

University of New Mexico



# MADM technique under QSVN environment using different prioritized operator

Kalyan Sinha<sup>1,\*</sup>, Pinaki Majumdar<sup>2</sup> and Said Broumi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, A.B.N. Seal College, Cooch Behar, India 736101; kalyansinha90@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, M.U.C. Womens' College, Burdwan, India 713104; pmajumdar2@rediffmail.com <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Information Processing, Faculty of Science Ben MSik, University of Hassan II, Casablanca,

Morocco; broumisaid 78 @gmail.com

\*Correspondence: kalyansinha90@gmail.com; Tel.: (+91; 8509737107)

**Abstract**. In this article the notion of two quadripartitioned single valued weighted dombi prioritized operators, namely, quadripartitioned single valued weighted dombi prioritized average (QSVNWDPA) operator and quadripartitioned single valued weighted dombi prioritized geometric (QSVNWDPG) operator have been developed which are based on quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic (QSVN) sets. Further some important properties of these two operators are studied. Finally a multi-attribute decision making (MADM) problem has been solved using QSVNWDPA operator and QSVNWDPG operator.

**Keywords:**Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic set; Aggregation operator; Dombi operator; Prioritized operator; QSVN weighted Dombi prioritized average operator; QSVN weighted Dombi prioritized geometric operator; Multi-attributive decision making.

## 1. Introduction

Smarandache [3, 13, 20] introduced Neutrosophic set (NS) theory in which each element of this set is assigned with a truth value (T), a indeterminacy value (I) and a falsity value (F)which are independent of each other. Later many authors have introduced several types of generalizations of NS along with their various types of applications [4–12, 14, 15]. An extension of Neutrosophic set, called QSVN set, was further developed in [16] which was motivated by Belnap's four valued logic [1]. Here every element in a set have four values associated with it namely truth value T, a contradiction value C, an ignorance value U and a falsity value F. Thus QSVN sets are equipped with better tool for solving various types of decision making problems in comparison with other types of neutrosophic sets. The idea of quadripartitioned

K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

neutrosophic numbers (QNN number) which are based on QSVN sets are introduced and studied along with some well known properties of QNN-numbers in [19]. Currently the application of information aggregation operators in the area of multi-attribute decision making process has become a popular topic of research. Aggression operator based decision making methods are preferred than ordinary decision making methods because these operators readily combines data into one single entity from which one could easily make decisions. Several researchers have proposed new aggregation operators or have extended known operators to new settings. On contrary Dombi [2] presented the operations of Dombi T -norms  $(D_T)$  and T-conorms  $(\widehat{D_T})$  for fuzzy sets way back in 1982. Both the norms have wide applications as an operator as they have good advantage of flexibility to tackle the operational parameters. Also Dombi aggregation operators make the optimal outcomes more accurate and definite when used properly in any MADM problem. Many researchers extended the idea of Dombi norms together with Prioritized operator to IFS [18], NS [17,21] theories and applied to different MADM problems. In this paper we have applied weighted Dombi Prioritized norms on QNNand applied them to solve a very relevant MADM problem. The rest of this paper is constructed as follows: In Section 2 we have discussed some basic theories which will be used throughout the rest of the article. We have defined some order relations on QNN in Section 3. In the next section some Dombi operations on QNN are defined. Section 5 introduces the QSVNWDPA and QSVNWDPG operators and studied their properties. Next a MADM problem is solved using QSVNWDA and QSVNWDG operators in section 6 along with sensitivity analysis of these two methods. Then Section 7 concludes the article.

## 2. Some Basics

For better understanding of this article we need some terminologies from literature of NS sets.

**Definition 2.1.** [3] A neutrosophic set (NS) A in  $Y \neq \phi$  is characterized by a truthmembership function  $A_t$ , an indeterminacy membership function  $A_i$  and a falsity-membership function  $A_f$ . Here for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $A_t(y)$ ,  $A_i(y)$  and  $A_f(y)$  are real non-standard elements of  $]0^-, 1^+[$ . A can be written as:

$$A = \{(y, A_t(y), A_i(y), A_f(y)) : y \in Y, A_t(y), A_i(y), A_f(y) \in ]0^-, 1^+[\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** [16] A QSVN set M over a set  $Y \neq \phi$  distinguishes each element y in Y by a truth-value  $M_t$ , a contradiction value  $M_c$ , an ignorance-value  $M_u$  and a falsity value  $M_f$  s.t. for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $M_t(y)$ ,  $M_c(y)$ ,  $M_u(y)$ ,  $M_f(y) \in [0, 1]$ ,  $0 \leq M_t(y) + M_c(y) + M_u(y) + M_f(y) \leq 4$ .

Based on QSVN set Prof. R. Chatterjee et. al. introduced the QSVN numbers together with some operations in their paper [19] in 2019.

K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

**Definition 2.3.** [19] An QSVN element  $\omega = \langle \omega_t, \omega_c, \omega_u, \omega_f \rangle \in [0, 1]^4$  is said to be a QSVN number. We represent the set of QSVN numbers as QNN.

**Definition 2.4.** [19] Consider  $\varsigma, \tau, v \in QNN$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following basic operations hold on QNN:

(i) 
$$\varsigma \bigoplus \tau = \langle \varsigma_t + \tau_t - \varsigma_t \tau_t, \varsigma_c + \tau_c - \varsigma_c \tau_c, \varsigma_u \tau_u, \varsigma_f \tau_f \rangle$$
,  
(ii)  $\varsigma \odot \tau = \langle \varsigma_t \tau_t, \varsigma_c \tau_c, \varsigma_u + \tau_u - \varsigma_u \tau_u, \varsigma_f + \tau_f - \varsigma_f \tau_f \rangle$ ,  
(iii)  $(\varsigma)^k = \langle (\varsigma_t)^k, (\varsigma_c)^k, 1 - (1 - \varsigma_u)^k, 1 - (1 - \varsigma_f)^k \rangle$ ,  
(iv)  $k\varsigma = \langle 1 - (1 - \varsigma_t)^k, 1 - (1 - \varsigma_c)^k, (\varsigma_u)^k, (\varsigma_f)^k \rangle$ ,  
(v)  $\varsigma \bigoplus \tau = \tau \bigoplus \varsigma$ ,  
(vi)  $(\varsigma \bigoplus \tau) \bigoplus \upsilon = \tau \bigoplus (\varsigma \bigoplus \upsilon)$ ,  
(vii)  $\varsigma \odot \tau = \tau \odot \varsigma$ ,  
(viii)  $(\varsigma \odot \tau) \odot \upsilon = \tau \odot (\varsigma \odot \upsilon)$ ,

### 2.1. Dombi T-norm and T-conorm

Dombi Operator was introduced by J. Dombi in 1982 in [2]. In 2008 Prof Yager firstly introduced the Prioritized aggregation operators in [4]. For convenience of the readers of this article we request you to follow the articles [2] and [4] respectively.

**Definition 2.5.** [2] Suppose  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . The  $D_T$  and  $\widehat{D_T}$  between r and s are defined respectively as below:

$$D_T(r,s) = \frac{1}{1 + \{(\frac{1-r}{r})^{\lambda} + (\frac{1-s}{s})^{\lambda}\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$
$$\widehat{D_T}(r,s) = \frac{1}{1 + \{(\frac{r}{1-r})^{\lambda} + (\frac{s}{1-s})^{\lambda}\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}},$$

 $\lambda \ge 1$  and  $(r, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

## 3. Order properties in QNN

Now we will discuss some order relations of QNN.

**Definition 3.1.** The score function of  $\omega = \langle \omega_t, \omega_c, \omega_u, \omega_f \rangle : \mathcal{QNN} \to [0, 1]$  is defined as

$$S(\omega) = \frac{3 + \omega_t + \omega_c - \omega_u - \omega_f}{4}$$

K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

We now define a few accuracy functions  $A_i : \mathcal{QNN} \to [0,1], i = \infty, \in, \ni$  of  $\omega = \langle \omega_t, \omega_c, \omega_u, \omega_f \rangle \in \mathcal{QNN}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\omega) = \frac{(\omega_t + \omega_c) - (\omega_u + \omega_f)}{2}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{\in}(\omega) = \frac{\omega_t - \omega_c}{4}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{\ni}(\omega) = \frac{\omega_u - \omega_f}{4}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** From Definition 3.1, the following properties of score function and accuracy functions of a QSVN number  $\omega \in QNN$  can be obtained:

- (i)  $0 \le S(\omega) \le 1.25$ .
- (ii)  $-1 \leq \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\omega) \leq 1.$
- (iii)  $-0.25 \le A_{\in}(\omega) \le 0.25.$
- (iv)  $-0.25 \le A_{\ni}(\omega) \le 0.25$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Suppose  $\mu, \nu \in QNN$ . We define the order relation between any two  $\mu, \nu \in QNN$  as following:

- (i) If  $S(\mu) < S(\nu)$ , then  $\mu \le \nu$ .
- (ii) If  $S(\mu) = S(\nu)$ , then
  - (a)  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\mu) < \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\nu) \Rightarrow \mu \leq \nu$  else if
  - (b)  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\nu)$  with  $\mathcal{A}_{\in}(\mu) < \mathcal{A}_{\in}(\nu) \Rightarrow \mu \leq \nu$  else if
  - (c)  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\nu), \mathcal{A}_{\in}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\in}(\nu)$  with  $\mathcal{A}_{\ni}(\mu) < \mathcal{A}_{\ni}(\nu) \Rightarrow \mu \leq \nu$  else if
  - (d)  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\nu), \mathcal{A}_{\in}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\in}(\nu) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{\ni}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\ni}(\nu) \Rightarrow \mu = \nu.$

Here  $\mu \leq \nu$  denotes  $\mu$  proceeds  $\nu$ .

## 4. Some QSVN Dombi operations

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mu = \langle m_1, n_1, p_1, q_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{QNN}$  and  $\nu = \langle m_2, n_2, p_2, q_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{QNN}$ ,  $\lambda \ge 1$  and k > 0. Then the  $D_T$  and  $\widehat{D_T}$  operations on  $\mathcal{QNN}$  are defined as below:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \\ \mu \oplus \nu = \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{m_1}{1 - m_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{m_2}{1 - m_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda} + \left(\frac{1 - n_2}{1 - n_2}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 - n_1}{1 - n_1}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{1 -$$

## 5. Dombi prioritized average operators on QNN

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) be a collection on  $\mathcal{QNN}$ . A QSVN Dombi prioritized average (QSVNDPA) operator of dimension l is a function  $s_1 : QNN^l \rightarrow$ QNN defined by:

$$s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \left( \frac{T_j \gamma_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^l T_j} \right)$$

where  $T_j = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} S(\gamma_k) \ \forall \ k, \ T_1 = 1 \ \text{and} \ S(\gamma_j) = \frac{3 + m_j + n_j - p_j - q_j}{4}$ 

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$  be a collection on QNN. Then

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{l}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \left( \frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right)$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ Here } \gamma_{1} \in \mathcal{QNN}. \text{ Now we have } \frac{T_{1}\gamma_{1}}{T_{1}} = \gamma_{1} \\ \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{m_{1}}{1 - m_{1}}\right)^{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{1 - p_{1}}{1 - n_{1}}\right)^{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{1 - p_{1}}{p_{1}}\right)^{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{1 - q_{1}}{q_{1}}\right)^{\lambda} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right\rangle}. \text{ Hence the above equation trivially holds for } l = 1. \text{ In a parallel way for } \gamma_{2} \in \mathcal{QNN}, \text{ we have } \frac{T_{2}\gamma_{2}}{T_{1} + T_{2}} = \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \frac{T_{2}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{1 - m_{2}}\right)^{\lambda}}{T_{1} + T_{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \frac{T_{2}\left(\frac{1 - q_{2}}{2}\right)^{\lambda}}{T_{1} + T_{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \frac{T_{2}\left(\frac{1 - q_{2}}{2}\right)^{\lambda}}{T_{1} + T_{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right\rangle. \end{array}$$
Therefore

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} T_{j}} \right)$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{n_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right)}.$$

K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

Hence the equation is valid for l = 1, 2. We assume that the equation is valid for l = s i.e.

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{s}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \left(\frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right)$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{n_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q}\right)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q}\right)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1$$

Finally for l = s + 1, one can easily see that

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{s}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \left(\frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s}T_{j}}\right) \bigoplus \left(\frac{T_{s+1}\gamma_{s+1}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}\right)$$

$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{n_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-p_{j}}{p_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{n_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}\right\}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}T_{j}}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s+1}$$

Finally the equation

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{s}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \left(\frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right)$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{n_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} T_{j}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum}}, 1 - \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{1 + \left\{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{s} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac$$

holds for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** The QSVNDPA operator  $s_1$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i) Consistency:  $s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_l) \in \mathcal{QNN}$ .
- (ii) Idempotency:  $s_1(\gamma, l \text{ times } \dots, \gamma) = \gamma$ .
- (iii) Commutativity:  $s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = s_1(\gamma_l, \gamma_{l-1}, \dots, \gamma_1).$
- (iv)  $s_1(\gamma_{\pi(1)}, \gamma_{\pi(2)}, \dots, \gamma_{\pi(l)}) = s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l)$  where  $\pi$  is a permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ .

*Proof.* The basic two properties of QSVNDPA operator i.e. consistency and commutativity properties are quite easy. We will prove the property (ii) and (iv) respectively. If  $\gamma_j = \gamma \forall j$ 

then

$$s_1(\gamma, l \text{ times} \dots, \gamma) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{T_j \gamma_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^s T_j} \right) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{T_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^s T_j} \right) \gamma = \gamma.$$

Finally consider  $\pi$  as a permutation on  $\{1, 2, ..., l\}$ . Now due to additive commutativity in QNN

$$s_1(\gamma_{\pi(1)}, \gamma_{\pi(2)}, \dots, \gamma_{\pi(s)}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{T_{\pi(j)}\gamma_{\pi(j)}}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^s T_{\pi(j)}} \right) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{T_j\gamma_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^s T_j} \right).$$

Hence we are done.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.4.** Consider  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) and  $\delta_j = \langle \widetilde{m_j}, \widetilde{n_j}, \widetilde{p_j}, \widetilde{q_j} \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) are two collections on  $\mathcal{QNN}$  such that  $m_j \leq \widetilde{m_j}, n_j \leq \widetilde{n_j}, p_j \geq \widetilde{p_j}, q_j \geq \widetilde{q_j} \forall j$ . Then  $s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_l) \leq s_1(\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_l)$ .

Proof. Here,

$$s_{1}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{l}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \left( \frac{T_{j}\gamma_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l}T_{j}} \right)$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l}T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{1-q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l}T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-q_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l}T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \right\rangle$$

Firstly we consider that  $m_j < \widetilde{m_j}, n_j < \widetilde{n_j}, p_j > \widetilde{p_j}, q_j > \widetilde{q_j} \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ . Then

$$1 - m_j > 1 - \widetilde{m_j} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1 - m_j}{m_j}\right) > \left(\frac{1 - \widetilde{m_j}}{\widetilde{m_j}}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow T_j \left(\frac{m_j}{1 - m_j}\right)^{\lambda} < T_j \left(\frac{\widetilde{m_j}}{1 - \widetilde{m_j}}\right)^{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} > \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{\widetilde{m_{j}}}{1-\widetilde{m_{j}}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{m_{j}}{1-m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} < 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{\widetilde{m_{j}}}{1-\widetilde{m_{j}}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

In a same way we can observe that

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{n_j}{1-n_j}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} > \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{\widetilde{n_j}}{1-\widetilde{n_j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{1-p_j}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} > \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{1-\widetilde{p_j}}{p_j}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{1-q_j}{q_j}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} > \frac{1}{1 + \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_j \left(\frac{1-\widetilde{q_j}}{q_j}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_j}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}$$

Combining all the above we get  $s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_l) < s_1(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_l)$ . Now if  $m_j = \widetilde{m_j}, n_j = \widetilde{n_j}, p_j = \widetilde{p_j}, q_j = \widetilde{q_j} \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ , then all the equalities as well as the score functions become equal. Finally  $s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_l) \leq s_1(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_l)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.5.** Consider a collection of  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle, j \in \mathbb{N}$  in QNN. Then

$$\underline{\gamma} \leq s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) \leq \overline{\gamma}, where$$

$$\underline{\gamma} = \langle \min_j(m_j), \min_j(n_j), \min_j(p_j), \min_j(q_j) \rangle = \langle \underline{m_j}, \underline{n_j}, \underline{p_j}, \underline{q_j} \rangle and$$

$$\overline{\gamma} = \langle \max_j(m_j), \max_j(n_j), \max_j(p_j), \max_j(q_j) \rangle = \langle \overline{m_j}, \overline{n_j}, \overline{p_j}, \overline{q_j} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* From Definition of QNN we have  $\forall j = \{1, 2, ..., l\}$ ,

$$\underline{m_j} \le m_j \le \overline{m_j}, \underline{n_j} \le n_j \le \overline{n_j} \text{ and}$$
$$\underline{p_j} \ge p_j \ge \overline{p_j}, \underline{q_j} \ge q_j \ge \overline{q_j}.$$

Then

$$s(\underline{\gamma}, l \text{ times}, \underline{\gamma}) \leq s(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) \leq s(\overline{\gamma}, l \text{ times}, \overline{\gamma}), i.e$$
$$\underline{\gamma} \leq s(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) \leq \overline{\gamma}.$$

**Definition 5.6.** Consider the mass associated with  $\gamma_j$  as  $M_j > 0 \ \forall j = 1, ..., l$ , where  $M = (M_1, M_2, ..., M_l)^T$  is the mass vector such that  $\sum_{j=1}^l M_j = 1$ . Then the QSVNWDPA (QSVN weighted DPA) can be defined as follows:

$$s_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \left( \frac{M_j T_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^l M_j T_j} \gamma_j \right)$$

where  $T_j = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} S(\gamma_k)$   $(k = 1, 2, ..., l), T_1 = 1$  and  $S(\gamma_j) = \frac{3+m_j+n_j-p_j-q_j}{4}$ 

**Definition 5.7.** Suppose  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., l) be a collection on QNN. Then a QSVNDPA operator  $s_1$  of dimension l can be written as follows

$$s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \left( \frac{T_j \gamma_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^l T_j} \right)$$

Now if  $T_j = \frac{1}{l} \forall j$  then

$$s_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \frac{1}{l} \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \gamma_j.$$

is called average QSVNDPA operator of  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l).

**Definition 5.8.** Let  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) be a collection on  $\mathcal{QNN}$ . A QSVN Dombi prioritized geometric (QSVNDPG) operator of dimension l is a function  $s_2 : \mathcal{QNN}^l \to \mathcal{QNN}$  defined by:

$$s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^l \gamma_j^{\frac{l_j}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^l T_j}}$$

where  $T_j = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} S(\gamma_k)$  (k = 1, 2, ..., l),  $T_1 = 1$  and  $S(\gamma_j) = \frac{3+m_j+n_j-p_j-q_j}{4}$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** Suppose  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) be a collection on QNN. Then

$$s_{2}(\gamma_{1},\gamma_{2},\ldots,\gamma_{l}) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{l} \gamma_{j}^{\frac{l_{j}}{\sum} T_{j}}$$
$$= \left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-n_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} T_{j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}, 1 - \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}}, 1 - \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}}, 1 - \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{T_{j}\left(\frac{1-m_{j}}{m_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}}}}}$$

*Proof.* The above theorem can be proved using the same proof procedure of Theorem 5.2.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.10.** The QSVNDPG operator  $s_2$  satisfies properties as defined below:

- (i) Consistency:  $s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_l) \in \mathcal{QNN}$ .
- (ii) Idempotency:  $s_2(\gamma, l \text{ times } \dots, \gamma) = \gamma$ .
- (iii) Commutativity:  $s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = s_2(\gamma_l, \gamma_{l-1}, \dots, \gamma_1).$
- (iv)  $s_2(\gamma_{\pi(1)}, \gamma_{\pi(2)}, \dots, \gamma_{\pi(l)}) = s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l)$  where  $\pi$  is a permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ .

*Proof.* We have omitted it due to similarity with Theorem 5.3.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.11.** Consider  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) and  $\widetilde{\gamma_j} = \langle \widetilde{m_j}, \widetilde{n_j}, \widetilde{p_j}, \widetilde{q_j} \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l) are two collections on  $\mathcal{QNN}$  such that  $m_j \leq \widetilde{m_j}, n_j \leq \widetilde{n_j}, p_j \geq \widetilde{p_j}, q_j \geq \widetilde{q_j} \forall j$ . Then  $s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_l) \leq s_2(\widetilde{\gamma_1}, \widetilde{\gamma_2}, ..., \widetilde{\gamma_l})$ .

*Proof.* Here the proof is similar with Theorem 5.4, hence we have omitted it.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.12.** Consider a collection of  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$ , j = 1, 2, ..., l in QNN. Then

$$\underline{\gamma} \leq s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) \leq \overline{\gamma}, where$$

$$\underline{\gamma} = \langle \min_j(m_j), \min_j(n_j), \min_j(p_j), \min_j(q_j) \rangle = \langle \underline{m_j}, \underline{n_j}, \underline{p_j}, \underline{q_j} \rangle and$$

$$\overline{\gamma} = \langle \max_j(m_j), \max_j(n_j), \max_j(p_j), \max_j(q_j) \rangle = \langle \overline{m_j}, \overline{n_j}, \overline{p_j}, \overline{q_j} \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Again proof is not done due to its similarity with Theorem 5.5.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.13.** Suppose  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$ , (j = 1, 2, ..., l) be a collection on  $\mathcal{QNN}$ . Then a QSVNDPG operator  $s_2$  of dimension l can be written as follows:

$$s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^l \gamma_j^{\frac{T_j}{\sum\limits_{l=1}^l T_j}}$$

If  $T_j = \frac{1}{l} \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  then

$$s_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l) = (\bigotimes_{j=1}^l \gamma_j)^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

is called average QSVNDPG operator of  $\gamma_j = \langle m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j \rangle$  (j = 1, 2, ..., l).

K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

k=1

**Definition 5.14.** Consider the mass associated with  $\gamma_j$  as  $M_j > 0 \ \forall j = 1, ..., l$ , where  $M = (M_1, M_2, ..., M_l)^T$  is the mass vector such that  $\sum_{j=1}^l M_j = 1$ . Then the QSVNWDPG (SVN weighted DPG) can be defined as follows:

$$s_{\mathcal{M}}(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \dots, \gamma_{l}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} \gamma_{j}^{\frac{M_{j}T_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} M_{j}T_{j}}},$$
  
where  $T_{j} = \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} S(\gamma_{k})(k = 1, 2, \dots, l), T_{1} = 1$  and  $S(\gamma_{j}) = \frac{3+m_{j}+n_{j}-p_{j}-q_{j}}{4}$ 

## 6. An application in MADM of QSVNWDPA and QSVNWDPG operator

For smooth understanding of QSVN operators it is better to apply our operators in MADM problems. Without a real life application any researcher cannot get any interest of studying this. In this regard we have tried to formulate a real life problem with the help of QSVNWDPA and QSVNWDPG operator. Suppose Govt of India wants to stop the spread of the second wave of Covid-19 virus. For this reason Govt of India has 4 ways of lockdown process in their policy i.e.  $L_1$ : Complete lockdown,  $L_2$ : Statewise lockdown,  $L_3$ : District wise lockdown,  $L_4$ : Specific area wise lockdown. However there are four attributes  $A_j$ , j = , 2, 3, 4 which are to be considered for choosing a particular process i.e.  $(A_1)$ : the economic growth of the country,  $(A_2)$ : the migrant workers,  $(A_3)$ : The small industry  $(A_4)$ : The poor people. In order to get a suitable choice  $L_i$  after consideration of all attributes  $A_j$  we have represented these MADM problems in the form of a decision making matrix  $D(l_{ij})$  on QNN as following:

$$D(l_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.4, 0.6, 0.2, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.4, 0.8, 0.7, 0.9 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.3 \rangle \\ \langle 0.7, 0.5, 0.7, 0.6 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.8, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.6, 0.6, 0.1, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.8, 0.5, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.6, 0.1, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.5, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.6, 0.6, 0.2, 0.1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 7, 0.1, 0.6, 0.9 \rangle & \langle 0.8, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.2, 0.8, 0.6 \rangle & \langle 0.6, 0.4, 0.4, 0.9 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

**Case-I:** Firstly we take the help of QSVNWDPA operator to find out a possible way out of our MADM. Here we take  $\lambda = 1, M = (0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1)$  and derive the collection of QSVNs say  $L_i$  to find suitable way out among  $L_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) by the help of Definition 5.1 as follows:

$$s_1(L_1) = \langle 0.473, 0.712, 0.731, 0.673 \rangle$$
  

$$s_1(L_2) = \langle 0.639, 0.682, 0.716, 0.6324 \rangle$$
  

$$s_1(L_3) = \langle 0.702, 0.578, 0.798, 0.654 \rangle$$
  

$$s_1(L_4) = \langle 0.806, 0.406, 0.171, 0.615 \rangle.$$

Based on the Definition 3.1 the scores are as follows:

 $S(L_1) = 0.69525, S(L_2) = 0.74335, S(L_3) = 0.70694, S(L_4) = 0.8567.$ 

From above we have the priority order of lockdown process as  $L_4 > L_2 > L_3 > L_1$ .

**Case-II:** Secondly we take the help of QSVNWDPG operator to find out a possible solution to our problem. Again we take  $\lambda = 1, M = (0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1)$  and derive the collective QSVNs  $L_i$  with the help of Definition 5.8 as follows:

$$\begin{split} s_2(L_1) &= \langle 0.616, 0.434, 0.568, 0.769 \rangle \\ s_2(L_2) &= \langle 0.649, 0.518, 0.625, 0.586 \rangle \\ s_2(L_3) &= \langle 0.631, 0.518, 0.461, 0.542 \rangle \\ s_2(L_4) &= \langle 0.676, 0.873, 0.735, 0.919 \rangle. \end{split}$$

Based on the Definition 3.1 the scores are as follows:

$$S(L_1) = 0.6779, S(L_2) = 0.7378, S(L_3) = 0.786, S(L_4) = 0.724$$

According to obtained scores, the priority order of lockdown process is  $L_3 > L_2 > L_4 > L_1$ .

## 6.1. Sensitivity analysis

In this section we have done a sensitivity analysis based on our method. For this purpose we have change the value of our parameter  $\lambda$  in an increasing manner starting from 0.2 to 1 with an increment 0.2. For both the operators i.e. QSVNWDPA and QSVNWDPG operator the following results are obtained. Tabular representation in case of QSVNWDPA operator

)	١	$S(L_1), S(L_2), S(L_3), S(L_4)$
0.	2	0.583, 0.643, 0.616, 0.677
0.	4	0.549, 0.632, 0.607, 0.658
0.	6	0.536, 0.617, 0.594, 0.634
0.	8	0.493, 0.536, 0.511, 0.577
1.	0	0.462, 0.514, 0.473, 0.543

Result:  $L_4 > L_2 > L_3 > L_1$ . Tabular representation in case of QSVNWDPG operator:

$\lambda$	$S(L_1), S(L_2), S(L_3), S(L_4)$
0.2	0.613, 0.649, 0.677, 0.627
0.4	0.553, 0.625, 0.652, 0.586
0.6	0.497, 0.531, 0.568, 0.519
0.8	0.468, 0.511, 0.547, 0.487
1.0	0.421, 0.473, 0.489, 0.445

Result:  $L_3 > L_2 > L_4 > L_1$ . Considering all the above cases we observed that the priority order of lockdown process remains unaltered irrespective of the values of  $\lambda$ . According to us that either specific area wise lockdown or district wise lock down will be the suitable process against the spread of corona virus second wave in India. But in all the above cases complete lockdown will not be proffered. The above procedure help our Government to choose a multisolution based on the current situation at that time.

## 7. Conclusion

Benlap introduced the four valued logic in [1] and applied it in different areas. The QSVN sets are developed on Benlap's Model and they are very good in modeling uncertainty because they can single handedly tackle consistent, inconsistent, vague etc. information. Based on the QSVN set, QNN is introduced in 2019. In this article two prioritized aggregation operators i.e. QSVNWDPA and QSVNWDPG operator based on Dombi operations on QNN sets are studied. These aggregation operators are better than other available aggregation operators because they have combined effects of neutrosophy, four valued logic and the power of Dombi. We have also added weights in our operators to add flexibility in them. We have also shown the applicability of our operators by solving a MADM problem where we have utilized the score functions of QNN to finding the order of priority of different parameters. In future one can develop more advanced type of operators on QNN and apply them to solve real life MADM problems.

## References

- [1] Belnap, N. D., A useful four valued logic. Modern uses of multiple valued logic, 1977, 9-37.
- [2] Dombi, J. A general class of fuzzy operators, the demogram class of fuzzy operators and fuzziness measures induced by fuzzy operators. Fuzzy set and systems, 1982, 8, 149-163.
- [3] Smarandache, F., Neutrosophic set-a generalization of the intuitionstic fuzzy set. Granular Computing, IEEE international conference, 2006,38-42 DOI:10.1109/GRC.2006.1635754.
- [4] Yager, R.R.; Prioritized aggregation operators, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 2008, 48, 263-274.
- [5] Wang, H.; Smarandache, F.; Zhang, Y., and R. Sunderraman, Single valued neutrosophic sets. Multispace and Multistructure, 2010, 4, 410-413.
- [6] Merigo, J.M. and Casanovas, M., Decision making with distance measures and induced aggregation operators. Computers and Industrial Engineering, 2011, 60(1), 66-76.
- [7] Smarandache, F., A geometric interpretation of the neutrosophic sets, Granular Computing, IEEE international conference, 2011,602–606, DOI:10.1109/GRC.2011.6122665.
- [8] Merigo, J.M. and Casanovas, M., Induced aggregation operators in the euclidean distance and its application in financial decision making. Expert Systems with Applications, 2011, 38(6), 7603-7608.
- [9] Merigo, J.M. and Yager, R.R., Generalized moving averages, distance measures and OWA operators. International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems, 2013, 21(4), 533-559.
- [10] Broumi, S. and Smarandache, F., New distance and similarity measures of interval neutrosophic sets. International Fusion, IEEE 17th international conference, China, 2014, 1-7.
- [11] Smarandache, F., A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logic, neutrosophy, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic probability and statistics. American Research Press, 2014.
- [12] Deli, I.; Ali, M. and Smarandache, F., Bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application based on multicriteria decision making problems. Proceedings of International conference on advanced mechatronic systems, Beijing, China, 2015.
- K. Sinha, P. Majumdar, S. Broumi MADM technique using prioritized operator

- [13] Smarandache, F., Smarandache Notions, 2005, http://fs.unm.edu.
- [14] Majumdar, P., Neutrosophic sets and its applications to decision making. Computational Intelligence for Big Data Analysis, 2015, 97-115.
- [15] Smarandache, F., and Pramanik, S., New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications. Ponds edition, 2016.
- [16] Chatterjee, R.; Majumdar, P. and Samanta, S.K., On some similarity measures and entropy on quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic sets. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 2016, 30, 2475-2485.
- [17] Chen J.; Jun Ye., Some Single-Valued Neutrosophic Dombi Weighted Aggregation Operators for Multiple Attribute Decision-Making, Symmetry, 2017, 9, 82.
- [18] Liu, P.D;Liu, J.L.; Chen, S.M., Some intutionistic fuzzy Dombi Bonferroni mean operators and their application to multi-attributegroup decision making, Journal of Operation reserch society, 2017,1-26.
- [19] Chatterjee, R.; Majumdar, P. and Samanta, S.K., A multicriteria group decision making algorithm with quadripartitioned neutrosophic weighted aggregation operators using quadripartitioned neutrosophic numbers in IPQSVNSS environment, Soft Computing, 2019, Doi https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-04417-1.
- [20] Smarandache, F.;Zhang, X.;Ali, M.; Algebraic Structures of Neutrosophic Triplets, Neutrosophic Duplets, or Neutrosophic Multisets, MDPI, 2019.
- [21] Wei, G.; Wei, Y.; Some singe valued neutrosophic dombi prioritized weighted aggregation operators in multiple attribute decission making, JIFS, 2019, Doi: 10.3233/JIFS-171741.

Received: Nov 9, 2021. Accepted: Feb 3, 2022