

On r-Edge Regular Neutrosophic Graphs

Kaviyarasu. M

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,

HADGUND

Correspondence: kavitamilm@gmail.com.

Abstract: We approach learning characteristic on a neutrosophic graph such as r-edge regular neutrosophic graph, strongly edge regular neutrosophic graph and absolute degree of vertex since a neutrosophic set $NS = \{\langle x, NS_{\mathfrak{T}}(x), NS_{\mathfrak{T}}(x), NS_{\mathfrak{T}}(x), NS_{\mathfrak{T}}(x) \rangle; x \in X\}$ of a universe set A. We discuss different aspects of these graphics in this article. We've also included several examples to help you understand these concepts.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set, r-edge regular neutrosophic graph, strongly edge regular neutrosophic graph, absolute degree of vertex.

1. Introduction

By changing the definition of the fuzzy set, Smarandache [2] presented the neutrosophic set. Any vague real-life problem can be solved using the neutrosophic set, which can function with uncertain, indeterminate, unclear, and inconsistent details. It's essentially a hybrid of the crisp set, Type 1 fuzzy set, and the IFS. The truth, indeterminate, and false membership degrees of any object are used to define it. These three membership degrees are independent of one another and always fall within the range of [0, 1+], i.e. a nonstandard unit interval. Numerous scholars have long become more interested in neutrosophic graph theory, such as Ye [3] and Yang et al. [5]. Borzooei [1], Azadi et al. [9], Arkam [6] and Poulik, S., Ghorai, G [9-14]. The vertex degree is a useful way to define a vertex's total number of relationships in a graph, and it can also be utilised evaluate the graph. In a fuzzy graph, Gani and Lathi raised the concepts of irregularity, total irregularity, and total degree. Maheswari and Sekar suggested the d2-vertex term and defined several assets of the d2-vertex degree of a fuzzy graph. Darabian et al. introduced the dm-regular vague graph, the tdmregular vague graph, the m-highly irregular vague graph, and the m-highly complete irregular vague graph, as well as some of their attributes. In this article, we look at neutrosophic graphs using certain r-edge regularity and absolute degree of vertex properties. The purpose of this work is to generalise an idea from neutrosophic graph.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definition [7]

A graph G = (V, E) is really an ordered pair made up of a non-empty vertex set V, another edge set E, and a link that connects each edge across two end points.

2.2. Definition [7]

Consider the graph G = (V, E). Since $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq E$ then $\vartheta_G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ could very well be a sub graph of G.

2.3. Definition [11]

A function $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1]$. Defines a fuzzy set on a set \mathfrak{A} .

2.4. Definition

A fuzzy graph $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is called complete fuzzy graph if $\mu(a, b) = \min\{\sigma(a), \sigma(b)\}, \forall a, b \in \sigma$.

2.5. Definition

A fuzzy graph $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is called strong fuzzy graph if $\mu(a, b) = \min\{\sigma(a), \sigma(b)\} \forall a, b \in \mu$.

2.6. Definition

The complement of a fuzzy graph $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is a fuzzy graph and it is represented as $G^c = (\sigma^c, \mu^c)$, where $\sigma^c = \sigma$ and $\mu^c(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = min\{\sigma(\mathbf{a}), \sigma(\mathbf{b})\} - \mu(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$.

2.7. Definition [7]

A fuzzy graph $\mathfrak{A}_G = (V, \lambda, \mu)$ is a non-empty set *V* together with pair of functions $\lambda: V \to [0,1]$ and $\mu: V \times V \to [0,1]$ such that for all $a, \mathfrak{h} \in V, \mu(a, \mathfrak{h}) \leq \min\{\lambda(a), \lambda(\mathfrak{h})\}$ where $\lambda(a)$ and $\mu(a\mathfrak{h})$ represent the membership value of the vertex \mathfrak{a} and the edge $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}$ in \mathfrak{A}_G , respectively. The underlying crisp graph of the fuzzy graph $\mathfrak{A}_G = (V, \lambda, \mu)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_{G^*} = (V, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$ where $\lambda^* = \{\mathfrak{a} \in V; \lambda(\mathfrak{a}) > 0\}$ and $\mu^* = \{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h} \in V \times V; \mu(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) > 0\}$. Thus for underlying fuzzy graph $\lambda^* = V$.

2.8. Definition [14]

An intuitionistic fuzzy graph is a pair Let G = (V, E) of a graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{A}_{\mu}, \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda})$ an intuitionistic fuzzy set on V is and $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{B}_{\mu}, \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy relation on E such that $\mathfrak{B}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) \leq \min\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{h})\}, \mathfrak{B}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) \geq \max\{\mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{h})\}$ for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}$ in V. The underlying crip graph of $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is the crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$, where $V = \{\mathfrak{a}; \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}) > 0 \text{ or } \mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0\}$ and $E = \{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{B}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) > 0 \text{ or } \mathfrak{B}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = 0\}$

2.9. Definition [3]

A neutrosophic graph is of the form G = (V, E) where

- 1. *V* such that $\mathfrak{T}_1: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$, $\mathfrak{l}_1: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$ and $\mathfrak{F}_1: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of membership, degree of indeterminacy and non-membership of the element $v_i \in V$, respectively, and $0 \leq \mathfrak{T}_i(v_i) + \mathfrak{I}_i(v_i) + \mathfrak{F}_i(v_i) \leq 3$, for every $v_i \in V$, (i = 1, 2, ..., n).
- 2. $E \subseteq V \times V$, Where $\mathfrak{T}_2: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$, $\mathfrak{l}_2: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$ and $\mathfrak{F}_2: \mathfrak{A} \to [0,1]$ such that $\mathfrak{T}_2(v_i, v_j) \leq \min\{\mathfrak{T}_1(v_i), \mathfrak{T}_1(v_j)\}, \mathfrak{l}_2(v_i, v_j) \geq \max\{\mathfrak{l}_1(v_i), \mathfrak{l}_1(v_j)\}, \text{and}$ $\mathfrak{F}_2(v_i, v_j) \geq \max\{\mathfrak{F}_1(v_i), \mathfrak{F}_1(v_j)\}$ and $0 \leq \mathfrak{T}_i(v_i, v_j) + \mathfrak{l}_i(v_i, v_j) + \delta_i(v_i, v_j) \leq 3$ for every $v_i, v_j \in E, (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n).$

2.10. Example

Consider a neutrosophic graph G, such that $\mathfrak{A} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{ab, ac, cb, ce, ed, bd, cd, eb\}$ as in Figure 1.



Figure 1: An example of neutrosophic graph

3. Neutrosophic graph in r-edge regular

3.1. Definition

A graph $G^* = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ with a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is said to be strong if, for all $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{A}$ and

1. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(ab) = \min{\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(a), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(b)\}}$ 2. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(ab) = \max{\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(a), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(b)\}}$

3. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \max{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h})}$

Consider a neutrosophic graph G, such that $\mathfrak{A} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{ab, bc, cd, da\}$ as in figure 2.



Figure 2

3.3. Definition

A graph $G^* = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ with a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is said to be complete if, for all $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $1.\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \min{\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{h})\}}$

2. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \max{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{h})}$ 3. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \max{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathfrak{h})}.$

3.4. Example

Consider a neutrosophic graph G, such that $\mathfrak{A} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{ab, bc, cd, da, ac, db\}$ as in figure 3.



Figure 3

3.5. Definition

A complement of a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a neutrosophic graph $\overline{G} = (\overline{\mathfrak{A}}, \overline{B})$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{a}), \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}), \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}))$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} = (\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{a}), \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}), \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}))$ Here,

$$\begin{split} &1.\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \min\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}),\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h})\} - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) \\ &2.\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \max\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}),\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{h})\} - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) \\ &3.\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \max\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}),\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h})\} - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{B}. \end{split}$$

3.6. Example

Consider a neutrosophic graph G, such that $\mathfrak{A} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{ac, ad, db, bc\}$ as in figure 4.





3.7. Definition

The absolute degree of any vertex an is determined by if $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a neutrosophic graph. $D_a = (\mathfrak{T}_D(a), \mathfrak{l}_D(a), F_D(a))$, where

$$1. \mathfrak{T}_{D}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}); \mathbf{a} \neq \mathfrak{h}, \mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h} \in E$$

$$2. \mathfrak{l}_{D}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}); \mathbf{a} \neq \mathfrak{h}, \mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h} \in E$$

$$3. \mathfrak{F}_{D}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}); \mathbf{a} \neq \mathfrak{h}, \mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h} \in E$$

And hence $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}} = \left| \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\neq\mathfrak{h}\\\mathbf{a}\in V}} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) - \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\neq\mathfrak{h}\\\mathbf{a}\in V}} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) - \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\neq\mathfrak{h}\\\mathbf{a}\in V}} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}\mathfrak{h}) \right|$

3.8. Example

Let $G^* = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, where $\mathfrak{A} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{ab, ae, bd, ad, de\}$, then





Figure 2: Graph G which id defined in Example 3.8

Figure 5

3.9 Definition

If $G^* = (V, E)$ is a crisp graph and i = a, b is an edge in G^* , then $D_e = D_a + D_b - 2$ is the degree of the $e \in E$.

3.10. Definition

Let $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ be a neutrosophic graph. $\mathcal{D}_N(\mathfrak{a}) = (N_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{a}) + N_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{a}))$ is the degree neighbourhood of a vertex. Where $N_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{h} \in N(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}), N_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{h} \in N(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h})$. and $N_B(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{h} \in N(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathfrak{h})$.

3.11. Definition

In a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ an edge's total open neighbourhood degree $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h} \in \mathsf{E}$ is known as $\mathfrak{T}_{D}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = \left(T_{D}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}), T_{D}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}), T_{D}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h})\right)$ Where, $T_{D}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = T_{D}(\mathfrak{a}) + T_{D}(\mathfrak{h}) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h})$ $T_{D}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h}) = T_{D}(\mathfrak{a}) + T_{D}(\mathfrak{h}) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{h})$

 $T_{\mathrm{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathrm{a}\mathfrak{h}) = T_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{a}) + T_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{h}) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathrm{a}\mathfrak{h})$

An edge's minimum total open neighbourhood degree is equal to $\Delta_{TE} = \min\{T_D(ab); ab \in E\}$ An edge's minimum total open neighbourhood degree is equal to $\Delta_{IE} = \min\{I_D(ab); ab \in E\}$ and An edge's maximum open neighbourhood degree is known as $F_{TE} = \max\{F_D(ab); ab \in E\}$.

3.12. Definition

Let $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ be a neutrosophic graph. The degree neighbourhood of a vertex a is defined as $D_N = (N_T(a), N_I(a), N_F(a))$, Where $N_T(a) = \sum_{b \in N_T(a)} T(b)$, $N_I(a) = \sum_{b \in N_I(a)} I(b)$ and $N_F(a) = \sum_{b \in N_F(a)} F(b)$.

3.13. Definition

Assume $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a neutrosophic graph on G^* .

G also is an r-edge regular neutrosophic graph if all of its edges get the same neighbourhood degree r.
 In a neutrosophic graph *G* = (𝔄, 𝔅), the open neighbourhood degree of an edge a𝔅 ∈ *E* is classified as D_{a𝔅} = (D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅), D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅), D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅) such that;
 D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅) = 𝔅_D(a) + 𝔅_D(𝔅) - 2𝔅_𝔅(a𝔅), D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅) = 𝔅_D(a) + 𝔅_D(𝔅) - 2𝔅_𝔅(a𝔅), and D_{𝔄𝔅}(a𝔅) = 𝔅_D(𝔅) + 𝔅_D(𝔅) - 2𝔅_𝔅(𝔅).

3.14. Example

Consider a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ such that



An example of a neutrosophic graph

Figure 6

$D_a = (0.5, 1.2, 1.6)$	$D_{b} = (0.3, 1.2, 1.5)$	$D_{c} = (0.5, 1.2, 1.6)$
$D_d = (0.5, 1.2, 1.5)$	$D_e = (0.6, 1.2, 1.6)$	$D_f = (0.8, 1.2, 1.8)$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}T}(ab) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(ab) = 0.5 + 0.3 - 2(0.1) = 0.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}II}(ab) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(ab) &= 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(ab) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(ab) &= 1.6 + 1.5 - 2(0.5) = 2.1 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(ab), \mathcal{D}_{AI}(ab), \mathcal{D}_{AF}(ab)) = (0.6, 1.6, 2.1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = 0.3 + 0.5 - 2(0.1) = 0.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) &= 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = 1.5 + 1.6 - 2(0.5) = 2.1 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}\varsigma} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma)) = (0.6, 1.6, 2.1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(d) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d) = 0.5 + 0.5 - 2(0.2) = 0.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(d) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) = 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(d) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d) = 1.6 + 1.5 - 2(0.5) = 2.1 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\varsigma d} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d)) = (0.6, 1.6, 2.1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(da) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(da) = 0.4 + 0.4 - 2(0.1) = 0.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(da) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(da) = 0.9 + 1.3 - 2(0.4) = 1.4 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(da) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(da) = 1.9 + 2.1 - 2(0.6) = 2.8 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{da} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(da), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(da), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(da)) = (0.6, 1.4, 2.8) \end{split}$$

$$D_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(af) = \mathfrak{T}_{D}(a) + \mathfrak{T}_{D}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(af) = 0.5 + 0.8 - 2(0.3) = 1.1$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}f) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}f) = 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}f) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}f) = 1.6 + 1.8 - 2(0.6) = 2.2 \end{aligned}$

$$D_{af} = (D_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(af), D_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(af) \ D_{\mathfrak{A}F}(af)) = (1.1, 1.6, 2.2)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{T}}(ef) &= \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(ef) = 0.6 + 0.8 - 2(0.3) = 0.8 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{I}}(ef) &= \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(ef) = 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{F}}(ef) &= \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(ef) = 1.6 + 1.8 - 2(0.6) = 2.2 \\ \mathbb{D}_{ef} &= (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{T}}(ef), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{I}}(ef), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{F}}(ef)) = (0.8, 1.6, 2.2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma f) &= \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma f) = 0.5 + 0.8 - 2(0.2) = 0.9 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma f) &= \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\varsigma f) = 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}}(\varsigma f) &= \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma f) = 1.6 + 1.8 - 2(0.6) = 2.2 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\varsigma e} &= (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma f), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma f) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}}(\varsigma f)) = (0.9, 1.6, 2.2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(be) &= \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(be) = 0.3 + 0.6 - 2(0.1) = 0.7 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}}(be) &= \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(be) &= 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(be) &= \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(b) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(be) &= 1.5 + 1.6 - 2(0.5) = 2.1 \\ \mathbb{D}_{be} &= (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(be), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(be) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(be)) = (0.7, 1.6, 2.1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}}(de) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(de) = 0.5 + 0.6 - 2(0.2) = 0.7 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(de) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(de) = 1.2 + 1.2 - 2(0.4) = 1.6 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(de) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) - 2\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(de) = 1.5 + 1.6 - 2(0.5) = 2.1 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{be} = (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(de), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(de) - \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(de)) = (0.7, 1.6, 2.1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}T}(ab) = \mathfrak{T}_{D}(a) + \mathfrak{T}_{D}(b) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(ab) = 0.5 + 0.3 - (0.1) = 0.7 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}I}(ab) = \mathfrak{l}_{D}(a) + \mathfrak{l}_{D}(b) - \mathfrak{B}_{I}(ab) &= 1.2 + 1.2 - (0.4) = 2.0 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(ab) = \mathfrak{F}_{D}(a) + \mathfrak{F}_{D}(b) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(ab) = 1.6 + 1.5 - (0.5) = 2.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{ab} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(ab), \mathcal{D}_{AI}(ab), \mathcal{D}_{AF}(ab)) = (0.7, 2.0, 2.6) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = 0.3 + 0.5 - (0.1) = 0.7 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) &= 1.2 + 1.2 - (0.4) = 2.0 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) = 1.5 + 1.6 - (0.5) = 1.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}\varsigma} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{h}\varsigma)) = (0.7, 2.0, 1.6) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d) &= \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d) = 0.5 + 0.5 - (0.2) = 0.8 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) &= \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) = 1.2 + 1.2 - (0.4) = 2.0 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d) &= \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(\varsigma) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d) = 1.6 + 1.5 - (0.5) = 2.7 \\ \mathbb{D}_{\varsigma d} &= (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\varsigma d), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\varsigma d) - \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\varsigma d)) = (0.8, 2.0, 2.7) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(da) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(da) = 0.4 + 0.4 - (0.1) = 0.9 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(da) = \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(da) = 0.9 + 1.3 - (0.4) = 2.0 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(da) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(d) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(a) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(da) = 1.9 + 2.1 - (0.6) = 2.6 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{da} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(da), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(da), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(da)) = (0.6, 1.4, 2.8) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f) = 0.5 + 0.8 - (0.3) = 1.0 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f) = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f) = 1.2 + 1.2 - (0.4) = 2.0 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{a}f) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}f) = 1.6 + 1.8 - (0.6) = 2.8 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}f} = (\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f), \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}f) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}f)) = (1.0, 2.0, 2.8) \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(ef) = \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) + \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(ef) = 0.6 + 0.8 - (0.3) = 1.1 \\ & \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{I}}(ef) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{D}}(e) + \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{D}}(f) - \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(ef) = 1.2 + 1.2 - (0.4) = 2.0 \end{aligned}$

3.15. Definition

A neutrosophic graph G is a totally (r_1, r_2, r_3) -edge regular neutrosophic graph if every edge has the same total degree (r_1, r_2, r_3) .

3.16. Definition

A neutrosophic graph is $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$. *G* is shown to be a regular neutrosophic graph of degree (r_1, r_2, r_3) if every vertex does have the same degree (r_1, r_2, r_3) .

3.17. Definition

If any vertex in a neutrosophic graph $G = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ has the same degree (r_1, r_2, r_3) , then G is called a (r_1, r_2, r_3) edge regular neutrosophic graph.

3.18. Theorem

 $G = \sum_{a_i a_j \in E} D_{a_i a_j} = \sum_{a_i \in V} D_{a_i}$ if *G* is an edge regular neutrosophic graph on a cycle *G*^{*}. Proof

Since *G* is an edge regular neutrosophic graph, thus

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\n}}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j+1}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j}), \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j}), \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{j}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{2} \mathbf{a}_{3}) + \dots + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{n} \mathbf{a}_{1})$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{1}) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{2}) - 2\mathfrak{B}(a_{1}a_{2}) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{2}) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{3}) - 2\mathfrak{B}(a_{2}a_{3}) + \cdots \\ &+ \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{n}) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{1}) - 2\mathfrak{B}(a_{n}a_{1}) \\ &= 2\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{1}) + 2\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{2}) + 2\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{n}) - 2(\mathfrak{B}(a_{1}a_{2}) + \mathfrak{B}(a_{2}a_{3}) + \mathfrak{B}(a_{n}a_{1})) \\ &= 2\sum_{a_{i}\in V}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{1}) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_{i}a_{i+1}) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}B_{\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}a_{i+1}) \\ &= \sum_{a_{i}\in V}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}) \end{aligned}$$
Then
$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}a_{j+1}) &= \sum_{a_{i}\in V}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}) \\ \text{Similarly} \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}a_{i+1}) &= \sum_{a_{i}\in V}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{T}}(a_{i}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \in V} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \in V} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}) = \\ & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}), \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}), \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1})\right) \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{i+1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i \in V}} \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{a}_{i}}$$

3.19. Lemma

If *G* is an edge regular neutrosophic graph on G^* , then $\sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n \mathbb{D}(a_i a_j) =$

$$\left(\sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n \mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(a_i a_j), \sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n \mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(a_i a_j), \sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n \mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(a_i a_j) \right)$$

Since, $\mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_i a_j) = \mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_i) + \mathbb{D}_{G^*}(a_j) - 2$, for all $a_i a_j \in E$.

3.20. Proposition

If *G* is an r-regular *G*^{*} edge regular neutrosophic graph, then $\sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n \mathbb{D}(a_i a_{i+1}) = ((r-1)\sum_{a_i} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}}(a_i), (r-1)\sum_{a_i} \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}}(a_i)).$

Proof

By lemma we obtain
$$\sum D(a_i a_j) =$$

 $\left(\sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n D_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(a_i a_j), \sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n D_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{l}}(a_i a_j), \sum_{a_i a_j \in E}^n D_{G^*}(a_i a_j) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(a_i a_j)\right)$
 $= \begin{pmatrix} \sum (D_{G^*}(a_i) + D_{G^*}(a_j) - 2) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(a_i a_j), \\ \sum (D_{G^*}(a_i) + D_{G^*}(a_j) - 2) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(a_i a_j), \\ \sum (D_{G^*}(a_i) + D_{G^*}(a_j) - 2) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(a_i a_j) \end{pmatrix}$

We know G^* is regular then the degree of every vertex in G^* is r, this means that $D_{G^*}(a_i) = r$ and hence,

$$\begin{split} \sum \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}) &= \left((r+r-2) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), (r+r-2) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), (r+r-2) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \right) \\ &= \left(2(r-1) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), 2(r-1) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), 2(r-1) \sum \mathfrak{B}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \right) \\ \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}\in E} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i+1}) &= \left((r-1) \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), (r-1) \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), (r-1) \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) \right) \end{split}$$

3.21. Corollary

$$\sum T_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \\ \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \\ \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } G \text{ is a regular neutrosophic graph with}$$

edges on G^* .

Proof

$$\begin{split} &\sum T_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \left(\sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j})\right) \\ &= \left(\sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum T_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{A}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j})\right) \\ &= \left(\sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j})\right) = \\ &\left(\sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}), \sum \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j})\right) \\ & \text{By lemma, we get} \end{split}$$

$$\sum T_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{T}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \\ \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \\ \sum \mathcal{D}_{G^{*}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \mathfrak{B}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) + \sum \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) \end{pmatrix}$$

3.22. Definition

When a neutrosophic graph G is strongly regular, it means:

1. G is a regular neutrosophic graph (r_1, r_2, r_3)

M.Kaviyarasu, r- Edge Regular Neutrosophic Graphs

2. a_i, a_j of is the number of the member values of the general neighbourhood vertices of any pair of adjacent and non-adjacent vertices. G has the same weight and is denoted by the

symbols $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. $SN_G = (r, \alpha, \beta)$ represents a strongly neutrosophic graph G.

3.23. Theorem

If G is a complete neutrosophic graph with constant functions $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}, \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ and $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ then G is a highly normal neutrosophic graph.

Proof

Since that $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}, \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ and $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ are constant function, then $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = k$, $A_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = s$, $\mathfrak{A}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = t$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) = d_{1}$, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) = d_{2}$, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) = d_{3}$. Such that $k, s, t, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are constant, and G is complete, then $D_{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\mathfrak{a}_{j}} = ((n-1)d_{1}, (n-1)d_{2}, (n-1)d_{3}),$

Therefore G is

$$\sum \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \sum \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \sum \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j})) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{l}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}), \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j})) = ((n-1)d_{1}, (n-1)d_{2}, (n-1)d_{3}),$$

On the other hand, in a regular neutrosophic graph with n vertices, the sum of \mathfrak{T} , I,*F* of common neighbourhood vertices of any pair of adjacent vertices $\alpha = (n-2)k, (n-2)s, (n-2)t$ is equal, and the sum of \mathfrak{T} , I,*F* values common neighbourhood vertices of any pair of non-adjacent vertices $\beta = 0$ is equal.

3.24. *Theorem* G^c is a (r_1, r_2, r_3) regular if G is a strongly regular neutrosophic graph that is strong.

Proof

We know G is strong, then

$$\mathfrak{B}^{C}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \in \mathfrak{B} \\ \min\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\} & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{B}^{C}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \in \mathfrak{B} \\ \min\{\mathfrak{A}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\} & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{B}^{C}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \\ \min\{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}_{I}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\} & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{B}^{C}_{F}(\mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{B}^{C}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\} & \mathbf{a}_{i}a_{j} \notin \mathfrak{B} \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\mathbb{D}^{C}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \big(\mathfrak{T}\mathbb{D}^{C}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{I}\mathbb{D}^{C}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{F}\mathbb{D}^{C}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i})\big),$$

Such that,

$$\mathfrak{TD}^{C}{}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} \mathfrak{B}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} min\left(\mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\right) = r_{1}$$

$$\mathrm{ID}^{C}{}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} \mathfrak{B}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} min\left(\mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\right) = r_{2}$$

$$\mathfrak{FD}^{C}{}_{G}(\mathbf{a}_{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} \mathfrak{B}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \neq \mathbf{a}_{j}} min\left(\mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{i}), \mathfrak{A}^{C}{}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbf{a}_{j})\right) = r_{3}$$

For all $a_i \in V$. Thus $D(a_i a_j) = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$. Hence G^C is (r_1, r_2, r_3) regular neutrosophic graph.

Application

The models of graph are used in wide application in much area of computer science, mathematical models of social sciences. These graph models need to incorporate more structure than simply the adjacency between vertices. In the discussion of set behaviour, it is observed that certain people can influence thinking of others. Each element of a set is represented by a node. There is a directed path from node a to node b when the member represented by node a influence the node represented by node b.

In any social set all the nodes can never be members of the group always. Any node can be removed from the set at any time if his/her activity is against the set. Each node of the set is represented by a vertex and every vertex has two values; the first value represents the power of the node in the set which means how much it possess to control the set, the second value represents the power of the node in the set when it became removed itself from the set.

Each path has also two values such that the first component represents the influence by the first node over the second node when the first node is element of the set. The second component represents the influence by the first node over the second node when the first node is non-member of the set. Any different neutrosophic graph needs large data for training to be able to help in decision making technology and science. The new style which is generalized in this research is based on the pattern of unique cases that can help us to make a better choice in the contrast to the established solutions of neutrosophic graph.

Conclusion

The main contribution of this manuscript is to introduce the idea of regularity in neutrosophic graph theory. In it paper, we have described the idea over the on regular neutrosophic graph. Some unique kinds on neutrosophic graphs certain as the regular, regular strong, r-edge regular neutrosophic graph, strongly edge regular, neutrosophic graph and absolute degree of vertex, have been introduced here. We bear additionally provided some sufficient standards for r-edge regular neutrosophic graph and strongly edge regular.

In the future, we pleasure focal point about the education on neutrosophic intersection graphs, neutrosophic interval graphs, neutrosophic hyper graphs, or therefore on. The notion over the neutrosophic graph execute stay ancient of countless areas regarding expert systems, image processing, computer networks, and communal systems.

Reference

- 1. Borzooei, R.A.; Rashmanlou, H.; Samanta, S.; Pal, M. Regularity of vague graphs. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 2016, 30, 3681–3689.
- 2. Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field in Logic: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability and Statistics; *Infinite Study; Modern Science Publisher*: New York, NY, USA, **2005**.
- 3. Ye, J. Single-valued neutrosophic minimum spanning tree and its clustering method. *J. Intell. Syst.* **2014**, *23*, 311–324.
- 4. Yang, H.L.; Guo, Z.L.; She, Y.; Liao, X. On single valued neutrosophic relations. J. Intell. *Fuzzy Syst.* **2016**, *30*, 1045–1056.
- 5. Akram, M.; Waseem, N.; Dudek, W.A. certain types of edge m-polar fuzzy graphs. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2017**, *14*, 27–50.
- 6. Akram, M.; Siddique, S. Neutrosophic competition graphs with applications. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* **2017**, *33*, 921–935.
- 7. Gani, A.N.; Latha, S. On irregular fuzzy graphs. Appl. Math. Sci. 2012, 6, 517–523.
- 8. Maheswari, N.S.; Sekar, C. Semi neighbourly irregular graphs. *Int. J. Comb. Graph Theory Appl.* **2015**, *5*, 135–144.
- 9. Darabian, E.; Borzooei, R.A.; Rashmanlou, H.; Azadi, M. New concepts of regular and (highly) irregular vague graphs with applications. *Fuzzy Inf. Eng.* **2017**, *9*, 161–179.
- 10. Poulik, S., Ghorai, G. Detour g-interior nodes and detour g-boundary nodes in bipolar fuzzy graph with applications. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **2019**, 1 14.
- 11. Poulik, S., Ghorai, G. Certain indices of graphs under bipolar fuzzy environment with applications, *Soft Computing*. 2020, 27, 5119-5131.
- 12. Poulik, S., Ghorai, G., Qin Xin. Pragmatic results in Taiwan education system based IVFG & IVNG, *Soft Computing*. **2021**, 25.
- 13. Poulik, S., Ghorai, G. Determination of journeys order based on graph's Wiener absolute index with bipolar fuzzy information, *Information Sciences*, *2021*, 545, 608-619.
- Prem Kumar Singh, Single-valued Plithogenic graph for handling multi-valued attribute data and its context, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 15, No. 2, (2021): 98-112
- 15. Karunambigai, M.G., Palanivel, K., and Sivasankar, S. Edge regular intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *Advances in Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **2015**, 20, 25-46.

Received: Sep 8, 2022. Accepted: Dec 23, 2022