

University of New Mexico



# Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert Set with Application in Decision Making for the Best Selection of Product

Muhammad Ihsan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Atiqe Ur Rahman<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Saeed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,1,\*</sup> University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan. 1;mihkhb@gmail.com, aurkhb@gmail.com <sup>2</sup> University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan. 2; muhammad.saeed@umt.edu.pk

Correspondence: mihkhb@gmail.com

Abstract. Numerous researchers have made a few models dependent on soft set, to tackle issues in decision making and clinical analysis, yet a large portion of these models manage one expert. This causes an issue with the clients, particularly with the individuals who use polls in their work and studies. Accordingly we present another model i.e. fuzzy hypersoft expert set which not just addresses this constraint of fuzzy soft-like models by accentuating the assessment, all things considered, yet additionally settle the deficiency of soft set for disjoint attribute-valued sets comparing to distinct attributes. In this study, the existing concept of fuzzy soft expert set is generalized to fuzzy hypersoft expert set which is more flexible and useful. Some fundamental properties (i.e. subset, not set and equal set), results (i.e. commutative, associative, distributive and D Morgan Laws) and set-theoretic operations (i.e. complement, union, intersection AND, and OR) are discussed. An algorithm is proposed to solve decision-making problems and is applied to select the best product.

Keywords: Soft Set; Fuzzy Soft Set; Fuzzy Soft Expert Set; Hypersoft Set; Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert Set.

#### 1. Introduction

Zadeh [1] initiated fuzzy set theory as a basic model to tackle uncertainties in the data. Molodtsov [2] presented soft set theory that is supposed to be a new parameterized class of subsets of the universe of discourse, which addresses the inadequacy of fuzzy set-like structures for parameterization tools. It has helped the researcher (experts) to resolve efficiently the decision-making problems involving vagueness and uncertainty. The researchers [3–15] studied and broadened the concept of soft set and applied to different fields. The gluing concept of soft set with expert system initiated by Alkhazaleh et al. [16] to emphasize the due status of the opinions of all experts regarding taking any decision in decision-making system. Al-Quran

et al. [17] proposed neutrosophic vague soft expert set theory, Alkhazaleh et al. [18] characterized fuzzy soft expert set. and its application. Bashir et al. [19,20] presented possibility fuzzy soft expert set and fuzzy parameterized soft expert set. Sahin et al. [21] investigated neutrosophic soft expert sets. Alhazaymen et al. [22,23] studied mapping on generalized vague soft expert set and generalized vague soft expert set. Alhazaymeh et al. [24] explained the application of generalized vague soft expert set in decision making. Hassan et al. [25] reviewed Q-neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. Ulucay et al. [26] studied generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making. Al-Qudah et al. [27] explained bipolar fuzzy soft expert set and its application in decision making. Al-Qudah et al. [28] investigated complex multi-fuzzy soft expert set and its application. Al-Quran et al. [29] presented the com-plex neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. Pramanik et al. [30] studied the topsis for single valued neutrosophic soft expert set based multi-attribute decision making problems. Abu Qamar et al. [31] investigated the generalized Q-neutrosophic soft ex-pert set for decision under uncertainty. Adam et al. [32] characterized the multi Q-fuzzy soft expert set and its application. Ulucay et al. [33] presented the time-neutrosophic soft expert sets and its decision making problem. Al-Quran et al. [34] studied fuzzy parameterised single valued neutrosophic soft expert set theory and its application in decision making. Hazaymeh et al. [35] researched generalized fuzzy soft expert set.

There are many real life scenarios when we are to deal with disjoint attribute-valued set for distinct attributes. In 2018, Smarandache [36] addressed this inadequacy of soft with the development of new structure hypersoft set by replacing single attribute-valued function to multi-attribute valued function. In 2020, Saeed et al. [37, 38] extended the concept and discussed the fundamentals of hypersoft set such as hypersoft subset, complement, not hypersoft set, aggregation operators along with hypersoft set relation, sub relation, complement relation, function, matrices and operations on hypersoft matrices. In the same year, Mujahid et al. [39] discussed hypersoft points in different fuzzy-like environments. In 2020, Rahman et al. [40] defined complex hypersoft set and developed the hybrids of hypersoft set with complex fuzzy set, complex intuitionistic fuzzy set and complex neutrosophic set respectively. They also discussed their fundamentals i.e. subset, equal sets, null set, absolute set etc. and theoretic operations i.e. complement, union, intersection etc. In 2020, Rahman et al. [41] conceptualized convexity cum concavity on hypersoft set and presented its pictorial versions with illustrative examples. Recently the researchers [42–49] investigated on the theory of hypersoft set and developed certain its hybrids with discussion and applications in decision making.

Dealing with disjoint attribute-valued sets is of great importance and it is vital for sensible decisions in decision-making techniques. Results will be varied and be considered inclined and odd on ignoring such kind of sets. Therefore, it is the need of the literature to adequate

Muhammad Ihsan, Atiqe Ur Rahman and Muhammad Saeed, Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert Set with Application in Decision Making for the Best Selection of Product

the exiting literature of soft and expert set for multi-attribute function. Having motivation from [10]- [19], new notions of fuzzy hypersoft expert set are developed and an application is discussed in decision making through a proposed method. The pattern of rest of the paper is: section 2 reviews the notions of soft sets, fuzzy soft set, fuzzy soft expert set, hypersoft set and relevant definitions used in the proposed work. Section 3, presents notions of fuzzy hypersoft expert set with properties. Section 4, demonstrates an application of this concept in a decision-making problem. Section 5, concludes the paper.

# $1.1. \ Motivation$

The novelty of fuzzy hypersoft expert set (FHSE-set) is as:

- It is the extension of soft set, fuzzy soft set, soft expert set and fuzzy soft expert set,
- It tackles all the hindrances of soft set, fuzzy soft set, soft expert set and fuzzy soft expert set for dealing with further partitions of attributes into attribute-valued sets,
- It facilitates the decision-makers to have decisions for uncertain scenarios without encountering with any inclined situation.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, some basic definitions and terms regarding the main study, are presented from the literature.

## **Definition 2.1.** [1]

Let  $P(\Omega)$  denote power set of  $\Omega$ (universe of discourse) and F be a collection of parameters defining  $\Omega$ . A soft set M is defined by mapping

$$\Psi: F \to P(\Omega)$$

**Definition 2.2.** [3] Suppose  $\Omega$  be a set of universe, while F is a set of parameters. Here  $I^{\Omega}$  represents the power set of all fuzzy subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $C \subseteq F$ . A pair (R, F) is called a fuzzy soft set with R is a mapping given by

$$R: C \to I^{\Omega}$$

# **Definition 2.3.** [4]

The union of two soft sets  $(\Psi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\Psi_2, A_2)$  over  $\Omega$  is the soft set  $(\Psi_3, A_3)$ ;  $A_3 \doteq A_1 \cup A_2$ , and  $\forall \xi \in A_3$ ,

$$\Psi_{3}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \Psi_{1}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{1} - A_{2} \\ \Psi_{2}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{2} - A_{1} \\ \Psi_{1}(\xi) \cup \Psi_{2}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{1} \cap A_{2} \end{cases}$$

## **Definition 2.4.** [15]

The extended intersection of two soft sets  $(\Psi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\Psi_2, A_2)$  with  $\Omega$  is the soft set  $(\Psi_3, A_3)$ while  $A_3 \doteq A_1 \cup A_2$ , ;  $\xi \in A_3$ ,

$$\Psi_{3}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \Psi_{1}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{1} - A_{2} \\ \Psi_{2}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{2} - A_{1} \\ \Psi_{1}(\xi) \cup \Psi_{2}(\xi) & ; \xi \in A_{1} \cap A_{2} \end{cases}$$

#### **Definition 2.5.** [16]

Assume that Y be a set of specialists (operators) and  $\ddot{O}$  be a set of conclusions,  $T = F \times Y \times \ddot{O}$ with  $S \subseteq T$  where  $\Omega$  denotes the universe, F a set of parameters. A pair( $\Phi, S$ ) is known as a soft expert set over  $\Omega$ , where H is a mapping given by

$$\Phi: S \to P(\Omega)$$

**Definition 2.6.** [16] A  $(\Phi_1, S) \subseteq (\Phi_2, P)$  over  $\Omega$ , if (i)  $S \subseteq P$ , (ii)  $\forall \alpha \in S, \Phi_1(\alpha) \subseteq \Phi_2(\alpha)$ . While  $(\Phi_2, P)$  is known as a *soft expert superset* of  $(\Phi_1, S)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [18] A pair (H, C) is called a fuzzy soft expert set over  $\Omega$  where F is a mapping given by

$$H: C \to I^{\Omega}$$

where  $I^{\Omega}$  the set of all fuzzy subsets of  $\Omega$ .

# **Definition 2.8.** [36]

Let  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_m$ , for  $m \ge 1$ , be m distinct attributes, whose corresponding attribute values are respectively the sets  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \ldots, H_m$ , with  $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ , for  $i \ne j$ , and  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\}$ . Then the pair  $(\Psi, G)$ , where  $G = H_1 \times H_2 \times H_3 \times \ldots \times H_m$  and  $\Psi : G \rightarrow P(\Omega)$ is called a *hypersoft Set* over  $\Omega$ .

## **Definition 2.9.** [38]

Let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, ..., \Gamma_m$  be disjoint attribute-valued sets for m distinct attributes. A pair  $(\Phi, \Gamma)$ is called fuzzy hypersoft set over  $\Omega$  with  $\Gamma$  is the cartesian product of  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ , and  $\Phi : \Gamma \to P(\Omega)$ . In general,  $\Phi(\alpha) = \{(x, \Phi(\alpha))(x)/x \in \Omega\}; \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Here  $P(\Omega)$  is the collection of all fuzzy sets.

# 3. Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert set (FHSE-Set)

**Definition 3.1.** Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert set (FHSE-Set)

A pair( $\xi$ ,  $\mathbb{S}$ ) is known as a *fuzzy hypersoft expert set* over  $\coprod$ , where

$$\xi: \mathbb{S} \to I^{\coprod}$$

where

- $I^{\coprod}$  is collection of all fuzzy subsets of  $\coprod$
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{C}$
- $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \mathcal{G}_3 \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_p$  where  $\mathcal{G}_i$  are disjoint attributive-valued sets corresponding to distinct attributes  $g_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$
- $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of specialists (operators)
- $\mathbb{C}$  be a set of conclusions.

For simplicity,  $\mathbb{C} = \{0 = disagree, 1 = agree\}.$ 

**Example 3.2.** Suppose that a multi-national company aims to proceed the valuation of certain specialists about its certain products. Let  $\coprod = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$  be a set of products and

 $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{q_{11}, q_{12}\}$ 

 $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{q_{21}, q_{22}\}$ 

 $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{q_{31}, q_{32}\}$ 

be disjoint attributive sets for distinct attributes  $q_1$  = simple to utilize,  $q_2$  = nature,  $q_3$  = modest. Now

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \mathcal{G}_3$$
$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{31}), \mu_2 = (q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{32}), \mu_3 = (q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{31}), \mu_4 = (q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{32}), \\ \mu_5 &= (q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{31}), \mu_6 = (q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{32}), \mu_7 = (q_{12}, q_{22}, q_{31}), \mu_8 = (q_{12}, q_{22}, q_{32}) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Now  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{cases} (\mu_{1}, s, 0), (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{2}, s, 0), (\mu_{2}, s, 1), (\mu_{2}, t, 0), (\mu_{2}, t, 1), (\mu_{2}, u, 0), (\mu_{2}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{3}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{4}, s, 0), (\mu_{4}, s, 1), (\mu_{4}, t, 0), (\mu_{4}, t, 1), (\mu_{4}, u, 0), (\mu_{4}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{5}, s, 0), (\mu_{5}, s, 1), (\mu_{5}, t, 0), (\mu_{5}, t, 1), (\mu_{5}, u, 0), (\mu_{5}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{6}, s, 0), (\mu_{6}, s, 1), (\mu_{6}, t, 0), (\mu_{6}, t, 1), (\mu_{6}, u, 0), (\mu_{6}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{7}, s, 0), (\mu_{7}, s, 1), (\mu_{7}, t, 0), (\mu_{7}, t, 1), (\mu_{7}, u, 0), (\mu_{7}, u, 1), \\ (\mu_{8}, s, 0), (\mu_{8}, s, 1), (\mu_{8}, t, 0), (\mu_{8}, t, 1), (\mu_{8}, u, 0), (\mu_{8}, u, 1) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{S} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mu_1, s, 0), (\mu_1, s, 1), (\mu_1, t, 0), (\mu_1, t, 1), (\mu_1, u, 0), (\mu_1, u, 1), \\ (\mu_2, s, 0), (\mu_2, s, 1), (\mu_2, t, 0), (\mu_2, t, 1), (\mu_2, u, 0), (\mu_2, u, 1) \\ (\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_3, s, 1), (\mu_3, t, 0), (\mu_3, t, 1), (\mu_3, u, 0), (\mu_3, u, 1), \end{array} \right\}$$

be a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{s, t, u, \}$  be a set of specialists.

Following survey depicts choices of three specialists:

$$\begin{split} \xi_1 &= \xi(\mu_1, s, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\}, \\ \xi_3 &= \xi(\mu_1, u, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\}, \\ \xi_5 &= \xi(\mu_2, t, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_5 &= \xi(\mu_2, t, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_7 &= \xi(\mu_3, s, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\}, \\ \xi_9 &= \xi(\mu_3, u, 1) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{11} &= \xi(\mu_1, t, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{13} &= \xi(\mu_2, s, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{15} &= \xi(\mu_2, u, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{16} &= \xi(\mu_3, u, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{16} &= \xi(\mu_3, u, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\}, \\ \xi_{17} &= \xi(\mu_3, t, 0) = \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

The fuzzy soft expert set can be described as

$$(\xi, \mathbb{S}) = \begin{cases} \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.9}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \mu_2, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.5}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_2, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_2, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.8}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.5}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \end{cases} \right)$$

**Definition 3.3.** Fuzzy Hypersoft Expert subset

A hypersoft expert set  $(\xi_1, \mathbb{S})$  is said to be fuzzy hypersoft expert subset of  $(\xi_2, R)$  over  $\coprod$ , if (i)  $\mathbb{S} \subseteq R$ ,

(ii) 
$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{S}, \xi_1(\alpha) \subseteq \xi_2(\alpha).$$

and denoted by  $(\xi_1, \mathbb{S}) \subseteq (\xi_2, R)$ . Similarly  $(\xi_2, R)$  is said to be fuzzy hypersoft expert superset of  $(\xi_1, \mathbb{S})$ .

Example 3.4. Considering Example 3.2, Suppose

$$A_{1} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1) \right\}$$
$$A_{2} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{3}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 0), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1), (\mu_{1}, u, 1) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{It is clear that} A_1 \subset A_2. \text{Suppose} \left(\xi_1, A_1\right) \text{ and } \left(\xi_2, A_2\right) \text{ be defined as following} \\ \left( \left( \mu_1, s, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_1, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_1, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.4} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, s, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_1, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_1, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \mu_3, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \mu_3, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \\ \text{which implies that } \left( \xi_1, \mu_2, 0 \right) \in \left\{ \xi_1, \mu_2, 0 \right\} \right) \in \left\{ \xi_1, \mu_2, 0 \right\} \right\}$$

which implies that  $(\xi_1, A_1) \subseteq (\xi_2, A_2)$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  over  $\coprod$  are said to be equal if  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  is a hypersoft expert subset of  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  is a fuzzy hypersoft expert subset of  $(\xi_1, A_1)$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set as defined in definition 3.1 and  $\mathcal{D}$ , a set of experts. The NOT set of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{C}$  denoted by  $\sim \mathcal{H}$ , is defined by  $\sim \mathcal{T} = \{(\sim g_i, d_j, c_k) \forall i, j, k\}$  where  $\sim g_i$  is not  $g_i$ .

**Definition 3.7.** The complement of a fuzzy hypersoft expert set  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})$ , denoted by  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})^c$ , is defined by  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})^c = (\xi^c, \sim \mathbb{S})$  while  $\xi^c : \sim \mathbb{S} \to P(\coprod)$  is a mapping given by  $\xi^c(\beta) = \coprod -\xi(\sim \beta)$ , where  $\beta \in \sim \mathbb{S}$ .

Example 3.8. Taking complement of fuzzy hypersoft expert set determined in 3.2, we have

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left( \sim \mu_{1}, s, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.9} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{1}, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{1}, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.7} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{3}, s, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{3}, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{3}, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, s, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.7} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, t, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, u, 1 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{1}, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{1}, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{1}, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{3}, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{3}, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.7} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim (\mu_{3}, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, s, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.4} \right\} \right\}, \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, t, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.4} \right\} \right\}, \left( \left( \sim \mu_{2}, u, 0 \right), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right).$$

**Definition 3.9.** An agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})_{ag}$  over  $\coprod$ , is a fuzzy hypersoft expert subset of  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})$  and is characterized as

$$(\xi, \mathbb{S})_{ag} = \{\xi_{ag}(\beta) : \beta \in G \times D \times \{1\}\}.$$

**Example 3.10.** Finding agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set determined in 3.2, we get

 $(\xi, \mathbb{S}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.5}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right) \\ \left( (\mu_2, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.9}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.5}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$ 

**Definition 3.11.** A disagree- fuzzy hypersoft expert set  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})_{dag}$  over  $\coprod$ , is a fuzzy hypersoft expert subset of  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})$  and is characterized as  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})_{dag} = \{\xi_{dag}(\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathcal{D} \times \{0\}\}.$ 

Example 3.12. Getting disagree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set determined in 3.2,

$$(\xi, \mathbb{S}) = \begin{cases} \left( (\mu_1, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.1}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.8}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.5}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right) \\ \left( (\mu_2, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.8}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_2, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.9}, \frac{m_4}{0.4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_2, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right) \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.13.** If  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})$  is a fuzzy hypersoft expert set over  $\coprod$ , then

(1).  $((\xi, \mathbb{S})^c)^c = (\xi, \mathbb{S})$ (2).  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})^c_{ag} = (\xi, \mathbb{S})_{dag}$ (3).  $(\xi, \mathbb{S})^c_{dag} = (\xi, \mathbb{S})_{ag}$ 

**Definition 3.14.** The union of  $(\xi_1, \mathbb{S})$  and  $(\xi_2, R)$  over  $\coprod$  is  $(\xi_3, L)$  with  $L = \mathbb{S} \cup R$ , defined as

$$\xi_{3}(\beta) = \begin{cases} \xi_{1}(\beta) & ; \beta \in \mathbb{S} - R \\ \xi_{2}(\beta) & ; \beta \in \mathbb{R} - S \\ \xi_{1}(\beta) \cup \xi_{2}(\beta) & ; \beta \in \mathbb{S} \cap R \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.15.** Taking into consideration the concept of example 3.2, consider the following two sets

$$A_{1} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1) \right\}$$

$$A_{2} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{3}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{1}, u, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 0) \right\}$$

Suppose  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  over  $\coprod$  are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets such that

$$(\xi_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.4} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 0) \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$(\xi_{2}, \mathbf{A}_{2}) = \begin{cases} \left( (\mu_{1}, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{1}, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{3}, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{1}, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.6}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{3}, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.7}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.3} \right\} \right) \\ \text{Then } (\xi_{1}, A_{1}) \cup (\xi_{2}, A_{2}) = (\xi_{3}, A_{3}) \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{1}, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{3}, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.8}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.4}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{1}, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{3}, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.1}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.3}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_{3}, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{1}}{0.2}, \frac{m_{2}}{0.9}, \frac{m_{3}}{0.5}, \frac{m_{4}}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_{3}, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_{$$

**Proposition 3.16.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1), (\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, A_3)$  are three fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over  $\coprod$ , then

(1). 
$$(\xi_1, A_1) \cup (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_2, A_2) \cup (\xi_1, A_1)$$
  
(2).  $((\xi_1, A_1) \cup (\xi_2, A_2)) \cup (\xi_3, A_3) = (\xi_1, A_1) \cup ((\xi_2, A_2) \cup (\xi_3, A_3))$ 

**Definition 3.17.** The intersection of  $(\xi_1, \mathbb{S})$  and  $(\xi_2, R)$  over  $\coprod$  is  $(\xi_3, L)$  with  $L = \mathbb{S} \cap R$ , defined as

$$\xi_{3}(\beta) = \begin{cases} \xi_{1}(\beta) & ; \beta \in \mathbb{S} - R \\ \xi_{2}(\beta) & ; \beta \in R - S \\ \xi_{1}(\beta) \cap \xi_{2}(\beta) & ; \beta \in \mathbb{S} \cap R \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.18.** Taking into consideration the concept of example 3.2, consider the following two sets

$$A_{1} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1) \right\}$$

$$A_{2} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0), (\mu_{3}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, t, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 0), (\mu_{3}, t, 0), (\mu_{1}, u, 0), (\mu_{3}, u, 1), (\mu_{1}, u, 1) \right\}$$
Suppose  $(\xi_{1}, A_{1})$  and  $(\xi_{2}, A_{2})$  over  $\prod$  are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets such that
$$\left\{ (\mu_{1}, u, 1), (\mu_{2}, u, 1), (\mu_{2$$

$$(\xi_1, \mathbf{A}_1) = \begin{cases} \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.4} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right) \end{cases} \\ \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.4}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.3} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.7}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, t, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.9}, \frac{m_3}{0.8}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \end{cases}$$

 $\text{Then } (\xi_1, A_1) \cap (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_3, A_3) \\ (\xi_3, A_3) = \begin{cases} \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.5}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, u, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.6}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_1, u, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.2}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_4}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.7} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (\mu_3, t, 0) \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.8}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.2} \right\} \right)$ 

**Proposition 3.19.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1), (\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, A_3)$  are three fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over [], then

(1). 
$$(\xi_1, A_1) \cap (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_2, A_2) \cap (\xi_1, A_1)$$
  
(2).  $((\xi_1, A_1) \cap (\xi_2, A_2)) \cap (\xi_3, A_3) = (\xi_1, A_1) \cap ((\xi_2, A_2) \cap (\xi_3, A_3))$ 

**Proposition 3.20.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1), (\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, A_3)$  are three fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over [], then

(1). 
$$(\xi_1, A_1) \cup ((\xi_2, A_2) \cap (\xi_3, A_3)) = ((\xi_1, A_1) \cup ((\xi_2, A_2)) \cap ((\xi_1, A_1) \cup (\xi_3, A_3)))$$
  
(2).  $(\xi_1, A_1) \cap ((\xi_2, A_2) \cup (\xi_3, A_3)) = ((\xi_1, A_1) \cap ((\xi_2, A_2)) \cup ((\xi_1, A_1) \cap (\xi_3, A_3)))$ 

**Definition 3.21.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over  $\coprod$  then  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  AND  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  denoted by  $(\xi_1, A_1) \land (\xi_2, A_2)$  is defined by  $(\xi_1, A_1) \land (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_3, A_1 \times A_2),$ while  $\xi_3(\beta, \gamma) = \xi_1(\beta) \cap \xi_2(\gamma), \forall (\beta, \gamma) \in A_1 \times A_2.$ 

Example 3.22. Taking into consideration the concept of example 3.2, let two sets

$$A_{1} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0) \right\}$$
$$A_{2} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0) \right\}$$

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{Suppose } (\xi_1, A_1) \text{ and } (\xi_2, A_2) \text{ over } \coprod \text{ are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets such that} \\ &(\xi_1, A_1) = \begin{cases} &\left((\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1}\right\}\right), \left((\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1}\right\}\right), \\ &, \left((\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7}\right\}\right), \end{cases} \\ &(\xi_2, A_2) = \begin{cases} &\left((\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1}\right\}\right), \left((\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8}\right\}\right), \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ &\text{Then } (\xi_3, A_3) \land (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_3, A_1 \times A_2), \\ &\left(((\mu_1, s, 1), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1}\right\}\right), \\ &\left(((\mu_1, t, 1), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1}\right\}\right), \\ &\left(((\mu_1, t, 1), (\mu_3, s, 0)), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8}\right\}\right), \\ &\left(((\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7}\right\}\right), \\ &\left(((\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_3, s, 0)), \left\{\frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8}\right\}\right) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$ 

**Definition 3.23.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  and  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over  $\coprod$  then  $(\xi_1, A_1)$  OR  $(\xi_2, A_2)$  denoted by  $(\xi_1, A_1) \lor (\xi_2, A_2)$  is defined by  $(\xi_1, A_1) \lor (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_3, A_1 \times A_2),$ while  $\xi_3(\beta, \gamma) = \xi_1(\beta) \cup \xi_2(\gamma), \forall (\beta, \gamma) \in A_1 \times A_2.$ 

**Example 3.24.** Taking into consideration the concept of example 3.2, suppose the following sets

$$A_{1} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{1}, t, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0) \right\}$$
$$A_{2} = \left\{ (\mu_{1}, s, 1), (\mu_{3}, s, 0) \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} &\text{Suppose } (\xi_1, A_1) \text{ and } (\xi_2, A_2) \text{ over } \coprod \text{ If are two fuzzy hypersoft expert sets such that} \\ & (\xi_1, A_1) = \begin{cases} & \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_1, t, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.3}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & , \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right), \end{cases} \\ & (\xi_2, A_2) = \begin{cases} & \left( (\mu_1, s, 1), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.2}, \frac{m_2}{0.7}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (\mu_3, s, 0), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.7}, \frac{m_4}{0.8} \right\} \right), \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ & \text{Then } (\xi_3, A_3) \land (\xi_2, A_2) = (\xi_3, A_1 \times A_2), \\ & \left( ((\mu_1, s, 1), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.6}, \frac{m_3}{0.4}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & \left( ((\mu_1, t, 1), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.4}, \frac{m_3}{0.2}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & \left( ((\mu_1, s, 1), (\mu_3, s, 0)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & \left( ((\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_1, s, 1)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & \left( ((\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_3, s, 0)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.5}, \frac{m_4}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ & \left( ((\mu_3, s, 0), (\mu_3, s, 0)), \left\{ \frac{m_1}{0.1}, \frac{m_2}{0.3}, \frac{m_3}{0.6}, \frac{m_4}{0.7} \right\} \right) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.25.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1), (\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, A_3)$  are three fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over [], then

(1). 
$$((\xi_1, A_1) \land (\xi_2, A_2))^c = ((\xi_1, A_1))^c \lor ((\xi_2, A_2))^c$$
  
(2).  $((\xi_1, A_1) \lor (\xi_2, A_2))^c = ((\xi_1, A_1))^c \land ((\xi_2, A_2))^c$ 

**Proposition 3.26.** If  $(\xi_1, A_1), (\xi_2, A_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, A_3)$  are three fuzzy hypersoft expert sets over [], then

 $(1). \ ((\xi_1, A_1) \land (\xi_2, A_2)) \land (\xi_3, A_3) = (\xi_1, A_1) \land ((\xi_2, A_2) \land (\xi_3, A_3))$   $(2). \ ((\xi_1, A_1) \lor (\xi_2, A_2)) \lor (\xi_3, A_3) = (\xi_1, A_1) \lor ((\xi_2, A_2) \lor (\xi_3, A_3))$   $(3). \ (\xi_1, A_1) \lor ((\xi_2, A_2) \land (\xi_3, A_3) = ((\xi_1, A_1) \lor ((\xi_2, A_2)) \land ((\xi_1, A_1) \lor (\xi_3, A_3)))$  $(4). \ (\xi_1, A_1) \land ((\xi_2, A_2) \lor (\xi_3, A_3)) = ((\xi_1, A_1) \land ((\xi_2, A_2)) \lor ((\xi_1, A_1) \land (\xi_3, A_3)))$ 

# 4. An Applications to Fuzzy Hypersoft expert set

In this section, an application of fuzzy hypersoft expert set theory in a decision making problem, is presented.

# Statement of the problem

Mr. John wants to purchase a mobile from a mobile market for his personal use. He takes help

from his some friends (Stephen, Thomas and Umar) who have expertise in mobile purchase.

# Proposed Algorithm

The following algorithm is adopted for this selection (purchase).

- (1). Construct fuzzy hypersoft soft expert set  $(\xi, K)$ ,
- (2). Determine an Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set and a Disagree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,
- (3). Compute  $d_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,
- (4). Determine  $f_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$  for Disagree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,
- (5). Determine  $g_j = d_j f_j$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,
- (6). Compute n, for which  $p_n = \max p_j$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,

# Step-1

Let eight categories of mobile are there which form the universe of discourse  $\Omega = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8\}$  and  $X = \{E_1 = Stephen, E_2 = Thomas, E_3 = Umar\}$  be a set of experts for this purchase. The following are the attribute-valued sets for prescribed attributes:

$$\begin{split} &L_1 = Brand = \{l_1, l_2\} \\ &L_2 = Price = \{l_3, l_4\} \\ &L_3 = Colour = \{l_5, l_6\} \\ &L_4 = Memory = \{l_7, l_8\} \\ &L_5 = Resolution = \{l_9, l_{10}\} \\ &\text{and then} \\ &L = L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3 \times L_4 \times L_5 \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (l_1, l_3, l_5, l_7, l_9), (l_1, l_3, l_5, l_7, l_{10}), (l_1, l_3, l_5, l_8, l_9), (l_1, l_3, l_5, l_8, l_{10}), (l_1, l_3, l_6, l_7, l_{9}), \\ (l_1, l_3, l_6, l_7, l_{10}), (l_1, l_3, l_6, l_8, l_9), (l_1, l_4, l_5, l_7, l_{10}), (l_1, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_{10}), (l_1, l_4, l_5, l_7, l_{10}), \\ (l_1, l_4, l_5, l_8, l_9), (l_1, l_4, l_5, l_8, l_{10}), (l_1, l_4, l_6, l_7, l_{10}), (l_1, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_{9}), \\ (l_1, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_{10}), (l_2, l_3, l_5, l_7, l_9), (l_2, l_3, l_5, l_7, l_{10}), (l_2, l_3, l_5, l_8, l_{9}), (l_2, l_4, l_5, l_8, l_{10}), \\ (l_2, l_3, l_6, l_7, l_9), (l_2, l_4, l_5, l_8, l_9), (l_2, l_4, l_5, l_8, l_{10}), (l_2, l_4, l_6, l_7, l_{10}), \\ (l_2, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_9), (l_2, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_{10}) \\ and now take K \subseteq H$$
 as
$$K = \begin{cases} k_1 = (l_1, l_3, l_5, l_7, l_9), k_2 = (l_1, l_3, l_6, l_7, l_{10}), k_3 = (l_1, l_4, l_6, l_8, l_9), \\ k_4 = (l_2, l_3, l_6, l_8, l_9), k_5 = (l_2, l_4, l_6, l_7, l_{10}) \end{cases} \end{cases} \right\}$$

$$(\xi, \mathbf{K}) = \begin{cases} \left( (k_1, E_1, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_2}{0.8}, \frac{c_4}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.2}, \frac{c_8}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (k_1, E_2, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.8}, \frac{c_4}{0.7}, \frac{c_5}{0.8}, \frac{c_8}{0.2} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_1, E_3, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.7}, \frac{c_3}{0.8}, \frac{c_4}{0.6}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_6}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.3}, \frac{c_8}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (k_2, E_1, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.6}, \frac{c_5}{0.2}, \frac{c_8}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_2, E_2, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_3}{0.8}, \frac{c_4}{0.6}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_7}{0.9}, \frac{c_8}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (k_2, E_3, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_2}{0.5}, \frac{c_4}{0.1}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_6}{0.9} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_3, E_1, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_4}{0.8}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_7}{0.9} \right\} \right), \left( (k_3, E_2, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_7}{0.2}, \frac{c_8}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_3, E_3, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.5}, \frac{c_4}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_5}{0.1} \right\} \right), \left( (k_4, E_1, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_7}{0.7}, \frac{c_8}{0.8} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_4, E_2, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.5}, \frac{c_4}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.1}, \frac{c_8}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (k_5, E_2, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.1}, \frac{c_4}{0.8}, \frac{c_5}{0.2}, \frac{c_8}{0.3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_5, E_1, 1), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_4}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.5}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_8}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (k_1, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.1}, \frac{c_7}{0.4}, \frac{c_8}{0.2}, \frac{c_8}{0.3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_5, E_2, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.4}, \frac{c_3}{0.3}, \frac{c_6}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.1}, \frac{c_8}{0.8} \right\} \right), \left( (k_1, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_6}{0.7}, \frac{c_7}{0.4}, \frac{c_8}{0.3} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_2, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.1}, \frac{c_3}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_6}{0.5} \right\} \right), \left( (k_2, E_2, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_4}{0.8}, \frac{c_5}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_2, E_3, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.4}, \frac{c_3}{0.5}, \frac{c_4}{0.5}, \frac{c_5}{0.1}, \frac{c_7}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (k_3, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_3}{0.3}, \frac{c_5}{0.5}, \frac{c_7}{0.1} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_4, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_2}{0.3}, \frac{c_4}{0.5}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_7}{0.4} \right\} \right), \left( (k_4, E_2, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_2}{0.5}, \frac{c_3}{0.7}, \frac{c_4}{0.5}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_7}{0.4} \right\} \right), \\ \left( (k_4, E_1, 0), \left\{ \frac{c_1}{0.2}, \frac{c_4}{0.5}, \frac{c_5}{0.7}, \frac{c_7}{0.2} \right\} \right), \left( (k_3, E_1, 0), \left\{$$

is a fuzzy hypersoft expert set.

# Step-2

Table 1 presents an Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set and table 2 presents a Disagree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set respectively, such that if  $c_i \in \xi_1(\beta)$  then  $c_{ij} \in [0, 1]$  otherwise  $c_{ij} = x = 0$ , and if

$$c_i \in \xi_0(\beta)$$

then  $c_{ij} \in [0, 1]$  otherwise  $c_{ij} = x = 0$  where  $c_{ij}$  are the entries in tables 1 and 2.

# Step-(3-6)

Table 3 presents  $d_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,  $f_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$  for Disagree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set,  $g_j = d_j - f_j$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set, and n, for which  $p_n = \max p_j$  for Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set.

# Decision

As  $g_5$  is maximum, so category  $c_5$  is preferred to be best for purchase.

## 5. Conclusions

Insufficiency of soft set, fuzzy soft set, soft expert set and fuzzy soft expert set for multiattribute function (attribute-valued sets) is addressed with the development and characterization of novel hybrid structure i.e. fuzzy hypersoft expert set, in this study. Moreover

(1) The fundamentals of fuzzy hypersoft expert set (FHSE-Set) are established and the basic properties of FHSE-Set like subset, superset, equal sets, not set, agree FHSE-Set and disagree FHSE-Set are described with examples.

C	$c_1$	$c_2$	$c_3$	$c_4$	$c_5$	$c_6$	$c_7$	$c_8$
$(k_1, E_1)$	0.3	0.8	×	0.5	×	×	0.2	0.5
$(k_1, E_2)$	0.8	×	×	0.7	0.8	×	×	0.2
$(k_1, E_3)$	0.7	×	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2
$(k_2, E_1)$	0.3	×	0.6	×	0.2	×	×	0.1
$(k_2, E_2)$	0.3	×	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.9	×	0.1
$(k_2, E_3)$	0.2	0.5	×	0.1	0.1	0.7	×	0.9
$(k_3, E_1)$	0.2	×	×	0.8	0.1	×	0.9	×
$(k_3, E_2)$	0.3	0.7	×	×	0.8	×	×	0.1
$(k_3, E_3)$	0.5	×	0.7	×	0.7	×	×	0.1
$(k_4, E_1)$	0.4	×	×	×	×	×	0.7	0.8
$(k_4, E_2)$	0.5	×	×	0.8	0.9	×	×	0.1
$(k_4, E_3)$	0.3	×	×	×	×	0.7	0.9	0.7
$(k_5, E_1)$	0.2	×	0.7	0.3	0.6	×	0.1	0.8
$(k_5, E_2)$	0.1	×	×	0.8	0.8	0.2	×	0.3
$(k_5, E_3)$	0.2	×	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.8
$d_j = \sum_i c_{ij}$	5.3	2.0	4.3	5.5	5.9	3.4	3.2	5.7
	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_3$	$d_4$	$d_5$	$d_6$	$d_7$	$d_8$

TABLE 1. Agree-fuzzy hypersoft expert set

TABLE $2$ .	Disagree-fuzzy	hypersoft	expert se	$\mathbf{et}$

C	$c_1$	$c_2$	$c_3$	$c_4$	$c_5$	$c_6$	$c_7$	$c_8$
$(k_1, E_1)$	0.3	×	×	×	×	0.7	0.4	0.7
$(k_1, E_2)$	×	0.4	0.8	×	×	0.7	0.1	0.8
$(k_1, E_3)$	0.2	×	×	×	0.3	×	×	×
$(k_2, E_1)$	0.1	0.7	×	0.3	0.4	0.5	×	×
$(k_2, E_2)$	0.3	×	×	×	×	×	0.2	×
$(k_2, E_3)$	0.4	×	0.5	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.2	×
$(k_3, E_1)$	0.6	0.7	×	×	×	0.8	×	0.9
$(k_3, E_2)$	×	×	0.2	0.4	×	0.5	0.6	$\times$
$(k_3, E_3)$	0.2	×	0.7	0.8	0.1	×	0.9	$\times$
$(k_4, E_1)$	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.1	×	0.4	$\times$
$(k_4, E_2)$	×	0.3	0.7	×	×	0.5	0.1	$\times$
$(k_4, E_3)$	0.4	×	0.7	0.8	0.1	×	×	$\times$
$(k_5, E_1)$	0.6	×	×	×	×	0.7	×	$\times$
$(k_5, E_2)$	0.7	0.5	×	×	×	0.1	0.2	$\times$
$(k_5, E_3)$	0.2	×	×	0.3	×	0.1	×	$\times$
$f_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$	4.2	3.2	4.3	3.6	1.8	5.5	3.1	2.4
	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_3$	$d_4$	$d_5$	$d_6$	$d_7$	$d_8$

$d_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$	$f_i = \sum_i c_{ij}$	$g_j = d_j - f_j$
$d_1 = 5.3$	$f_1 = 4.2$	$g_1 = 1.1$
$d_2 = 2.0$	$f_2 = 3.2$	$g_2 = -1.0$
$d_3 = 4.3$	$f_3 = 4.3$	$g_3 = 0.0$
$d_4 = 5.5$	$f_4 = 3.6$	$g_4 = 1.9$
$d_5 = 5.9$	$f_5 = 1.8$	$g_5 = 4.1$
$d_6 = 3.4$	$f_6 = 5.5$	$g_6 = -2.1$
$d_7 = 3.2$	$f_7 = 3.1$	$g_7 = 1.0$
$d_8 = 5.7$	$f_8 = 2.4$	$g_8 = 3.3$

TABLE 3. Optimal

- (2) The essential set-theoretic operations on FHSE-Set like complement, union, intersection, OR and AND operations are established and some laws such as commutative, associative and De Morgan are presented with suitable examples.
- (3) A decision-making application regarding the best selection of a certain product is presented with the help of proposed algorithm.
- (4) A daily life based example is discussed for the understanding of decision making process.
- (5) Future work may include the extension of the presented work for other hypersoft-like hybrids i.e. intuitionistic fuzzy set, interval-valued fuzzy set, pythagorean fuzzy set, neutrosophic set etc.

## **Conflicts of Interest:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, 8, 338-353.
- Molodtsov, D. (1999). Soft set theoryfirst results. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37(4-5), 19-31.
- 3. Maji, P. K.; Biswas, R., & Roy, A. R. (2001). Fuzzy soft sets. Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9(3), 589-602.
- Maji, P. K., Roy, A. R., & Biswas, R. (2003). Soft set theory. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45(4-5), 555-562.
- Maji, P. K., Roy, A. R., & Biswas, R. (2002). An application of soft sets in a decision making problem. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 44 (8-9), 1077-1083.
- Alkhazaleh, S., A. R. Salleh, & Hassan, N. (2011). Soft multisets theory. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 5(72), 3561-3573.
- Alkhazaleh, S., A. R. Salleh, & Hassan, N. (2011). Possibility fuzzy soft set. Advances in Decision Sciences, 2011.
- Alkhazaleh, S., A. R. Salleh, & Hassan, N. (2011). Fuzzy parameterized interval-valued fuzzy soft set. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 5(67), 3335-3346.

- Abdel-Basset, M., Saleh, M., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2019). An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number. *Applied Soft Computing*, 77, 438-452.
- Abdel-Baset, M., Chang, V., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2019). An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field. *Computers in Industry*, 106, 94-110.
- Abdel-Baset, M., Chang, V., & Gamal, A. (2019). Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach. *Computers in Industry*, 108, 210-220.
- Abdel-Basset, M., Gamal, A., Manogaran, G., & Long, H. V. (2019). A novel group decision making model based on neutrosophic sets for heart disease diagnosis. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 1-26.
- Abdel-Basset, Mohamed, Gamal, A., Son, L.H., & Smarandache, F. (2019). A bipolar neutrosophic multi criteria decision making framework for professional selection. *Applied Sciences*, 10(4), 1202.
- 14. Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., Gamal, A., & Chang, V. (2019). A novel intelligent medical decision support model based on soft computing and IoT. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 7(5), 4160-4170.
- Ali, M. I., Feng, F., Liu, X., Min, W. K., & Shabir, M. (2009). On some new operations in soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 57(9), 1547-1553.
- 16. Alkhazaleh, S., & Salleh, A. R. (2011). Soft Expert Sets. Advances in Decision Sciences, 2011, 757868-1.
- Al-Quran, A., & Hassan, N. (2016). Neutrosophic vague soft expert set theory. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 30(6), 3691-3702.
- Alkhazaleh, S., & Salleh, A. R. (2014). Fuzzy soft expert set and its application. Applied Mathematics, 2014.
- Bashir, M., & Salleh, A. R. (2012). Possibility fuzzy soft expert set. Open Journal of Applied Sciences, 12, 208-211.
- Bashir, M., & Salleh, A. R. (2012). Fuzzy parameterized soft expert set. In Abstract and Applied Analysis, Hindawi.
- Sahin, M., Alkhazaleh, S., & Ulucay, V. (2015). Neutrosophic soft expert sets. Applied Mathematics, 6(1), 116.
- Alhazaymeh, K., & Hassan, N. (2014). Mapping on generalized vague soft expert set. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 93(3), 369-376.
- Alhazaymeh, K., & Hassan, N. (2014). Generalized vague soft expert set. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 93(3), 351-360.
- Alhazaymeh, K., & Hassan, N. (2014). Application of generalized vague soft expert set in decision making. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 93(3), 361-367.
- Hassan, N., Ulucay, V., & Sahin, M. (2018). Q-neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications (IJFSA), 7(4), 37-61.
- Ulucay, V., Sahin, M., & Hassan, N. (2018). Generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making. Symmetry, 10(10), 437.
- Al-Qudah, Y., & Hassan, N. (2017). Bipolar fuzzy soft expert set and its application in decision making. International Journal of Applied Decision Sciences, 10(2), 175-191.
- Al-Qudah, Y., & Hassan, N. (2017). Complex multi-fuzzy soft expert set and its application. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14(1), 149-176.
- Al-Quran, A., & Hassan, N. (2018). The complex neutrosophic soft expert set and its application in decision making. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 34(1), 569-582.
- Pramanik, S., Dey, P. P., & Giri, B. C. (2015). TOPSIS for single valued neutrosophic soft expert set based multi-attribute decision making problems. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 10, 88-95.

- Abu Qamar, M., & Hassan, N. (2018). Generalized Q-neutrosophic soft expert set for decision under uncertainty. Symmetry, 10(11), 621.
- Adam, F., & Hassan, N. (2016). Multi Q-fuzzy soft expert set and its application. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 30(2), 943-950.
- Ulucay, V., Sahin, M., & Olgun, N. (2018). Time-Neutrosophic Soft Expert Sets and Its Decision Making Problem. MATEMATIKA: Malaysian Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 246-260.
- Al-Quran, A., & Hassan, N. (2016). Fuzzy parameterised single valued neutrosophic soft expert set theory and its application in decision making. *International Journal of Applied Decision Science*, 9(2), 212-227.
- Hazaymeh, A. A., Abdullah, I. B., Balkhi, Z. T., & Ibrahim, R. I. (2012). Generalized fuzzy soft expert set. Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2012.
- Smarandache, F., (2018). Extension of Soft Set to Hypersoft Set, and then to Plithogenic Hypersoft Set. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 22, 168-170.
- Saeed, M.; Ahsan, M.; Siddique, M. K., & Ahmad, M. R. (2020). A Study of The Fundamentals of Hypersoft Set Theory. *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 2020, 11.
- Saeed, M., Rahman, A. U., Ahsan, M., & Smarandache, F. (2021). An Inclusive Study on Fundamentals of Hypersoft Set. In Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set, Pons Publishing House, Brussels.
- Abbas, M.; Murtaza, G., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Basic operations on hypersoft sets and hypersoft point. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 35, 407-421.
- Rahman, A.U., Saeed, M., Smarandache, F., & Ahmad, M.R. (2020). Development of Hybrids of Hypersoft Set with Complex Fuzzy Set, Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy set and Complex Neutrosophic Set. *Neutrosophic* Sets and Systems, 38, 335-354. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4300520
- Rahman, A.U., Saeed, M., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Convex and Concave Hypersoft Sets with Some Properties. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38, 497-508. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4300580
- Rahman, A. U., Saeed, M., & Zahid, S. (2021). Application in Decision Making Based on Fuzzy Parameterized Hypersoft Set Theory. Asia Mathematika, 5(1) 19-27. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4721481.
- Rahman, A. U., Saeed, M., & Dhital, A. (2021). Decision Making Application Based on Neutrosophic Parameterized Hypersoft Set Theory. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 41, 1-14. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4625665.
- 44. Rahman, A. U., Hafeez, A., Saeed, M., Ahmad, M.R., & Farwa, U. (2021). Development of Rough Hypersoft Set with Application in Decision Making for the Best Choice of Chemical Material, In Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set, Pons Publication House, Brussel, 192-202. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4743367
- Saeed, M., Ahsan, M., & Rahman, A. U. (2021). A novel approach to mappings on hypersoft classes with application, In Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set, Pons Publication House, Brussel, 175-191. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4743384
- 46. Saeed, M., Siddique, M.K., Ahsan, M., Ahmad, M.R., & Rahman, A. U. (2021). A Novel Approach to the Rudiments of Hypersoft Graphs, In Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set, Pons Publication House, Brussel, 203-214. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4736620
- 47. Ihsan, M., Rahman, A. U., & Saeed, M.(2021). Hypersoft Expert Set With Application in Decision Making for Recruitment Process. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 42, 191-207. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4711524
- Rahman, A. U., Saeed, M., Alodhaibi, S.S., & Abd, H.(2021). Decision Making Algorithmic Approaches Based on Parameterization of Neutrosophic Set under Hypersoft Set Environment with Fuzzy, Intuitionistic Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Settings. CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, 128(2), 743-777. http://doi.org/10.32604/cmes.2021.016736

49. Saeed, M., Ahsan, M., Rahman, A. U., Saeed, M.H., & Mehmood, A. (2021). An application of neutrosophic hypersoft mapping to diagnose brain tumor and propose appropriate treatment. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* (In press), 1-23.

Received: May 24, 2021. Accepted: October 1, 2021