



## Novel Neutrosophic Objects Within Neutrosophic Topology $(N(X), \tau)$



Huda E. Khalid<sup>1\*</sup>, Ramiz Sabbagh<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed A. Salma<sup>3</sup>, Thanoon Y. Thanoon<sup>4</sup>, H. A. Elagamy<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Telafer University, The Administration Assistant for the President of the Telafer University, Telafer, Iraq; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0968-5611>, [dr.huda-ismael@uotelafer.edu.iq](mailto:dr.huda-ismael@uotelafer.edu.iq)

<sup>2</sup> Telafer University, Department of the Scientific Affairs, Telafer, Iraq. [ramiz.sabbagh@uotelafer.edu.iq](mailto:ramiz.sabbagh@uotelafer.edu.iq)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said, Egypt; [drsalama44@gmail.com](mailto:drsalama44@gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup> Northern Technical University, The Administration Assistant for the President of the Northern Technical University, Mosul, Iraq, [thnoon.younis@ntu.edu.iq](mailto:thnoon.younis@ntu.edu.iq)

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics and Basic Sciences, Ministry of Higher Education Higher Future Institute of Engineering and Technology in Mansour, Egyp: [hatemelagamy@yahoo.com](mailto:hatemelagamy@yahoo.com)

\*Correspondence: [dr.huda-ismael@uotelafer.edu.iq](mailto:dr.huda-ismael@uotelafer.edu.iq)

### Abstract

This essay intends to introduce and study many new neutrosophic objects within neutrosophic topologies  $(N(X), \tau)$ , such as the neutrosophic point, the neutrosophic quasi-concomitant, the neutrosophic quasi neighborhood, the neutrosophic ideals, the neutrosophic local function, the neutrosophic closure operator, the generated neutrosophic topology, and quite a few of theorems, corollaries, examples related the above- mentioned concepts.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic Topology  $(N(X), \pi)$ ; Neutrosophic Point; Neutrosophic Quasi-Concomitant; Neutrosophic Quasi Neighborhood; Neutrosophic Ideals; Neutrosophic Local Function.

### 1. Introduction

The reformulations of all scientific fields in the perspective of the existence of indeterminacy were by the paradigm shift man F. Smarandache [1-3]. As of 1995 so far, he redefined almost all branches

of knowledge, setting up the neutrosophic theory through the implication of the indeterminacy part into all components, elements, operations, thoughts, opinions, etc. [10-13].

The topological space took its share of that evolution, the eminent scientist who led changing the topological spaces into the frame of neutrosophic theory was A.A. Salama [4-6], with the collaboration of F. Smarandache, later dozens of mathematicians who were interested in the topological field joined them [7-9]. This paper comes as a point in the sea of this scientific promotion, it is not the first nor the last in the field of neutrosophic topological spaces. This article is organized by dedicating section two to new neutrosophic notions which are presented in this paper for the first time, Section three goes for demonstrates the neutrosophic ideal, neutrosophic local function, and generated neutrosophic topology, while section four encloses the basic structure of generated neutrosophic topology, eventually section five contains the conclusions and recommendations.

## 2. New Notions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces $(N(X), \tau)$

### 2.1 Definition (Neutrosophic point):

Suppose  $(N(X), \tau)$  to be neutrosophic topological space, a neutrosophic point  $x \in N(X)$  is denoted by  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ , where  $0^- \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \leq 1^+$ . A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is belonging to a neutrosophic set  $A \subseteq N(X)$  iff  $\lambda_1 \leq A(x_{\lambda_1}), \lambda_2 \leq A(x_{\lambda_2}), \lambda_3 \leq A(x_{\lambda_3})$  and symbolized by  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A$ .

### 2.2 Definition (Neutrosophic Quasi-concomitant):

A neutrosophic set  $A \in N(X)$  is said to be neutrosophic quasi-concomitant to another neutrosophic set  $B$  if there exists a neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N N(X)$  such that the following conditions are hold together:

- 1-  $A(x_{\lambda_1}) + B(x_{\lambda_1}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_1}}^+$ ,
- 2-  $A(x_{\lambda_2}) + B(x_{\lambda_2}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_2}}^+$ ,
- 3-  $A(x_{\lambda_3}) + B(x_{\lambda_3}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_3}}^+$ ,

And it is symbolized by  $A \text{ qc } B$ , for any two neutrosophic sets  $A \& B$ ,  $A \text{ qc } B \Leftrightarrow B \text{ qc } A$ .

### 2.3 Definition (Neutrosophic quasi neighborhood):

A neutrosophic set  $A$  in a neutrosophic topological space  $(N(X), \tau)$  is called a neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of a neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  iff there exist a neutrosophic open set  $\mu \subseteq A$  such that  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \text{qn } \mu$ . The set of all neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in  $(N(X), \tau)$  is symbolized by  $NQN(x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)})$ .

#### 2.4 Definition (Accumulation neutrosophic point):

A neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is called an accumulation neutrosophic point of a neutrosophic set  $A$  in the neutrosophic topological space  $(N(X), \tau)$  iff the following condition holds:

- 1- Any neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is neutrosophic quasi concomitant,
- 2- If  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A$ , any quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  and  $A$  are quasi concomitant at some neutrosophic point  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$  such that  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \neq y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ .

Note \*

In the above definition of accumulation neutrosophic point, if only the condition 1 holds, then  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is called an adherence neutrosophic point of  $A$ .

Note \*\*

It is obvious that any neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is belonging to the closure of a neutrosophic set  $A$  (i.e.  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N Ncl(A)$ ) iff for every quasi neighborhood  $B$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ ,  $B \text{ qc } A$ .

### 3. Neutrosophic Ideal, Neutrosophic Local Function and Generated Neutrosophic Topology

#### 3.1 Definition (Neutrosophic Ideal):

Suppose that  $\pi, v \in N(X)$ , A nonempty collection of neutrosophic sets  $I$  of  $N(X)$  is called ideal on  $N(X)$  if and only if

- 1-  $\pi \in_N I$  and  $v \subseteq \pi \Rightarrow v \in I$  [heredity],
- 2-  $\pi \in_N I$  and  $v \in_N I \Rightarrow \pi \cup v \in_N I$  [finite additivity].

### 3.2 Definition (Neutrosophic Local Function):

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I$  be neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$ . Let  $A$  be any neutrosophic set of  $N(X)$ . Then the neutrosophic local function  $A^*(I, \tau)$  of  $A$  is the union of all neutrosophic points  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ , such that if  $\mu \in_N NQN(x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)})$  and  $I_1 \in I$  then there is at least one  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$  for which  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_3})$ . therefore, any  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I, \tau)$  [ i.e. implies to  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is not contained in the neutrosophic set  $A$ , i.e.  $\lambda_1 > A(x_{\lambda_1})$ ,  $\lambda_2 > A(x_{\lambda_2})$ ,  $\lambda_3 > A(x_{\lambda_3})$  ] implies there is at least one  $\mu \in_N NQN(x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)})$  such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq I_1(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ \leq I_1(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ \leq I_1(y_{t_3})$  for some  $I_1 \in I$ . The symbols  $A^*$  or  $A^*(I)$  will be written as abbreviate instead of  $A^*(I, \tau)$ .

### 3.3 Note:

The neutrosophic empty set and the neutrosophic universal set on  $N(X)$  is denoted by  $0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  and  $1_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  respectively.

### 3.4 Example

The simplest neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$  are  $\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$  and  $H(N(X))$ , the set of all neutrosophic sets of  $N(X)$  (hereafter, if necessary,  $H(N(X))$  will carry the same meaning). Obviously,  $I = \{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\} \Leftrightarrow A^*(I, \tau) = cl(A)$ , for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$  and  $I = H(N(X)) \Leftrightarrow A^*(I, \tau) = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$ .

### 3.5 Theorem

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I_1, I_2$  are two neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$ . Then for any neutrosophic sets  $A, B$  of  $N(X)$ , the following mathematical phrases are true:

- 1-  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A^*(I_1, \tau) \subseteq B^*(I_1, \tau)$ .
- 2-  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow A^*(I_2, \tau) \subseteq A^*(I_1, \tau)$ .
- 3-  $A^* = cl(A^*) \subseteq cl(A)$ .

- 4-  $(A^*)^* \subseteq A^*$ .
- 5-  $(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$ .
- 6-  $I_1 \in I \Rightarrow (A \cup I_1)^* = A^*$ .

**Proof.**

- 1- Since  $A \subseteq B$  this implies that  $A_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}} \leq B_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  for every  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N N(X)$ , therefore and by Definition 3.2,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*$  implies that  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N B^*$ , which complete the proof of (1).
- 2- Suppose  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow A^*(I_2) \subseteq A^*(I_1)$ , as there may be other neutrosophic sets which belong to  $I_2$  so that for a neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}, x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*(I_1)$  but  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  may not be contained in  $A^*(I_2)$ .
- 3- Since the empty neutrosophic set  $\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$  is contained in any neutrosophic ideal  $I_1$  on  $N(X)$  (i.e.  $\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\} \subseteq I_1$ ), therefore by (2) and because of the reality that the simplest neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$  is  $\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$ . Obviously,  $A^*(\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}) = cl(A)$ , for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ ,  $A^*(I_1) \subseteq A^*(\{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}) = cl(A)$ , for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ . Suppose,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N cl(A^*)$ . So there is at least one  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$  such that  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A^*(y_{t_1}) > 1_{y_{t_1}}^+, \mu(y_{t_2}) + A^*(y_{t_2}) > 1_{y_{t_2}}^+, \mu(y_{t_3}) + A^*(y_{t_3}) > 1_{y_{t_3}}^+$  for each neutrosophic quasi neighborhood  $\mu$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ . Hence  $A^*(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) \neq 0_{y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}}$ . Let  $f_{(f_1, f_2, f_3)} = A^*(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)})$ . Clearly,  $y_f \in_N A^*$  and  $f_{f_1} + \mu(y_{t_1}) > 1_{y_{t_1}}^+, f_{f_2} + \mu(y_{t_2}) > 1_{y_{t_2}}^+, f_{f_3} + \mu(y_{t_3}) > 1_{y_{t_3}}^+$ , so that  $\mu$  is also neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $y_f$ .

Now  $y_f \in_N A^*$  implies there is at least one  $x'_{(\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3')} \in_N N(X)$  such that  $v(x'_{\lambda_1'}) + A(x'_{\lambda_1'}) - 1_{x'_{\lambda_1'}}^+ > I_1(x'_{\lambda_1'})$ ,  $v(x'_{\lambda_2'}) + A(x'_{\lambda_2'}) - 1_{x'_{\lambda_2'}}^+ > I_1(x'_{\lambda_2'})$ ,  $v(x'_{\lambda_3'}) + A(x'_{\lambda_3'}) - 1_{x'_{\lambda_3'}}^+ > I_1(x'_{\lambda_3'})$ , for each  $v \in NQN(x'_{(\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3')})$  and  $I_1 \in I$ . This is also true for  $\mu$ .

So there is at least one  $x''_{(\lambda_1'', \lambda_2'', \lambda_3'')} \in_N N(X)$  such that  $\mu(x''_{\lambda_1''}) + A(x''_{\lambda_1''}) - 1_{x''_{\lambda_1''}}^+ > I_1(x''_{\lambda_1''})$ ,  $\mu(x''_{\lambda_2''}) + A(x''_{\lambda_2''}) - 1_{x''_{\lambda_2''}}^+ > I_1(x''_{\lambda_2''})$ ,  $v(x''_{\lambda_3''}) + A(x''_{\lambda_3''}) - 1_{x''_{\lambda_3''}}^+ >$

$I_1(x''_{\lambda_3})$ , for each  $I_1 \in I$ , and. Since  $\mu$  is an arbitrary neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of

$$x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}, \text{ therefore } x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*. \text{ Hence, } A^* \subseteq cl(A^*) \subseteq cl(A).$$

- 4- By (3), we have  $A^{**} = cl((A^*)^*) \subseteq cl(A^*) = A^*$ .
- 5- Suppose,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^* \cup B^*$ , i.e.  $\lambda_1 > (A^* \cup B^*)(x_{\lambda_1}) = \max\{A^*(x_{\lambda_1}), B^*(x_{\lambda_1})\}$ ,  $\lambda_2 > (A^* \cup B^*)(x_{\lambda_2}) = \max\{A^*(x_{\lambda_2}), B^*(x_{\lambda_2})\}$ ,  $\lambda_3 > (A^* \cup B^*)(x_{\lambda_3}) = \max\{A^*(x_{\lambda_3}), B^*(x_{\lambda_3})\}$ . So  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is not contained in both  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ . This implies there is at least one neutrosophic quasi neighborhood  $\mu_1$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $\mu_1(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ > I_2(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu_1(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_2(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu_1(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_2(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I_2 \in I$  and similarly there is at least one neutrosophic quasi neighborhood  $\mu_2$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $\mu_2(y_{t_1}) + B(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ > I_3(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu_2(y_{t_2}) + B(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_3(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu_2(y_{t_3}) + B(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_3(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I_3 \in I$ . Let  $\mu = \mu_1 \cap \mu_2$ . So  $\mu$  is also a neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  and  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + (A \cup B)(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq (I_2 \cup I_3)(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + (A \cup B)(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ \leq (I_2 \cup I_3)(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + (A \cup B)(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ \leq I_1(y_{t_3})$ , for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ . Therefore, by finite additivity of neutrosophic ideal, as  $I_2 \cup I_3 \in I$ ,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N (A \cup B)^*$ . Hence  $(A \cup B)^* \subseteq A^* \cup B^*$ . Clearly, both  $A$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$  implies  $A^* \cup B^* \subseteq (A \cup B)^*$  and this complete the prove of (5).
- 6- It is obvious that  $I_1 \in I$  implies  $I^* = 0_x$  so that  $(A \cup I)^* = A^* \cup I^* = A^*$ .

### 3.6 Definition

A neutrosophic closure operator  $\psi: H(N(X)) \rightarrow H(N(X))$  is defined by

- 1-  $\psi(0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}) = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$ .
- 2-  $A \in H(N(X)) \Rightarrow A \subseteq \psi(A)$ .
- 3-  $A, B \in H(N(X)) \Rightarrow \psi(A \cup B) = \psi(A) \cup \psi(B)$ .
- 4-  $A \in H(N(X)) \Rightarrow \psi(\psi(A)) = \psi(A)$ .

Obviously,  $\{A: \psi(A) = A\}$  constitutes as a collection of neutrosophic closed sets for a neutrosophic topology on  $N(X)$ .

### 3.7 Theorem

Let  $D: H(N(X)) \rightarrow H(N(X))$  be a function such that:

- 1-  $D\left(0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\right) = 0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ .
- 2-  $D(A \cup B) = D(A) \cup D(B)$ .
- 3-  $D(D(A)) \subseteq D(A)$

Where  $A, B$  are any neutrosophic sets of  $N(X)$ . Then  $\psi: H(N(X)) \rightarrow H(N(X))$  defined by  $\psi(A) = A \cup D(A)$  is a neutrosophic closure operator. Clearly,  $D$  does not necessarily coincide with neutrosophic derived set operator in the generated neutrosophic operator.

### 3.8 Theorem

$*$ :  $H(N(X)) \rightarrow H(N(X))$  satisfies all the required condition for  $D$ .

#### Proof.

Since  $0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}^* = 0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ ,  $(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$  and  $(A^*)^* \subseteq A^*$ , the proof is complete.

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I$  be a neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$ . Let us define  $cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ . Clearly,  $cl^*$  is a neutrosophic closure operator. Let  $\tau^*(I)$  be the neutrosophic topology generated by  $cl^*$ , i.e.,  $\tau^*(I) = \{A: cl^*(A^c) = A^c\}$ ,  $A^c$  will denote the complement of  $A$ .

Now, let  $I = \{0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\} \Rightarrow cl^*(A) = A \cup A^* = A \cup cl(A) = cl(A)$ , for every  $A \in H(N(X))$ . So,  $\tau^*\left(\{0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\}\right) = \tau$ . Again, let  $I = H(N(X)) \Rightarrow cl^*(A) = A$ , because  $A^* = 0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ , for every  $A \in H(N(X))$ . So,  $\tau^*(H(N(X)))$  is the neutrosophic discrete topology on  $N(X)$ . Since  $\{0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\}$  and  $H(N(X))$  are two extreme neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$ , therefore for any neutrosophic ideal  $I$  on  $N(X)$  we have  $\{0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\} \subseteq I \subseteq H(N(X))$ . So we can conclude by theorem 3.5 (2),  $\tau^*\left(\{0_{x(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\}\right) \subseteq \tau^*(H(N(X)))$ , i.e.  $\tau \subseteq \tau^*(I) \subseteq$  neutrosophic discrete topology, for any neutrosophic ideal  $I$  on  $X$ . In particular, we have, for any two neutrosophic ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  on  $N(X)$ ,  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \tau^*(I_1) \subseteq \tau^*(I_2)$ .

### 3.9 Theorem

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be two neutrosophic topologies on  $N(X)$ . Then for any neutrosophic ideal  $I$  on  $N(X)$ ,  $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$  implies that:

- 1-  $A^*(\tau_2, I) \subseteq A^*(\tau_1, I)$ , for every  $A \in H(N(X))$ .
- 2-  $\tau_1^* \subseteq \tau_2^*$ .

**Proof.**

Since every  $\tau_1$  neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of any neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is also a  $\tau_2$  neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ . Therefore,  $A^*(\tau_2, I) \subseteq A^*(\tau_1, I)$  as there may be other  $\tau_2$  neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  where the condition for  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*(\tau_2, I)$  may not hold true, although  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*(\tau_1, I)$ . Clearly,  $\tau_1^*(I) \subseteq \tau_2^*(I)$  as  $A^*(\tau_2, I) \subseteq A^*(\tau_1, I)$ .

**3.10 Theorem**

Suppose  $A^{D^*}$  is the neutrosophic derived set of  $A$  in  $\tau^*$  neutrosophic topology, then,  $A^{D^*} \subseteq A^D$  and  $A^{D^*} \subseteq A^*$  for all neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ .

**Proof.**

Since  $\tau \subseteq \tau^*$ . Therefore,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^{D^*}$  implies that every neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in neutrosophic topology  $\tau^*$  is neutrosophic quasi concomitant with  $A \Rightarrow x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^D$ , so that  $A^{D^*} \subseteq A^*$ .

Again, for any neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^{D^*}$  implies  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ , i.e.  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A$  or  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*$  or both. Now, if  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A$ , then for any neutrosophic quasi neighborhood  $\mu$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in neutrosophic topology  $\tau^*$ , there exists  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$  such that  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \neq y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}$  and  $A(y_{t_1}) + \mu(y_{t_1}) > 1_{y_{t_1}}^+$ ,  $A(y_{t_2}) + \mu(y_{t_2}) > 1_{y_{t_2}}^+$ ,  $A(y_{t_3}) + \mu(y_{t_3}) > 1_{y_{t_3}}^+$ , this implies  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is accumulation neutrosophic point of the neutrosophic set  $A'$  such that

$$A'(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) = \begin{cases} A(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) & \text{if } y_{t_1} \neq x_{\lambda_1}, y_{t_2} \neq x_{\lambda_2}, y_{t_3} \neq x_{\lambda_3} \\ t_1 & \text{if } y_{t_1} = x_{\lambda_1} \text{ and } t_1 < \lambda_1 \\ t_2 & \text{if } y_{t_2} = x_{\lambda_2} \text{ and } t_2 < \lambda_2 \\ t_3 & \text{if } y_{t_3} = x_{\lambda_3} \text{ and } t_3 < \lambda_3 \end{cases}$$



Obviously,  $A' \subseteq A$ , so that  $(A')^* \subseteq A^*$  also  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A'$ . Hence,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N (A')^*$ , because  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N cl^*(A') = A' \cup (A')^*$ . So,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*$ , therefore,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^{D^*} \Rightarrow x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^* \Rightarrow A^{D^*} \subseteq A^*$ .

### 3.11 Definition

A neutrosophic set  $\mu$  of  $N(X)$  is called neutrosophic closed and discrete if and only if  $\mu^D = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$ .

### 3.12 Theorem

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topology space with  $I$  a fuzzy ideal on  $N(X)$ . Then,

- 1-  $I_1 \in I$  is closed and discrete in  $(N(X), \tau^*)$ .
- 2-  $A^* = cl(A - I_1)$  for every  $I_1 \in I$  and for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ , where  $A - I_1$  is the neutrosophic set defined by  $(A - I_1)(x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}) = \max \{A(x_{\lambda_1}) - I_1(x_{\lambda_1}), A(x_{\lambda_2}) - I_1(x_{\lambda_2}), A(x_{\lambda_3}) - I_1(x_{\lambda_3}), 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$ , for every  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N N(X)$ .

#### Proof.

- 1)  $I_1 \in I \Rightarrow I_1^* = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$ , therefore by theorem 3.10,  $I_1^{D^*} = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$ .
- 2) The proof is clear from the definition of neutrosophic local function and the closure of a neutrosophic set.

The above theorem characterizes a useful fact about the construction of different neutrosophic ideals in relation with the original neutrosophic topology and the generated neutrosophic topology. The following examples show some cases where the two neutrosophic topologies  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  on  $N(X)$  are equal.

### 3.12 Example:

- 1- If  $I_1$  be a neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$  such that  $A^D \subseteq cl(A - I_1)$  for every  $I_1 \in I$  and for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ , then it is clear that  $A^D \subseteq A^*$  so that  $cl(A) = cl^*(A)$ . Therefore,  $\tau = \tau^*$ .

- 2- Again, if  $I_1$  be such that  $A^D = (A - I_1)^D$  for every  $I_1 \in I$ , then it is obvious that  $\tau = \tau^*$ .
- 3- Also,  $A^D = A^*$ , for a neutrosophic ideal  $I$  on  $N(X)$  implies  $\tau = \tau^*$ .

#### 4. Basic Structure of Generated Neutrosophic Topology

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I$  is a neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$ . Let  $A$  be a neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of a neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in the neutrosophic topology  $\tau^*$ . Therefore, there exists  $\mu \in \tau^*$  such that,  $\lambda_1 + \mu(x_{\lambda_1}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_1}}^+, \lambda_2 + \mu(x_{\lambda_2}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_2}}^+, \lambda_3 + \mu(x_{\lambda_3}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_3}}^+$ . And  $\mu \subseteq A$ . Now,  $\mu \in \tau^* \Leftrightarrow \mu^c$  is closed in  $\tau^* \Leftrightarrow cl^*(\mu^c) = \mu^c \Leftrightarrow (\mu^c)^* \subseteq \mu^c \Leftrightarrow \mu \subseteq \{(\mu^c)^*\}^c$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_1 + \mu(x_{\lambda_1}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_1}}^+, \lambda_2 + \mu(x_{\lambda_2}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_2}}^+, \lambda_3 + \mu(x_{\lambda_3}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_3}}^+ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 + \{(\mu^c)^*\}^c(x_{\lambda_1}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_1}}^+, \lambda_2 + \{(\mu^c)^*\}^c(x_{\lambda_2}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_2}}^+, \lambda_3 + \{(\mu^c)^*\}^c(x_{\lambda_3}) > 1_{x_{\lambda_3}}^+ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 > (\mu^c)^*(x_{\lambda_1}), \lambda_2 > (\mu^c)^*(x_{\lambda_2}), \lambda_3 > (\mu^c)^*(x_{\lambda_3}) \Rightarrow x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N (\mu^c)^*$ . this implies there exists at least one neutrosophic quasi neighborhood  $v_1$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in  $\tau$  such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $v_1(y_{t_1}) + \mu^c(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_1}), v_1(y_{t_2}) + \mu^c(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_2}), v_1(y_{t_3}) + \mu^c(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_1(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I_1 \in I$ , i.e.  $v_1(y_{t_1}) - I_1(y_{t_1}) \leq \mu(y_{t_1}), v_1(y_{t_2}) - I_1(y_{t_2}) \leq \mu(y_{t_2}), v_1(y_{t_3}) - I_1(y_{t_3}) \leq \mu(y_{t_3})$ , for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ . Therefore, as  $v_1$  is a neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in  $\tau$ , there is  $v \in \tau$  such that  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  is a neutrosophic quasi concomitant to  $v \subseteq v_1$ , and by heredity property of neutrosophic ideal we have  $I_2 \in I$  for which  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} q_c (v - I_2) \subseteq \mu$ , we have  $(v - I_2)(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) = \max \{v(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) - I_2(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}), 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$ , for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ . Here for  $\mu \in \tau^*$ , we have  $v \in \tau$  and  $I_2 \in I$  such that,  $(v - I_2) \subseteq \mu$ . Let us denote  $\beta(I, \tau) = \{v - I_2 : v \in_N \tau, I_2 \in I\}$ .

#### 4.1 Theorem

$\beta$  forms a basis for the generated neutrosophic topology  $\tau^*$  of the neutrosophic ideal  $I$  on  $N(X)$ .

Proof. Straight forward.

The following example is very important for the further results that justifies the above construction.

#### 4.2 Example:

Let  $W$  be the neutrosophic indiscrete topology on  $N(X)$ , i.e.  $W = \{0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}, 1_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}\}$ . So  $1_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  is the only neutrosophic quasi neighborhood of every neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ . Now, let

$x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \in_N A^*$  for a neutrosophic set  $A \Leftrightarrow$  for each  $I_1 \in I$ , there is at least one  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$  such that  $1_{y_{t_1}}^+ + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ > I_1(1_{y_{t_1}}^+)$ ,  $1_{y_{t_2}}^+ + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_1(1_{y_{t_2}}^+)$ ,  $1_{y_{t_3}}^+ + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_1(1_{y_{t_3}}^+)$ , this implies for each  $I_1 \in I$ ,  $A(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)}) > I_1(y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)})$  for at least one  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ . So  $A \notin I$ . Therefore,  $A^* = 1_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  if  $A \notin I$  and  $A^* = 0_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}}$  if  $A \in I$ . This implies that we have,  $cl^*(A) = A \cup A^* = 1_{x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)'}}$  if  $A \notin I$  and  $cl^*(A) = A$ , if  $A \in I$ , for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ . Hence  $W^* = \{\mu: \mu^c \in I\}$ . Let  $\tau \vee W^*(I)$  be the supremum neutrosophic topology of  $\tau$  and  $W^*(I)$ , i.e. the smallest neutrosophic topology generated by  $\tau \cup W^*(I)$ . Then we have the following theorem:

### 4.3 Theorem

$$\tau^*(I) = \tau \vee W^*(I)$$

**Proof.**

Follows from the fact that  $\beta$  forms a basis for  $\tau^*$ .

### 4.4 Corollary

For any two neutrosophic ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  on  $N(X)$ ,  $I_1 \vee I_2 = \{I_1 \cup I_2: J_1 \in I_1, J_2 \in I_2\}$  and  $I_1 \cap I_2$  are neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$ .

**Proof.**

It is clear and straight forward.

### 4.5 Theorem

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I_1, I_2$  be two neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$ .

Then, for any neutrosophic set  $A$  of  $N(X)$ ,

- 1-  $A^*(I_1 \cap I_2) = A^*(I_1) \cup A^*(I_2)$
- 2-  $A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau) = A^*(I_1, \tau^*(I_2)) \cap A^*(I_2, \tau^*(I_1))$

**Proof.**

- 1- Let  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1) \cup A^*(I_2)$ . Then,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N$  both  $A^*(I_1)$  and  $A^*(I_2)$ . Now  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1)$  implies there is at least one quasi neighborhood  $\mu$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  (in  $\tau$ ) such

that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ , we have  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq I(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I \in I_1$ .

Again,  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_2)$  implies there is at least one quasi neighborhood  $v$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  (in  $\tau$ ) such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ , we have  $v(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq J(y_{t_1})$ ,  $v(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > J(y_{t_2})$ ,  $v(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > J(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $J \in I_2$ . therefore, we have  $(\mu \cap v)(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq (I \cap J)(y_{t_1})$ ,  $(\mu \cap v)(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > (I \cap J)(y_{t_2})$ ,  $(\mu \cap v)(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > (I \cap J)(y_{t_3})$ , for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ . Since  $(\mu \cap v)$  is also a quasi-neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  (in  $\tau$ ) and  $I \cap J \in I_1 \cap I_2$ , therefore  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$ , so that  $A^*(I_1 \cap I_2) \subseteq A^*(I_1) \cap A^*(I_2)$ . Also,  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is included in both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , so by theorem (3.4/2), reverse inclusion is obvious, which completes the proof of (1).

- 2- Since  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau)$  implies there is at least one quasi-neighborhood  $\mu$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  (in  $\tau$ ) such that, for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq I'(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I'(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I'(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I' \in I_1 \vee I_2$ . Therefore, by heredity of neutrosophic ideals and considering the structure of neutrosophic open sets in generated neutrosophic topology, we can find  $v$  or  $v'$ , the quasi-neighborhood of the neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in  $\tau^*(I_1)$  or  $\tau^*(I_2)$  respectively, such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $v(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq J(y_{t_1})$ ,  $v(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > J(y_{t_2})$ ,  $v(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > J(y_{t_3})$ , OR  $v'(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq I(y_{t_1})$ ,  $v'(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I(y_{t_2})$ ,  $v'(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I \in I_1$  or  $J \in I_2$ . This implies  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_2, \tau^*(I_1))$  or  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1, \tau^*(I_2))$ , thus we have,  $A^*(I_2, \tau^*(I_1)) \cap A^*(I_1, \tau^*(I_2)) \subseteq A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau)$ . Conversely, let  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1, \tau^*(I_2))$ . This implies there is at least one quasi-neighborhood  $\mu$  in  $\tau^*(I_2)$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq I_3(y_{t_1})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > I_3(y_{t_2})$ ,  $\mu(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > I_3(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I_3 \in I_1$ . Since  $\mu$  is a  $\tau^*(I_2)$  quasi-neighborhood of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$ , by heredity of neutrosophic ideals we have a quasi-neighborhood  $v$  of  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  (in  $\tau$ ) such that for every  $y_{(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \in_N N(X)$ ,  $v(y_{t_1}) + A(y_{t_1}) - 1_{y_{t_1}}^+ \leq (I \cup J)(y_{t_1})$ ,  $v(y_{t_2}) + A(y_{t_2}) - 1_{y_{t_2}}^+ > (I \cup J)(y_{t_2})$ ,  $v(y_{t_3}) + A(y_{t_3}) - 1_{y_{t_3}}^+ > (I \cup J)(y_{t_3})$ , for some  $I \in I_1$  and  $J \in I_2$ , i.e.  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)} \notin_N A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau)$ .

Thus,  $A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau) \subseteq A^*(I_1, \tau^*(I_2))$ . Similarly,  $A^*(I_1 \vee I_2, \tau) \subseteq A^*(I_2, \tau^*(I_1))$  and this completes the proof.

An important result follows from the above theorem that  $\tau^*(I_1)$  and  $[\tau^*(I_1)]^*$  [in short  $\tau^{**}$ ] are equal for any neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$ .

#### 4.6 Corollary

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I_1$  be a neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$ . Then,  $A^*(I_1, \tau) = A^*(I_1, \tau^*)$  and  $\tau^*(I_1) = [\tau^*(I_1)]^*(I_1)$ .

#### Proof.

By putting  $I_1 = I_2$  in theorem (4.5/2) we have the required result.

#### 4.7 Corollary

Let  $(N(X), \tau)$  be a neutrosophic topological space and  $I_1, I_2$  be two neutrosophic ideals on  $N(X)$ .

Then,

- i)  $\tau^*(I_1 \vee I_2) = [\tau^*(I_2)]^*(I_1) = [\tau^*(I_1)]^*(I_2)$ ,
- ii)  $\tau^*(I_1 \vee I_2) = \tau^*(I_1) \vee \tau^*(I_2)$ ,
- iii)  $\tau^*(I_1 \cap I_2) = \tau^*(I_1) \cap \tau^*(I_2)$ .

#### Proof.

- (i) By theorem (4.5/2) the result follows.
- (ii) By (i), we have,  $\tau^*(I_1 \vee I_2) = [\tau^*(I_2)]^*(I_1) = [\tau^*(I_1)]^*(I_2)$  [ by theorem 4.5/2). Since,  $\tau \subseteq \tau^*$  for any neutrosophic ideal on  $N(X)$  . Therefore,  $\tau^*(I_1 \vee I_2) = (\tau \vee \tau^*)(I_2) \vee \tau^*(I_1) = \tau^*(I_1) \vee \tau^*(I_2)$ .
- (iii) Since  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is included in both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ ,  $\tau^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$  is included in both  $\tau^*(I_1)$  and  $\tau^*(I_2)$ . Now  $\mu$  is a neutrosophic open set in  $\tau^*(I_1) \cap \tau^*(I_2)$ , implies  $\mu^c$  is neutrosophic closed set in both  $\tau^*(I_1)$  and  $\tau^*(I_2)$ . That means  $(\mu^c)^*(I_1) \subseteq \mu^c$  and  $(\mu^c)^*(I_2) \subseteq \mu^c$ . So,  $(\mu^c)^*(I_1) \cup (\mu^c)^*(I_2) \subseteq \mu^c$ . Therefore, by theorem (4.5/1),  $(\mu^c)^*(I_1 \cap I_2) \subseteq \mu^c$ . Hence,  $\mu \in \tau^*(I_1 \cap I_2)$ .

This completes the proof.

### 5. Conclusion:

This work contains new insight into defining many mathematical notions from corners that have not been addressed before, such as neutrosophic topological space, neutrosophic ideal, neutrosophic quasi neighborhood, and neutrosophic point  $x_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)}$  in the neutrosophic topology  $\tau^*$ . As well as, many theorems and corollaries, some examples that have support the theoretical concepts.

### Acknowledgement:

The authors are grateful to all members of NSIA (Neutrosophic Science International Association), either the Iraqi Branch or Egyptian Branch, with whom we have had the pleasure to work to produce this paper. They thankfully provided us with extensive information. We would especially like to thank Prof. Dr. Florentin for his sponsoring of all neutrosophic works globally.

### References:

- [1] Smarandache, F. 1995. Neutrosophic logic and set.
- [2] Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability. American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [3] Smarandache, F, Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference on Neutrosophy , Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA,2002.
- [4] A. A. Salama, F.Smarandache, Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory, Educational. Education Publishing 1313 Chesapeake, Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212, 2015
- [5] A. A. Salama, "Neutrosophic Crisp Point & Neutrosophic Crisp Ideals". Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 1, No. 1, pp.50 – 54, 2013.
- [6] Salama, A.A., Huda E. Khalid, Elagamy, H.A., "Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function and Its Application" Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2022, 49, pp. 19–31.
- [7] A. A. Salama, F. Smarandache, H. ElGhawalby, Neutrosophic approach to grayscale images domain. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 21, pp13-19, 2018.
- [8] Ahmed B. AL-Nafee1, Jamal K. Obeed , Huda E. Khalid. 2021. "Continuity and Compactness on Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Spaces" International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS) Vol. 16, No. 2, PP. 62-71.
- [9] Huda E. Khalid, Gonca D. G., Muslim A. Noah Zainal " Neutrosophic SuperHyper Bi-Topological Spaces: Original Notions and New Insights" Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 51, 2022, pp. 33-45. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7135241

- [10] F. Smarandache. 2016. SuperHyperAlgebra and Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra, Section into the authors book *Nidus Idearum. Scilogs, II: de rerum consecratione*, second edition, Bruxelles: Pons, 107.
- [11] F. Smarandache. 2022. The SuperFunction and the Neutrosophic SuperHyperFunction. , *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, Vol 49,pp. 594-600.
- [12] F. Smarandache. 2022. Introduction to SuperHyperAlgebra and Neutrosophic SuperHyperAlgebra , *Journal of Algebraic HyperStructures and Algebras*, vol 3, No. 2 .pp. 17-24.
- [13] F. Smarandache. 2022. Introduction to n-SuperHyperGraph- the most general form of graph today, *Neutrosophic Set and Systems*, vol 48,pp. 483-485.

Received: Aug 8, 2023. Accepted: Dec. 20, 2023