



Neutrosophic Cubic β -subalgebra

P. Muralikrishna^{1*}, R. Vinodkumar² and G. Palani³

¹Assistant Professor, ²Research Scholar(PT),

PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Muthurangam Govt. Arts College (Autonomous),
Vellore - 632 002, Tamilnadu, India.

pmkrishna@rocketmail.com ; vinodmaths85@gmail.com

³Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Government Arts College,
Chennai-600 039, Tamilnadu, India. gpalani32@yahoo.co.in

*Correspondence: pmkrishna@rocketmail.com;

Abstract. The main objective of this paper is to extend the notion of neutrosophic cubic sets to β -subalgebra. Some captivating results based on the P-union, P-intersection, R-union, R-intersection of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra have been explored. Further, the engrossing properties of the lower, upper level sets and homomorphism of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebras were discussed.

Keywords: cubic set; Neutrosophic set; Neutrosophic cubic set; β -subalgebra; cubic β -subalgebra; neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra.

1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [30] initiated the concept of fuzzy sets which is a generalisation of the classical notion of a set. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy set was proposed by Atanassov [4] whose elements have both membership and non-membership degrees. Biswas [5] introduced Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval valued membership functions and studied some interesting properties. The idea of β -algebras has been presented by Neggers and Kim [23] which is a generalization of BCK/BCI -algebras where two operations have been used. Samarandache [27] proposed a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, known as neutrosophic set in which the distinction between the neutrosophic set and intuitionistic fuzzy set are emphasised. The notion of cubic sets introduced by Jun et al. [10, 11] and investigated the characteristics of cubic subgroups. Maji [16] applied the idea of soft set into neutrosophic sets and studied some compelling results.

The thought of fuzzy β -subalgebras originated by Ansari et al. [3] and relevant results have been examined. The attributes on intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebras were presented by Sujatha et al. [28]. Iqbal et al. [9] developed the idea of neutrosophic cubic subalgebras and ideals of B -algebras. The concept of neutrosophic cubic sets initiated by Jun et al. [12], [13], [14] and they have extended notion of neutrosophic subalgebras set to several types of BCK/BCI -algebras. Moreover, the applications of cubic interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets in BCK/BCI -algebras were provided. Hemavathi et al. [8] expressed the characteristics on interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebras. Made an approach on normed linear space using neutrosophic sets by Muralikrishna et al. [20] and examined the fascinating results.

The notion of $BMBJ$ - neutrosophic aubalgebra in BCK/BCI -algebras presented by Bordbar et al. [6] and provided some engrossing results. Ajay et al. [1] discussed about neutrosophic cubic fuzzy dombi hamy mean operators with application to multi-criteria decision making. Akbar Razaei et al. [2] initiated the thought of neutrosophic triplet of BI -algebras and relevant results have been studied. Neutrosophic logic theory and applications were developed by Eman AboEIHamd et al. [7]. Some aspects on cubic fuzzy β -subalgebra of β - algebra were discussed by Muralikrishna et al. [21]. Mohsin Khalid et al [17], [18], [19] interpreted the concept of translation and multiplication of neutrosophic cubic set and also introduced the notion of $T - MBJ$ neutrosophic set under M -subalgebra. Moreover, the authors described the properties of T -neutrosophic cubic set on BF -algebra. Some special characteristics of neutrosophic vague binary BCK/BCI -algebra were discussed by Remya et al. [26]. Nanthini et al. [22] initiated the idea of interval valued neutrosophic topological spaces and relevant results have been examined. Diagnosing psychiatric disorder using neutrosophic soft set and its application presented deliberately by Veerappan Chinnadurai et al. [29]. Rajab Ali Borsooei et al. [25] intended to develop the polarity of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras in BCK/BCI -algebras. Johnson Awolola [15] introduced the concept of α -level sets of neutrosophic set and investigated few of its associated properties. Prakasam Muralikrishna et al. [24] applied the concept of β -ideal into MBJ -neutrosophic set and investigated some engrossing results. With all these inspiration, this paper provides the study of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra. This work is organized into the following sections: Section 1 provides the introduction and section 2 presents the existing definitions required for this study. Section 3 deals the concept of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra, section 4 describes the characteristics on homomorphism of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra and section 5 gives the conclusion and future scope of the work.

2. Preliminaries

This section provides the necessary definitions and examples required for the work.

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy set in a universal set X is defined as $\zeta : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For each element $x \in X$, $\zeta(x)$ is called the membership value of x .

Definition 2.2. If ζ_1 and ζ_2 are fuzzy sets in X , then the union of ζ_1 and ζ_2 , denoted by $\zeta_1 \cup \zeta_2$ is defined by, $(\zeta_1 \cup \zeta_2)(x) = \max\{\zeta_1(x), \zeta_2(x)\} \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.3. If ζ_1 and ζ_2 are fuzzy sets in X , then the intersection of ζ_1 and ζ_2 , denoted by $\zeta_1 \cap \zeta_2$ is defined by, $(\zeta_1 \cap \zeta_2)(x) = \min\{\zeta_1(x), \zeta_2(x)\} \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.4. Let ζ be a fuzzy set of X . for any $\delta \in [0, 1]$, the set $\zeta^\delta = \{x \in X/\zeta(x) \geq \delta\}$ is called an upper level subset of ζ . The level subset ζ^δ of a fuzzy set ζ is a crisp subset of the set X .

Definition 2.5. Let ζ be a fuzzy set of X . For $\delta \in [0, 1]$, the set $\zeta_\delta = \{x \in X : \zeta(x) \leq \delta\}$ is called a lower level subsets of ζ .

Definition 2.6. The supremum property of the fuzzy set ζ for the subset A in X is defined as $\zeta(a_0) = \sup_{a \in A} \zeta(a)$ if there exist $a, a_0 \in A$.

Definition 2.7. Let $D[0, 1]$ denote the family of all closed sub intervals of $[0, 1]$. Consider two elements $D_1, D_2 \in D[0, 1]$. If $D_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ and $D_2 = [a_2, b_2]$, then $rmax(D_1, D_2) = [\max(a_1, a_2), \max(b_1, b_2)]$ which is denoted by $D_1 \vee^r D_2$ and $rmin(D_1, D_2) = [\min(a_1, a_2), \min(b_1, b_2)]$ which is denoted by $D_1 \wedge^r D_2$.

Thus if $D_i = [a_i, b_i] \in D[0, 1]$ for $i=1,2,3,\dots$ $rsup_i(D_i) = [\sup_i(a_i), \sup_i(b_i)]$, i.e. $\vee_i^r D_i = [\vee_i a_i, \vee_i b_i]$. Similarly $rinf_i(D_i) = [\inf_i(a_i), \inf_i(b_i)]$ i.e $\wedge_i^r D_i = [\wedge_i a_i, \wedge_i b_i]$. Now $D_1 \geq D_2$ iff $a_1 \geq a_2$ and $b_1 \geq b_2$. Similarly the relations $D_1 \leq D_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$ are defined.

Definition 2.8. An interval valued fuzzy set A defined on X is given by $A = \{(x, [\zeta_A^L(x), \zeta_A^U(x)])\} \forall x \in X$ (briefly denoted by $A = [\zeta_A^L, \zeta_A^U]$), where ζ_A^L and ζ_A^U are two fuzzy sets in X such that $\zeta_A^L(x) \leq \zeta_A^U(x) \forall x \in X$. Let $\bar{\zeta}_A(x) = [\zeta_A^L(x), \zeta_A^U(x)] \forall x \in X$ and let $D[0, 1]$ denotes the family of all closed sub intervals of $[0, 1]$. If $\zeta_A^L(x) = \zeta_A^U(x) = c$, say, where $0 \leq c \leq 1$, then $\bar{\zeta}_A(x) = [c, c]$ also for the sake of convenience, to belong to $D[0, 1]$. Thus $\bar{\zeta}_A(x) \in D[0, 1] \forall x \in X$, and therefore the interval valued fuzzy set A is given by $A = \{(x, \bar{\zeta}_A(x))\} \forall x \in X$, where $\bar{\zeta}_A : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$.

Now let us define what is known as *refined minimum*($rmin$) of two elements in $D[0, 1]$. Let us define the symbols " \geq ", " \leq ", and " $=$ " in case of two elements in $D[0, 1]$. Consider two elements $D_1 := [a_1, b_1]$ and $D_2 := [a_2, b_2] \in D[0, 1]$. Then $rmin(D_1, D_2) =$

$[min\{a_1, a_2\}, min\{b_1, b_2\}]$; $D_1 \geq D_2$ if and only if $a_1 \geq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2$; Similarly , $D_1 \leq D_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$.

Definition 2.9. An Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) in a nonempty set X is defined by $A = \{ \langle x, \zeta_A(x), \eta_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$ where $\zeta_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a membership function of A and $\eta_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a non membership function of A satisfying $0 \leq \zeta_A(x) + \eta_A(x) \leq 1 \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.10. An intuitionistic fuzzy set A is said to have sup-inf property if for any subset T of X there exists $x_0 \in T$ such that $\zeta_A(x_0) = \sup_{x \in T} \zeta_A(x)$ and $\eta_A(x_0) = \inf_{x \in T} \eta_A(x)$.

Definition 2.11. A β - algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and two binary operations $+$ and $-$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $x - 0 = x$
- (ii) $(0 - x) + x = 0$
- (iii) $(x - y) - z = x - (z + y) \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Example 2.12. The following Cayley table shows $(X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, +, -, 0)$ is a β -algebra.

Table 1. β -algebra

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	3	0	2
2	2	0	3	1
3	3	2	1	0

-	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	1	2	0

Definition 2.13. A non empty subset A of a β -algebra $(X, +, -, 0)$ is called a β -subalgebra of X , if

- (i) $x + y \in A$ and
- (ii) $x - y \in A \forall x, y \in A$.

Definition 2.14. Let X be a non empty set. By a cubic set in X we mean a structure

$$C = \{ \langle x, \bar{\zeta}_C(x), \eta_C(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$$

in which $\bar{\zeta}_C$ is an interval valued fuzzy set in X and η_C is a fuzzy set in X .

Definition 2.15. Let $A = \{ \langle x, \bar{\zeta}_A(x), \eta_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ and $B = \{ \langle x, \bar{\zeta}_B(x), \eta_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ be two cubic sets on X , then the intersection of A and B denoted by $A \cap B$ is defined by $A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \bar{\zeta}_{A \cap B}(x), \eta_{A \cap B}(x) \rangle \} = \{ \langle x, rmin\{\zeta_A(x), \zeta_B(x)\}, max(\eta_A(x), \eta_B(x)), \rangle : x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.16. A cubic set $C = \{ \langle x, \bar{\zeta}_C(x), \eta_C(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is said to have rsup-inf property if for any subset T of X there exists $x_0 \in T$ such that $\bar{\zeta}_C(x_0) = rsup_{x \in T} \bar{\zeta}_C(x)$ and $\eta_C(x_0) = inf_{x \in T} \eta_C(x)$.

Definition 2.17. Let $C = \{\langle x, \bar{\zeta}_C(x), \eta_C(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$ be a cubic set in X . Then the set C is a cubic fuzzy β -subalgebra if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) $\bar{\zeta}_C(x + y) \geq rmin\{\bar{\zeta}_C(x), \bar{\zeta}_C(y)\}$ & $\bar{\zeta}_C(x - y) \geq rmin\{\bar{\zeta}_C(x), \bar{\zeta}_C(y)\}$
- (ii) $\eta_C(x + y) \leq max\{\eta_C(x), \eta_C(y)\}$ & $\eta_C(x - y) \leq max\{\eta_C(x), \eta_C(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.18. A neutrosophic set in X is a structure of the form $\Omega = \{\langle x : \omega_T(x), \omega_I(x), \omega_F(x) \rangle / x \in X\}$. Where $\omega_T : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a truth membership function, $\omega_I : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an indeterminate membership function and $\omega_F : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a false membership function.

Definition 2.19. An interval neutrosophic set in X is a structure of the form $\Delta = \{\langle x : \delta_T(x), \delta_I(x), \delta_F(x) \rangle / x \in X\}$ where $\delta_T, \delta_I, \delta_F$ are interval valued fuzzy sets in X , which are called an interval truth membership function, an interval indeterminate membership function and an interval false membership function respectively.

Definition 2.20. Let X be a non-empty set. A neutrosophic cubic set is a pair $C = (\Delta, \omega)$ where $\Delta = \{x : \delta_T(x), \delta_I(x), \delta_F(x) / x \in X\}$ is interval valued neutrosophic set $\Omega = \{\langle x : \omega_T(x), \omega_I(x), \omega_F(x) \rangle / x \in X\}$ is neutrosophic set. For our convenience, the neutrosophic cubic set will be denoted as $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F}) = \{\langle x, \delta_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \rangle\}$.

Definition 2.21. Let f be a mapping from X to Y . If $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ is neutrosophic cubic set of X . Then the image of C under f is denoted by $f(C)$ and is defined as $f(C) = \{\langle x, f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F}), f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F}) \rangle / x \in X\}$, where

$$f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F})(y) = \begin{cases} rsup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\delta_{T,I,F})(x) & : \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ [0, 0] & : \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F})(y) = \begin{cases} inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\omega_{T,I,F})(x) & : \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi \\ 1 & : \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.22. Let f be a mapping from X to Y . If $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ is neutrosophic cubic set of X . Then the inverse image of C is defined as $f^{-1}(C) = \{\langle x, f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F}), f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F}) \rangle / x \in X\}$, with $f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F}(x)) = (\delta_{T,I,F}(f(x)))$ and $f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F}(x)) = (\omega_{T,I,F}(f(x)))$.

Definition 2.23. For any $C_i = (\Delta_i, \Omega_i)$, where $\Delta_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT}(x), \delta_{iI}(x), \delta_{iF}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$ and $\Omega_i = \{\langle x, \omega_{iT}(x), \omega_{iI}(x), \omega_{iF}(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$ for $i \in k$. P -union, P -intersection & R -union, R -intersection is defined respectively by

$$P\text{-union: } \bigcup_{i \in k} C_i = \left(\bigcup_{i \in k} \Delta_i, \bigvee_{i \in k} \Omega_i \right)$$

$$P\text{-intersection: } \bigcap_{i \in k} C_i = \left(\bigcap_{i \in k} \Delta_i, \bigwedge_{i \in k} \Omega_i \right)$$

$$R\text{-union: } \bigcup_{i \in k} C_i = \left(\bigcup_{i \in k} \Delta_i, \bigwedge_{i \in k} \Omega_i \right)$$

$$R\text{-intersection: } \bigcap_{i \in k} C_i = \left(\bigcap_{i \in k} \Delta_i, \bigvee_{i \in k} \Omega_i \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i \in k} \Delta_i &= \left\langle x; \left(\bigcup_{i \in k} \delta_{iT} \right) (x), \left(\bigcup_{i \in k} \delta_{iI} \right) (x), \left(\bigcup_{i \in k} \delta_{iF} \right) (x) / x \in X \right\rangle \\ \bigvee_{i \in k} \Omega_i &= \left\langle x; \left(\bigvee_{i \in k} \omega_{iT} \right) (x), \left(\bigvee_{i \in k} \omega_{iI} \right) (x), \left(\bigvee_{i \in k} \omega_{iF} \right) (x) / x \in X \right\rangle \\ \bigcap_{i \in k} \Delta_i &= \left\langle x; \left(\bigcap_{i \in k} \delta_{iT} \right) (x), \left(\bigcap_{i \in k} \delta_{iI} \right) (x), \left(\bigcap_{i \in k} \delta_{iF} \right) (x) / x \in X \right\rangle \\ \bigwedge_{i \in k} \Omega_i &= \left\langle x; \left(\bigwedge_{i \in k} \omega_{iT} \right) (x), \left(\bigwedge_{i \in k} \omega_{iI} \right) (x), \left(\bigwedge_{i \in k} \omega_{iF} \right) (x) / x \in X \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.24. Let C be a neutrosophic cubic set of X where $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$. For $[s_{T_1}, s_{T_2}], [s_{I_1}, s_{I_2}], [s_{F_1}, s_{F_2}] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t_{T_1}, t_{I_1}, t_{F_1} \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T_1}, s_{T_2}], [s_{I_1}, s_{I_2}], [s_{F_1}, s_{F_2}]) = \{x \in X / \delta_T(x) \geq [s_{T_1}, s_{T_2}], \delta_T(x) \geq [s_{I_1}, s_{I_2}], \delta_T(x) \geq [s_{F_1}, s_{F_2}]\}$ is called upper $([s_{T_1}, s_{T_2}], [s_{I_1}, s_{I_2}], [s_{F_1}, s_{F_2}])$ -level of C and $L(\omega_{T,I,F}/(t_{T_1}, t_{I_1}, t_{F_1})) = \{x \in X / \omega_T(x) \leq t_{T_1}, \omega_I(x) \leq t_{I_1}, \omega_F(x) \leq t_{F_1}\}$ is called lower $(t_{T_1}, t_{I_1}, t_{F_1})$ -level set of A .

For our convenience, we are introducing the new notion as

$U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[S_{T,I,F_1}, S_{T,I,F_2}]) = \{x \in X / \delta_{T,I,F}(x) \geq [S_{T,I,F_1}, S_{T,I,F_2}]\}$ is called upper $[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$ -level set of C and $L(\omega_{T,I,F}/[t_{T,I,F_1}, t_{T,I,F_2}]) = \{x \in X / \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \leq [t_{T,I,F_1}, t_{T,I,F_2}]\}$ is called lower t_{T,I,F_1} -level set of C .

3. Neutrosophic Cubic β -Subalgebra

This section introduces the notion of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra and discusses some engrossing results.

Definition 3.1. $C = \{x, \Delta(x), \Omega(x) / x \in X\}$ be a neutrosophic cubic set in X . Then the set C is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

NS1 :

$$\delta_T(x + y) \geq rmin\{\delta_T(x), \delta_T(y)\} \ \& \ \delta_T(x - y) \geq rmin\{\delta_T(x), \delta_T(y)\}$$

$$\delta_I(x + y) \geq rmin\{\delta_I(x), \delta_I(y)\} \ \& \ \delta_I(x - y) \geq rmin\{\delta_I(x), \delta_I(y)\}$$

$$\delta_F(x + y) \geq rmin\{\delta_F(x), \delta_F(y)\} \ \& \ \delta_F(x - y) \geq rmin\{\delta_F(x), \delta_F(y)\}$$

NS2:

$$\omega_T(x + y) \leq max\{\omega_T(x), \omega_T(y)\} \ \& \ \omega_T(x - y) \leq max\{\omega_T(x), \omega_T(y)\}$$

$$\omega_I(x + y) \leq max\{\omega_I(x), \omega_I(y)\} \ \& \ \omega_I(x - y) \leq max\{\omega_I(x), \omega_I(y)\}$$

$$\omega_F(x + y) \leq max\{\omega_F(x), \omega_F(y)\} \ \& \ \omega_F(x - y) \leq max\{\omega_F(x), \omega_F(y)\}$$

For our convenience the neutrosophic cubic set will be denoted as

$$C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F}) = \{ \langle x, \delta_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \rangle \}$$

with conditions

$$(i) \delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \ \& \ \delta_{T,I,F}(x - y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\}$$

$$(ii) \omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) \leq \text{max}\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\} \ \& \ \omega_{T,I,F}(x - y) \leq \text{max}\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}.$$

Example 3.2. For the β -algebra X in the example 2.6, the Cubic set $C = \{x, \Delta(x), \Omega(x)/x \in X\}$ on X as follows.

	0	1	2	3
δ_T	[0.4,0.6]	[0.3,0.7]	[0.4,0.6]	[0.3,0.7]
δ_I	[0.3,0.5]	[0.2,0.4]	[0.3,0.5]	[0.2,0.4]
δ_F	[0.2,0.3]	[0.1,0.2]	[0.2,0.3]	[0.1,0.2]

	0	1	2	3
ω_T	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4
ω_I	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5
ω_F	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6

is a neutrosophic cubic fuzzy β -sub algebra of X .

Proposition 3.3. Let $C = \{ \langle x, \delta_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X . Then $\delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(x)$ and $\omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \ \forall x \in X$. Thus $\delta_{T,I,F}(0)$ & $\omega_{T,I,F}(0)$ are upper bounds and lower bounds of $\delta_{T,I,F}(x)$ & $\omega_{T,I,F}(x)$ respectively.
proof: (1) For every $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T,I,F}(0) &= \delta_{T,I,F}(x - x) \\ &\geq \text{rmin}\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(x)\} \\ &= \delta_{T,I,F}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{T,I,F}(0) &= \omega_{T,I,F}(x - x) \\ &\leq \text{max}\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(x)\} \\ &= \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(x)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $C = \{ \langle x, \delta_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X . If there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{T,I,F}(x_n) = [1, 1]$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{T,I,F}(x_n) = 0$. Then $\delta_{T,I,F}(x_n) = [1, 1]$ and $\omega_{T,I,F}(x_n) = 0$.

Proof: By using Proposition 3.3, $\delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(x) \ \forall x \in X$, then we have $\delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(x_n) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Consider, $[1, 1] \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{T,I,F}(x_n) = [1, 1]$ Hence, $\delta_{T,I,F}(0) = [1, 1]$. Moreover using proposition 3.3, $\omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(x) \ \forall x \in X$, then we have $\omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(x_n) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ Consider, $0 \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{T,I,F}(x_n) = 0$. Hence, $\omega_{T,I,F}(0) = 0$.

Theorem 3.5. *The R–intersection of any set of neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebras of X is also a neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebra of X .*

Proof: Let $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X\}$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebras of X and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\cap \delta_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\geq \text{rinf } \{ \text{rmin}\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{ \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{ \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \geq \text{rmin}\{ \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \}$$

Similarly,

$$\delta_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \geq \text{rmin}\{ \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\vee \omega_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= \text{sup } \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\leq \text{sup } \{ \text{max}\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \} \\ &= \text{max}\{ \text{sup } \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \text{sup } \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \\ &= \text{max}\{ \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \leq \text{max}\{ \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \}$$

In the same way, $\omega_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \leq \text{max}\{ \vee \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \}$. Hence R–intersection of C_i is a neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebra of X .

Theorem 3.6. *The $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebras of X . If $\text{inf}\{ \text{max}\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \} = \text{max}\{ \text{inf } \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \text{inf } \omega_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \forall x \in X$. Then the P–intersection of C_i is also a neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebra of X .*

Proof: Let $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X\}$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β –subalgebras of X and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\cap \delta_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\geq \text{rinf } \{ \text{rmin}\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{ \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \text{rinf } \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{ \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \geq \text{rmin}\{ \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap \delta_{iT,I,F}(y) \}$$

In the same manner, $\delta_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \geq rmin\{\cap\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cap\delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\wedge\omega_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\leq inf \{max\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}\} \\ &= max\{inf\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= max\{\wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \leq max\{\wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$$

Similarly, $\wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \leq max\{\wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \wedge\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$. Hence P -intersection of C_i is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 3.7. The $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebras of X . If $sup \{rmin\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} = rmin\{sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \forall x \in X$. Then the P -union of C_i is also a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Proof: Let $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X\}$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebras of X and $x, y \in X$ such that

$sup\{rmin\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} = rmin\{sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \forall x \in X$. Then for $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\cup\delta_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= rsup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\geq rsup \{rmin\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rsup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), rsup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= rmin\{\cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \geq rmin\{\cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$$

Likewise, $\cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \geq rmin\{\cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup\delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\vee\omega_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= sup \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\leq sup \{max\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}\} \\ &= max\{sup \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), sup \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= max\{\vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \leq max\{\vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$$

Similarly, $\vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \leq max\{\vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \vee\omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$. Hence P -union of C_i is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 3.8. The $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebras of X . If $inf \{max\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} =$

$\max\{\inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \ \& \ \sup \{r\min\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} = r\min\{\sup \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \sup \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \forall x \in X$. Then the R -union of C_i is also a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Proof: Let $C_i = \{\langle x, \delta_{iT,I,F}, \omega_{iT,I,F} \rangle / x \in X\}$ where $i \in k$ be a sets of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebras of X such that $\inf\{\max\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \ \& \ \sup\{r\min\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} = r\min\{\sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \forall x \in X$. Then for $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\cup \delta_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= r\sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\geq r\sup \{r\min\{\delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}\} \\ &= r\min\{r\sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), r\sup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= r\min\{\cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \geq r\min\{\cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$$

In the same way, $\cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \geq r\min\{\cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(x), \cup \delta_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\wedge \omega_{iT,I,F})(x + y) &= \inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \\ &\leq \inf \{\max\{\omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \inf \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(x + y) \leq \max\{\wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$$

Similarly, $\wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(x - y) \leq \max\{\wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(x), \wedge \omega_{iT,I,F}(y)\}$. Hence R -union of C_i is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 3.9. Neutrosophic cubic set $C_i = \{\Delta_{T,I,F}, \Omega_{T,I,F}\}$ of X is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X if and only if $\delta_{T,I,F}^L, \delta_{T,I,F}^U$ & $\omega_{T,I,F}$ are fuzzy subalgebras of X .

Proof: Let $\delta_{T,I,F}^L, \delta_{T,I,F}^U$ & $\omega_{T,I,F}$ are fuzzy subalgebras of X and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T,I,F}^L(x + y) &\geq \min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^L(y)\} \\ \delta_{T,I,F}^U(x + y) &\geq \min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^U(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(y)\} \text{ and} \\ \omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) &\leq \max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) &= [\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x + y), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(x + y)] \\ &\geq [\min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^L(y)\}, \min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^U(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(y)\}] \\ &\geq r\min\{[\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(x)], [\delta_{T,I,F}^L(y), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(y)]\} \\ &= r\min\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore C$ is neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Conversely, assume that C is neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X . For any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x+y), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(x+y)] &= \delta_{T,I,F}(x+y) \\ &\geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{[\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(x)], [\delta_{T,I,F}^L(y), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(y)]\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x+y) \geq min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^L(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^L(y)\}$, $\delta_{T,I,F}^U(x+y) \geq min\{\delta_{T,I,F}^U(x), \delta_{T,I,F}^U(y)\}$ and $\omega_{T,I,F}(x+y) \leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}$. Hence $\delta_{T,I,F}^L, \delta_{T,I,F}^U$ and $\omega_{T,I,F}$ are fuzzy subalgebra of X .

Remark 3.10. The sets denoted by $I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$ and $I_{\omega_{T,I,F}}$ are also subalgebra of X which are defined as $I_{\delta_{T,I,F}} = \{x \in X / \delta_{T,I,F}(x) = \delta_{T,I,F}(0)\}$ and $I_{\omega_{T,I,F}} = \{x \in X / \omega_{T,I,F}(x) = \omega_{T,I,F}(0)\}$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X . Then the sets $I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$ and $I_{\omega_{T,I,F}}$ are also subalgebra of X .

Proof: Let $x, y \in I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$.

Then $\delta_{T,I,F}(x) = \delta_{T,I,F}(0) = \delta_{T,I,F}(y)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T,I,F}(x+y) &\geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(0), \delta_{T,I,F}(0)\} \\ &= \delta_{T,I,F}(0) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \delta_{T,I,F}(x+y) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(0)$. By using proposition 3.3, $\delta_{T,I,F}(0) \geq \delta_{T,I,F}(x+y)$

Then we have $\delta_{T,I,F}(x+y) = \delta_{T,I,F}(0)$ or equivalently, $x+y \in I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$

Similarly, $x-y \in I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$.

Now, let $x, y \in I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$. Then $\omega_{T,I,F}(x) = \omega_{T,I,F}(0) = \omega_{T,I,F}(y)$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{T,I,F}(x+y) &\leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\} \\ &= max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(0), \omega_{T,I,F}(0)\} \\ &= \omega_{T,I,F}(0) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \omega_{T,I,F}(x+y) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(0)$. By using proposition 3.3, $\omega_{T,I,F}(0) \leq \omega_{T,I,F}(x+y)$

Then we have $\omega_{T,I,F}(x+y) = \omega_{T,I,F}(0)$ or equivalently, $x+y \in I_{\omega_{T,I,F}}$

Similarly, $x-y \in I_{\omega_{T,I,F}}$. Hence the sets $I_{\delta_{T,I,F}}$ and $I_{\omega_{T,I,F}}$ are β -subalgebras of X .

Theorem 3.12. Let P be a non empty subset of X and $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X defined by

$$\delta_{T,I,F}(x) = \begin{cases} [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_1}] : & \text{if } x \in P \\ [\psi_{T,I,F_1}, \psi_{T,I,F_1}] : & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \omega_{T,I,F}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_{T,I,F} : & \text{if } x \in P \\ \epsilon_{T,I,F} : & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\forall [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}], [\psi_{T,I,F_1}, \psi_{T,I,F_2}] \in D[0, 1]$ and $\rho_{T,I,F}, \epsilon_{T,I,F} \in [0, 1]$ with $[\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}] \geq [\psi_{T,I,F_1}, \psi_{T,I,F_2}]$ and $\rho_{T,I,F} \leq \epsilon_{T,I,F}$. Then C is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of $X \Leftrightarrow P$ is a β -subalgebra of X .

Proof: Let C be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x, y \in P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) &\geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{[\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}], [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}]\} \\ &= [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) &\leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\} \\ &\leq max\{\rho_{T,I,F}, \rho_{T,I,F}\} \\ &= \rho_{T,I,F} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x + y \in P$. Similarly, we have $x - y \in P$.

Hence P is a β -subalgebra of X .

Conversely, suppose that P is a β -subalgebra of X . Let $x, y \in X$.

Case(i): If $x, y \in P$ then $x + y \in P$ & $x - y \in P$

Thus $\delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) = [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}] = rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\}$

Similarly, $\delta_{T,I,F}(x - y) = rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\}$ and

$\omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) = \rho_{T,I,F} = max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}$.

In the same way, $\omega_{T,I,F}(x - y) = max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}$

Case (ii): if $x, y \notin P$, then

$\delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) = [\psi_{T,I,F_1}, \psi_{T,I,F_2}] = rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\}$

Similarly, $\delta_{T,I,F}(x - y) = rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\}$ and

$\omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) = \epsilon_{T,I,F} = max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}$.

In the same way, $\omega_{T,I,F}(x - y) = max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\}$

Hence C is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\delta_{T,I,F}} &= \{x \in X, \delta_{T,I,F}(x) = \delta_{T,I,F}(0)\} \\ &= \{x \in X, \delta_{T,I,F}(x) = [\phi_{T,I,F_1}, \phi_{T,I,F_2}]\} \\ &= P \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega_{T,I,F}} &= \{x \in X, \omega_{T,I,F}(x) = \omega_{T,I,F}(0)\} \\ &= \{x \in X, \omega_{T,I,F}(x) = \rho_{T,I,F}\} \\ &= P. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.13. *If $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X then the upper $[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$ -level and lower t_{T,I,F_1} -level set of C are β -subalgebra of X .*

proof: Let $x, y \in U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$, then $\delta_{T,I,F}(x) \geq [s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$ and $\delta_{T,I,F}(y) \geq [s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$. It follows that $\delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) \geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y) \geq [s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]\} \Rightarrow x + y \in U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$. Similarly, $x - y \in U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$.

Hence $U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$ is a β -subalgebra of X .

Let $x, y \in L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$ then $\omega_{T,I,F}(x) \leq t_{T,I,F_1}$ and $\omega_{T,I,F}(y) \leq t_{T,I,F_1}$.

It follows that $\omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) \leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y) \leq t_{T,I,F_1}\} \Rightarrow x + y \in L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$. Similarly, $x - y \in L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$.

Hence $L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$ is a β -subalgebra of X .

Theorem 3.14. *Let $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ be a neutrosophic cubic set of X , such that the sets $U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$ and $L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$ are β -subalgebra of X for every $[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t_{T,I,F_1} \in [0, 1]$. Then $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ is neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .*

proof: Let $U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$ and $L(\omega_{T,I,F}/t_{T,I,F_1})$ are β -subalgebra of X for every $[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t_{T,I,F_1} \in [0, 1]$.

On the contrary, let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be such that $\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0 + y_0) < rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0), \delta_{T,I,F}(y_0)\}$

Let $\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0) = [\theta_1, \theta_2], \delta_{T,I,F}(y_0) = [\theta_3, \theta_4]$ and $\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0 + y_0) = [s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$. Then

$$[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}] < rmin\{[\theta_1, \theta_2], [\theta_3, \theta_4]\} = [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}, \min\{\theta_3, \theta_4\}]$$

So, $\delta_{T,I,F_1} < \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}$ and $\delta_{T,I,F_2} < \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}$

Let us consider,

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma_1, \gamma_2] &= (1/2)[\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0 + y_0) + rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0), \delta_{T,I,F}(y_0)\}] \\ &= (1/2)[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}] + \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\} \\ &= (1/2)(s_{T,I,F_1} + \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}), (1/2)(s_{T,I,F_1} + \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore, \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\} > \gamma_1 = (1/2)(s_{T,I,F_1} + \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}) > s_{T,I,F_1}$$

$$\text{and } \therefore, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\} > \gamma_2 = (1/2)(s_{T,I,F_2} + \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}) > s_{T,I,F_2}$$

Hence $[\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\gamma_1, \gamma_2] > [s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}]$, so that $x_0 + y_0 \notin U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$ which is a contradiction. Since $\delta_{T,I,F}(x_0) = [\theta_1, \theta_2] \geq [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$ and $\delta_{T,I,F}(y_0) = [\theta_3, \theta_4] \geq [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$. $\Rightarrow x_0 + y_0 \in U(\delta_{T,I,F}/[s_{T,I,F_1}, s_{T,I,F_2}])$. Thus $\delta_{T,I,F}(x + y) \geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$.

Similarly, $\delta_{T,I,F}(x - y) \geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x), \delta_{T,I,F}(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$. In the same way, we can prove $\omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) = \omega_{T,I,F}(x + y) \leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x), \omega_{T,I,F}(y)\} \forall x, y \in X$.

4. Homomorphism of Neutrosophic Cubic β -subalgebras

In this section, some of the interesting results on homomorphism of neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra is being investigated.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism from a β -algebra X to Y . If $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X , then the image $f(C) = \{ \langle x, f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F}), f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F}) \rangle / x \in X \}$ of C under f is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of Y .*

Proof: Let $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ be a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X and let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. We know that $\{x_1 + x_2 / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1) \ \& \ x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \subseteq \{x \in X / x \in f^{-1}(y_1 + y_2)\}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F})(y_1 + y_2) &= rsup\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x) / x \in f^{-1}(y_1 + y_2)\} \\ &= rsup\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_1 + x_2) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1) \ \& \ x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \\ &\geq rsup\{rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_1), \delta_{T,I,F}(x_2)\} / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1) \ \& \ x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \\ &= rmin\{rsup\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_1) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1), \delta_{T,I,F}(x_2) / x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we have

$f_{rsup}(\delta_{T,I,F})(y_1 - y_2) \geq rmin\{rsup\{\delta_{T,I,F}(x_1) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1), \delta_{T,I,F}(x_2) / x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F})(y_1 + y_2) &= inf\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x) / x \in f^{-1}(y_1 + y_2)\} \\ &= inf\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x_1 + x_2) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1) \ \& \ x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \\ &\leq inf\{max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x_1), \omega_{T,I,F}(x_2)\} / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1) \ \& \ x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \\ &= max\{inf\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x_1) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1), \omega_{T,I,F}(x_2) / x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have

$f_{inf}(\omega_{T,I,F})(y_1 - y_2) \leq max\{inf\{\omega_{T,I,F}(x_1) / x_1 \in f^{-1}(y_1), \omega_{T,I,F}(x_2) / x_2 \in f^{-1}(y_2)\}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of β -algebra. If $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}, \omega_{T,I,F})$ is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of Y , then the pre-image $f^{-1}(C) = \{ \langle x, f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F}), f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F}) \rangle / x \in X \}$ of C under f is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .*

proof: Assume that $C = (\delta_{T,I,F}\omega_{T,I,F})$ is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of Y and let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(x + y) &= \delta_{T,I,F}(f(x + y)) \\ &= \delta_{T,I,F}(f(x) + f(y)) \\ &\geq rmin\{\delta_{T,I,F}(f(x)), \delta_{T,I,F}(f(y))\} \\ &= rmin\{f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(x), f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(x - y) \geq rmin\{f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(x), f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F})(y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(x + y) &= \omega_{T,I,F}(f(x + y)) \\ &= \omega_{T,I,F}(f(x) + f(y)) \\ &\leq max\{\omega_{T,I,F}(f(x)), \omega_{T,I,F}(f(y))\} \\ &= max\{f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(x), f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(x - y) \leq rmin\{f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(x), f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F})(y)\}$

$\therefore f^{-1}(C) = \{ \langle x, f^{-1}(\delta_{T,I,F}), f^{-1}(\omega_{T,I,F}) \rangle / x \in X \}$ of C under f is a neutrosophic cubic β -subalgebra of X .

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the editorial team for their precious comments in the refinement of this article.

References

1. Ajay, D., Aldring, J., & Nivetha, S. (2020). Neutrosophic Cubic Fuzzy Dombi Hamy Mean Operators with Application to Multi-Criteria Decision Making ,Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 38, 293-316.
2. Akbar Rezaei and Florentin Smarandache, (2020).The Neutrosophic Triplet of BI-algebras,Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 33, 313-321.
3. Ansari, M. A. A., and Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2013). Fuzzy β -subalgebras of β -algebras International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, 7(5), 239-249.
4. Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems , 20(1), 87-96.
5. Biswas, R. (1994). Rosenfeld’s fuzzy subgroups with interval-valued membership functions, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 63(1), 87-90.
6. Bordbar H. Mohseni Takallo M., Borzooei R.A. and Young Bae Jun.(2020). BMBJ-neutrosophic subalgebra in BCI/BCK-algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems(31), 31-43.
7. Eman AboElHamd, Hamed M. Shamma, Mohamed Saleh and Ihab El-Khodary. (2021). Neutrosophic Logic Theory and Applications, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 41, 30-51.
8. Hemavathi, P., Muralikrishna, P., and Palanivel, K. (2018). On interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebras, Afrika Matematika, 29(1-2), 249-262.

9. Iqbal, R., Zafar, S., & Sardar, M. S. (2016). Neutrosophic cubic subalgebras and neutrosophic cubic closed ideals of B-algebras. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 14, 47-60.
10. Jun, Y. B., Kim, C. S., and Yang, K. O. (2012). Cubic sets, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 4(1), 83-98.
11. Jun Y. B. , Kim M. S. and Jung S. T.(2011). Cubic subgroups, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 2(1), 9-15.
12. Jun, Y. B., Smarandache, F. and Kim, C. S.(2017). Neutrosophic cubic sets, *New Mathematics and Natural Computation*, 3(1), 45-54.
13. Jun, Y. B., Smarandache, F., & Kim, C. S. (2017). Neutrosophic subalgebras of several types in *BCK/BCI*-algebras. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 14(1), 75-86.
14. Jun, Y., Song, S. Z., and Kim, S. (2018). Cubic Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Their Application in *BCK/BCI*-Algebras, *Axioms*, 7(1), 1-17.
15. Johnson Awolola,(2020). A Note on the Concept of α -Level Sets of Neutrosophic set, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,31, 120-126.
16. Maji, P.K., (2013).Neutrosophic soft set, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 5(1), 157-168.
17. Mohsin Khalid, Florentin Smarandache, Neha Andaleeb Khalid and Said Broumi,(2020). Translative and Multiplicative Interpretation of Neutrosophic Cubic Set, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 35, 299-339.
18. Mohsin Khalid, Neha Andaleeb Khalid (2020). T-MBJ Neutrosophic Set under M-Subalgebra, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,38, 423-438.
19. Mohsin Khalid, Neha Andaleeb Khalid and Said Broumi,(2020). T-Neutrosophic Cubic Set on BF-Algebra, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 31, 127-147.
20. Muralikrishna Prakasam, and Dass Sarath Kumar. (2019). Neutrosophic approach on normed linear space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 30, 225-240.
21. Muralikrishna, P., Vinodkumar, R., & Palani, G. (2020). Some aspects on cubic fuzzy β -subalgebra of β -algebra. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1597(1), 012018. IOP Publishing.
22. Nanthini T. and Pushpalatha A. (2020).Interval Valued Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*,32, 52-60.
23. Negggers, J. and Kim, H. S. (2002). On β -algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 52(5), 517-530.
24. Prakasam Muralikrishna and Surya Manokaran,(2020). MBJ Neutrosophic β -Ideal of β -Algebra, (35), 99-118.
25. Rajab Ali Borzooei, Florentin Smarandache and Young Bae Jun, (2020). Polarity of generalized neutrosophic subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 32, 123-145.
26. Remy, P. B and Francina Shalini, A. Neutrosophic Vague Binary BCK/BCI-algebra, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38, 45-67.
27. Smarandache, F., (2005). Neutrosophic set, a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Inter. J.Pure Appl.Math.*,24, 287-297.
28. Sujatha, K., Chandramouleeswaran, M., and Muralikrishna, P. (2013). On Intuitionistic fuzzy β -subalgebras of β -algebras *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 9(6), 559-566.
29. Veerappan Chinnadurai and Albert Bobin,(2021). Interval Valued Intuitionistic Neutrosophic Soft Set and its Application on Diagnosing Psychiatric Disorder by Using Similarity Measure, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 41, 215-245.
30. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-353.

Received: Nov 3, 2021. Accepted: Feb 3, 2022