  represents the degree of indeterminacy and  $\nu_{nm}(P(x))$  represents the degree of nonmembership functions of each element  $x \in X$  to the set  $P$ .

**Remark 2.1.** [3] A neutrosophic set

$$P = \{\langle x, \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X\}$$

can be identified to an ordered triple  $\langle \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle$  in  $]0, 1^+[$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [3] In neutrosophic topological spaces

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall x \in X, 0_N \text{ may be defined as} & \forall x \in X, 1_N \text{ may be defined as} \\ 0_N = \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle & 1_N = \langle x, 1, 0, 0 \rangle \\ 0_N = \langle x, 0, 1, 1 \rangle & 1_N = \langle x, 1, 0, 1 \rangle \\ 0_N = \langle x, 0, 1, 0 \rangle & 1_N = \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle \\ 0_N = \langle x, 0, 0, 0 \rangle & 1_N = \langle x, 1, 1, 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.3.** [3] Let  $P$  be two neutrosophic sets of the form:

$P = \{ \langle x, \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \}$ , Then the compliment of  $P$  [ $P^c$ ] may be defined as:

$$P^c = \{ \langle x, 1 - \mu_m(P(x)), 1 - \sigma_i(P(x)), 1 - \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \} .$$

**Definition 2.4.** [3] Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two neutrosophic sets of the form,

$P = \{ \langle x, \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \}$  and

$Q = \{ \langle x, \mu_m(Q(x)), \sigma_i(Q(x)), \nu_{nm}(Q(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \}$ . Then,

(i) Subsets ( $P \subseteq Q$ ) may be defined as

$$P \subseteq Q \text{ if and only if } \mu_m(P(x)) \leq \mu_m(Q(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)) \geq \sigma_i(Q(x)), \\ \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \geq \nu_{nm}(Q(x))$$

(ii) Subsets  $P = Q$  if and only if  $P \subseteq Q$  and  $Q \subseteq P$ .

(iii) Union of subsets  $P \cup Q$  may be defined as

$$P \cup Q = \{ x, \max [\mu_m(P(x), \mu_m(Q(x))), \max [\sigma_i(P(x), \sigma_i(Q(x))), \\ \min [\nu_{nm}(P(x), \nu_{nm}(Q(x))] \forall x \in X \}.$$

(iv) Intersection of subsets  $P \cap Q$  may be defined as

$$P \cap Q = \{ x, \min [\mu_m(P(x), \mu_m(Q(x))), \min [\sigma_i(P(x), \sigma_i(Q(x))), \\ \max [\nu_{nm}(P(x), \nu_{nm}(Q(x))] \forall x \in X \}.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [3] A neutrosophic topology on a non empty set  $X$  is a family  $\tau$  of neutrosophic subsets in  $X$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $0_N, 1_N \in \tau$ ,
- (ii)  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ ,
- (iii)  $\cup G_i \in \tau \forall \{ G_i : i \in J \} \subseteq \tau$ .

Then the pair  $(X, \tau)$  or simply  $X$  is called a neutrosophic topological space.

**Definition 2.6.** [3] Let  $P$  be a neutrosophic set in a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then

- (i)  $Nint(P) = \cup \{ Q / Q \text{ is a neutrosophic open set in } (X, \tau) \text{ and } Q \subseteq P \}$  is called the neutrosophic interior of  $P$ ;
- (ii)  $Ncl(P) = \cap \{ Q / Q \text{ is a neutrosophic closed set in } (X, \tau) \text{ and } Q \supseteq P \}$  is called the neutrosophic closure of  $P$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [9] A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (i) neutrosophic semi-open set if  $P \subseteq Ncl(Nint(P))$ .
- (ii) neutrosophic pre-open set if  $P \subseteq Nint(Ncl(P))$ .

- (iii) *neutrosophic semi-preopen set* if  $P \subseteq Ncl(Nint(Ncl(P)))$ .
- (iv) *neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -open set* if  $P \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(P)))$ .
- (v) *neutrosophic regular open set* if  $P = Nint(Ncl(P))$ .

The complement of a neutrosophic semi-open (resp. neutrosophic pre-open, neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -open, neutrosophic regular open) set is called neutrosophic semi-closed (resp. neutrosophic pre-closed, neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -closed, neutrosophic regular closed).

**Definition 2.8.** [10] Let  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \lambda \leq 3$ . A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$  of  $X$  is a neutrosophic point of  $X$  which is defined by

$$x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, \beta, \lambda), & \text{if } y = x \\ (0, 0, 1), & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

In this case,  $x$  is called the support of  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively. A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$  is said to belong to a neutrosophic set

$$P = \langle \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \text{ in } X,$$

denoted by  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)} \in P$  if  $\alpha \leq \mu_m(P(x)), \beta \geq \sigma_i(P(x))$  and  $\lambda \geq \nu_{nm}(P(x))$ . Clearly a neutrosophic point can be represented by an ordered triple of neutrosophic point as follows :  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)} = (x_\alpha, x_\beta, C(x_{C(\lambda)}))$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [12] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space. A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$  is said to be quasi-coincident, with the neutrosophic set  $P = \langle \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle$ , denoted by  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)} q P$ , if it satisfies  $\alpha > \sigma_i(P(x))$  and  $\nu_{nm}(P(x))$  or  $\beta, \lambda < \mu_m(P(x))$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [12] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space were  $P = \{ \langle x, \mu_m(P(x)), \sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \}$  and  $Q = \{ \langle x, \mu_m(Q(x)), \sigma_i(Q(x)), \nu_{nm}(Q(x)) \rangle \forall x \in X \}$  be two neutrosophic sets in  $X$ . then  $P$  and  $Q$  are said to be quasi-coincident, denoted by  $PqQ$ , if there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that if it satisfies:  $\mu_m(P(x)) > \sigma_i(Q(x)), \nu_{nm}(Q(x))$  or  $\sigma_i(P(x)), \nu_{nm}(P(x)) < \mu_m(Q(x))$

The word 'not quasi-coincident' will be abbreviated as  $\tilde{q}$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [12] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space. A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$  is said to be a neutrosophic  $\delta$ -cluster point of a neutrosophic set  $P$  if  $AqP$  for each neutrosophic regular open  $q$ -neighborhood  $A$  of  $x_{(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)}$ . The set of all neutrosophic  $\delta$ -cluster points of  $P$  is called the neutrosophic  $N_\delta$ -closure

of  $P$  and denoted by  $Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ . A neutrosophic set  $P$  is said to be a neutrosophic  $\delta$ -closed set if  $P = Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ . The complement of a neutrosophic  $N_{\delta}$ -closed set is said to be a neutrosophic  $N_{\delta}$ -open set.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{p, q\}$  and the neutrosophic sets  $A$  and  $B$  are defined as

$$A = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.3}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.6}, \frac{q}{0.5}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.7}, \frac{q}{0.5}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X;$$

$$B = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.2}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X;$$

$$A \cup B = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.5}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.5}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X;$$

$$A \cap B = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.2}, \frac{q}{0.3}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.6}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.7}, \frac{q}{0.6}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X.$$

represents a neutrosophic open sets of neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with neutrosophic topology  $\tau = \{0_N, A, B, A \cup B, A \cap B\}$ . Hence

$$C_1 = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.5}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.4}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.4}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \text{ is } N_{*g\alpha}\text{-open set,}$$

$$C_2^c = \left\langle x, \left(\frac{p}{0.3}, \frac{q}{0.2}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.7}, \frac{q}{0.8}\right), \left(\frac{p}{0.8}, \frac{q}{0.7}\right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \text{ is } N_{\delta^*g\alpha}\text{-closed set of a neutrosophic topological space } (X, \tau). \text{ Since } Ncl_{\delta}(C_2^c) = (A \cap B)^c \text{ which is contained in } C_1. \text{ That is } Ncl_{\delta}(C_2^c) \subseteq C_1. \text{ Also, } C_2^c \subseteq C_1.$$

### 3. $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -CLOSED SETS IN NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $P$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (i) a neutrosophic generalized closed (briefly  $N_g$ -closed) set if  $Ncl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (ii) a neutrosophic generalized semi-closed (briefly  $N_{gs}$ -closed) set if  $Nscl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (iii) a neutrosophic  $\alpha$ -generalized closed (briefly  $N_{\alpha g}$ -closed) set if  $N_{\alpha}cl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (iv) a neutrosophic generalized preclosed (briefly  $N_{gp}$ -closed) set if  $Npcl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (v) a neutrosophic generalized semi-preclosed (briefly  $N_{gsp}$ -closed) set if  $Nspcl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (vi) a neutrosophic  $*$ generalized  $\alpha$ -closed (briefly  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed) set if  $Ncl(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

The complement of a  $N_g$ -closed (resp.  $N_{gs}$ -closed,  $N_{\alpha g}$ -closed,  $N_{gp}$ -closed,  $N_{gsp}$ -closed and  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed) set is called  $N_g$ -open (resp.  $N_{gs}$ -open,  $N_{\alpha g}$ -open,  $N_{gp}$ -open,  $N_{gsp}$ -open and  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open).

The authors introduce the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.** A subset  $P$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed if  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$  whenever  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is a  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta}$ -closed set is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be  $N_{\delta}$ -closed and  $U$  be any  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set containing  $P$ . Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta}$ -closed,  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) = P$ . Therefore  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq P \subseteq U$ . We know that  $Ncl(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $P$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta}$ -closed set is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta}$ -closed  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) = P$ , then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$  therefore  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set.  $\square$

Note that the converse part of the theorem is not true is shown through an example.

**Remark 3.1.** From example 1, the neutrosophic set  $C_2^c$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set but it is not  $N_{\delta}$ -closed set of a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $Ncl_{\delta}(C_2^c) = (A \cap B)^c \neq C_2^c$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set is  $N_{gs}$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ -open set. Since every  $N$ -open set is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open[9], then  $U$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . But  $Nscl(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $Nscl(P) \subseteq U$ , Therefore  $P$  is  $N_{gs}$ -closed set.  $\square$

Note that the converse part of the theorem is not true is shown through an example.

**Remark 3.2.** The neutrosophic set

$$C_3^c = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left( \frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.3}, \frac{q}{0.5} \right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

is  $N_{gs}$ -closed set but it is not a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $Ncl_{\delta}(C_3^c) = A^c$  does not contain in  $C_1$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set is  $N_{\alpha g}$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ -open set. Since every  $N$ -open set is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open, then  $U$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . But  $N_{\alpha cl}(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $N_{\alpha cl}(P) \subseteq U$ , Therefore  $P$  is  $N_{\alpha g}$ -closed set.  $\square$

Note that the converse part of the theorem is not true is shown through an example.

**Remark 3.3.** *The neutrosophic set*

$$C_4^c = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left( \frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.6}, \frac{q}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.6}, \frac{q}{0.4} \right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

*is  $N_{\alpha g}$ -closed set but it is not a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $C_4^c$  does not contain in  $A^c$ ,  $C_4^c$  does not contain in  $(A \cup B)^c$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set is  $N_{gsp}$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ -open set. Since every  $N$ -open set is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open, then  $U$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . But  $Nspcl(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $Nspcl(P) \subseteq U$ , Therefore  $P$  is  $N_{gsp}$ -closed set.  $\square$

Note that the converse part of the theorem is not true is shown through an example.

**Remark 3.4.** *The neutrosophic set*

$$C_5^c = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left( \frac{p}{0.7}, \frac{q}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.3} \right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

*is  $N_{gsp}$ -closed set but it is not a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $C_5^c$  does not contain in  $A^c$ ,  $C_5^c$  does not contain in  $(A \cup B)^c$ .*

**Theorem 3.6.** *In the neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set is  $N_{gp}$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $P \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $N$ -open set. Since every  $N$ -open set is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open, then  $U$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . But  $Npcl(P) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $Npcl(P) \subseteq U$ , Therefore  $P$  is  $N_{gp}$ -closed.  $\square$

Note that the converse part of the theorem is not true is shown through an example.

**Remark 3.5.** *The neutrosophic set*

$$C_6^c = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left( \frac{p}{0.6}, \frac{q}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.4}, \frac{q}{0.3} \right), \left( \frac{p}{0.5}, \frac{q}{0.4} \right) \right\rangle, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

is  $N_{gp}$ -closed set but it is not a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of a neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $C_6^c$  does not contain in  $A^c$ ,  $C_6^c$  does not contain in  $(A \cup B)^c$

#### 4. CHARACTERIZATION OF $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -CLOSED SETS

**Theorem 4.1.** *The finite union of  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed sets is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{P_i/i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a finite class of  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed subsets of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then for each  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open set  $U_i$  in  $X$  containing  $P_i$ ,  $Ncl_\delta(P_i) \subseteq U_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Hence  $\bigcup_i P_i \subseteq \bigcup_i U_i = V$ . Since arbitrary union of  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is also  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $V$  is  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Also  $\bigcup_i Ncl_\delta(P_i) = Ncl_\delta(\bigcup_i P_i) \subseteq V$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_i P_i$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $P$  be a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $Ncl_\delta(P) - P$  does not contain a non-empty  $N_{g\alpha}$ -closed set.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, let  $F$  be a  $N_{g\alpha}$ -closed set contained in  $Ncl_\delta(P) - P$ . Now  $F^c$  is  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $P \subseteq F^c$ . Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $Ncl_\delta(P) \subseteq F^c$ . Thus  $F \subseteq (Ncl_\delta(P))^c$ . Also  $F \subseteq Ncl_\delta(P) - P$ . Therefore  $F \subseteq (Ncl_\delta(P)) \cap (Ncl_\delta(P))^c = \phi$ . Hence  $F = \phi$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $P$  is  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open and  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$  then  $P$  is an  $N_\delta$ -closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $P$  is  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open and  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed,  $Ncl_\delta(P) \subseteq P$ . Hence  $P$  is  $N_\delta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The intersection of a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set and a  $N_\delta$ -closed set is always  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -Closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -Closed and let  $F$  be  $N_\delta$ -closed. If  $U$  is an  $N_{g\alpha}$ -open set with  $P \cup F \subseteq U$ , then  $P \subseteq U \cup F^c$  and so  $Ncl_\delta(P) \subseteq U \cup F^c$ . Now  $Ncl_\delta(P \cup F) \subseteq Ncl_\delta(P) \cup F \subseteq U$ . Hence  $P \cup F$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed.  $\square$



**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $P$  is a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set in a space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $P \subseteq Q \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $Q$  is also a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $B \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , Then  $P \subseteq U$ . Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set,  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . Also since  $B \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ ,  $Ncl_{\delta}(Q) \subseteq Ncl_{\delta}(Ncl_{\delta}(P)) = Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq U$ . Implies  $Ncl_{\delta}(Q) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $Q$  is also a  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $P$  be  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $P$  is  $N_{\delta}$ -closed iff  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) - P$  is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $P$  be a  $N_{\delta}$ -closed subset of  $X$ . Then  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) = P$  and so  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) - P = \phi$  which is  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed.

Sufficiency. Since  $P$  is  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed, by proposition,  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) - P$  does not contain a non-empty  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed set. But  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) - P = \phi$ . That is  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) = P$ . Hence  $P$  is  $N_{\delta}$ -closed.  $\square$

We introduce the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $N_{\alpha\delta T_{\frac{3}{4}}^{**}g\alpha}$ -space if every  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set is an  $N_{\delta}$ -closed*

**Theorem 4.7.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $N_{\alpha\delta T_{\frac{3}{4}}^{**}g\alpha}$ -space.
- (ii) Every singleton  $\{x\}$  is either  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed or  $N_{\delta}$ -open.

*Proof.*

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $\{x\}$  is not a  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X - \{x\}$  is not a  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -open set. Thus  $X - \{x\}$  is an  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $N_{\alpha\delta T_{\frac{3}{4}}^{**}g\alpha}$ ,  $X - \{x\}$  is an  $N_{\delta}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ , i.e.  $\{x\}$  is  $N_{\delta}$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $P$  be an  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $x \in Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ . By (ii),  $\{x\}$  is either  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed or  $N_{\delta}$ -open.

Case(i). Let  $\{x\}$  be  $N_{*g\alpha}$ -closed. If we assume that  $x \notin P$ , then we would have  $x \in Ncl_{\delta}(P) - P$ , which cannot happen according to proposition Hence  $x \in P$ .

Case(ii) Let  $\{x\}$  be  $N_{\delta}$ -open. Since  $x \in Ncl_{\delta}(P)$ , then  $\{x\} \cap P = \phi$ . This shows that  $x \in P$ . So in both cases we have  $Ncl_{\delta}(P) \subseteq P$ . Trivially  $P \subseteq$

$Ncl_\delta(P)$ . Therefore  $P = Ncl_\delta(P)$  or equivalently  $P$  is  $N_\delta$ -closed. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $N_{\alpha\delta T_{\frac{3}{4}}^{**}g\alpha}$ -space.  $\square$

## 5. CONCLUSION

This article defined  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -closed set in neutrosophic topological Spaces and relation with other exciting neutrosophic sets in neutrosophic topology were studied. Along with that some of these properties were discussed. Also  $N_{\alpha\delta T_{\frac{3}{4}}^{**}g\alpha}$ -space of the set were introduced and discussed their properties. This set can be used to derive few more functions such as  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -continuous and  $N_{\delta^*g\alpha}$ -irresolute functions. In addition to that it can be extended to homeomorphisms of neutrosophic topological spaces.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. SALAMA, F. SMARANDACHE, K. VALERI: *Neutrosophic Crisp Sets and Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces*, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2** (2014), 25 – 30.
- [2] A. A. SALAMA, F. SMARANDACHE, K. VALERI: *Neutrosophic Closed Set and Neutrosophic Continuous Functions*, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **4** (2014), 4 – 8.
- [3] A. A. SALAMA, S. A. ALBLOWI : *Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces*, *IOSR-Journal of Mathematics*, **3**(4) (2012) 31 – 35.
- [4] A. A. SALAMA, S. A. ALBLOWI : *Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Spaces*, *Journal of Computer Science and Engineering*, **2**(7) (2012), 29 – 32.
- [5] C. L. CHANG: *Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **24** (1968), 182 – 190.
- [6] D. COKER: *An introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, **88**(1) (1997), 81 – 89.
- [7] F. SMARANDACHE: *A Unifying Field of Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic*, American Research Press, Rehoboth 1998.
- [8] F. SMARANDACHE: *Neutrosophic Set - A Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set*, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **24**(3) (2005), 287 – 297.
- [9] I. AROKIARANI, R. DHAVASEELAN, S. JAFARI, M. PARIMALA: *On Some New Notions and Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces*, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **16** (2017), 16 – 19.
- [10] P. ISWARYA, K. BAGEERATHI: *A Study on Neutrosophic Frontier and Neutrosophic Semi-frontier in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces*, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **16** (2017), 6 – 15.

- [11] K. ATANASSOV: *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **20**(1) (1986), 87 – 96.
- [12] K. DAMODHARAN, M. VIGNESHWARAN:  *$N_{\delta}$ -Closure and  $N_{\delta}$ -Interior in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces*, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, communicated.
- [13] L. A. ZADEH: *Fuzzy Sets*, Information and Control, **8**(3) (1965), 338 – 353.
- [14] R. DHAVASEELAN, S. JAFARI: *Generalized Neutrosophic Closed sets*, New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications, **2** (2017), 261 – 273.
- [15] S. A. ALBLOWI, A. A. SALAMA, M. EISA: *New Concepts of Neutrosophic Sets*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research, **3**(4) (2013), 95 – 102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KPR INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY (AUTONOMOUS)  
COIMBATORE - 641407, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* catchmedamo@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KONGUNADU ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)  
COIMBATORE - 641029, INDIA.  
*E-mail address:* vignesh.mat@gmail.com