



Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs

S. Satham Hussain^{1*}, Saeid Jafari², Said Broumi³ and N. Durga⁴

 ¹Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College, Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: sathamhussain5592@gmail.
 ²College of Vestsjaelland South, Slagelse, Denmark and Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation, Slagelse, Denmark. E-mail: jafaripersia@gmail.com
 ³Laboratory of Information Processing, Faculty of Science Ben MSik, University Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco. E-mail: broumisaid78@gmail.com
 ⁴Department of Mathematics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University), Gandhigram, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: durga1992mdu@gmail.com
 *Correspondence: S. Satham Hussain (sathamhussain5592@gmail.com)

Abstract: Neutrosophic graph is a mathematical tool to hold with imprecise and unspecified data. In this manuscript, the operations on neutrosophic vague graphs are introduced. Moreover, Cartesian product, lexicographic product, cross product, strong product and composition of neutrosophic vague graphs are investigated. The proposed concepts are demonstrated with suitable examples.

Keywords: Neutrosophic vague graph, Operations of neutrosophic vague graph, Cartesian product, Cross product, Strong product

1. Introduction

In a classical graph, any vertex or edge have two situations, namely, it is either in the graph or it is not in the graph and it is not sufficient to model uncertain optimization problems. Therefore, real-life problems are not suitable to model using classical graphs. Hence the fuzzy set arises, which is an extension of classical set; here the objects have varying membership degrees. Vague sets are regarded as a special case of context-dependent fuzzy sets. At first, vague set theory was investigated by Gau and Buehrer [36] that is an extension of fuzzy set theory. The classical fuzzy set handles only the membership degree, but intuitionistic fuzzy handles independent membership degree and non-membership degree for any element with the only requirement is that the sum of non-membership and membership degree values is not greater than one [16].

On the other hand, to hold this indeterminate and inconsistent information, the neutrosophic set is introduced by F. Smarandache and has been studied extensively (see [31]-[35]). Neutrosophic set and related notions have weird applications in many different fields. In the definition of neutrosophic set, the indeterminacy value is quantified explicitly and truth-membership, false-membership and indeterminacy-membership are stated as exactly independent provided sum of these values belonging to 0 and 3. Neutrosophic soft rough graphs with applications are established in [10]. Neutrosophic soft relations and neutrosophic refined relations with their properties are studied in [15, 20]. Single valued neutrosophic graph are studied in [17, 18]. Some types of neutrosophic graphs and co-neutrosophic graphs are discussed in [23]. Neutrosophic vague set is first initiated in [11]. Al-Quran and Hassan in [7] introduced the notion of neutrosophic vague soft expert set as a generalization of neutrosophic vague set and soft expert set in order to revise the application in decision-making in real-life problems. Intuitionistic bipolar neutrosophic set and its application to graphs are established in [28]. Further, neutrosophic vague graphs are investigated in

S. Satham Hussain, Saeid Jafari, Said Broumi and N. Durga "Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs"

[27]. Motivated by the articles [11, 27, 28, 29], we introduce the concept of operations on neutrosophic vague graphs. The main contributions in this manuscript are given below:

- Operations on neutrosophic vague graphs are established. In Section 2, basic definitions regarding to neutrosophic vague graphs are explained with an example.
- In Section 3, Cartesian product, lexicographic product, cross product, strong product and composition of neutrosophic vague graph are illustrated with examples. Finally, a conclusion is elaborated with future direction.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, basic definitions and example are given, which is used to prove the main results.

Definition 2.1 [36] A vague set \mathbb{A} on a non empty set \mathbb{X} is a pair $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}})$, where $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{X} \to [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{X} \to [0,1]$ are true membership and false membership functions, respectively, such that

$$0 \leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) + \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \leq 1$$
 for every $x \in X$.

Let X and Y be two non-empty sets. A vague relation R of X to Y is a vague set R on $X \times Y$ that is $R = (\mathbb{T}_R, \mathbb{F}_R)$, where $\mathbb{T}_R: X \times Y \to [0,1]$, $\mathbb{F}_R: X \times Y \to [0,1]$ and satisfies the condition:

$$0 \leq \mathbb{T}_R(x,y) + \mathbb{F}_R(x,y) \leq 1 \ \text{for any} \ x,y \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Definition 2.2 [12] Let $\mathbb{G}^* = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{E})$ be a graph. A pair $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$ is called a vague graph on \mathbb{G}^* , where $\mathbb{J} = (\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{J}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{J}})$ is a vague set on \mathbb{V} and $\mathbb{K} = (\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{K}})$ is a vague set on $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ such that for each $xy \in \mathbb{E}$,

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{K}}(xy) \le \min\{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{J}}(x), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{J}}(y)\} \text{ and } \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{K}}(xy) \ge \max\{\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{J}}(x), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{J}}(y)\}.$$

Definition 2.3 [31] A Neutrosophic set \mathbb{A} is contained in another neutrosophic set \mathbb{B} , (i.e) $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ if $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}(x), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \geq \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}(x)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \geq \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}(x)$.

Definition 2.4 [20, 31] Let X be a space of points (objects), with generic elements in X denoted by x. A single valued neutrosophic set A in X is characterised by truth-membership function $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$, indeterminacy-membership function $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$ and falsity-membership-function $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$, For each point x in X, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$, $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$, $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}(x) \in [0,1]$. Also

 $\mathbb{A} = \{(\mathbf{y} \ \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{y}) \ \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{y})\} \text{ and } 0 \leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbf{y}) \leq 3$

$$A = \{(x, I_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x))\} \text{ and } 0 \le I_A(x), +I_A(x) + F_A(x) \le 3.$$

Definition 2.5 [6, 18] A neutrosophic graph is defined as a pair $\mathbb{G}^* = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{E})$ where

(i) $\mathbb{V} = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ such that $\mathbb{T}_1 : \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$, $\mathbb{I}_1 : \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{F}_1 : \mathbb{V} \to [0,1]$ denote the degree of truth-membership function, indeterminacy function and falsity-membership function, respectively, and

$$0 \leq \mathbb{T}_{1}(v) + \mathbb{I}_{1}(v) + \mathbb{F}_{1}(v) \leq 3,$$
(ii) $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ where $\mathbb{T}_{2} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$, $\mathbb{I}_{2} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$ are such that
 $\mathbb{T}_{2}(uv) \leq \min\{\mathbb{T}_{1}(u), \mathbb{T}_{1}(v)\},$
 $\mathbb{I}_{2}(uv) \leq \min\{\mathbb{I}_{1}(u), \mathbb{I}_{1}(v)\},$
 $\mathbb{F}_{2}(uv) \leq \max\{\mathbb{F}_{1}(u), \mathbb{F}_{1}(v)\}$
and $0 \leq \mathbb{T}_{2}(uv) + \mathbb{I}_{2}(uv) + \mathbb{F}_{2}(uv) \leq 3, \forall uv \in \mathbb{E}.$

Definition 2.6 [11] A Neutrosophic Vague Set \mathbb{A}_{NV} (NVS in short) on the universe of discourse X written as

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{NV}} = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{NV}}}(\mathbf{x}), \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{NV}}}(\mathbf{x}), \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{NV}}}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X} \},\$$

whose truth-membership, indeterminacy membership and falsity-membership function are defined as

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) &= [\mathbb{T}^{-}(x), \mathbb{T}^{+}(x)], \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) = [\mathbb{I}^{-}(x), \mathbb{I}^{+}(x)] \text{and } \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(x) = [\mathbb{F}^{-}(x), \mathbb{F}^{+}(x)], \\ \text{where } \mathbb{T}^{+}(x) &= 1 - \mathbb{F}^{-}(x), \mathbb{F}^{+}(x) = 1 - \mathbb{T}^{-}(x), \text{ and } 0 \leq \mathbb{T}^{-}(x) + \mathbb{I}^{-}(x) + \mathbb{F}^{-}(x) \leq 2. \\ \text{Definition 2.7 [11] The complement of NVS } \mathbb{A}_{NV} \text{ is denoted by } \mathbb{A}_{NV}^{c} \text{ and it is defined by} \\ \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{c}(x) &= [1 - \mathbb{T}^{+}(x), 1 - \mathbb{T}^{-}(x)], \\ \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{c}(x) &= [1 - \mathbb{I}^{+}(x), 1 - \mathbb{I}^{-}(x)], \\ \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{c}(x) &= [1 - \mathbb{F}^{+}(x), 1 - \mathbb{F}^{-}(x)]. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.8 [11] Let \mathbb{A}_{NV} and \mathbb{B}_{NV} be two NVSs of the universe \mathbb{U} . If for all $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \leq \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i), \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \geq \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i), \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}(u_i) \geq \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}(u_i),$$

then the NVS, \mathbb{A}_{NV} are included in \mathbb{B}_{NV} , denoted by $\mathbb{A}_{NV} \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{NV}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 2.9 [11] The union of two NVSs , \mathbb{A}_{NV} and \mathbb{B}_{NV} , is a NVSs, \mathbb{D}_{NV} , written as $\mathbb{D}_{NV} = \mathbb{A}_{NV} \cup \mathbb{B}_{NV}$ whose truth-membership function, indeterminacy-membership function and false-membership function are related to those of \mathbb{A}_{NV} and \mathbb{B}_{NV} by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\max(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \max(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))] \\ \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\min(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \min(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))] \\ \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\min(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \min(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))]. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.10 [11] The intersection of two NVSs, \mathbb{A}_{NV} and \mathbb{B}_{NV} is a NVSs, \mathbb{D}_{NV} , written as $\mathbb{D}_{NV} = \mathbb{A}_{NV} \cap \mathbb{B}_{NV}$, whose truth-membership function, indeterminacy-membership function and false-membership function are related to those of \mathbb{A}_{NV} and \mathbb{B}_{NV} by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\min(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \min(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))] \\ \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\max(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \max(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))] \\ \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{D}_{NV}}(x) &= [\max(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{-}(x), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{-}(x)), \max(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}_{NV}}^{+}(x), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}_{NV}}^{+}(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.11 [27] Let $G^* = (R, S)$ be a graph. A pair $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ is called a neutrosophic vague graph (NVG) on G^* or a neutrosophic vague graph where $\mathbb{A} = (\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{A}}, \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{A}}, \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{A}})$ is a neutrosophic vague set on R and $\mathbb{B} = (\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}, \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_{\mathbb{B}}, \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbb{B}})$ is a neutrosophic vague set $S \subseteq R \times R$ where

(1) $R = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $\mathbb{T}_{\overline{A}}^-: R \to [0,1], \mathbb{T}_{\overline{A}}^-: R \to [0,1], \mathbb{F}_{\overline{A}}^-: R \to [0,1]$ which satisfies the condition $\mathbb{F}_{\overline{A}}^- = [1 - \mathbb{T}_{\overline{A}}^+],$

 $\mathbb{T}^+_{\mathbb{A}}: R \to [0,1], \mathbb{I}^+_{\mathbb{A}}: R \to [0,1], \mathbb{F}^+_{\mathbb{A}}: R \to [0,1] \text{ which satisfies the condition } \mathbb{F}^+_{\mathbb{A}} = [1 - \mathbb{T}^-_{\mathbb{A}}]$ denotes the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i \in R$, and

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^-(v_i) + \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^-(v_i) + \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^-(v_i) \leq 2 \\ 0 &\leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(v_i) + \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(v_i) + \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^+(v_i) \leq 2. \end{split}$$

(2) $S \subseteq R \times R$ where

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], \ \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], \ \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$
$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], \ \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], \ \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$$

denotes the degree of truth membership function, indeterminacy membership and falsity membership of the element $v_i v_i \in S$, respectively and such that,

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^-(v_iv_j) + \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^-(v_iv_j) + \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^-(v_iv_j) \leq 2 \\ 0 &\leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^+(v_iv_j) + \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^+(v_iv_j) + \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^+(v_iv_j) \leq 2. \end{split}$$

such that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}(v_iv_j) &\leq \min\{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_i), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_j)\}\\ \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}(v_iv_j) &\leq \min\{\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_i), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_j)\}\\ \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{-}(v_iv_j) &\leq \max\{\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_i), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-}(v_j)\} \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}(v_{i}v_{j}) &\leq \min\{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{i}), \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{j})\}\\ \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}(v_{i}v_{j}) &\leq \min\{\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{i}), \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{j})\}\\ \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{B}}^{+}(v_{i}v_{j}) &\leq \max\{\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{i}), \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}(v_{j})\}. \end{split}$$

Example 2.12 Consider a neutrosophic vague graph G = (R, S) such that $\mathbb{A} = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \{ab, bc, ca\}$ are defined by

 $\hat{a} = T[0.5, 0.6], I[0.4, 0.3], F[0.4, 0.5], \qquad \hat{b} = T[0.4, 0.6], I[0.7, 0.3], F[0.4, 0.6],$ $\hat{c} = T[0.4, 0.4], I[0.5, 0.3], F[0.6, 0.6]$ $a^{-} = (0.5, 0.4, 0.4), b^{-} = (0.4, 0.7, 0.4), c^{-} = (0.4, 0.5, 0.6)$

 $a^+ = (0.6, 0.3, 0.5), \ b^+ = (0.6, 0.3, 0.6), \ c^+ = (0.4, 0.3, 0.6).$



Figure 1: NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

3. Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs

In this section, the results on operations of neutrosophic vague graphs with example are established.

Definition 3.1 The Cartesian product of two NVGs G_1 and G_2 is denoted by the pair $G_1 \times G_2 = (R_1 \times R_2, S_1 \times S_2)$ and defined as

$$\begin{split} T_{A_1 \times A_2}^-(kl) &= T_{A_1}^-(k) \wedge T_{A_2}^-(l) \\ I_{A_1 \times A_2}^-(kl) &= I_{A_1}^-(k) \wedge I_{A_2}^-(l) \\ F_{A_1 \times A_2}^-(kl) &= F_{A_1}^-(k) \vee F_{A_2}^-(l) \\ T_{A_1 \times A_2}^+(kl) &= T_{A_1}^+(k) \wedge T_{A_2}^+(l) \\ I_{A_1 \times A_2}^+(kl) &= I_{A_1}^+(k) \wedge I_{A_2}^+(l) \\ F_{A_1 \times A_2}^+(kl) &= F_{A_1}^+(k) \vee F_{A_2}^+(l), \end{split}$$

S. Satham Hussain, Saeid Jafari, Said Broumi and N. Durga "Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs"

for all $(k, l) \in R_1 \times R_2$.

The membership value of the edges in $G_1 \times G_2$ can be calculated as,

$$\begin{split} (1) \ \ T^-_{B_1\times B_2}(kl_1)(kl_2) &= T^-_{A_1}(k) \wedge T^-_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ T^+_{B_1\times B_2}(kl_1)(kl_2) &= T^+_{A_1}(k) \wedge T^+_{B_2}(l_1l_2), \end{split}$$

- (2) $I_{B_1 \times B_2}^-(kl_1)(kl_2) = I_{A_1}^-(k) \wedge I_{B_2}^-(l_1l_2)$ $I_{B_1 \times B_2}^+(kl_1)(kl_2) = I_{A_1}^+(k) \wedge I_{B_2}^+(l_1l_2),$
- $\begin{array}{ll} (3) \quad F^-_{B_1 \times B_2}(kl_1)(kl_2) = F^-_{A_1}(k) \vee F^-_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ \\ F^+_{B_1 \times B_2}(kl_1)(kl_2) = F^+_{A_1}(k) \vee F^+_{B_2}(l_1l_2), \end{array}$

for all
$$k \in R_1, l_1 l_2 \in S_2$$

 $\begin{aligned} (4) \ \ T^-_{B_1\times B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= T^-_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^-_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ T^+_{B_1\times B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= T^+_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^+_{B_2}(k_1k_2), \end{aligned}$

(5)
$$I_{B_1 \times B_2}(k_1 l)(k_2 l) = I_{A_2}(l) \wedge I_{B_2}(k_1 k_2)$$

 $I_{B_1 \times B_2}(k_1 l)(k_2 l) = I_{A_2}(l) \wedge I_{B_2}(k_1 k_2),$

(6) $F_{B_1 \times B_2}(k_1 l)(k_2 l) = F_{A_2}(l) \vee F_{B_2}(k_1 k_2)$ $F_{B_1 \times B_2}(k_1 l)(k_2 l) = F_{A_2}(l) \vee F_{B_2}(k_1 k_2),$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1, l \in R_2$.

Example 3.2 Consider $G_1 = (R_1, S_1)$ and $G_2 = (R_2, S_2)$ are two NVGs of G = (R, S), as represented in Figure 2, now we get $G_1 \times G_2$ as follows see Figure 3.

$$\begin{split} \hat{k}_1 &= T[0.5, 0.6], I[0.6, 0.4], F[0.4, 0.5], \hat{k}_2 &= T[0.4, 0.6], I[0.7, 0.3], F[0.4, 0.6], \\ \hat{k}_3 &= T[0.6, 0.4], I[0.3, 0.7], F[0.6, 0.4], \hat{k}_4 &= T[0.4, 0.4], I[0.4, 0.6], F[0.6, 0.6] \\ \hat{l}_1 &= T[0.4, 0.4], I[0.5, 0.3], F[0.6, 0.6], \hat{l}_2 &= T[0.5, 0.6], I[0.4, 0.3], F[0.4, 0.5], \\ \hat{l}_3 &= T[0.4, 0.6], I[0.7, 0.3], F[0.4, 0.6] \\ k_1^- &= (0.5, 0.6, 0.4), k_2^- &= (0.4, 0.7, 0.4), k_3^- &= (0.6, 0.3, 0.6), k_4^- &= (0.4, 0.4, 0.6, 0.6) \\ k_1^+ &= (0.6, 0.4, 0.5), k_2^+ &= (0.6, 0.3, 0.6), k_3^+ &= (0.4, 0.7, 0.4), k_4^- &= (0.4, 0.6, 0.6) \\ l_1^- &= (0.4, 0.5, 0.6), l_2^- &= (0.5, 0.4, 0.4), l_3^- &= (0.4, 0.7, 0.4) \\ l_1^+ &= (0.4, 0.3, 0.6), l_2^+ &= (0.6, 0.3, 0.5), l_3^+ &= (0.6, 0.3, 0.6). \end{split}$$



G₂





S. Satham Hussain, Saeid Jafari, Said Broumi and N. Durga "Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs"



Figure 3: CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

Theorem 3.3 The Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2 = (R_1 \times R_2, S_1 \times S_2)$ of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is also the NVG of $G_1 \times G_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_{Restriction} & \text{Jine Currentiation product } G_1 \times G_2 = (R_1 \times R_2, s_1 \times s_2) \text{ or two fixed} \\ \text{NVG of } G_1 \times G_2. \\ & \text{Proof. We consider two cases.} \\ & \text{Case 1: for } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2 \in \mathbf{S}_2, \\ & \widehat{T}_{(B_1 \times B_2)}((\mathbf{kl}_1)(\mathbf{kl}_2)) = \widehat{T}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_2}(\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \leq \widehat{T}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \widehat{I}_{(B_1 \times B_2)}((\mathbf{kl}_1)(\mathbf{kl}_2)) = \widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{B_2}(\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \leq \widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = \widehat{I}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \widehat{I}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \widehat{F}_{B_1 \times B_2}((\mathbf{kl}_1)(\mathbf{kl}_2)) = \widehat{F}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \widehat{F}_{B_2}(\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \leq \widehat{F}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \widehat{I}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ & = \widehat{I}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_1) \vee \widehat{F}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & \text{for all } \mathbf{kl}_1, \mathbf{kl}_2 \in G_1 \times G_2. \\ & \text{Case 2: for } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2 \in \mathbf{S}_1. \\ & \widehat{T}_{(B_1 \times B_2)}((\mathbf{l}_1\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{l}_2\mathbf{k})) = \widehat{T}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_1}(\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2) \\ & < \widehat{T}_1(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \widehat{T}_1(\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_1(\mathbf{l}_1) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \leq \widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ & = [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ & = \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_1, k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_2, k) \end{split}$$

$$\hat{I}_{(B_1 \times B_2)}((l_1 k)(l_2 k)) = \hat{I}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \hat{I}_{B_1}(l_1 l_2)$$

 $\leq \hat{l}_{A_2}(k) \wedge [\hat{l}_{A_1}(l_1) \wedge \hat{l}_{A_1}(l_2)]$ $= [\hat{l}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \hat{l}_{A_1}(l_1)] \wedge [\hat{l}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \hat{l}_{A_1}(l_2)]$ $= \hat{l}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_1, k) \wedge \hat{l}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_2, k)$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_1 \times B_2)}((l_1 k)(l_2 k)) &= \hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{B_1}(l_1 l_2) \\ &\leq \hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \vee [\hat{F}_{A_1}(l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_1)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_1, k) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_1 \times A_2)}(l_2, k) \end{split}$$

for all $l_1k, l_2k \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Definition 3.4 The Cross product of two NVGs G_1 and G_2 is denoted by the pair $G_1 \star G_2 = (R_1 \star R_2, S_1 \star S_2)$ and is defined as

$$\begin{split} (i) T^{-}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= T^{-}_{A_1}(k) \wedge T^{-}_{A_2}(l) \\ I^{-}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= I^{-}_{A_1}(k) \wedge I^{-}_{A_2}(l) \\ F^{-}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= F^{-}_{A_1}(k) \vee F^{-}_{A_2}(l) \\ T^{+}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= T^{+}_{A_1}(k) \wedge T^{+}_{A_2}(l) \\ I^{+}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= I^{+}_{A_1}(k) \wedge I^{+}_{A_2}(l) \\ F^{+}_{A_1 \star A_2}(kl) &= F^{+}_{A_1}(k) \vee F^{+}_{A_2}(l), \end{split}$$

for all $k, l \in R_1 \star R_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) T_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{-}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= T_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{-}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{-}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= F_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \vee F_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ (iii) T_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= T_{B_{1}}^{+}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1} \star B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= F_{B_{1}}^{+}(k_{1}k_{2}) \vee F_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1, l_1l_2 \in S_2$.

Example 3.5 Consider $G_1 = (R_1, S_1)$ and $G_2 = (R_2, S_2)$ as two NVG of G = (R, S) respectively, (see Figure 2). We obtain the cross product of $G_1 \star G_2$ as follows (see Figure 4).



Figure 4: CROSS PRODUCT OF NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

Theorem 3.6 The cross product $G_1 \star G_2 = (R_1 \star R_2, S_1 \star S_2)$ of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is an the NVG of $G_1 \star G_2$.

Proof. For all $k_1 l_1, k_2 l_2 \in G_1 \star G_2$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{T}_{(B_1 \star B_2)}((k_1 l_1)(k_2 l_2)) &= \widehat{T}_{B_1}(k_1 k_2) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2) \\ &\leq [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(k_2)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k_2) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(k_1 l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(k_2, l_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(B_1 \star B_2)}((\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{l}_1)(\mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{l}_2)) &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_1}(\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_2}(\mathbf{l}_1 \mathbf{l}_2) \\ &\leq [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_2)] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_2) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{l}_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_1 \star B_2)}((k_1 l_1)(k_2 l_2)) &= \hat{F}_{B_1}(k_1 k_2) \vee \hat{F}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2) \\ &\leq [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_1}(k_2)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_2) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(k_1 l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_1 \star A_2)}(k_2, l_2). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Definition 3.7 The lexicographic product of two NVGs G_1 and G_2 is denoted by the pair $G_1 \cdot G_2 = (R_1 \cdot R_2, S_1 \cdot S_2)$ and defined as

$$\begin{split} (i) T_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{-}(k) &= T_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ I_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{-}(k) &= I_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ F_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{-}(k) &= T_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ T_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{+}(k) &= T_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ I_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{+}(k) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ F_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{+}(k) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ F_{(A_{1} + A_{2})}^{+}(k) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l), \\ \text{for all } kl \in R_{1} \times R_{2} \\ (ii) T_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= T_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ T_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l_{2}), \\ f_{(B_{1} + B_{2})}^{+}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(kl_{2}) \wedge I_{B_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}l$$

Example 3.8 The lexicographic product of NVG $G_1 = (R_1, S_1)$ and $G_2 = (R_2, S_2)$ shown in Figure 2 is defined as $G_1 \cdot G_2 = (R_1 \cdot R_2, S_1 \cdot S_2)$ and is presented in Figure 5.



(0.4,0.4,0.6)⁻ (0.4,0.3,0.6)⁺

Figure 5: LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCT OF NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

S. Satham Hussain, Saeid Jafari, Said Broumi and N. Durga "Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs"

(0.4,0.4,0.6)

(0.4,0.3,0.6)+

Proof. We have two cases.

Theorem 3.9 The lexicographic product $G_1 \bullet G_2 = (R_1 \bullet R_2, S_1 \bullet S_2)$ of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is the NVG of $G_1 \bullet G_2$.

Case 1: For $k \in R_1$, $l_1 l_2 \in S_2$, $\widehat{T}_{(B_1 \bullet B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_2}(l_1l_2)$ $\leq \widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}_2)$ $\hat{I}_{(B_1 \bullet B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \hat{I}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \hat{I}_{B_2}(l_1l_2)$ $\leq \hat{l}_{A_1}(k) \wedge [\hat{l}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \hat{l}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\hat{I}_{A_1}(k) \land \hat{I}_{A_2}(l_1)] \land [\hat{I}_{A_1}(k) \land \hat{I}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \hat{I}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(k, l_1) \wedge \hat{I}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(k, l_2)$ $\hat{F}_{(B_1 \bullet B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \hat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{B_2}(l_1l_2)$ $\leq \hat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee [\hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \hat{F}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(k, l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_1 \bullet A_2)}(k, l_2)$ for all $kl_1, kl_2 \in S_1 \times S_2$. Case 2: For all $k_1l_1 \in S_1, k_2l_2 \in S_2$, **Î**

$$\begin{split} \widehat{T}_{(B_{1} \bullet B_{2})}((k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2})) &= \widehat{T}_{B_{1}}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ &\leq [\widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k_{2})] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k_{2}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \widehat{T}_{(A_{1} \bullet A_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_{1} \bullet A_{2})}(k_{2},l_{2}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(B_{1} \bullet B_{2})}((\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{l}_{1})(\mathbf{k}_{2} \mathbf{l}_{2})) &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{2}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1} \mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ &\leq [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{2}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_{1} \bullet A_{2})}(\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_{1} \bullet A_{2})}(\mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{l}_{2}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_{1}\bullet B_{2})}((k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2})) &= \hat{F}_{B_{1}}(k_{1}k_{2}) \vee \hat{F}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ &\leq [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{2})] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{2}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_{1}\bullet A_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_{1}\bullet A_{2})}(k_{2},l_{2}) \end{split}$$

for all $k_1, l_1 \in k_2, l_2 \in R_1 \bullet R_2$.

Definition 3.10 The strong product of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is denoted by the pair $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 = (R_1 \boxtimes R_2, S_1 \boxtimes S_2)$ and defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (i)T_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}^{-}(kl) &= T_{A_1}^{-}(k) \wedge T_{A_2}^{-}(l) \\ I_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}^{-}(kl) &= I_{A_1}^{-}(k) \wedge I_{A_2}^{-}(l) \\ F_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}^{-}(kl) &= F_{A_1}^{-}(k) \vee F_{A_2}^{-}(l) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} T^+_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(kl) &= T^+_{A_1}(k) \wedge T^+_{A_2}(l) \\ I^+_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(kl) &= I^+_{A_1}(k) \wedge I^+_{A_2}(l) \\ F^+_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(kl) &= F^+_{A_1}(k) \vee F^+_{A_2}(l) \end{split}$$

for all $kl \in R_1 \boxtimes R_2$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) T_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^-(kl_1)(kl_2) &= T_{A_1}^-(k) \wedge T_{B_2}^-(l_1l_2) \\ I_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^-(kl_1)(kl_2) &= I_{A_1}^-(k) \wedge I_{B_2}^-(l_1l_2) \\ F_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^-(kl_1)(kl_2) &= F_{A_1}^-(k) \vee F_{B_2}^-(l_1l_2) \\ T_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^+(kl_1)(kl_2) &= T_{A_1}^+(k) \wedge T_{B_2}^+(l_1l_2) \\ I_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^+(kl_1)(kl_2) &= I_{A_1}^+(k) \wedge I_{B_2}^+(l_1l_2) \\ F_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}^+(kl_1)(kl_2) &= F_{A_1}^+(k) \vee F_{B_2}^+(l_1l_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in R_1$, $l_1 l_2 \in S_2$.

$$\begin{split} (\text{iii}) T^-_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= T^-_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^-_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ I^-_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= I^-_{A_2}(l) \wedge I^-_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ F^-_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= F^-_{A_2}(l) \vee F^-_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ T^+_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= T^+_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^+_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ I^+_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= I^+_{A_2}(l) \wedge I^+_{B_2}(k_1k_2) \\ F^+_{B_1\boxtimes B_2}(k_1l)(k_2l) &= F^+_{A_2}(l) \vee F^+_{B_2}(k_1k_2), \end{split}$$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1, l \in R_2$.

$$\begin{split} (iv) T^-_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= T^-_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \wedge T^-_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ I^-_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= I^-_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \wedge I^-_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ F^-_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= F^-_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \vee F^-_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ T^+_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= T^+_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \wedge T^+_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ I^+_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= I^+_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \wedge I^+_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ F^+_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}(k_1l_1)(k_2l_2) &= F^+_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \vee F^N_{B_2}(l_1l_2), \end{split}$$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1$, $l_1l_2 \in S_2$.

Example 3.11 The strong product of NVG $G_1 = (R_1, S_1)$ and $G_2 = (R_2, S_2)$ shown in Figure 2 is defined as $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 = (S_1 \boxtimes S_2, T_1 \boxtimes T_2)$ and is presented in Figure 6.



S. Satham Hussain, Saeid Jafari, Said Broumi and N. Durga "Operations on Neutrosophic Vague Graphs"



Figure 6: STRONG PRODUCT OF NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

Theorem 3.12 The strong product $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 = (R_1 \boxtimes R_2, S_1 \boxtimes S_2)$ of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is a NVG of $G_1 \boxtimes G_2$.

Proof. There are three cases:

Case 1: for $k \in R_1, l_1 l_2 \in S_2$, $\widehat{T}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2)$ $\leq \widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_2)$ $\widehat{I}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \widehat{I}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2)$ $\leq \widehat{I}_{A_1}(k) \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_1}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \widehat{I}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_1) \wedge \widehat{I}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_2)$ $\widehat{F}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((kl_1)(kl_2)) = \widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2)$ $\leq \widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_1) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_1)] \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_1)] \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_1}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)]$ $= \widehat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_1) \vee \widehat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k, l_2),$ for all $kl_1, kl_2 \in R_1 \boxtimes R_2.$ Case 2: for $k \in R_2, l_1 l_2 \in S_1$

 $\widehat{T}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((l_1 k)(l_2 k)) = \widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{B_1}(l_1 l_2)$

$$\begin{split} &\leq \widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_1) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(l_1, k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(l_2, k) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}((\mathbf{l}_1\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{l}_2\mathbf{k})) &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_1}(\mathbf{l}_1\mathbf{l}_2) \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(\mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(\mathbf{l}_2, \mathbf{k}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((l_1 k)(l_2 k)) &= \hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \lor \hat{F}_{B_1}(l_1 l_2) \\ &\leq \hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \lor [\hat{F}_{A_1}(l_1) \lor \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \lor \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_1)] \lor [\hat{F}_{A_2}(k) \lor \hat{F}_{A_1}(l_2)] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(l_1, k) \lor \hat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(l_2, k) \end{split}$$

for all $l_1k, l_2k \in R_1 \boxtimes R_2$.

Case 3: for $k_1, k_2 \in S_1, l_1 l_2 \in S_2$ $\widehat{T}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((k_1 l_1))$

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}((k_1l_1)(k_2l_2)) &= \hat{T}_{B_1}(k_1k_2) \wedge \hat{T}_{B_2}(l_1l_2) \\ &\leq [\hat{T}_{A_1}(k_1) \wedge \hat{T}_{A_1}(k_2)] \wedge [\hat{T}_{A_2}(l_1) \wedge \hat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= [\hat{T}_{A_1}(k_1) \wedge \hat{T}_{A_2}(l_1)] \wedge [\hat{T}_{A_1}(k_2) \wedge \hat{T}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= \hat{T}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(k_1l_1) \wedge \hat{T}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(k_2,l_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(B_1\boxtimes B_2)}((\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{l}_1)(\mathbf{k}_2\mathbf{l}_2)) &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_1}(\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_2}(\mathbf{l}_1\mathbf{l}_2) \\ &\leq [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_2)] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_1)] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}_2) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_2}(\mathbf{l}_2)] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{l}_1) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_1\boxtimes A_2)}(\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{l}_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_1 \boxtimes B_2)}((k_1 l_1)(k_2 l_2)) &= \hat{F}_{B_1}(k_1 k_2) \vee \hat{F}_{B_2}(l_1 l_2) \\ &\leq [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_1}(k_2)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_1) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_1)] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_1}(k_2) \vee \hat{F}_{A_2}(l_2)] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k_1 l_1) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)}(k_2, l_2), \end{split}$$

for all $l_1k_1, l_2k_1 \in R_1 \boxtimes R_2$.

Definition 3.13 The composition of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is denoted by the pair $G_1 \circ G_2 = (R_1 \boxtimes R_2, S_1 \circ S_2)$ and defined as

$$\begin{split} (i)T_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{-}(kl) &= T_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ I_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{-}(kl) &= I_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ F_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{-}(kl) &= F_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{-}(l) \\ T_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{+}(kl) &= T_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ I_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{+}(kl) &= I_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ F_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}^{+}(kl) &= F_{A_{1}}^{+}(k) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{+}(l) \\ for all \ kl \in R_{1} \circ R_{2}. \\ (ii)T_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}^{-}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= T_{A_{1}}^{-}(k) \wedge T_{B_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}l_{2}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} I^{-}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I^{-}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge I^{-}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F^{-}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= F^{-}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee F^{-}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ T^{+}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= T^{+}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge T^{+}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ I^{+}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= I^{+}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge I^{+}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ F^{+}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(kl_{1})(kl_{2}) &= F^{+}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee F^{+}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}), \end{split}$$

for all $k \in R_1$, $l_1 l_2 \in S_2$.

$$\begin{split} (\text{iii}) T^-_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1 l)(k_2 l) &= T^-_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^-_{B_2}(k_1 k_2) \\ I^-_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1, l)(k_2, l) &= I^-_{A_2}(l) \wedge I^-_{B_2}(k_1 k_2) \\ F^-_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1, l)(k_2, l) &= F^-_{A_2}(l) \vee F^-_{B_2}(k_1 k_2) \\ T^+_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1, l)(k_2, l) &= T^+_{A_2}(l) \wedge T^+_{B_2}(k_1 k_2) \\ I^+_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1, l)(k_2, l) &= I^+_{A_2}(l) \wedge I^+_{B_2}(k_1 k_2) \\ F^+_{B_1 \circ B_2}(k_1, l)(k_2, l) &= F^+_{A_2}(l) \vee F^+_{B_2}(k_1 k_2), \end{split}$$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1$, $l \in R_2$.

$$\begin{split} (iv)T_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= T_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= F_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{1}) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{-}(l_{2}) \\ T_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= T_{B_{1}}^{-}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}) \wedge T_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{2}) \\ I_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= I_{B_{1}}^{+}(k_{1}k_{2}) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}) \wedge I_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{2}) \\ F_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}^{+}(k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2}) &= F_{B_{1}}^{+}(k_{1}k_{2}) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{1}) \vee F_{A_{2}}^{+}(l_{2}) \end{split}$$

for all $k_1k_2 \in S_1$, $l_1l_2 \in S_2$.

Example 3.14 The composition of NVG $G_1 = (R_1, S_1)$ and $G_2 = (R_2, S_2)$ shown in Figure 2 is defined as $G_1 \circ G_2 = (R_1 \circ R_2, S_1 \circ S_2)$ and is presented in Figure 7.





Figure 7: COMPOSITION OF NEUTROSOPHIC VAGUE GRAPH

Theorem 3.15 Composition $G_1 \circ G_2 = (R_1 \circ R_2, S_1 \circ S_2)$ of two NVG G_1 and G_2 is the NVG of $G_1 \circ G_2$.

Proof. We divide the proof into three cases:

Case:1 For
$$k \in R_1$$
, $l_1 l_2 \in S_2$,

$$\begin{split} T_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}((kl_{1})(kl_{2})) &= T_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge T_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ &\leq \widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \widehat{T}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{2}) \\ \\ \widehat{I}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}((kl_{1})(kl_{2})) &= \widehat{I}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ &\leq \widehat{I}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\widehat{I}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \wedge [\widehat{I}_{A_{1}}(k) \wedge \widehat{I}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \widehat{I}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{1}) \wedge \widehat{I}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{2}) \\ \\ \widehat{F}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}((kl_{1})(kl_{2})) &= \widehat{F}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{B_{2}}(l_{1}l_{2}) \\ &\leq \widehat{F}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\widehat{F}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \vee [\widehat{F}_{A_{1}}(k) \vee \widehat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \widehat{F}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{1}) \vee \widehat{F}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k,l_{2}) \\ \end{split}$$

$$= [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_1)] \wedge [\widehat{T}_{A_2}(k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{A_1}(l_2)]$$

$$= \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \circ A_2)}(l_1, k) \wedge \widehat{T}_{(A_1 \circ A_2)}(l_2, k)$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(\mathsf{B}_{1}\circ\mathsf{B}_{2})}((\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{l}_{2}\mathbf{k})) &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{B_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})\mathbf{l} \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{k}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{l}_{2},\mathbf{k}) \\ \\ \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{(\mathsf{B}_{1}\circ\mathsf{B}_{2})}((\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{l}_{2}\mathbf{k})) &= \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{B_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee [\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1})] \times [\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{k}) \vee \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ \\ \text{Case 3: For } \mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2} \in S_{1}, \mathbf{l}_{1}, \mathbf{l}_{2} \in \mathbf{R}_{2} \text{ such that } \mathbf{l}_{1} \neq \mathbf{l}_{2}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{(\mathsf{B}_{1}\circ\mathsf{B}_{2})}((\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{l}_{1})(\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{l}_{2})) = \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{B_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2}) \\ &\leq [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{2}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{(A_{1}\circA_{2})}(\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{l}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}_{2})] \wedge [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2}}(\mathbf{k}_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{1}}(\mathbf{k}_{1}) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{A_{2$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{F}_{(B_{1}\circ B_{2})}((k_{1}l_{1})(k_{2}l_{2})) &= \hat{F}_{B_{1}}(k_{1},k_{2}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2}) \\ &\leq [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{2})] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{1})] \vee [\hat{F}_{A_{1}}(k_{2}) \vee \hat{F}_{A_{2}}(l_{2})] \\ &= \hat{F}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k_{1}l_{1}) \vee \hat{F}_{(A_{1}\circ A_{2})}(k_{2}l_{2}), \text{ for all } k_{1}l_{1}, k_{2}l_{2} \in R_{1} \circ R_{2}. \end{split}$$

Conclusion

Graph theory is an extremely useful tool in studying and modeling several applications in computer science, engineering, genetics, decision-making, economics, etc. An extension of intuitionistic fuzzy graph is regarded as a single-valued neutrosophic graph which is very useful to formulate the appropriate real life situation. In this research article, the operations on neutrosophic vague graphs have been established. Moreover, Cartesian product, lexicographic product, cross product, strong product and composition of neutrosophic vague graph have been investigated and the given concepts are demonstrated through examples. Furthermore, in future, we are able to investigate the domination number and isomorphic properties of the NVGs.

References

[1] Abdel-Basset M., Mohamed R., Zaied A. E. N. H and Smarandache F, A hybrid plithogenic decision-making approach with quality function deployment for selecting supply chain sustainability metrics. *Symmetry*, 11(7) (2019), 903.

[2] Abdel-Basset M., Manogaran G., Gamal A and Smarandache F, A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection. *Journal of medical systems*, 43(2) (2019), 38.

[3] Abdel-Basset M., Atef A and Smarandache F, A hybrid Neutrosophic multiple criteria group decision making approach for project selection. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 57 (2019), 216-227.

[4] Abdel-Basset M et al, Solving the supply chain problem using the best-worst method based on a novel Plithogenic model, Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets. *Academic Press*, 2020. 1-19.

[5] Abdel-Basset M and Mohamed R. A, novel plithogenic TOPSIS-CRITIC model for sustainable supply chain risk management., *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247(2020), 119586.

[6] Akram M and Shahzadi G, Operations on single-valued neutrosophic graphs, *Journal of Uncertain Systems*, 11(1) (2017), 1-26.

[7] Al-Quran A and Hassan N, Neutrosophic vague soft expert set theory, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 30(6) (2016), 3691-3702.

[8] Ali M and Smarandache F, Complex neutrosophic set, Neural Computing and Applications, 28 (7) (2017), 1817-1834.

[9] Ali M Deli I and Smarandache F, The theory of neutrosophic cubic sets and their applications in pattern recognition. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 30(4) (2016), 1957-1963.

[10] Akram M, Malik H.M, Shahzadi S and Smarandache F, Neutrosophic Soft Rough Graphs with Application. *Axioms* 7(1)4(2018).

[11] Alkhazaleh S, Neutrosophic vague set theory, Critical Review, 10 (2015), 29-39.

[12] Borzooei A R and Rashmanlou H, Degree of vertices in vague graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics*, 33(2015), 545-557.

[13] Borzooei A R and Rashmanlou H, Domination in vague graphs and its applications, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* 29(2015), 1933-1940.

[14] Borzooei A R, Rashmanlou H, Samanta S and Pal M, Regularity of vague graphs, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 30(2016), 3681-3689.

[15] Broumi S, Deli I and Smarandache F, Neutrosophic refined relations and their properties: Neutrosophic Theory and Its Applications. *Collected Papers*, (1) (2014), 228-248.

[16] Broumi S and Smarandache F, Intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set, *Journal of Information and Computer Science*, 8(2) (2013), 130-140.

[17] Broumi S, Smarandache F, Talea M and Bakali, Single-valued neutrosophic graphs: Degree, Order and Size, 2016 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 2016.

[18] Broumi S, Mohamed T, Assia B and Smarandache F, Single-valued neutrosophic graphs, *The Journal of New Theory*, 2016(10), 861-101.

[19] Darabian E, Borzooei R. A, Rashmanlou H and Azadi, M. New concepts of regular and (highly) irregular vague graphs with applications, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 9(2) (2017), 161-179.

[20] Deli I and Broumi S, Neutrosophic soft relations and some properties, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 9(1) (2014), 169-182.

[21] Deli I., npn-Soft Sets Theory and Applications, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 10/6 (2015) 847-862.

[22] Dhavaseelan R, Vikramaprasad R and Krishnaraj V, Certain types of neutrosophic graphs, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, 5(2)(2015), 333-339.

[23] Dhavaseelan R, Jafari S, Farahani M. R and Broumi S, On single-valued co-neutrosophic graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets* and Systems, An International Book Series in Information Science and Engineering, 22, 2018.

[24] Molodtsov D, Soft set theory-first results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37(2) (1999), 19-31.

[25] Mordeson J. N., and Nair P. S, Fuzzy graphs and fuzzy hypergraphs. Physica (Vol. 46)(2012).

[26] Hussain S. S, Hussain R. J, Jun Y. B and Smarandache F, Neutrosophic bipolar vague set and its application to neutrosophic bipolar vague graphs. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28 (2019), 69-86.

[27] Hussain S. S, Hussain R. J and Smarandache F, On neutrosophic vague graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28 (2019), 245-258.

[28] Hussain S. S, Broumi S, Jun Y. B and Durga N, Intuitionistic bipolar neutrosophic set and its application to intuitionistic bipolar neutrosophic graphs, *Annals of Communication in Mathematics*, 2 (2) (2019), 121-140.

[29] Hussain, S. S., Hussain, R. J., and Smarandache F, Domination Number in Neutrosophic Soft Graphs, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 28(1) (2019), 228-244.

[30] Hussain R. J, Hussain S. S, Sahoo S, Pal M, Pal A, Domination and Product Domination in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Graphs, *International Journal of Advanced Intelligence Paradigms*, 2020 (In Press), DOI:10.1504/IJAIP.2019.10022975.

[31] Smarandache F, A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic. Rehoboth: *American Research Press*, 1999.

[32] Smarandache F, Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic, Amer../ Res. Press, Rehoboth, USA, 105 pages, (1998) http://fs.gallup.unm.edu/eBookneutrosophics4.pdf(4th edition).

[33] Smarandache F, Neutrosophic Graphs, in his book Symbolic Neutrosophic Theory, Europa, Nova. (2015)

[34] Smarandache F, Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, (2010), 289-297.

[35] Wang H, Smarandache F, Zhang Y and Sunderraman R, Single-valued neutrosophic sets, *Multispace and Multistructure*, 4 (2010), 410-413.

[36] Gau W. L, Buehrer D. J, Vague sets, IEEE Transactions on Systems. Man and Cybernetics, 23 (2) (1993), 610-614.

Received: Apr 10, 2020. Accepted: July 2 2020